# DANISH MINISTRY <br> OF THE ENVIRONMENT 

# Survey and Health Assessment of the exposure of 2 year-olds to chemical substances in Consumer Products 

Kathe Tønning, Eva Jacobsen og Eva Pedersen<br>Danish Technological Institute<br>M arianne Strange og Pia Brunn Poulsen<br>Force Technology<br>Lise M øller og Helle Buchardt Boyd DHI group

The D anish Environmental Protection Agency will, when opportunity offers, publish reports and contributions relating to environmental research and development projects financed via the D anish EPA.

Please note that publication does not signify that the contents of the reports necessarily reflect the views of the D anish EPA.

The reports are, however, published because the D anish EPA finds that the studies represent a valuable contribution to the debate on environmental policy in D enmark.

## Contents

FOREWORD ..... 9
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS ..... 11
1 INTRODUCTION ..... 19
1.1 Project background ..... 19
1.2 Project purpose ..... 23
1.3 THE PROJECT'STARGET GROUP ..... 24
1.4 Report structure ..... 25
2 DEFINITIONS ..... 27
2.1 2-YEAR-OLDS ..... 27
2.2 TOYSFOR 2 YEAR-OLDS ..... 27
2.3 Relevant substances ..... 27
2.4 Relevant products ..... 27
2.5 Endocrine disrupters ..... 27
2.5.1 $\quad 0$ estrogenic substances or oestrogen-like substances ..... 28
2.5.2 Anti-androgenic substances ..... 28
2.6 COMBINATION EFFECTS ..... 28
2.7 Allergens ..... 28
3 SELECTED SUBSTANCES AND PRODUCTS ..... 29
3.1 Quantitativerisk assessment of potential endocrine DISRUPT ORS ..... 29
3.2 EXposure to other substances with potentially harm ful effects ..... 30
3.3 Literature review ..... 31
3.4 Selection of products for screening ..... 31
3.4.1 Reporting arenas ..... 31
3.5 Screening results ..... 32
3.6 Tier 1 exposure AsSESSM Ent ..... 33
3.7 Identified substances with potential endocrine disrupting properties ..... 38
3.8 LITERATURE REVIEW OF ENDOCRINE DISRUPTORS ..... 38
3.9 (Q)SAR PREDICTIONS FOR SUBSTANCES WITH POTENTIAL ENDOCRINE DISRUPTING EFFECTS ..... 39
3.9.1 Procedure ..... 40
3.9.2 Results ..... 40
3.9.3 0 estrogen-like effects ..... 40
3.9.4 Antiandrogenic effects ..... 41
3.9.5 C onclusion on (Q)SAR predictions ..... 41
3.10 Conclusion on theidentification of substances with POTENTIALLY ENDOCRINE DISRUPTING EFFECTS ..... 42
3.11 Identified substances with allergenic effects ..... 42
3.12 Literature review of allergens ..... 43
3.13 Chemical substancesidentified in the sCreening with CLASSIFICATION FOR OTHER EFFECTS HARMFUL TO HEALTH ..... 44
4 LEGISLATION ..... 47
4.1 TOYS ..... 47
4.1.1 Safety requirements for toys ..... 47
4.1.2 $\quad B$ an on phthalates in toys ..... 48
4.1.3 REACH ..... 48
4.2 Textiles ..... 48
4.3 STATUTORY ORDER ON COSMETICS ..... 49
4.3.1 List of ingredients ..... 49
4.3.2 Substances with restrictions on use in cosmetic products ..... 50
4.4 PaCIFIERS ..... 51
4.5 General regulations for limitations on use of certain SUBSTANCES ..... 51
4.6 Foodstuffs, AsSessment of pollution from EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) ..... 51
5 SURVEY ..... 53
5.1 DELIMITATION ..... 54
5.2 General conditions of the survey ..... 54
5.2.1 C ontacts ..... 54
5.2.2 Sizes ..... 54
5.3 OUTDOOR CLOTHES ..... 54
5.3.1 Legislation ..... 54
5.3.2 Delimitation ..... 55
5.3.3 Description of product type in use ..... 55
5.3.4 Survey of the range of outdoor clothing ..... 55
5.3.5 Survey results ..... 56
5.4 FOOT WEAR ..... 62
5.4.1 Legislation ..... 62
5.4.2 Delimitation ..... 63
5.4.3 Description of product type in use ..... 63
5.4.4 Survey of the range of footwear ..... 63
5.4.5 Results of survey ..... 64
5.5 PaCIFIERS ..... 68
5.5.1 Legislation ..... 69
5.5.2 Delimitation ..... 69
5.5.3 D escription of product type in use ..... 69
5.5.4 Survey of the range of pacifiers ..... 69
5.5.5 Results of survey ..... 70
5.6 PVC BATH SOAP PACKAGING ..... 74
5.6.1 Legislation ..... 74
5.6.2 Delimitation ..... 74
5.6.3 Description of product type in use ..... 74
5.6.4 Identifying the range of bath soap packaging ..... 75
5.6.5 Results of the survey ..... 76
5.7 N ON -SLIP FIGURES AND BATH MATS ..... 78
5.7.1 Legislation ..... 78
5.7.2 Delimitation ..... 78
5.7.3 Description of product types in use ..... 78
5.7.4 Survey of the range of non-slip figures and mats for bath tubs ..... 78
5.7.5 Results of survey ..... 79
5.8 SOFT TOYS ..... 81
5.8.1 Legislation ..... 82
5.8.2 Delimitation ..... 82
5.8.3 Description of product type in use ..... 82
5.8.4 Survey of the range of soft toys ..... 82
5.8.5 Results of the survey ..... 83
5.9 DIAPERS ..... 85
5.9.1 Legislation ..... 86
5.9.2 Delimitation ..... 86
5.9.3 D escription of the product group in use ..... 86
5.9.4 Survey of the range of diapers ..... 86
5.9.5 Results of the survey ..... 87
5.10 Sunscreens ..... 90
5.10.1 Legislation ..... 91
5.10.2 Delimitation ..... 91
5.10.3 Description of product type in use ..... 91
5.10.4 Survey of the range of sunscreens ..... 91
5.10.5 Results of the survey ..... 92
5.11 M OISTURISING CREAM S/OIL-BASED CREAMS/LOTIONS. ..... 96
5.11.1 Legislation ..... 96
5.11.2 Delimitation ..... 96
5.11.3 Description of product types in use ..... 96
5.11.4 Survey of the range of moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions 97
5.11.5 Results of the survey ..... 98
5.12 Bed Linen ..... 100
5.12.1 Legislation ..... 100
5.12.2 Delimitation ..... 101
5.12.3 Description of product types in use ..... 101
5.12.4 Survey of the range of bed linen ..... 101
5.12.5 Results of the survey ..... 101
6 CHEMICAL ANALYSES ..... 107
6.1 Analyses ..... 107
6.1.1 Product groups selected for analysis. ..... 107
6.1.2 A nalysis programme composition ..... 108
6.2 EXPOSURE SCENARIOS ..... 110
6.2.1 Exposure scenarios ..... 111
6.2.2 Artificial sweat, saliva simulants and temperatures used ..... 111
6.3 OUTDOOR CLOTHES (JACKETS AND MITTENS) ..... 112
6.3.1 Summary of results ..... 112
6.3.2 D escription of product type ..... 112
6.3.3 Selected products ..... 113
6.3.4 Analyses methods ..... 113
6.3.5 Results of initial analyses ..... 117
6.3.6 Q uantitative analyses and migration studies ..... 124
6.4 FOOT WEAR ..... 126
6.4.1 Summary of results ..... 126
6.4.2 D escription of product type ..... 127
6.4.3 Selected products ..... 127
6.4.4 Analsyis methods ..... 127
6.4.5 Results ..... 128
6.4.6 Quantitative analyses and migration studies ..... 129
6.5 PACIFIERS ..... 130
6.5.1 Summary of results ..... 130
6.5.2 D escription of product type ..... 130
6.5.3 Selected products ..... 130
6.5.4 Analysis methods ..... 131
6.5.5 Results ..... 131
6.5.6 Q uantitative analyses and migration studies ..... 132
6.6 SOAP PACKAGING ..... 133
6.6.1 Summary of the results ..... 133
6.6.2 D escription of product type ..... 134
6.6.3 Selected products ..... 134
6.6.4 Analysis methods ..... 134
6.6.5 Results ..... 135
6.6.6 $\quad$ Q uantitative analyses and migration studies ..... 136
6.7 N ON -SLIP FIGURES AND MATS ..... 136
6.7.1 Summary of the results ..... 137
6.7.2 D escription of product type ..... 137
6.7.3 Selected products ..... 137
6.7.4 Analysis methods ..... 137
6.7.5 Results ..... 138
6.7.6 Q uantitative analyses and migration studies ..... 138
6.8 SOFT TOYS ..... 139
6.8.1 Summary of results ..... 139
6.8.2 D escription of product type ..... 139
6.8.3 Selected products ..... 139
6.8.4 Analysis methods ..... 140
6.8.5 Results ..... 141
6.8.6 Q uantitative analyses and migration studies ..... 142
6.9 DIAPERS ..... 142
6.9.1 Summary of the results ..... 142
6.9.2 D escription of product type ..... 143
6.9.3 Selected products ..... 143
6.9.4 Screening methods ..... 143
6.9.5 Results ..... 145
6.9.6 $Q$ uantitative analyses and migration studies ..... 148
6.10 Bed Linen ..... 148
6.10.1 Summary of results ..... 148
6.10.2 D escription of product type ..... 148
6.10.3 Selected products ..... 149
6.10.4 W ashing procedure ..... 149
6.10.5 A nalysis methods ..... 149
6.10.6 Results ..... 150
6.10.7 Q uantitative analyses and migration studies ..... 152
6.11 OVERVIEW of quantitative analy Ses and migration analyses ..... 153
6.11.1 C onclusions from migration studies ..... 155
7 RISK ASSESSMENT ..... 157
7.1 Selection of dose factors (NOAELsand LOAELs) ..... 157
7.2 USE OF ASSESSMENT FACTORS ..... 157
7.3 Exposure scenarios - Method ..... 158
7.3.1 R oute of exposure ..... 158
7.3.2 Previous relevant product surveys ..... 159
7.3.3 Exposure scenarios ..... 160
7.3.4 M ethods for the calculation of exposure ..... 167
7.4 CALCULATION OF RISK - METHOD ..... 169
7.4.1 C ombination effects ..... 169
7.5 Significant sources of exposure ..... 170
7.5.1 Indoor climate ..... 170
7.5.2 0 ther sources of exposure ..... 175
7.6 Calculation of exposure ..... 178
7.6.1 Exposure calculations for the selected substances via the indoor climate ..... 179
7.7 RISK ASSESSMENT OF THE INDIVIDUAL SUBSTANCES ..... 185
7.7.1 DIBP, di-isobutyl phthalate, 84-69-5 ..... 186
7.7.2 DBP, dibutyl phthalate, 84-74-2 ..... 192
7.7.3 B BP, benzyl butyl phthalate, 85-68-7 ..... 200
7.7.4 DEH P, diethylhexyl phthalate, 117-81-7 ..... 204
7.7.5 DINP, di-isononyl phthalate, 28553-12-0 ..... 216
7.7.6 Prochloraz, 67747-09-5 ..... 224
7.7.7 T ebuconazole, 107534-96-3 ..... 226
7.7.8 Linuron, 330-55-2 ..... 227
7.7.9 Vinclozolin ..... 229
7.7.10 Procymidone ..... 230
7.7.11 Dioxins and dioxin-like PC Bs ..... 231
7.7.12 N on-dioxin-like PC B s ..... 233
7.7.13 DDT ..... 233
7.7.14 Propyl-, butyl-, and isobutylparaben ..... 235
7.7.15 B isphenol A (R43) ..... 248
7.8 CUMULATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENDOCRINE-LIKE251
7.8.1 Risk assessment, overview summary ..... 251
7.8.2 R isk assessment, total for antiandrogenic substances ..... 255
7.8.3 R isk assessment, total for oestrogenic substances ..... 255
7.8.4 R isk assessment totalled for oestrogenic and antiandrogenic substances ..... 256
7.8.5 Discussion and conclusion ..... 257
8 REFERENCES: ..... 261

## Appendices

Appendix A: Chemical substances in sunscreens
A ppendix $B$ : Chemical substances in moisturising creams

## Foreword

The project on investigation of the exposure of 2 year-olds to chemical substances through contact with consumer products was carried out from July 2008 to September 2009.

T he present report describes the results of this project, including a survey of the products as well as chemical analyses and risk assessments of a number of selected products that 2 year-old children come into contact with throughout the course of a day.

A total of 12 product groups were included in the survey phase. Selected products from 10 of these product groups were subsequently included in a screening phase and several problematic substances were subjected to quantitative analysis.

Risk assessment was also performed for a number of problematic substances.
The results of this report will be followed up by an information campaign primarily targeting parents and grandparents of 2 year-old children. This information campaign will be launched during calendar week 43, 2009.

This project has been carried out through a cooperation between the $D$ anish C onsumer Council, O perate, FORCE T echnology, DHI and the D anish T echnological Institute.

Project management was undertaken by K asper W estphal Pedersen, area director and communications advisor at Operate, and K athe T ønning M .Sc. (Arch.) of the D anish T echnological Institute.

A nalyses were performed by Eva Jacobsen, L aboratory M anager and Eva Pedersen, C onsultant, from the D anish T echnological Institute; and M arianne Strange B.Sc. Ph.D from FORCE T echnology. Risk assessments were performed by Pia Brunn Poulsen M Sc (Polytechnical) from FORCE, L ise M øller M Sc (Biology), and H elle Buchardt Boyd M Sc (F ood sciences) from DHI.

O perate's communications advisor M ichael M inter participated as executive supervisor for the information campaign. Environmental policy representative C laus Jørgensen from the D anish C onsumer C ouncil participated.

The project was followed up by an advisory group consisting of Shima D obel, D anish Environmental Protection A gency
T ina W issendorff, D anish Environmental Protection A gency
K athe T ønning, D anish T echnological Institute
The project is funded by the D anish Environmental Protection A gency.

## Summary and conclusions

Since 2001 an extensive series of projects have been carried out to evaluate the risks inherent in use of various product groups. These projects focused primarily on peoples' exposure to chemical substance from individual products. T he primary objective in the current project has been to look at the total exposure of the 2 year-old child to chemical substances over the course of one day.

T wo-year-olds are exposed to many chemical substances in daily life. Furthermore, they are particularly susceptible due to their physical size (large surface area/small volume). T he primary focus will be on consumer products, but because the 2 year-old's exposure to chemical substances involves not only food products but also food contact materials and articles, focus has also been placed on these sources. Exposure from indoor air and dust has also been evaluated based on existing measurements.

The project will result in an information campaign intended to disseminate the report's conclusions and provide active advice. The primary target group for the campaign is parents and grandparents who are in daily contact with 2 year-olds, but the project is also expected to have a knock-on effect on $D$ anes in general, raising awareness of their chemical exposure in daily life and generating an understanding that it is possible to reduce unnecessary exposure to chemicals.

Several substances were selected and focussed on in the risk assessment. T hey were selected for their known endocrine disrupting effects in animal studies, and an anticipated exposure of 2 year-old children to these substances through food products, indoor air and dust, or consumer products. T he following substances were selected:

Antiandrogens (androgen antagonists):

- DEHP (di-ethyl-hexyl-phthalate) (117-81-7)
- DIN P (di-iso-nonyl-phthalate) (68515-48-0)
- DBP (di-butyl-phthalate) (84-74-2)
- DIBP (di-iso-butyl-phthalate) (84-69-5)
- BBP (butyl-benzyl-phthalate) (85-68-7)
- Prochloraz (67747-09-5)
- Tebuconazole(107534-96-3)
- Linuron (330-55-2)
- Vinclozolin (50471-44-8)
- Procymidone (32809-16-8)
- PCBs (poly-chlorinated-biphenyls)
- Dioxins
- DDT s/D D D s (dichloro-diphenyl-trichlorethane/dichloro-diphenyldichloroethene).

O estrogen-like:

- Propylparaben (94-13-3)
- Butylparaben (94-26-8)
- Isobutylparaben (4247-02-3)
- Bisphenol A (80-05-7).

Initially the following substances were investigated in addition to the abovementioned priority substances; DEP (diethyl phthalate), propiconazole, perfluorinated and polyfluorinated compounds, organotin compounds and the UV filters, 3-benzylidene camphor and 2-ethylhexyl-4-methoxycinnamate were all excluded during the selection phase. For DEP and propiconazole no animal studies revealed sufficient evidence for endocrine disrupting effects. And for perfluorated and polyfluorated compounds insufficient data on migration of these substances (these analyses could not be performed) led to exclusion. Furthermore organotin compounds were excluded, as they were not identified in the migration analyses of the selected products. The two UV filters were excluded, as they were only used in two sunscreen lotions for children purchased in the autumn of 2008. T he two manufacturers involved in the manufacturing of these sunscreen products have informed that the UV filters will not be a component of the products for sale in 2009.

In addition to performing quantitative risk assessments for the above potential endocrine disruptors, the aim was to achieve a more detailed profile of children's total exposure to substances posing a potential health hazard. T herefore, a review of available literature on substances with potential endocrine disrupting and allergenic effects was carried out, and a series of consumer products was screened for content of organic substances. T he substances identified in the screening were subsequently reviewed for any endocrine disrupting and allergenic effects and for classification of other health hazards. A preliminary rough exposure assessment (Tier 1) was carried out for all substances. T he screening was also used to select substances for quantitative analysis of content and migration, which was subsequently used in a more detailed exposure assessment.

T he screening included the following 12 product groups:

1. O utdoor clothes in the form of impregnated textiles (jackets), i.e. jackets marked as waterproof or water-resistant (PVC-rainwear was also a selection criterion but was not found).
2. Mittens of the same material as all-in-one suits.
3. Footwear in the form of rubber clogs.
4. Footwear in the form of unlined rubber boots.
5. Pacifiers, primarily pacifiers in which the plastic coverage is polycarbonate.
6. Bath soap packaging formed as various figures/animals, but also other containers manufactured from PVC for children's soap.
7. Non-slip figures and non-slip mats for bathtubs.
8. Soft toys with fragrance to be warmed in a microwave oven.
9. Diapers.
10. Sunscreen Iotion.
11. M oisturising cream/lotion/oil-based cream.
12. Bed linen (junior bed linen).

Sunscreen lotions and moisturising creams/lotion/oil-based creams have been mapped, and ingredients have been registered based on packaging and information from the manufacturer/importer/retailer.

The route of exposure relevant for the individual product will depend on the product type and the chemical substance in question. A ssessment of exposure is based on ingestion, skin exposure and inhalation of volatile substances from the product. For example, the 2 year-old may be affected via inhalation of substances from bed linen and clothing, and from substances that evaporate from soft toys, etc. Skin exposure (dermal exposure) must, on the other hand, be considered relevant, as children have direct skin contact with all these products. Ingestion, resulting from a 2 year-old sucking a product, is also pertinent for all product groups with the exception of footwears and diapers. The framework for the exposure period and other data for use in the exposure scenarios are presented below.

## Summary of analysis results

O utdoor clothes (jackets and mittens), footwear (rubber clogs and rubber boots), pacifiers, bath soap packaging, non-slip figures and mats, soft toys, diapers and bed linen were analysed.

Below follows a summary of the analysis results, including the results for potential endocrine disruptors. A quantitative risk assessment for the selected substances is presented in C hapter 7.

## Endocrine disruptors

## Phthalates

T he content of phthalates has been quantified in a series of products and several concentrations have been detected that indicate that the phthalates have been added as a softener. Examination of exposure scenarios with sweat and saliva simulators, however, demonstrated that only a small amount of the phthalates DIBP, D BP, DEHP and DEP migrate out of the products, and that the highest molecular weight phthalates, DIN P and DN OP, do not migrate under the applied conditions.

Phthalates are found in the following product types (the figure in parentheses indicates the number of products with detected phthalate content)

- Jackets - Outer material (1-DIBP) and in reflectors (1-DEHP) and in the zip strap (1-DBP, DEHP)
- M ittens - Outer material (1-DEHP) and label with product name on the back of the hands (2-DEHP, DINP)
- Rubber clogs (3-DIBP, D BP, DEHP)
- Rubber boots (1-1-Butyl-2-isobutyl phthalate)
- Soap packaging (5-DEH P, DIN P, DN OP, DEP) - All products manufactured from PVC
- Bath mats (3-DEHP, DIN P) - Highest content in products made from PVC
- Soft toys (2-DBP, 1-Butyl-2-isobutyl phthalate).

In five out of five soap packagings, the content of DEHP, DINP and/or D N O P exceeded the permitted limit of 0.1\% stipulated in the Statutory Order on the ban on phthalates in toys and childcare articles. The D anish Safety T echnology Authority subsequently determined that these products can be considered toys. Sale of these products has therefore been stopped.

L ow concentrations of phthalates were also detected in the coverage of all inspected pacifiers (5 products - DEH P, DIN P), but the migration analyses showed no migration from the materials to the saliva and sweat simulators
under the applied conditions. T he coverage from one product has a DIN P content slightly over the threshold value of $0.1 \%$ as indicated in the statutory order on the ban on phthalates in toys and childcare articles.

Bisphenol A
Bisphenol A has been detected in the coverage of pacifiers made of polycarbonate, but the analyses revealed no migration from the materials to the saliva and sweat simulators.

## Allergens

## F ormaldehyde

Formaldehyde was detected in jackets (5 products), mittens ( 5 products), diapers ( 3 products, low content at the detection threshold) and bed linen ( 3 products, both before and after washing). T he highest content was detected in bed linen. T en-hour sweat migration tests of a set of bed linen showed higher content than the quantitative analyses, which involved water extraction for 1 hour.

I socyanates
$V$ arious isocyanates were found in all jackets ( 5 products) and mittens ( 5 products) investigated. Studies of select products for M DI and 2,4-T DI with saliva simulators revealed that only a minor amount of isocyanates migrate.

## F ragrances

T wo soft toys designed to be warmed in the microwave contained numerous fragrances. T hese soft toys were examined before and after warming. Higher concentrations and more fragrances were detected after than before warming.

## Other results

A nalysis of jackets, mittens and bed linen revealed a large number of organic compounds. Studies of triphenylphosphate, diglycidylbisphenol and otoluidine in exposure scenarios with saliva simulators demonstrated that these migrate.

There are no indicators that jackets and mittens have been impregnated with flame retardants.

## W ashing textiles

Bed linen were analysed before and after washing. T he results show that many of the organic substances cannot be detected after washing the products. Several substances can, however, still be found in low concentrations after 1 wash. T he remaining textiles (jackets and mittens) were not examined before and after washing, but it is assumed that the same would apply.

## Summary of risk assessment

The project's risk assessments focused on the 2 year-old child's total exposure to selected endocrine disruptors in consumer products, foods, indoor air and dust. Exposure calculations are based on the present project; the analysis results from previous survey projects; and on estimates of exposure from cosmetic products, indoor air, dust and food.

The risk to which a 2 year-old is exposed was calculated for both the summer and winter periods. In these calculations the summer scenario included:

- C ontact with sunscreen lotion
- C ontact with rubber clogs
- D ermal contact with toys for 9 hours in the summer
- Ingestion of 50 mg dust.

The winter scenario included:

- Dermal contact with toys for 6 hours in the winter
- C ontact with jackets/mittens for 3 hours
- Ingestion of 100 mg dust.

Common to both scenarios were:

- Ingestion of foods
- C ontact with objects other than toys, i.e. moisturising cream, bath articles and other textiles other than winter clothing (jackets/mittens).

The results show that regardless of whether calculations are based on the summer scenario or the winter scenario, the RCR values (Risk C haracterisation Ratio $=$ Exposure/D NEL $=$ Exposure/(N OAEL/AF)) are greater than 1 for the substances DBP and dioxins and dioxin-like PC Bs. T his means that at each exposure to each of these substances there will be a risk for endocrine disrupting effects, and there will also be a risk for these affects based on the other assumptions in this report. For D BP and dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs, the highest amounts are contributed by foods, indoor air and dust.

For propylparabens, the RCR is above 1 for the summer scenario, while RCR is 0.83 for the winter scenario. For the summer scenario RCR is high ( 0.7 ) for butylparaben but nevertheless under 1. T he parabens originate from use of lotions, including sunscreens, and is the reason that their contribution is greatest in the summer scenario.

T he concentrations used in the risk assessment of the parabenes are based on a small survey of the concentration used in products on the D anish M arket. If the highest allowed in the cosmetics directived were used, RCR would be far above 1 .

By grouping the substances into anti-androgenic, oestrogen-like substances, and substances that may have both effects, the cumulative RCR is calculated and stated in T able 0.1.

Table 0.1Cumulative RCR for oestrogenic and anti-androgenic substances

| Substance category | Summer scenario excluding rubber clogs and excluding the lowest contribution from phthalates from toys (i.e. minimum values) |  | Winter scenario excluding the lowest contribution from phthalates from toys (i.e. minimum values) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RCR } \\ & (50 \%) \end{aligned}$ | RCR (95\% and max) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RCR } \\ & (50 \%) \end{aligned}$ | RCR (95\% and max) |
| Antiandrogens | 3.73 | 9.19 | 3.89 | 9.96 |
| Oestrogen-like | 3.74 | 3.76 | 104 | 106 |
| Antiandrogenic plus oestrogen-like | 7.47 | 12.95 | 4.93 | 1102 |

The results show that cumulative RCR for the anti-androgenic substances and the oestrogen-like substances is above 1 for both the summer and winter scenarios. D BP and dioxins and dioxin-like PC Bs contribute most to the RCR for anti-androgenic effect. T hese contributions originate from their presence in foods, indoor air and dust. Propyl and butylparaben contribute most to the RCR for oestrogen-like effect. T hese contributions originate from their presence in sunscreen lotions and oil-based creams.

T he present investigation, however, is based on random samples of individual consumer products and product groups. T here may therefore be other chemical substances with suspected endocrine disrupting effects and other products on the market that add to this risk. In addition to the exposure contribution covered by these calculations, there may be other contributing factors that could increase the overall risk; for example, any presence of the prioritised substances in medicine and medical devices has not been included. In addition to this, there could be substances that the child already has in their body from earlier exposures, such as those passed from mother to child during the foetal period and nursing.

In addition, there may be a greater contribution from some of the consumer products, as some values (such as for toys) may be underestimated because it has been necessary to estimate the weight of the products in the calculations. In addition, the actual number of products used by the 2 year-old may further contribute to the calculated risk; for example, it should be expected that pacifiers are changed more often than mittens and jackets.

It should also be noted that the project's calculations also include many parameters that are based on estimates. T his is due to the fact that there is no clear documentation for the areas concerned. Such types of estimates can produce distorted results and may mean that overall exposure is estimated at a higher level than is actually the case.

For propyl- and butylparaben in particular, which are included in the cumulative risk assessments, the selected LOAEL -based effects have been found in only a few studies conducted by a Japanese group ( 0 ishi et al 2001, and Oishi et al 2002 in SCCP opinion; SCCP (2005)). In the SCCP opinion from 2005, doubt is raised concerning the validity of these results, and SCCP has asked the industry to provide results from developmental toxicity studies that can determine whether propyl, butyl and isobutylparaben have endocrine disrupting effects in animals. SCCP is, however, still awaiting the information from the industry which could decide whether the parabens induce endocrine disrupting effects or not. In addition, skin absorption for parabens is estimated at $10 \%$. T here is currently no documentation for skin absorption, metabolism and excretion of parabens. The EU 's Scientific C ommittee for consumer products has stated that the documentation will be available shortly, after which a more exact risk assessment of parabens can be performed. T he estimate at $10 \%$ is based on worst-case scenarios and may produce distorted results, as results in cumulative exposure are being estimated at a higher level than actually occurs.

Based on the assumptions made in the report, it can be concluded that:

- A few exposures to a high content of an endocrine disruptor, such as that of D BP in rubber clogs may result in a critical risk for the 2 yearold.
- The amounts that 2 year-olds absorb, in particular from the phthalate DBP (mostly from foods) and dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs (mostly from foods, and partly from indoor air and dust), constitute a risk for anti-androgen disruptions to the endocrine system.
- The amounts that 2 year-olds absorb from the parabens propylparaben and butylparaben, in particular, can constitute a risk for oestrogen-like disruptions of the endocrine system. This contribution originates predominantly from cosmetic products such as oil-based creams/moisturising creams/lotions and sunscreen.

In summary, it can be concluded that not only is there a need to reduce exposure to anti-androgens and oestrogen-like substances from food products, indoor air and dust, but also to reduce exposure to the studied product groups, as these contribute to both indoor air and dust and to direct exposure, based on the assumptions made in this report. A reduction of the potential cumulative risk requires knowledge of the sources of the contents of food products, indoor air and dust. H owever, there is also a need to reduce possible contributions from other sources, such as propyl-, butyl- and isobutyl paraben in cosmetics, and phthalates in footwear (such as light-weight sandals and rubber boots).

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Project background

T he continuing increase in asthma and allergy among children and the suspicion that chemical substances may result in serious symptoms such as reduced reproductive ability, premature puberty, and reduced learning ability, have resulted in a desire to reduce childhood exposure to chemical substance in D enmark.

In a series of previous projects, the $D$ anish Environmental Protection A gency evaluated the risk associated with individual consumer products. In most cases products do not contain problematic substances in quantities sufficient to constitute a risk in general or isolated use.

T hese projects did not focus on the cumulative effect of a single substance from the many different sources to which one was exposed during a day. $N$ either has the degree to which certain substances may have harmful effects when in combination with other substances been examined.

2 year-olds are exposed to a huge number of products in their daily life and are thereby exposed to many chemical substances. T hey are also particularly susceptible because of their physical size (large surface area/small volume). T he primary focus is on consumer products, but because the 2 year-old's exposure to chemical substances is comprised in part of food products and the materials that are in contact with these food products, certain food products and materials and objects that come into contact with these food products have also been examined. Exposure from the indoor climate has also been evaluated based on existing measurements of substances in indoor air and dust.

T he project will result in an information campaign intended to disseminate the report's conclusions and provide active advice. T he primary target group for the campaign is parents and grandparents who are in daily contact with 2 year-olds, but the project is also expected to have a knock-on effect on D anes in general, raising awareness of their chemical exposure in daily life and generating an understanding that it is possible to reduce unnecessary exposure to chemicals.

## Background on allergens and endocrine disruptors

The project initially focuses on substances that are allergen and/or endocrine disruptive and with which the 2 year-old is in contact in their daily life. F or this reason the analyses have focused on both areas, but risk assessment has not been performed for the allergens. In the course of the project, it was decided to focus on the endocrine disruptor in order to limit the scope of the assignment.

## Allergy

A pproximately one in five adults in D enmark has contact allergies and at least as many have an allergic respiratory illness. ${ }^{1}$.

The frequency of allergy is increasing. M ore than 200,000 D anes have experienced allergic contact eczema at some time within the last year. T his condition develops through dermal contact with chemical substances in the immediate environment, typically from cosmetic products containing perfumes and preservatives as well as cleaning products and certain types of toys ${ }^{1}$.

C ontact allergy can be prevented if the sufferer is aware of which substances cause the allergic reaction, as the condition only occurs when exposed to sufficient concentrations of chemical allergens in the environment ${ }^{2}$.

## Endocrine disruptors

Endocrine disruptors are, according to the EU's definition from the W eybridge workshop in 1996, an "exogenous substance that causes harmful effects in an organism or its offspring as a result of changes in the function of the endocrine system."

Endocrine disruptors may affect hormone balance in many different ways. T hey can bind to one of the body's many hormone receptors, where they can have either an agonistic or antagonistic effect. T hey can alter the number of hormone receptors and influence cofactors involved in the activation of various receptors. In addition, these substances can alter the synthesis of hormones, change the binding of hormones to proteins and alter the breakdown of hormones (Pharma, 2008).

This project focused on anti-androgenic substances and oestrogen-like substances. Anti-androgenic substances are substances that can counteract the production or effects of male sex hormones (androgens), including testosterone. In animal studies, the presence of anti-androgenic substances during the foetal stage may result in nipple retention, reduced anogenital distance, increased occurrence of deformed genitals, incomplete descent of testicles in male offspring, and reduced sperm quality in adult animals. In humans, these substances are thought to play a role in the occurrence of, reduced sperm quality, increased occurrence of congenital deformities in the male sex organs, and increased occurrence of incomplete descent of testicles in young boys. O estrogen-like substances are substances that can affect the organism in the same way as the female sex hormone, oestrogen. A nimal studies have shown that oestrogen-like substances can lead to early development of mammary tissue, early onset of puberty and reduced sperm quality. In humans, these substances are thought to play a role in the development of early onset of puberty and breast cancer.

Substances that have been shown to have endocrine disrupting effects in animal studies are typically classified because they have produced serious effects such as cancer, or reproductive damage, in animal studies. In the EU, a candidate list of potential endocrine disruptors is being drawn up that will be

[^0]prioritised for further studies for their endocrine disrupting effects. As background for this work, lists of endocrine disruptors are being collected from various organisations and countries. These lists have been compared and have resulted in the establishment of a collective EU list of 553 candidate substances for further study of their endocrine disrupting effects. In order to prioritise this effort, the substances have been categorised according to criteria that have resulted in one group of substances for which there is documentation of endocrine disrupting activity in at least one study on a living organism (category 1); one group of substances without sufficient evidence of endocrine disrupting effects, but where there is documentation indicating biological activity related to endocrine disruption (category 2); and substances for which there are no indications of endocrine disrupting properties, or which cannot be evaluated because of insufficient data (categories 3a +3 b ).

T his prioritisation has been carried out in several stages, and all 553 substances as well as a further 33 substances added in the last stage, have now been through the prioritisation process. Subsequently, it is intended to transfer these lists to a dynamic working list, to which substances can be added or deleted as increasing documentation on the endocrine disrupting effects of these substances becomes available.

C ategory 1 includes 194 substances. T his does not necessarily mean that there is final proof that the substance is an endocrine disruptor, but there is more or less comprehensive documentation for endocrine disrupting effects in living animals and therefore the substance should be prioritised for closer study of endocrine disrupting properties. M any of the substances in category 1 are already prohibited or partly restricted (this applies to many biocides and pesticides). Some of the substances are subject to an approval process where a risk assessment is performed of the substance's use in a specific context (such as biocides, medicinal products, etc.). T his also applies to the positive lists of cosmetic ingredients, where the Scientific C ommittee has evaluated the risk at use. A number of substances have also been subjected to closer study in compliance with applicable EU legislation.
A detailed description of EU prioritisation work can be found on the EU website, where one can also access the database containing all the substances. http://ec.europa.eu/environment/endocrine/strategy/short_en.htm.

The majority of the chemical substances that surround us have however not been tested for endocrine disrupting effects. We therefore do not know with any certainty how many endocrine disruptors we are exposed to in daily life.

Endocrine disruptors are thought to be the reason for $a^{3}$ :

- Sperm quality below the level set as normal by WHO in one in five D anish men between the ages of 18 and 20 .
- L arge increase in testicular cancer over the last 60 years in D enmark, and a higher incidence than any other country in Europe. Almost 1\% of $D$ anish men are at risk of developing testicular cancer.
- $9 \%$ incidence of cryptorchidism (testicles not fully descended into the scrotum) in D anish boys. T his is significantly higher than in the 1960s. C ryptorchidism is associated with an increased risk of low sperm quality and testicular cancer.

[^1]- Decrease in the testosterone levels in the blood of $D$ anish men. $M$ en born after the 1930s-1940s have lower testosterone levels than their fathers and grandfathers had at the same age. A $30-40$ year-old man today has the same level as a 70 year-old did at that time.

There is, however, no conclusive proof that the above symptoms can be attributed to endocrine disruptors in our environment. There may be many other causes, such as lifestyle, including changes in diet, smoking habits and alcohol intake.

## Combination effects

Combination effects, also known as cocktail effects, can be defined as effects on a biological system or an organism after exposure to multiple substances at the same time. T hese substances may originate from the same source or from multiple sources. Combination effects of endocrine disruptors are thought to be a contributing factor in the abovementioned symptoms.
$N$ ew research projects are providing greater knowledge of these combination effects, such as:

- " $0+0+0+0$ gives 7 " ${ }^{4}$, "Seriously deformed sex organs are the consequence when rats are exposed to multiple chemicals in concentrations that do not produce effects when rats are exposed to them individually," where, among other things, the phthalate DEHP alone and at a relatively low dose produces no effect but then suddenly produces clear effects when combined with three other substances in concentrations that do not produce effects independently.
- "Simultaneous exposure to multiple endocrine disruptors in experimental studies - a dangerous cocktail?"5, in which results from the EU EDEN project are discussed. The results demonstrate that concurrent exposure to 3 potential endocrine disruptors with the same mechanism of action resulted in clear combination effects at doses of individual substances around or below NOAEL (No Observed Adverse Effect Level), and that the combination effects could be predicted on the basis of the individual substances' effects when using dose-addition. T hese results are presented in the article "C ombined Exposure to Anti-A ndrogens Exacerbates Disruption of Sexual D ifferentiation in the R at ${ }^{\text {t/ }}$.
- "N ew studies on pregnant rats reveal that the foetus is only sensitive to endocrine disruptors during a very early stage of pregnancy ${ }^{17}$, in which it is recommended that "W omen should abstain from cosmetics, lotions and food products that contain endocrine disrupters such as phthalates and pesticides - both before and during pregnancy." At a meeting held at the Rigshospitalet/C openhagen University H ospital on 23 M ay 2008 on endocrine disruptors, it was advanced by several researchers that new studies indicate that there is probably a "programming window" of a few days early on in pregnancy during which the foetus' exposure to chemicals has a significant effect on sexual development and, especially for boys, a later risk of reproductive problems and development of cancer.

[^2]"W e have a good basis from which to say that there is a connection between exposure to phthalates and conditions such as asthma and allergy. T his has been shown in studies from Sweden and Bulgaria, and similar studies are underway here in D enmark. T his connection has led researchers to wonder whether there might also be a connection with other conditions such as diabetes, obesity and autism, which like asthma and allergy have increased massively over recent years," says Professor Bjarne OIsen, DT U. Researchers can see a connection between effect of phthalates and respiratory symptoms, asthma and other allergic symptoms, but are not sure of the underlying biological mechanism. Instead of only looking at phthalates, one should perhaps include similar substances, such as bisphenol $A$, brominated flame retardants, pesticides, etc. There are many open questions, such as why do boys have a four times higher incidence of autism and two times higher incidence of asthma than girls? T he cause could be attributed to effects early in life from chemicals that are similar to female sex hormones, explains C arlGustaf Bornehag (DTU, 2008).

The focus of the project is the 2 year-old's total exposure to chemical substances in consumer products with which the child is in contact in daily life. In the following, emphasis has therefore been placed on prioritising the potential endocrine disruptors and allergen substances that occur in products that 2 year-olds are in daily contact with, and which also constitute a significant level of exposure. However, no risk assessments have been performed for the allergen substances. In the course of the project, it was decided to focus on potential endocrine disruptors in order to limit the scope of the assignment.

2 year-olds are exposed, like other family members, directly and indirectly, to many products and materials that release chemicals into the indoor climate (both evaporation into indoor air and deposition on dust). T hese sources include:

- H ousehold fittings/furnishings (carpets, furniture, flooring, electrical devices, etc.)
- Building materials (children suck on/eat paint flecks, previously the most significant exposure to lead in poor residential areas).
- T ravel time in cars and other means of transport.
- Leisure/holiday time (public swimming pools, etc.).

T hese other sources of exposure are included in the risk assessment to the extent that data was available for the selected substances.

In addition, 2 year-olds, like the rest of the population, are affected by other factors, such as air pollution (from traffic, wood burning stoves, etc.) that are not covered by the project.

### 1.2 Project purpose

The focus of the project is the 2 year-old's total exposure to chemical substances in consumer products with which the child is in contact in daily life.

Since 2001, numerous projects have been carried out to assess the risk associated with use of various product groups. T hese projects have primarily
looked at peoples' exposure to chemical substances from individual products, but the primary aim of the present project is to examine the 2 year-old's total exposure to chemical substances during 24 hours.

The purpose of the project is to:

1. Generate knowledge on:

- the chemical substances with which a 2 year-old comes into contact
- the concentrations of chemical substances to which a 2 yearold is exposed and
- combination effects at simultaneous exposure to multiple substances
- whether the substances found and the concentrations of these substances are potentially harmful to children.

2. Develop an information campaign with action-oriented advice, including, for example:

- products/product types that should be avoided and why
- products/product types that should be treated with particular caution at use and why
- how consumers should deal with a possible identified risk
- awareness of certain substance groups
- good stories on unproblematic products and product groups
- how consumers can think across product groups and minimise children's exposure to chemical substances.


### 1.3 The project's target group

The project's target group includes:

1. Individuals who have frequent contact with 2 year-olds, i.e. parents and grandparents. T he information campaign will disseminate information on potential health risks at use of the products and advice on how to minimise risks and drawbacks. Such information can also be used by the staff of institutions.
2. Consumer organisations will be informed of the factual component of the project and the messages of the information campaign, and will have opportunity to publish scientifically-based information and guidance concerning the products.
3. Authorities will gain an overview of certain product types that children can come into contact with, the ingredients used, and their potential health risks. T he results of the project can also be used for the future regulation of substances in the EU.
4. $M$ anufacturers, distributors and retailers will have an increased incentive to take measures to reduce children's exposure to potential endocrine disruptors.

The primary target group is parents and grandparents of 2 year-olds, who will be the primary recipients of the information campaign.

The campaign works with information aimed directly at the primary target group and has also established a cooperation with organisations, companies, retailers and authorities that can serve as channels for the project's messages.

Institution personnel in nurseries and day-care providers constitute a secondary target group. A part from encountering the campaign in the media and on the internet (netdoktor.dk), we are also intending to establish cooperation with trade publications that are read by institutional staff. Finally, working with municipal bodies we will disseminate the campaign to institution personnel.

### 1.4 Report structure

The report's introduction gives the background for the project as well as the project's objective and target group.

C hapter 3 - "Selected substances and products" explains the inclusion and exclusion of substances and products in the project. In addition, a 2 year-old's possible exposure to other potential endocrine disrupters, allergens and substances with other harmful health effects. T his is done through a literature review, screening analyses of consumer products, and use of (Q)SAR models.

C hapter 4 - "L egislation" describes the legislation that refers to the product groups which are studied in the present project as part of the surveying process (C hapter 5 of the report). T his involves the statutory order on toys; the statutory order on use of phthalates in toys; the statutory order on cosmetics; regulation of other substances, such as nickel, brominated flame retardants, T RIS, T EPA, PBB, PFOS, arsenic and mercury, regulation of nitroamines, and general rules on limitations on use for certain substance (transferred as of 1 June 2009 to the REACH regulations).

C hapter 5 - "Survey" maps out the 12 selected product groups. T his entails outdoor clothes in the form of impregnated textile outer clothing (jackets), mittens of the same material as snowsuits, footwear in the form of rubber clogs, footwear in the form of unlined rubber boots, pacifiers, bath soap containers, non-slip figures and bath mats, soft toys, diapers, sunscreen, moisturising cream/oil-based cream/lotion and bed linen.

C hapter 6 - "C hemical analyses" - describes the analysis programme, exposure scenarios, results of the screening analyses, quantitative analyses and migration analyses. T he results are divided into product groups.

C hapter 7 - "R isk assessments" - first presents the methodological considerations for setting up the exposure scenarios, including route of exposure, exposure scenarios (exposure times, etc.). It then discusses the methodical conditions concerning calculation of risk, the most important exposure sources and calculations of exposure via dust and air in the indoor environment. Subsequently, risk assessments of the selected substances are presented. Finally, a cumulative risk assessment of endocrine-like substances is presented.

## 2 Definitions

### 2.12 -year-olds

2 year-olds means children from the day they turn 2 until they reach the age of 3 .

### 2.2 Toys for 2 year-olds

T oys for 2 year-olds are defined as toys which a 2 year-old may like to play with. In other words, toys intended both for very young children and toys for children over three years of age, as younger siblings often play with the toys of their older siblings. Furthermore, some parents - perhaps grandparents in particular - have a tendency to purchase toys for children that are intended for an age group higher than the actual age of the child. It is characteristic that children of about 2 years old, play with almost anything they can get their hands on.

### 2.3 Rel evant substances

T hroughout the project and report, the phrase "relevant substances" is used. T his refers to chemical ingredients that are pertinent to the project's focus area, i.e. that they are potential endocrine disruptors or allergen.

### 2.4 Rel evant products

T hroughout the project and report, the phrase "relevant products" is used. T his refers to consumer products that are considered pertinent for a 2 yearold, i.e. products that a 2 year-old may come into contact with during one day.

The project is limited to focusing on consumer products that are subject to the M inistry of the Environment's area of responsibility; in other words, food products or materials that come into contact with food products, such as tableware and baby bottles, are not analysed in this project. H owever, the project does include some of the already existing information on relevant chemical substances in food products. No distinction is made between substances in food products originating from environmental pollution and substances originating from packaging, processing equipment, etc. No new analyses have been performed on this area in the project.

### 2.5 Endocrine disrupters

Endocrine disrupters are, according to the EU 's definition from the W eybridge workshop in 1996, an "exogenous substance that causes harmful effects in an organism or its offspring as a result of changes in the function of the endocrine system."

Endocrine disrupters may affect hormone balance in many different ways. T hey can bind to one of the body's many hormone receptors, where they can have either an agonistic or antagonistic effect. T hey can alter the number of hormone receptors and influence cofactors involved in the activation of various receptors. In addition, these substances can alter the synthesis of hormones, change the binding of hormones to proteins and alter the breakdown of hormones (Pharma, 2008).

### 2.5.1 Oestrogenic substances or oestrogen-like substances

O estrogen-like substances are substances that can affect the organism in the same way as the female sex hormone oestrogen. In animal studies, effects of oestrogen-like substances may lead to early development of mammary tissue, early onset of puberty and reduced sperm quality. In humans, these substances are thought to play a role in the development of early onset of puberty and breast cancer.

### 2.5.2 Anti-androgenic substances

Anti-androgenic substances are substances that can counteract production from or effects of male sex hormones (androgens), including testosterone. In animal studies, the presence of anti-androgenic substances during the foetal stage may result in nipple retention, reduced anogenital distance, increased occurrence of deformed genitals, incomplete descent of testicles in male offspring, and reduced sperm quality in adult animals. In humans, these substances are thought to play a role in the incidence of reduced sperm quality, increased incidence of congenital deformities in the male sex organs, and increased incidence of incomplete descent of testicles in young boys.

### 2.6 Combination effects

Combination effects, also known as cocktail effects, can be defined as effects on a biological system or an organism after exposure to multiple substances at the same time. T hese substances may originate from the same source or from multiple sources.

### 2.7 Allergens

Allergens are substances classified as R 42, may cause sensitisation by inhalation, and/or R43, may cause sensitisation by skin contact, on the List of hazardous substances or on the D anish Environmental Protection A gency's list of guidelines for self-classification. It is also well-known that preservatives, perfumes and colouring agents are used in cosmetic products and these can in certain cases provoke contact allergies. For perfume substances in cosmetic products, there is a requirement for 26 listed substances to be declared on the list of ingredients on the product. T his is because their allergen properties have been documented, and this can be a tool for consumers who are aware that they are hypersensitive to one or more of these substances. T here is a large difference in the allergen potential of these 26 substances, and other perfume agents.

## 3 Selected substances and products

This chapter explains the inclusion and exclusion of the substances and products which will be the focus of the rest of the project. In addition, a 2 year-old's possible exposure to other potential endocrine disrupters, allergens and substances with classifications for other harmful health effects. T his is performed through a literature review, screening analyses of consumer products, and use of (Q)SAR models.

### 3.1 Quantitativerisk assessment of potential endocrine disruptors

F ocus for the quantitative risk assessment in this project is the 2 year-old's total exposure to substances with potential endocrine disruptive properties, including anti-androgenic substances and oestrogen-like substances. Cumulative risk assessment of substances with endocrine disruptive properties is, according to the D anish Environmental Protection A gency, both possible and necessary (K ortenkamp, 2009). T he report also points out that the dose addition method can be used to calculate cumulative effects. T his method is used in this project and described in greater detail in C hapter7. In order to utilise this method, it is necessary to know the substances' N OAEL (N o Observed Adverse Effect Level) or L OAEL (L owest Observed Adverse Effect Level) values. It is therefore a prerequisite that there are reliable animal studies on anti-androgens or oestrogen-like effects for substances included in the quantitative risk assessment. O ne of the criteria for selection of substances for risk assessment in this project has been a known endocrine disrupting effect of the substances from animal studies. A nother criterion has been anticipated exposure of the 2 year-old child to the substances through food products, indoor climate or consumer products. T he substances selected are the following:

Antiandrogens:

- DEHP (di-ethyl-hexyl-phthalate) (117-81-7)
- DINP (di-iso-nonyl-phthalate) (68515-48-0)
- DBP (di-butyl-phthalate) (84-74-2)
- DIBP (di-iso-butyl-phthalate) (84-69-5)
- BBP (butyl-benzyl-phthalate) (85-68-7)
- Prochloraz (67747-09-5)
- Tebuconazol (107534-96-3)
- Linuron (330-55-2)
- Vinclozoline (50471-44-8)
- Procymidon (32809-16-8)
- PCBs (poly-chlorinated-biphenyls)
- Dioxins
- DDT s/D DDs (dichloro-diphenyltrichloroethane/dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane).

O estrogen-like:

- Propylparaben (94-13-3)
- Butylparaben (94-26-8)
- Isobutylparaben (4247-02-3)
- Bisphenol A (80-05-7).

T hese substances were selected because they are believed to account for a significant part of the 2 year-old's exposure to potential endocrine disruptors. It has also been a condition that there is data concerning the exposure/migration of these substances from consumer products, food products and/or indoor climate.

The phthalates DEHP, DINP, DBP, DIBP and BBP occur in consumer products. They have been identified in screenings of consumer products in this project and in the D anish Environmental Protection A gency's previous surveying projects. In addition, some phthalates are used in materials and objects that come into contact with food products. T hey are also found in food products as a result of environmental pollution. T he pesticides prochloraz, tebuconazole, linuron, vinclozoline and procymidone may occur as food product contaminants. PCBs, dioxins and DDT s occur in food products as a result of environmental pollution. PCBs are also found in our indoor climate. Parabens occur in cosmetics, and finally, bisphenol A is found in products of the plastic type polycarbonate, and also exists as an environmental contaminant.

In addition to the substances prioritised above, DEP (diethylphthalate), propiconazol, perfluorinated and polyfluourinated compounds, organotin compounds and the UV filters 3-benzylidene camphor and 2-ethylhexyl-4methoxycinnamate were also studied initially, but were deselected during the surveying process. DEP and propiconazole were excluded because no animal studies revealed sufficient evidence for their endocrine disruptor effects. Perfluorated and polyfluorated compounds were identified in the analyses in this project, but were excluded due to insufficient data for migration of these substances (these analyses could not be performed). Organotin compounds were excluded because they were not identified in the migration analyses of the selected products, and the two UV filters were excluded as these UV filters were only used in two sunscreen lotions for children purchased in the autumn of 2008. Furthermore, the two manufacturers of these sunscreen lotions state that they would not use these UV filters in the products to be sold in 2009.

### 3.2 Exposureto other substances with potentiallyharmful effects

In addition to performing quantitative risk assessments for the above potential endocrine disruptors, the aim was to achieve a more detailed profile of children's total exposure to substances posing a potential health hazard. T herefore, a review of available literature on substances with potential endocrine disrupting and allergenic effects was carried out, and a series of consumer products was screened for content of organic substances. T he substances identified in the screening were subsequently reviewed for any endocrine disrupting and allergenic effects and for classification of other health hazards. A preliminary rough exposure assessment (Tier 1) was carried out for all substances. T he screening was also used to select substances for quantitative analysis of content and migration, which was subsequently used in a more detailed exposure assessment.

### 3.3 Literaturereview

The D anish Environmental Protection A gency's previous surveying projects and certain other sources have been reviewed for potential endocrine disruptors and allergens. T he results of these reviews are described in sections 0 and 3.11.

### 3.4 Selection of products for screening

Selection of products for survey and chemical analysis was made on the basis of the following criteria:

- There must be a frequent/lasting use of the product for the 2 year-old (the results from CASA 's working paper for the D anish Environmental Protection A gency are included).
- There is an assumption that there are potential endocrine disruptors in the products.
- The 2 year-old must be exposed to these substances (through ingestion, inhalation, or contact).
- There is something to report for each individual reporting arena (see below).


### 3.4.1 Reporting arenas

In the following, reference is made to a series of reporting arenas. The arenas mentioned below are those that were used as a starting point for the selection of product groups for survey. T he arenas used in the information campaign, etc. are therefore not identical with those mentioned here.

Review of previously completed investigations of consumer products divided by arena is given in T able 3.1.

Table 3.10 verview of number of products studied by the Ministry of the
Environment's survey projects and rel ated aren as of reporting

| Arena of reporting | Number of products <br> investigated distributed by <br> arenas of reporting | Number of products investigated <br> -containing relevant substances <br> only |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1: Good morning - child is <br> dressed, eats breakfast and <br> brushes teeth etc. | 22 |  |
| 2: On the way to day-care | 14 | 15 |
| 3: Day-care - inside | 82 | 13 |
| 4: Day-care - outside | 17 | 59 |
| 5: Back home - playing in the <br> child's room | 101 | 17 |
| 6: Children's TV programmes <br> in sitting room | 18 | 69 |
| 7: Evening meal in kitchen | 14 | 15 |
| 8: Bathtub | 25 | 12 |
| 9: Goodnight - bed in the <br> child's room | 25 | 21 |

${ }^{1} 12$ products fit into all arenas.
${ }^{2} 11$ products fit into all arenas.
This illustrates that it is largely only reporting arenas 3 and 5, the indoor arenas which include use of toys that are well covered, in that there were many products that were investigated.

Previous surveys were used as the starting point, with the understanding that some of the results will be out of date as a result of new legislation. T his applies to toys, for example, where 6 phthalates are now prohibited. Results that are not in compliance with applicable legislation were sorted out if possible when processing the results further.

If we are able to conclude something on all of these reporting arenas, it is therefore also important that the new product types that are mapped cover these slightly "weaker" reporting arenas:

- 2: On the way to day-care
- 4: Day-care - outside
- 6: Children's T V programmes in sitting room
- 7: Evening meal in kitchen.

The final 12 products were selected for study based on the selection criteria. M any toy products in particular were ruled out, as there has been an EU ban on 6 of the most commonly used phthalates in toys and childcare articles since 2007. It is therefore expected that children are not exposed to phthalates with endocrine disrupting effects from toys and childcare articles.

Screening analyses were performed on 10 of the 12 product groups that were investigated further. The 12 selected product groups are:

- Jackets
- Mittens
- Rubber clogs
- Rubber boots
- Pacifiers
- Soap containers
- Non-slip figures and bath mats
- Soft toys
- Diapers
- Bed linen
- Sunscreen
- M oisturising cream/oil-based cream/lotion.

No analyses were performed for the last two product groups, namely sunscreen and moisturising cream/oil-based cream/lotion. Instead a list of ingredients and permitted use of the selected substance in the products were used in exposure assessments.

### 3.5 Screeningresults

Screening analysis of the 10 product groups identified more than 175 different substances. F or 21 of these substances it was not possible, based on the screening, to perform a unique identification of the substances, i.e. the substances were not identified with a CAS number. Some of the individual substances also cover, for example, the total of aliphatic hydrocarbons or similar, and for some substances more than one possible CAS number was identified.

T able 3.2 presents the substances identified in the screening analyses in this project. T he table indicates whether the substances are classified on the L ist of hazardous substances (L ist of Harmonised Classification, which is currently
(M arch 2009) identical to the List of H azardous Substances), the D anish Environmental Protection A gency's guidelines list to self-classification of hazardous substances (D anish Environmental Protection Agency, 2001) or the EU candidate list of potential endocrine disruptors. A Tier 1 exposure calculation was then performed using the procedure described in REACH.

T he table presents the substances sorted by the worst case exposure concentration (Tier 1) to which the 2 year-old could be exposed from the products examined.

### 3.6 Tier 1 exposure assessment

A T ier 1 exposure assessment is based on the measured values and the assumption of full migration and full absorption, i.e. $100 \%$. In all cases it is assumed that all the substance in the product migrates instantaneously and is absorbed into the body (whether by sucking or through dermal contact). In other words, the T ier 1 calculations are an expression of the maximum possible exposure to which the two year-old may be subject under the given conditions. For individual products it is, however, assumed that the child sucks on or has contact with a small part of the product, such as bath mats and soap containers, for example. T his factor $f_{\text {ande }}$ is therefore included in the calculation. Allowance is also made for the fact that far more diapers than jackets are used in a year, for example. A multiplication factor $n$ is therefore
 exposure is calculated as $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight per day.

The calculations are made using the following formula:
Exposure to a substance from a product $=$

Analysis value $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}) \cdot$ weightof product $(g) \cdot f_{\text {percentage }}($ decimal fraction ml. $0-1) \cdot n($ per day $)$
body weight for a $2-y . o$. (kg)
F or highly volatile substances, such as formaldehyde, which can be inhaled, the same formula is used, as it is assumed that all the substance in the product is instantaneously evaporated and inhaled by the 2 year-old.

F or each substance, the values for all the different products are summated, because the two year-old is exposed to DEHP via jackets, mittens, rubber clogs, pacifiers, soap containers and bath mats.

The parameters and assumptions that are used in the T ier 1 calculations are stated in the report segment on analyses and reproduced here:

- The weight of a 2 year-old is as the worst case set to 10.3 kg (minimum weight for 2 year-old girls).
- Jackets: T he maximum measured value for each substance is used, and an estimate is made of how large a part of the total weight of the product, the outer material or a zipper strap, for example, would constitute. T wo jackets per year were used and it was assumed that $100 \%$ of the measured values from the jacket were absorbed:
- Mittens: T he maximum measured value for each substance is used and an estimate is made of how large a part of the total weight of the product, the outer material or a V elcro strap, for example, would
constitute. 2 pairs of mittens per year were used and it was assumed that $100 \%$ of the measured values from the mittens were absorbed:
- Rubber clogs: The maximum measured value for each substance was used and the total weight for a pair of rubber clogs was used, (i.e. the weight of one shoe was doubled). T wo pairs of clogs per year were used and it was assumed that $100 \%$ of the measured values from the clogs were absorbed:
- Rubber boots: T he maximum measured value for each substance was used and the total weight for a pair of rubber boots was used, (i.e. the weight of one boot was doubled). 2 pairs of rubber boots per year were used and it was assumed that $100 \%$ of the measured values from the boots were absorbed
- Pacifiers: T he maximum measured value for each substance is used and it is estimated that the nipple constitutes $20 \%$ of the pacifier's total weight. 12 pacifiers per year were used and it was assumed that $100 \%$ of the measured values from the pacifier were absorbed:
- Soap containers: T he maximum measured value for each substance was used and it was assumed that the two year-old touches or sucks on a maximum of $10 \%$ of the product. An exposure of only $10 \%$ of the content in the products is therefore calculated. T wo soap containers per year were used.
- Bath mats: T he maximum measured value for each substance was used and it was assumed that the two year-old touches or sucks on a maximum of $10 \%$ of the product. 1 bath mat was used every 2 years.
- Soft toys: T he maximum value for each substance was used and it was assumed that all the evaporated material is inhaled and everything that was in the soft toy was absorbed ( 2 year-old sucks, squeezes and touches the soft toy over the entire object). 5 soft toys per year were used and it was assumed that $100 \%$ of the measured values from the soft toys were absorbed:
- Diapers: T he maximum measured value for each substance was used and it was assumed that primarily the materials from the filling, elastic/vlieseline and interior waistband were absorbed. Values that are only measured in the stretch closure and the print edge are, however, also included if the substance is not measured in other parts of the diaper. Five diapers per day were used and it was assumed that 100\% of the measured values from the diapers were absorbed:
- Bed linen: T he maximum measured value for each substance was used and it was assumed that all the substance in the bed linen was absorbed, even if the bed linen has two sides and not all the substance came into contact with the skin. A rea of the pillowslip was also included. T wo sets of bed linen per year were used and it was assumed that $100 \%$ of the measured values from the bed linen were absorbed

In other words, the T ier 1 calculations accounted for the amount of the substance in the product, how often the 2 year-old is in contact with the product, and how great a part of the product the 2 year-old is in contact with. T he substance with the highest T ier 1 exposure amount is D IN $P$, which is therefore listed first in T able 3.2.

Table 3.2 Substances identified in the screening anal yses performed for the 10 consumer products in this project. It is stated if the substances areclassified in accordance with the list of hazardous substances or the Danish Ministry of the Environment's self-classification, and if the substances are on the EU candidatelist of potential endocrine disruptors

| Substance name | CAS no. | Candidate list of potential endocrine disruptors | LIST OF HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES Classification | DANISH MINISTRY OF the ENVIRONM ENT selfclassification | Total exposure ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ bodyweight.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diisononyl phthalate, DINP | 28553-12-0 | Group 2 | - |  | High ${ }^{8}$ |
| Long-chain aliphatic hydrocarbons > C24 | N/A |  |  |  | 86560.9 |
| Aliphatic hydrocarbons C10-C24 | N/A |  |  |  | 52704.5 |
| Diethylhexyl phthalate, DEHP | 117-81-7 | Group 1 | REP2;R60-61 | - | 3244.5 |
| Dibutyl phthalate, DBP | 84-74-2 | Group 1 | REP2;R61REP3;R62 <br> N;R50 | N;R51/53 | 1872.4 |
| Phthalates with large alkyl groups (unknown) | N/A |  |  |  | 772.6 |
| 1.4-Dioxane-2.5-dione, 3.6-dimethyl- | 95-96-5 | - | - | XN;R22 | 7419 |
| Butylisobutyl phthalate | 17851-53-5 | - | - | N;R51/53 | 715.6 |
| Limonene | 138-86-3 | - | One of the 26 perfume substances | - | 631.5 |
| 2.4-bis (1-phenylethyl)-phenol | 2769-94-0 | - | - | R43 N;R50/53 | 478.5 |
| Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) | 128-37-0 | - | - | XN;R22 ; R 50/53 | 376.8 |
| p,p'-Diphenyl methane diisocyanate or Diphenyl methane diisocyanate | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 101-68-8 \\ \text { or } 26447- \\ 40-5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { XN;R20 } \\ & \text { XI;R36/37/38 R42/43 } \end{aligned}$ | R43 | 175.7 |
| Total aliphatic hydrocarbons |  |  |  |  | 140.4 |
| Total fluoro compounds (FTOH, PFOA, PFOS, N-M e-FOSE) |  | Observed hormonal effects |  |  | 117.8 |
| Phthalate (phthalic acid, butylisobutyl ester or phthalic acid, diisobutyl ester) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 17851-53-5 \\ \text { or 84-69-5 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - | N;R51/53 or N;R50/53 | 103.4 |
| 2.2'-Oxydiethanol | 111-46-6 | - | XN;R22 |  | 62.7 |
| 2.4-di-tert-butylphenol | 96-76-4 | - |  | N;R51/ 53 | 611 |
| Tert. Butylphenol | 98-54-4 | Group 2 | - | R43 | 56.2 |
| Bisphenol A | 80-05-7 | Group 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { XI;R37-41R43 } \\ \text { REP3;R62 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | 55.8 |
| Isocyanate benzene | 103-71-9 | - |  | XN;R22 R43 | 44.5 |
| Formaldehyde | 50-00-0 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T;R23/24/25 C;R34 } \\ & \text { CARC3;R40 R43 } \end{aligned}$ | - | 40.7 |
| DNOP | 117-84-0 | - | - | - | 37.5 |
| 2.4-Diisocyanato-1-methylbenzene <br> (2.4-Diisocyanate toluene) | 584-84-9 | - | TX;R26 XI;R36/37/ 38 CARC3;R40 R42/43 R52/53 | - <br> - | 35.5 |
| 2-Ethylhexyl fumarate | 141-02-6 | - | - | R43 | 34.5 |
| 2,5-Dichloraniline, 2,3-dichloraniline or 14-dichloranilline | $\begin{aligned} & 95-82-3, \\ & 608-27-5 \\ & \text { or } 95-76-1 \end{aligned}$ | Group 1 (3,4 dichloraniline) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{R23/24/25XI;R41} \\ & \mathrm{R} 43 \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{R} 50 / 53 \end{aligned}$ | - | 27.8 |
| Dipropyl phthalate Phthalic acid, dipropylester | 131-16-8 | Group 3 | - | - | 26.5 |
| Isophorone diisocyanate or equivalent | 4098-71-9 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{R} 23 \mathrm{XI} ; \mathrm{R} 36 / 37 / 38 \\ & \text { R42/43N ;R5J/53 } \end{aligned}$ | - | 17.9 |
| Diglycidyl bisphenol A | 1675-54-3 | Group 2 | XI;R36/38 R43 | - | 8.6 |
| 2-Ethylhexyl maleate | 142-16-5 | - | - | R43 | 7.8 |
| Oleamide (3-Amino-4-methoxy-N-phenyl-benzamide) | 301-02-0 | - | - | R43 N;R51/53 | 7.2 |
| M ethyl hydroxyl stearate | 141-23-1 | - | - | N;R51/53 | 6.2 |
| 1-Amino-4-hydroxy-2-phenoxy anthraquinone | 17418-58-5 | - | - | M UT3;R40 | 5.4 |

${ }^{8} \mathrm{~T}$ he screening analyses could not provide a more precise number because the concentration in the analyses was too high (the apparatus is most precise at low concentrations)

|  |  | Candidate <br> list of <br> potential <br> endocrine <br> disruptors | LIST OF <br> HAZARDOUS <br> SUBSTANCES <br> Classification | DANISH MINISTRY <br> OF the <br> ENVIRONM ENT self- <br> classification | Total exposure <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> bodyweight.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Substance name |  |  | T;R23/24/25- <br> 48/23/24/25 <br> CARC3;R40 XI;R41 <br> R43 MUT3;R68 <br> N;R50 |  |  |
|  | CAS no. |  |  |  |  |


| Substance name | CAS no. | Candidate list of potential endocrine disruptors | LIST OF HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES Classification | DANISH MINISTRY OF the ENVIRONM ENT selfclassification | Total exposure ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ bodyweight.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.6-Dibrom-4-nitroaniline or 4,6-Dibromo-2-nitroaniline | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 827-94-1 \\ \text { or 827-23- } \\ 6 \end{array}$ | - | - | R43 N;R51/53 | 0.9 |
| Isophoron | 78-59-1 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { XN;R21/22 } \\ & \text { XI;R36/37 } \\ & \text { CARC3;R40 } \end{aligned}$ | - | 0.9 |
| 4-Chloro-2.5-dimethoxy-benzamine or 5-Chloro-2,4-dimethoxybenzamine | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6358-64-1 \\ \text { or 97-50-7 } \end{array}$ | - | - | MUT3;R40 R43 | 0.8 |
| 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-Propenoic acid 2-ethylhexyl ester | 5466-77-3 | - | - | N;R50/53 | 0.8 |
| Styrene | 100-42-5 | Group 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { R10 XN;R20 } \\ & \text { XI;R36/38 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | 0.8 |
| 1-M ethylnaphthalene (and isomers) | 90-12-0 | - |  | XN;R22 R43 N;R50/53 | 0.7 |
| 6-Chlor-2.4-dinitroaniline | 3531-19-9 | - | - | R43 N;R51/53 | 0.7 |
| 2.6-Dichlor-4-nitroaniline | 99-30-9 | - |  | R43 N;R51/53 | 0.6 |
| Butyl octyl phthalate | 84-78-6 | - | - | N;R51/53 | 0.5 |
| DIBP | 84-69-5 | - | -Approved classification: Rep 2; R61; Rep 3; R62 | N;R50/53 | 0.5 |
| Triphenyl phosphite (Stabilizer P 36) | 101-02-0 | - | XI;R36/38 N;R50/53 | - | 0.5 |
| bis(2-M ethylpropyl)-hexanedoic acid ester | 141-04-8 | - | - | N;R51/53 | 0.5 |
| di-p-Tolylsulfone | 599-66-6 | - | - | R43 N;R51/53 | 0.5 |
| 1.2-Dibrom-4-nitrobenzene or Tridecyl bromide | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5411-50-7 \\ \text { or 765-09- } \\ 3 \end{array}$ | - | - | XN;R22 N;R51/53 | 0.5 |
| Ethylhexyl chlorformate | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 24468-13- \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - | XN;R22 | 0.4 |
| 5-Hexene-2-on | 109-49-9 | - | - | XN;R22 | 0.3 |
| Xylene | 1330-20-7 | - | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { R10 XN;R20/21 } \\ \text { XI;R38 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | 0.3 |
| 2-Brom-4.6-dinitrobenzenamine (2-Brom-4.6-dinitroaniline) | 1817-73-8 | - | - | N;R51/53 | 0.2 |
| Isocyanato benzene or 1 H Benzotriazole | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 103-71-9 \\ \text { or 95-14-7 } \end{array}$ | - | - | XN;R22 R43 | 0.2 |
| Benzaldehyde | 100-52-7 | - | XN;R22 | - | 0.2 |
| N(Phenylmethyl)benzenmethanamine | 103-49-1 | - | - | XN;R22 | 0.2 |
| N-butyl-1-butanamine | 111-92-2 | - | R10 XN;R20/21/22 | - | 0.1 |
| 2-(Methylthio)benzothiazole | 615-22-5 | - | - | XN;R22 R43 | 0.1 |
| Phenoxybenzamine | 59-96-1 | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUT3;R40 Carc3;R40 } \\ & \text { R43N;R50/53 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 |
| Salicylic acid benzyl ester | 118-58-1 | - | One of the 26 perfume substances | R43N;R50/53 | 0.1 |
| Camphene | 79-92-5 | - | - | N;R50/53 | 0.1 |
| 2(3H)-Benzothiazolone | 934-34-9 | - | - | XN;R22 | 0.1 |
| p-Nitroaniline or m-N itroaniline | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 100-01-6 } \\ \text { or 99-09- } \\ 2 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T;R23/24/25 R33 } \\ & \text { R52/53 } \end{aligned}$ | - | 0.1 |
| Dioctyl ether | 629-82-3 | - | - | N;R51/53 | 0.0 |
| 2-M ercaptobenzothiazole | 149-30-4 | - | R43 N;R50/53 | - | 0.0 |
| o-Toluidine | 95-53-4 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CARC2;R45T;R23/25 } \\ & \text { XI;R36 N;R50 } \end{aligned}$ | - | 0.0 |

Carc =Carcinogenic, MUT =Mutagenic, Rep = Reproductive toxicity, $\mathrm{Xi}=$ Irritant, $\mathrm{XN}=$ Harmful, $\mathrm{Tx}=$ Very toxic, $\mathrm{T}=$ Toxic, $\mathrm{N}=$ Dangerous for the environment
R10 Flammable
R20 Harmful by inhalation, R22 Harmful if swallowed, R21/22 Harmful in contact with skin and if swallowed., R20/21/22 Harmful by inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed
R23 Toxic by inhalation, R23/24/25 Toxic by inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed
R26 Very toxic by inhalation
R36 Irritating to eyes, R37 Irritating to respiratory system, R36/37 Irritating to eyes and respiratory system, R36/38 Irritating to
eyes and skin R36/37/38 Irritating to eyes, respiratory system and skin

R40 Limited evidence of a carcinogenic effect, R45 M ay cause cancer
R42 M ay cause sensitisation by inhalation, R43 May cause sensitisation by skin contact, R42/43 M ay cause sensitisation by inhalation and skin contact
R48 Danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure
R50 Very toxic to aquatic organisms, R51 Toxic to aquatic organisms, R52 H armful to aquatic organisms, R50/53 Very toxic to aquatic organisms; may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment, R51/53 Toxic to aquatic organisms; may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment R52/ 53 H armful to aquatic organisms; may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment
R60 M ay impair fertility, R61 M ay cause harm to the unborn child, R62 Possible risk of impaired fertility, R63 Possible risk of harm to the unborn child
R67 Vapours may cause drowsiness and dizziness
R68 Possible risk of irreversible effects

### 3.7 Identified substances with potential endocrine disrupting properties

Eleven of the substances identified in the product screening are on the EU 's candidate list of suspected endocrine disruptors because they have shown signs of endocrine disrupting effects or are suspected of having such effects. These are:

- DINP (Group 2 ${ }^{9}$, DG Environment, 2007)
- DEHP (Group $1^{10}$, DG Environment, 2007)
- DBP (Group 1, DG Environment, 2007)
- DIBP (Repr. Cat. 2; R61- Repr. C at. 3; R62, EU , ESIS, 2009)
- Bisphenol A (Group 1, DG Environment, 2007)
- Polyfluoro compounds (N ordström J oensen et al, 2009)
- Tert Butylphenol (98-54-4) (G roup 2, DG Environment, 2007)
- Dichloraniline (95-76-1)(G roup 2, DG Environment, 2007)
- Diglycidyl bisphenol A(1675-54-3)(G roup 2, DG Environment, 2007)
- Styrene (100-42-5) (Group 1, D G Environment, 2007).

T he substances in italics are not included in the exposure calculations in this project. Common to these substances is the fact that they are exclusively identified in textile products, i.e. jackets, mittens and bed linen, and are measured in relatively small concentrations.

### 3.8 Literaturereview of endocrine disruptors

Previous surveying projects by the D anish Environmental Protection A gency also identified the following substances suspected of endocrine disrupting effects in products of relevance for 2 year-old children.

- BBP (in vinyl flooring and modelling wax)
- Dimethylformamide (68-12-2) (G roup $3^{11}$, DG Environment, 2007) (in tents and tunnels for children).

Of these substances, BBP is a focus substance in the exposure calculations included in this project.

[^3]Furthermore, two year-old children can be affected by endocrine disruptors from medicinal products and medical devices, which can constitute a considerable exposure. T hese sources, however, are not included in the exposure calculations for this project, partly because this type of exposure is only expected to affect a small number of children and partly because the exposure is considered to be necessary in all cases in which it occurs.

## 3.9 (Q)SAR predictions for substances with potential endocrine disrupting effects

Substances included in the cumulative risk assessment are chosen on the basis of prior knowledge of their effects. A nimal studies have demonstrated that they have an endocrine disrupting effect.

H owever, many chemical substances are not tested on animals for their endocrine disrupting effects. We cannot therefore exclude that they have these effects. In recent years, a number of computer models have been developed, which can predict the properties of chemical substances on the basis of their structure ((Q)SAR: (Q uantitative) Structure Activity R elationships).
(Q)SAR predictions from two different models have been used to identify whether some of the substances found in the screening, which had not previously been identified as having endocrine disrupting effects, do in fact have them (Jensen et al, 2008)

- O estrogen reporter gene activation in in-vitro experiments
- Antagonism of androgen receptor activation in in-vitro experiments

The QSAR models used predict whether the substances have oestrogen-like or antiandrogenic effects in in-vitro (test tube) experiments, in which it is not possible to imitate the metabolism of chemical substances that occurs in the body. In these models, the substances are characterised as either positive or negative.

A (Q)SAR model is developed on the basis of the results of experiments on concrete chemical substances in the test for which the model is designed. T he substances in this test are called the "training set". T he model can then be used to predict the effects of substances that appear similar to the chemicals in the training set. A mong other things, the applicability of a model depends on how many different types of substance are tested. T he prediction of the model is therefore always accompanied by an assessment of whether the substance, the effect of which is being tested, resembles the substances in the training set enough to be a reliable indicator, i.e. that the predictions of the model lie within its applicability domain. T his analysis uses only reliable predictions.

Substances tested in vitro have the same uncertainties associated with this type of data. F or example, bio-accessibility, absorption and metabolism are not included in in vitro experiments, but can be of crucial importance in terms of the harmful effects of substances on living organisms. Furthermore, it is not known whether the positively predicted substances have been tested on animals. It is therefore difficult to assess their potential potency and endocrine disrupting effects in humans. T hese are important parameters that enable prediction of the endocrine disrupting effect of the substances in humans.

The above reservations in terms of in vitro data also apply to (Q)SAR predictions as the models used to predict in vitro effects. T here is also an element of uncertainty with (Q)SAR predictions. T he model's sensitivity, i.e. its ability to predict positive results correctly, and specificity, i.e. its ability to predict negative results correctly, are two important parameters to take into consideration when assessing the applicability of (Q)SAR models (see REACH guidelines R6: (Q)SARs and grouping of chemicals). However, there are no hard and fast rules for how high these figures can be - this depends completely on the context in which the models are to be used. Similarly, (Q)SAR model predictions should only be used within the applicability domain. T able 3.3 shows the two models together. Sensitivity and specificity are reached through repeated cross-validation of the models.

Table 3.3 Ro bustness of the (Q)SAR model s

| QSAR M odel | Number of <br> chemical <br> substances in <br> training set | Sensitivity | Specificity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O estrogen reporter gene | 481 | $46.4 \%$ | $94.9 \%$ |
| Androgen receptor <br> antagonism | 523 | $64.4 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ |

### 3.9.1 Procedure

The 177 chemical substances with CAS numbers that were identified in the screening analysis were tested in the latest version of the D anish (Q)SAR database in relation to the two models in the above table. Of the 177 CAS numbers, 22 were not found in the database and are therefore not included in this analysis.

### 3.9.2 Results

U sing the (Q)SAR models, other substances were also identified, which could have endocrine disrupting effects, in addition to the substances already included in the cumulative risk assessment.

Of the 177 substances identified in the screening analysis, we had already included one of them in the cumulative risk assessment for oestrogen-like effects (bisphenol A) and 4 of them in the cumulative risk assessment for antiandrogenic effects (4 phthalates).

A given prediction also provides information on whether the substance was included in the training set, and whether it tested positive or negative. T hus, with some of the substances tested, there were model predictions available for their properties, as well as information on whether they were tested using the in vitro model and its result.

U sing the (Q)SAR model, 6 substances were identified as potentially oestrogen-like (T able 3.4). T hree of the substances were identified as potential anti-androgens (T able 3.5). Some of the substances were also tested in vitro because they were included in the training set.

### 3.9.3 Oestrogen-like effects

In the (Q)SAR model for oestrogen-like activity, six substances from the screening analysis tested positive. T hree of these also tested positive in vitro and are therefore also included in the training set. In this project, only
bisphenol A is included in the cumulative risk assessment for oestrogen-like activity.

Table 3.4. Substances with positive (Q)SAR predictions for oestrogen-like effects in in vitro experiments

| CAS no. | Name | ER activisation in vitro <br> QSAR prediction | ER activisation in vitro <br> Test result |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $80-05-7$ | bisphenol A | Positive | Positive |
| $2081-08-5$ | 4,4-ethyldiphenol | Positive | Positive |
| $106-46-7$ | 1,4-dichlorobenzene | Positive | Positive |
| $99-30-9$ | 2,6-dichhloro-4- <br> nitroaniline | Positive | Not tested |
| $827-94-1$ | 2,6-dibromo-4- <br> nitroaniline | Positive | Not tested |
| $36443-68-2$ | Irganox245 | Positive | Not tested |

Bisphenol A is already included in the cumulative risk assessment for oestrogen-like effects. In the screening analysis, 4,4-ethyldiphenol is found in bed linen prior to washing but not after. 2,6-dichloro-4-aniline and 2,6-dichloro-4-nitroaniline are found in jackets. Irganox 245 is found in diapers.

### 3.9.4 Antiandrogenic effects

In the model for antiandrogenic affects in the in vitro tests, three substances from the screening analysis tested positive. $N$ one of the substances were previously included in the cumulative risk assessment for antiandrogenic effects in this project.

Table 3.5. Substances with positive (Q)SAR predictions for anti-androigene effects in in vitro experiments

| CAS no. | Name | Antiandrogenic in vitro <br> QSAR prediction | Antiandrogenic in vitro <br> Test result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $80-05-7$ | bisphenol A | Positive | Positive |
| $2081-08-5$ | 4,4-ethyldiphenol | Positive |  |
| $52829-07-9$ | Tinuvin 770 | Positive |  |

In the screening analysis, bisphenol A was found in the plastic parts of children's pacifiers, although the migration analysis shows no release of the substance from the pacifiers. Bisphenol $A$ is in any case commonly found in foodstuffs. 4,4-ethyldiphenol was detected in bed linen before, but not after, washing. T inuvin 770 was detected in children's mittens.

### 3.9.5 Conclusion on (Q)SAR predictions

QSAR predictions show that in the selected in vitro experiments, many of the 177 chemical substances have, or can be predicted to have endocrine disrupting effects. T he identified substances, which are not already included in the quantitative risk analysis, have not been further assessed for endocrine disrupting effects in animals. H owever, it would seem obvious to proceed in this direction in future analyses of endocrine disruptors.
3.10 Conclusion on the identification of substances with potentially endocrine disrupting effects

In conclusion, the screening analysis, review of relevant literature and the (Q)SAR predictions show that 2 year-old children can be expected to be exposed to a variety of potential endocrine disruptors over and above those chosen as the focus for the quantitative risk assessment in this project. No further risk assessment has been performed for any of the identified substances that were not originally included in the quantitative risk assessment. However, these findings should be taken into consideration in future studies,

### 3.11 Identified substances with allergenic effects

Thirty-three of the identified substances are classified as R 42 (may cause sensitisation by inhalation), or/and as R 43 (may cause sensitisation by skin contact), by the EU or have the D anish Environmental Protection A gency (DEPA) advisory classification for these effects.

- Bisphenol A (EU classification R43)
- Formaldehyde (EU classification R43)
- $p, p^{\prime}$-D iphenylmethane diisocyanate or D iphenylmethane diisocyanate (EU classification R 42/43)
- 2,4-D iisocyanato-1-methylbenzene (2,4-D iisocyanate toluene) (EU classification R 42/43)
- 2,5-D ichloraniline, 2,3-dichloraniline, or 1,4-dichloraniline (EU classification, R43)
- Isophorondiisocyanate-1-methylbenzene or similar (EU classification R 42/43)
- Bisphenol A diglycidyl (EU classification R43)
- Aniline (EU classification R43)
- T oluene 2,4-D iisocyanate (EU classification R 42/43)
- 1,6-D isocyanatohexane (EU classification R 42/43)
- An unidentified isocyanate (EU classification R 42/43)
- 2-M ercaptobenzothiazole (EU classification R43)
- 2,4-bis (1-phenylethyl)-phenol (D anish Environmental Protection A gency (DEPA) advisory classification, R43)
- T ert. Butylphenol (DEPA advisory classification, R43)
- Isocyanatobenzene (DEPA advisory classification, R43)
- 2-Ethylhexyl fumarate (D EPA advisory classification, R43)
- 2-Ethylhexyl maleate (DEPA advisory classification, R 43)
- Oleamide (3-Amino-4-methoxy-N-phenyl-benzamide) (DEPA advisory classification, R43)
- M elamine (DEPA classification, R43)
- K odaflex txib or similar (DEPA advisory classification, R 43)
- Hexadecyldimethylamine (DEPA advisory classification, R43)
- 2,6-D ibromo-4-nitroaniline or 4,6-D ibromo-2-nitroaniline (DEPA advisory classification, R 43)
- 4-C hloro-2,5-dimethoxy-benzamine or 5-C hloro-2,4-dimethoxybenzamine (DEPA advisory classification, R43)
- 1-M ethylnapthalene (and isomers) (DEPA advisory classification, R43)
- 6-C hloro-2,4-dinitroaniline (DEPA advisory classification, R43)
- 2,6-D ichloro-4-nitroaniline(D EPA advisory classification, R 43)
- Di-p-T olyl sulfone (DEPA advisory classification, R 43)
- Isocyanatobenzene or 1H-Benzotriazol(DEPA advisory classification, R43)
- 2-(M ethylthio) benzothiazole (DEPA advisory classification, R43)
- Phenoxybenzamine (DEPA advisory classification, R43)
- Salicylic acid benzoyl ester (DEPA advisory classification, R43) (one of the 26 allergen compounds in perfumes and aromas)
- Limonene (EU classification R43) (one of the 26 allergenic compounds in perfumes and aromas)
- Linalool (one of the 26 allergenic compounds in perfumes and aromas)


### 3.12 Literaturereview of allergens

Previous surveys undertaken by the $D$ anish Environmental Protection A gency and others ${ }^{1}$ into chemical substances in consumer products have produced a long list of allergens which can occur in cosmetic products. Below is a list of allergens identified in these surveys. Substance names written in italics have also been identified at screening of the ten product groups represented in this project.

- 2,2,4-trimethyl-1,3-pentanediol diisobutyrate (TXIB) (R43)
- 2,6-D imethoxy Benzoquinone (R43)
- 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (M BT) (R43)
- 2-Propenenitrile (R43)
- 2-Propenoic acid 2 methyl-methyl ester (R43)
- 4-chloro-3-methylphenol (R43)
- 4-H ydroxy-3,5-dimethoxy-benzandehyde (R 43)
- 4-N onylphenol (R43)
- Aniline (R43)
- Benzothiazole (M BT) (R43)
- Benzyl salicylate (2-hydroxybenzoic acid, benzyl ester) (R43)
- Bisphenol A (R 43)
- Butylphenyl methylpropional (Lillial) (R43)
- Chlormethyl and methylisothiazolones (K athon) (R43)
- Citral (3,7-dimethyl-2,6-octadienal) (R43)
- D-Limonene (R 43)
- F ormaldehyde (R 43)
- Hydroxycitronellal (3,7-D imethyl-7-hydroxy octanal (R43)
- IPPD (R43)
- Isoeugenol (2-M ethoxy-4-(1-propenyl)phenol) (R43)
- Lilial (2-methyl-3-(4-tertbutylbenzyl)propionaldehyde) (R43)
- $\quad N$ ickel (R43)
- $\quad$ onylphenols (N P) (R 43)
- O-toluenesulfonamide (R43)
- p-toluenesulfonamide (R43)
- TXIB= 1,3-Pentanediol, 2,2,4-trimethyl-, diisobutyrate (R43).

[^4]T able 3.3 illustrates the types of consumer products in which the identified allergens have been identified.

Table 3.3 The allergens have been identified in the following consumer products.

| Consumer products tested <br> in this project | Consumer products tested previously |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jackets | Plasticine |
| Mittens | Carpets |
| Pacifiers | Textiles |
| Bed linen | Clothes |
| Rubber boots | Air fresheners |
|  | Electrical and electronic products (computer game joysticks, |
|  | computer screens, TV's, transformers) |
|  | Toothbrushes |
|  | Tents and shelters |
|  | Cosmetic products (lip balm, soap, baby oils, massage oils, |
|  | children's' shampoo, body shampoo for children, children's soap, |
|  | body lotion for children) |
|  | Toys (wooden toys, slimy toys, aromatic toys etc) |
|  | Baby changing mats/cushions |
|  | Felt-tip pens |
|  | Car interior care products |
|  | Impregnated textiles |
|  | Hobby paints |
|  | Books |
|  | Children's make-up sets |
|  | Rubber pacifiers |

The significance of exposure of 2 year-old children to allergens is not within the scope of this project even though, in general, children's exposure to these substances should be reduced as much as possible.

### 3.13 Chemical substances identified in the screening with classification for other effectsharmful to health

As can be seen in table 3.28383 substances have been identified with the following general classifications, (according to the List of dangerous substances or T he D anish Environmental Protection A gency's advisory classification). N ote that a substance can occur in more than one category:

- I rritant, Xi (18 substances)
- Harmful, X n (25 substances)
- Toxic, T (9 substances)
- Very toxic, Tx(3 substances)
- D angerous for the environment, N (34 substances)
- $\quad \mathrm{M}$ ay coause sentitisation by inhalation and/or skin contact, R 42 and/or R 43 (33 substances)
- Carcinogenic, C arc ( 10 substances)
- M utagenic, M UT (7 substances)
- Toxic to reproduction, Rep (11 substances)

A number of products have been classified as irritants (Xi), harmful ( Xn ), toxic ( $T$ ), or very toxic ( $T x$ ). T he current project has not focused on these substances, although they quite probably occur in the products with which 2 year-olds come into contact. M any of the classified substances are either carcinogenic or mutagenic, a fact that is also supported by $D$ anish Environmental Protection A gency surveys of the same target group. T he concentrations contained in these products are often very small and it has not been within the scope of this project to determine whether these constitute a
health hazard. Instead, the project has focused exclusively on their endocrine disrupting effects.

## 4 Legislation

T he following describes the relevant legislation for the product groups tested in the survey in this project:

- T he statutory order on toys - relevant for toys (soft toys) and for bath soap packaging (cosmetic products for children), which the D anish Safety T echnology A gency categorises as toys.
- The statutory order on the use of phthalates in toys - relevant for toys (soft toys) and for bath soap packaging (cosmetic products for children), which the D anish Safety T echnology A gency categorises as toys.
- REACH regulation, Annex XVII, entry 43 on azo colouring agents in textiles - relevant for outdoor clothes, mittens, soft toys and bed linen.
- T he statutory order on cosmetics - relevant for suntan lotion and oilbased cream.
- R egulation of other substances such as nickel, brominated flame retardants, T RIS, TEPA, PBB, PFOS, arsenic and mercury - relevant for products with textiles (mainly in REACH).
- Regulation of nitrosamines - relevant for pacifiers
- General regulations for limitation of use of various substances (transferred to REACH regulation as of 1 June 2009


### 4.1 Toys

### 4.1.1 Safety requirements for toys

T he statutory order on safety regulations for toys and products which, due to their appearance, can be mistaken for foodstuffs (BEK no. 1116, 2003) applies to toys. T oys are defined as "any product or material designed, or clearly intended for use in play by children younger than 14 years of age". T hus, the statutory order on safety regulations for toys also applies to cosmetic products designed for children, that resemble a popular article or figure, such as a dragon, Barbie, M ickey M ouse, mobile telephone etc.

According to the statutory order on T oys (BEK 1116, 2003), toys can only be placed on the market if they satisfy EU legislation on safety requirements for toys, or if they are produced in compliance with a prototype that has been approved by a competent body in an EU country. T oys that meet these safety conditions must be marked with a CE mark before they can be placed on the market.

The EU legislation on safety requirements for toys also includes the standards covered in the statutory order on $T$ oys annex 3 (BEK 1116, 2003). T hese are the EN 71 series on safety requirements for toys and the High Voltage D eclaration for electrical toys. One of the points covered by EN 71-3 (Section 3: M igration of specific substances) concerns threshold limits for the migration of metals when children put toys into their mouths.

In addition, toys must not contain dangerous substances or preparations, as defined in directive 67/548/EEC and 88/379/EEC in amounts that can harm the health of children.

A revised toy directive has recently been passed by the EU.

### 4.12 Ban on phthalates in toys

T he statutory order on the ban on phthalates in toys and childcare products that came into force in September 2009 (BEK 855, 2009) includes a ban on phthalates in childcare products and toys for children up to 3 years of age.

According to REACH, Annex XVII, entries 51 and 52, it is forbidden to use, import or sell toys and childcare products for children less than 14 years of age containing the phthalates DEHP, DBP and BBP in concentrations above $0.1 \%$. DIN P, DID P and D N OP are forbidden to use, import or sell in concentrations above $0.1 \%$ in toys and childcare products that children are able to put in their mouths.

According to the statutory order it is forbidden to use all other phthalates in concentrations above $0.05 \%$ in all toys and childcare products for children from 0-3 years of age.

### 4.1.3 REACH

T he REACH regulation 1907/2006 also covers aromatic toys (products which intentionally have a smell). In these cases, the aroma produced by the toy must be registered with the Chemicals A gency if the amount equals or exceeds 1 ton per year (EU regulation no. 1907/2006)

### 4.2 Textiles

T extiles must not contain a number of chemical substances. T he regulations also include textiles used in toys:

- Brominated flame retardants, penta -and octabromodiphenylethers (penta and octa-BDE) are banned for any usage, including textiles. (REACH, A nnex XVII, entries 44 and 45) T hreshold limit is 0.1\% (w/w).
- Impregnants tris (2, 3-dibrompropyl) phosphate (T RIS), tris (1aziridinyl) phosphineoxide (TEPA), (CAS no. 5455-55-1) and polybrominated biphenyls (PBB) (CAS no. 59536-65-1) must not be used in textiles which are intended to come into contact with the skin, e.g. articles of clothing or linen. T he ban covers both import and sale (REACH, A nnex XVII, entries 4, 7 and 8).
- Import, sale and export of mercury or products containing mercury are prohibited (BEK 627, 2003). T his ban also includes textiles.
- T he sale, import or production of products containing cadmium is banned (BEK 858, 2009) if the cadmium is used as a stabiliser in plastic, cadmium coatings or pigmentation. C admium is also regulated in REACH, A nnex XVII, entry 23.
- T he import or sale of products containing lead is prohibited (BEK 856, 2009). T he ban also includes textiles.
- T extiles must not contain pentachlorophenol (PCP). T he import, export, sale or use of products containing 5ppm or above of
pentachlorophenol, or of its salts or esters is prohibited (BEK 854, 2009)
- T extiles must not contain certain azo colouring agents. T he regulations also apply for textiles used in toys. It is prohibited to import, sell or use a specific blue azo colouring agent and azo colouring agents, which can release carcinogenic substances, as well as certain other products, which contain azo colouring agents (REACH, Annex XVII, enry 43).
- Products which are designed for direct or long-term contact with the skin must not contain nickel if the nickel emission exceeds 0.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} /$ week (REACH , Annex X VII, entry 27).
- In accordance with REACH, A nnex XVII, entry 53 PFOS (perfluorooctane sulfonate and its derivatives) are prohibited in products, including textiles, from 27 June 2008. Special notice should be taken of the ban on textiles or other materials with a coating, if the amount of PFOS comprises $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or more of the coated material.


### 4.3 Statutoryorder on cosmetics

C osmetic products for children are, like other cosmetic products, regulated by the statutory order on Cosmetic Products (BEK 422, 2006) and its amendments. T he statutory order implements European resolutions on cosmetics and contains a number of decisions relating to the use of chemical substances in cosmetics and their marking. T he cosmetic directive has recently been revised and will become applicable throughout the EU .

In accordance with the statutory order on C osmetics, section10, products marketed in the EU must not be harmful to health when they are used under "normal conditions, or under conditions that can be reasonably predicted". An evaluation must be conducted prior to marketing on the safety with regards to human health at use of the finished cosmetic product. T his must include specific evaluation of the cosmetic products intended for children younger than three years old.T he statutory order on C osmetics also imposes a number of limitations on use of chemical substances in cosmetic products. Companies or organisations marketing cosmetics are responsible for making sure that the rules are adhered to in accordance with the statutory order.

### 4.3.1 List of ingredients

The following special conditions are applicable for the list of ingredients for cosmetic products (BEK 422 section 25, 2006:

- Impurities present in raw materials are not considered ingredients.
- Perfume and aromatic compounds and raw materials for these will be declared as either "perfume", or "aroma". In accordance with Annex 3 of the statutory order, 26 allergens in perfumes and aromas substances must be indicated in the list of ingredients if their concentration exceeds $0.001 \%$ in leave-on products, and $0.01 \%$ in rinse-off products. This rule on the 26 allergens in perfumes and aromas came into force in 2005 and applies to all cosmetics produced after 10 M arch 2005.
- Ingredients with a concentration of less than $1 \%$ can be declared in any order following those with a concentration higher than $1 \%$.
- Colouring agents can be declared in any order after those with a colour index number (or name from Annex 4 on colouring agents).
- Ingredients can be declared by their common name, in accordance with common nomenclature (IN CI name) for cosmetic ingredients.
- With small cosmetic products, or packaging that is so small that it is impossible to print a list of ingredients of contents, the ingredients must be printed on an accompanying label, tape, or card that can be attached to the product. If it is not possible to fasten information of this type onto the product, the list of ingredients must be clearly displayed close by.

INCI is an abbreviation for "International N omenclature C osmetic Ingredients" and is a common nomenclature for use on lists of ingredients of content for cosmetic products in the EU. An IN CI name can cover many different chemical substances. The IN CI list is indicative, which means that it is not a list of permitted ingredients in cosmetics, but indicates which ingredients have been used. If there is no INCI name for a substance, its chemical name must be used and an INCI name must be applied for (BEK 422,2006 )

### 4.3.2 Substances with restrictions on use in cosmetic products

The statutory order on C osmetics (BEK 422, 2006) places a number of restrictions on use of substances in cosmetic products, e.g. which substances may not be used in cosmetic products, which substances may only be used under certain conditions (e.g. maximum concentration), and which substances may only be used (positive lists) within a specific group (e.g. colouring agents, preservatives).

Substances which are not permitted in cosmetic products
In accordance with the statutory order on C osmetics section 12, substances which are included in Annex 2 of the statutory order must not be used as ingredients in cosmetic products.

Substances which are permitted in cosmetic products with certain restrictions In accordance with the statutory order on Cosmetics section 13, substances which are included in Annexes 3-6 can only be allowed in cosmetic products in accordance with the stipulated restrictions and conditions of the annexes.

## Colouring agents permitted in cosmetic products

The statutory order on C osmetics section 14, states that cosmetic products (with the exception of the colouring agents used exclusively in hair colours) may only contain the colouring agents and sprays, salts and pigments, which are named in Annexes 3 and 4, including their stipulated limitations and conditions.

Preservatives permitted in cosmetic products
In accordance with the statutory order on Cosmetics section 15, cosmetic products must not contain preservatives other than those named in Annex 5. T here are exceptions, which can be seen in section 15 of the statutory order.

UV filters permitted in cosmetic products
In accordance with the statutory order on Cosmetics section16, cosmetic products must not contain UV filters other than those named in A nnex 6 (of the statutory order). T here are, however, other UV filters, which are only used in cosmetic products to protect the products themselves from being broken down by UV radiation, which are not included in Annex 6.

### 4.4 Pacifiers

For pacifiers, see D irective 93/11/EEC from 15 M arch 1993 on the emission of $N$-nitrosamines and $N$-nitrosatable substances from baby's bottle teats and pacifiers made with elastomers and rubber (D irective 93/11, 1993).

This states that pacifiers and bottle teats must not release N -nitrosamines and N -nitrosatable substances, which are dissolvable in saliva in amounts that exceed the following:

- 0.01 mg total amount released N -nitrosamines $/ \mathrm{kg}$ ( from those parts of the pacifier or bottle teat made with elastomers or rubber).
- 0.1 mg total amount emitted N -nitrosatable substances $/ \mathrm{kg}$ ( from those parts of the pacifier or bottle teat made with elastomers or rubber).


### 4.5 General regulations for limitations on use of certain substances

T here is a comprehensive list of regulations on the limited usage of certain substances, which in many cases apply generally.

T hese general limitations on use are:

- (EU/D K) REACH, Annex XVII, entry 23 and Statutory order No. 858 of 5 September 2009 on the ban on sale, import and production of goods containing cadmium.
- (DK ) Statutory order No. 854 of 5 September 2009 on the ban on import, sale, use and export of goods containing pentachlorophenol (PCP)
- (EU) REACH, Annex XVII, entry 27 on ban on the import and sale of certain products containing nickel (metal parts and parts with longterm contact with the skin).
- (EU) REACH, A nnex XVII, entry 43 on the ban on import, sale and use of certain azo colouring agents.
- (EU ) REACH, Annex XVII, entry 53 on the limitation of import, sale and use of perfluorooctane sulphonates (PFOS).
- (EU/DK) REACH, Annex XVII, entries 51 and 52 and Statutory order No. 855 of 5 September 2009 on the ban on phthalates in toys and childcare articles.


### 4.6 Foodstuffs, assessment of pollution from EFSA (European Food Saf ety Authority)

Substances such as pesticides, phthalates and bisphenol A, mentioned in this report, are assessed by the EFSA based on studies on possible health risks. Pesticides require $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation studies, which include studies on potential endocrine disrupting effects.

The analysis typically concludes with a figure for tolerable daily intake (TDI), which is given as mg/kg body mass/day, or acceptable daily intake (ADI). Legislated threshhold limits are set using this evaluation, and are intended to ensure that there are no health risks associated with intake of these substances during a lifetime.

The the threshold limits for phthalates in food contact materials and articles, have been set taking into account substances originating in other sources.

## 5 Survey

T welve product groups were selected based on knowledge of previously examined substances and products.

The aim of the survey has been to:

- Add to existing knowledge/surveys of products used daily by 2 yearold children.
- Identify within each product type the products most used by 2-yearolds.
- Find out what materials the individual product types are made from.
- Attempt to gather together all information available on the materials including constituent substances.
- Obtain products for chemical analysis.

T he survey comprises the following 12 product groups:

- Outdoor clothes made from impregnated textiles, e.g. jackets, which are marketed as being waterproof, or water-resistant (PVC rain wear are also included if the articles appear as part of the survey).
- Mittens of the same material as all-in-one suits.
- Footwear in the form of rubber clogs.
- Footwear in the form of unlined rubber boots.
- Pacifiers, primarily those in which the plastic part is made of polycarbonate.
- Bath soap packaging shaped like popular figures/animals as well as other containers for children's soap made from PVC.
- Non-slip figures and bath mats.
- Soft toys.
- Diapers.
- Sun cream.
- M oisturising cream/oil-based creams/lotions.
- Children's bed linen.

T he product groups have been chosen according to; exposure, expected content of substances, relevant reporting arenas, and existing product information.

A complete survey of all products within the individual product groups has not been undertaken although it includes as many of the most sold products/brands as possible. T his has been achieved partly through contact with trade associations and other retail organisations to gather information on which shops carry the greatest range for each product group, and partly by contact with individual shops (retail and internet), and talking to employees about which products/models sell best.

The following first describes the general delimitation and then general conditions of the survey. Subsequently, the product types will be described individually.

### 5.1 Delimitation

The survey focusses exclusively on consumer products for 2-year-old children.

This survey only covers products that are marketed in D enmark, either through retail outlets, or in D anish web shops.

### 5.2 General conditions of the survey

T he premise is that, in relation to the rest of the population, parents of small children are frequent net shoppers and that it is mostly mothers who purchase the products for their 2-year-olds.

### 5.2.1 Contacts

Initially, a number of trade associations and large supermarket chains, toy chains and other outlets were contacted to find out which products, out of the individual product groups and product categories in the survey, were the most sold products on the D anish market.

### 5.2.2 Sizes

C hildrens' clothing products were purchased in sizes 92-98 and shoe sizes 2326 , which are considered to be average sizes for 2 -year-olds.

R etailers were also contacted and asked in advance, whether they considered the soft toys and bath toys that were to be sampled in the survey, to be suitable for 2-year-olds.

### 5.3 Outdoor clothes

The survey focuses on outdoor clothes made with impregnated textiles, i.e. outdoor clothes which are marketed as being waterproof, water-resistant, or dirt-resistant.

As the campaign week for the project was originally set for week 25 , i.e. during the summer, it was aimed at finding clothing suitable for that time of year (windcheaters and other lightweight outdoor clothing). H owever, as the survey actually took place in O ctober, it was impossible to find that type of clothing in the shops, so lined jackets and winter jackets were added to the samples.

M ittens made from impregnated material and marketed as being waterproof, water-resistant, or dirt-resistant are also included.

### 5.3.1 Legislation

L egislation applying to outdoor clothing has different limitations on use of substances such as brominated flame retardants, impregnation substances, PFOS and its derivatives, heavy metals, nickel, etc. T hese are described further in section 4.2 T extiles.

### 5.3.2 Delimitation

T he survey includes impregnated outdoor clothes, for instance, textile jackets or coats suitable for season changes (spring/autumn) and lined jackets/winter jackets.

M ittens made from the same material as all-in-one suits were also included. T he survey focuses on outdoor clothes and mittens, which are marketed as being either waterproof, or water resistant.

Skiwear is not included in the survey.
R ainwear is not generally included in the systematic survey, but is included whenever the survey encountered PVC rainwear. Internet searches were made for PVC rainwear and during shop visits enquiries were made as to whether the retailer stocked PVC rainwear.

### 5.3.3 Description of product type in use

T he types of impregnated jackets and coats that were originally targeted in the survey were primarily those that could be used during spring and autumn, however, this type of clothing can also be used during the winter months in situations in, which all-in-one suits are inappropriate, e.g. car journeys.

As mentioned previously, it was not possible to find this type of clothing during visits to retailers in October, so lined jackets and winter jackets for normal outdoor use were also included in the survey.

T wo-year-old children are primarily exposed to substances in their outdoor clothes and mittens either by sucking cuffs and mittens, or by sucking/playing with hanging parts - reflectors, zips etc.

### 5.3.4 Survey of the range of outdoor clothing

### 5.3.4.1 Procedure

An enquiry was made to $T$ he $D$ anish $C$ hamber of $C$ ommerce for contacts with trade associations.

C oop, D ansk Supermarked, Jysk and M atas were contacted to ask which brands and trade names they carried, which were the best sellers and how large a proportion of total sales in D enmark they accounted for.

A number of retail chains (baby chains) specialising in baby articles were also contacted, including BabySam, Ønske Børn and BabyV est.

A number of retailers in the Århus area were visited for the survey on jackets and mittens. T hese included the following:

- Føtex
- Bilka
- K vickly
- BabySam
- BabyV est (not nation wide, but has shops in Jylland and on Fyn)
- LIC (L ærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers)
- H\&M
- M agasin
- Salling (not nation wide, but has shops in A alborg and Århus)
- K ære Børn
- Namelt
- Zara
- Lego Shop (shop in the Århus area)
- K rutter ( shop in the Å rhus area)
- M ade in (shop in the Århus area)
- H ønsefødder (shop in the Århus area)
- Kits (shop in the Århus area)
- M illemarengs (shop in the Århus area)
- Okker G ogger (shop in the Århus area)
- Prinsessen og Ridderen (shop in the Århus area)

In addition, catalogues, advertisements etc, were also surveyed.
T he $G$ oogle search engine was used, using various search words and combinations of the same. T his was done in order to gain a general impression of the market for impregnated jackets and mittens and to find net vendors and retailers which stock these products.

The search also included a number of specific websites.

### 5.3.5 Survey results

### 5.3.5.1 Products

This survey focuses on outdoor clothes made with impregnated textiles, i.e. clothes which are marketed as being waterproof, water-resistant, or dirtresistant.

T he products are generally supplied with information on the construction of outer material and lining. In most cases, the product has washing instructions.

In the survey of impregnated jackets, information from stockists on product materials was registered. T his concerned jackets with an outer material of $100 \%$ nylon, coated cotton, beaver nylon, $100 \%$ cotton, $100 \%$ Eco-T ex certified wool, polyester and polyamide/polyurethane.

With mittens, outer materials of nylon, polyamide, polyester and cotton were registered.

T extiles marketed as being waterproof can be impregnated or coated, typically with PU (polyurethane).

T o become waterproof, or water-resistant, clothes can have:

- Impregnated outer surfaces.
- Plastic coatings on the outer surface or rear.
- M embranes on the rear, or as a laminate in between the outer and inner materials.

The impregnation will most probably contain fluorine ( certain exceptions can be use of a silicone compound to provide the water-resistant effect). M ost common will be fluorocarbon compounds, but fluorotelomers can also be found. There is also a probability that membranes will contain fluoro-polymer
compounds. Plastic coatings can be of polyurethane or polyvinyl chloride and perhaps other types of polymer, which may also contain fluoro-compounds.

### 5.3.5.2 Results of surveying via trade associations and large retail chains

## The Danish C hamber of C ommerce

T he D anish C hamber of Commerce did not consider itself able to contribute information to the survey. T he organisation says that companies cannot be expected to furnish information on which products sell best, as this could mean that these products would almost certainly be selected for analysis and possibly "exposed". T he D anish C hamber of C ommerce therefore suggested that contact be made directly with the larger baby retailers.

C oop
N o reply was received to our inquiry about trade names and market share. Information was received that waterproof coatings are used.

D ansk Supermarked N o replies were received to our request.

Baby chains
No replies were received to our request.

### 5.3.5.3 Result of surveying via the web

## J ackets

Several websites were visited and, using G oogle, eight relevant online webshops were found.

Six different search criteria were used. "W indcheaters children" returned around 8,290 results, "spring/autumn jackets children" returned around 4,540 results, "windcheaters baby" returned around 10,900 results and "anorak children" returned around 108,000 results.

With the first search criterion, "windcheaters children", the first 11 pages were further examined for possible vendors. Each page contained 10 results, i.e. 110 results in all. T his was done either via the search engine's short summaries, or by visiting the individual websites.

T he number of visited pages fell in the subsequent searches, as there were many repeats from previous searches.

M ittens
Several websites were visited and, using G oogle, and eleven relevant online webshops were identified.
"W aterproof mittens" - returned 13,000 results. T he first 11 pages were examined for possible vendors. Each page contained 10 results, i.e. 110 results in all. T his was done either via the search engine's short summaries, or by visiting the individual websites.

### 5.3.5.4 Results of shop visits

## J ackets

It proved impossible to find thin, impregnated jackets in the shops during the actual period of the survey (October 2008), which would have been possible
during the originally planned campaign week (week 25, 2009). Shop personnel reported that they just did not stock that type of clothing at this time of year.

A number of winter jackets were examined during the shop visits.
One retail chain reported that they no longer stocked PVC rainwear and that PVC had been phased out in their shops a number of years previously. T he retailer doubted that it would at all be possible to find PVC rainwear for children these days. W hen asked if they stocked PVC rainwear, all the other shops visited also replied that they did not.

M ittens
T he general picture is that supermarkets stock one brand, which can be found in a range of colours and possibly, designs.

### 5.3.5.5 Product list

Product list - jackets
T able 5.1 and $T$ able 5.2 present a range of products registered during the course of the survey.

Tabl e 5.1 Exampl es of water proof/water-resistant jackets from the survey - websh ops

| Webshop | Product name | Description (directly from website) | Item price | Sizes | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M aelkechokolade.dk | Nalle D Anorak | Wind and water-resistant. Fleece lining. <br> M ade of coated cotton. Lining: sports anti piling fleece. | 499 kr. | 2-8 Years | http://www.maelkechokola de.dk/ shop/ anorak-til-drenge-645p.html |
| www.prinsessebutikk en.dk | Mini A Ture Anorak | Windproof, waterproof and breathable. <br> Outer material: 100\% nylon. Inner material: 100\% polyester fleece. <br> Lining: 100\% High Performance polyester. <br> Waterproof at 3000 mm . | 700 kr . | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2-10 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | http://prinsessebutikken.d k/product.asp?product=48 822 |
| Butik-bambino.dk | Cupcake Jacket | Wind and waterproof. <br> Breathable. Waterproof at 7000 mm. <br> Outer material: 100\% nylon Inner material: 100\% polyester. | 899 kr. | 1-4 Years | http://www.butikbambino.dk/product.asp?p roduct=20631 |
| Ansos.dk | Troll Windcheater | Windproof, waterproof and breathable windcheater. Jacket without lining | 299 kr. | $\begin{aligned} & 80-122 \\ & \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ | http://www.ansos.dk/ sear chadv.asp?job=search\&key word=troll +vindjakke |
| Tinyzone.dk | Tinyminymo Spring/Autum n jacket | Wind and water-resistant. With cotton lining | 150 kr . | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68-92 } \\ & \mathrm{cm} \end{aligned}$ | http://www.tinyzone.dk/pr oduct.asp?product=3779 We now run into the problem that certain jackets such as this one have been "taken off" the website. |
| Raskedrenge.dk | Ocean SoftShell | Wind and waterproof. M aterial: 3 layer bonded elastic micro-fleece. | 359 kr. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-10 } \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | http://raskedrenge.dk/dre ngetj/drengetoej_0210_aar /drenge overtoej/ ocean_s oft_shell_jakke_521_da.ht ml |
| Mille-mi.dk | Minymo Anorak | 100\% waterproof and breathable. 100\% beaver nylon. Fleece lining: 100\% polyester. | 500 kr . | $\begin{aligned} & 80-134 \\ & \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ | http://millemi.dk/product.asp?produc $t=18650$ |
| Bonaparte.dk | Bonaparte jacket | Wind and water-resistant. Perforated lining. Polyester filled. | 429 kr. | $\begin{aligned} & 80-150 \\ & \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ | http://www.bonaparte.dk/ pigetoej/jakker/group/208 |


| Webshop | Product name | Description (directly from website) | Item price | Sizes | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lightly shining quality. 100\% cotton |  |  | 9665/product/ 5630823/Pr oduktdetaljer.produktdetail s_dk.0.html? \&ftu $=015 \mathrm{clcb}$ 4̄ 882 aaafd62e1042b0b78 2d6 |

T he following list shows products which have been registered during visits to retailers. All products are of sizes suitable for 2 year-olds.

Table 5.2 Examples of jackets from survey - shop visits

| Retailer | Product name | Product description (directly from garment) | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Føtex | JDL Essentials | Outer material: polyester Lining: Fleece, polyester. | 99.75 kr . |
| Lego Butikken | Lego-Tec Wear | Windproof, waterproof and breathable. Produced by Kaboki. Made from 100\% Oxford polyamide or polyester. Extra impregnated. Lego-Tec jacket is made from flying-suit (all-in-one) material. | 700 kr . |
| Okker Gokker. | Okker Gokker | Outer material: 100\% N ylon Cordura. Stitching: 100\% Polyester. Padding: 3M Thinsulate. | 649 kr . |
| M agasin | Reima-Tec: M alli | Windproof, waterproof and breathable. Outer material of $73 \%$ Polyamide, $27 \%$ polyethane. Lining: 100\% Polyamide. Also available as all-inone suit. | 800 kr. |
| M agasin | Ver de Terre: Moon | Shell Fabric: 100\% nylon. Padding: 100\% polyester. Thermoliote plus (which is what makes it waterproof - according to the sales assistant). | 1200 kr . |
| M agasin | Mini A Ture: Elvin 301 | Shell: 100\% nylon. Downproof and waterrepellent. Lining: 100\% nylon. Filling: 70\% down and $30 \%$ feather. | 1299 kr . |
| M agasin | CupCake: CC-501-296-arm | Windproof, waterproof and breathable. Shell: $100 \%$ nylon. Lining and padding: $100 \%$ polyester. Also available as all-in-one suit. | 899 kr. |
| M agasin | Molo: Arctic | Thermolite. Outer material: 100\% Polyamide. Lining and padding: 100\% Polyester. M agasin in Århus stock 7 brands, which are classified as waterproof, meaning that they can withstand a water pressure of 5000 mvp or above (according to the sales assistant). | 900 kr . |
| Salling | Ticket to Heaven: Janus | Windproof, waterproof and breathable. The jacket is guaranteed waterproof. The outer material is of nylon and the lining and stitching of polyester. | On offer: 299 kr . |
| Salling | M ala: M aria | Thinsulate 3M. Outer material: 1005 cordura. Lining: 100\% polyester. Also available as all-in-one-suit. | 659 kr . |
| Salling | Me Too: Karla | Windproof, waterproof and breathable. Outer material: 100\% nylon. Lining: 100\% nylon. Padding: 100\% polyester. | 599 kr . |
| Salling | Minymo: Vega 3 | Anorak. Windproof, waterproof and breathable. Thinsulate. 100\% Nylon Oxford. | 499 kr . |
| Salling | Noa Noa | Waterproof (WP7000) and breathable. Made from polyamide and polyester. <br> Salling stock 8 brands, which are classified as waterproof, meaning that they can withstand a water pressure of 5000 mvp or above (according to the sales assistant). | Forgot to note price |
| H\&M | H\&M | Water-resistant. M ade from polyamide and polyester. | 298 kr. |
| H\&M | H\&M | Water-resistant. M ade from polyester. Detachable hood. | 349 kr . |

### 5.3.5.6 Product prices

D uring the survey, jackets were registered in a price range from 100-1,299 kr.

### 5.3.5.7 Selected products

There is no information on whether the examined jackets were impregnated or not, but all were marketed as either waterproof, or water-resistant. As mentioned previously, jackets that are marketed as waterproof, or waterresistant can be either impregnated or coated - sometimes both. It is not possible to see whether a jacket is impregnated, or not.

Five products were selected from the product group for detailed studies.

## Every effort was made to select popular brands from a wide price range.

Product list - mittens
T able 5.3 and Table 5.4 show a range of products registered in connection with the survey.

Table 5.3 Examples of water proof/water-resistant mittens from survey - webshops

| Webshop | Product name | Description (directly from website) | Item price | Sizes | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mille-mi.dk | Cupcake | Waterproof and breathable. 100\% polyester. <br> Linings: 100\% cotton, fleece lining. | 269 kr. | 92-116 cm | http://millemi.dk/product.asp?product=1 8428\&attrib=1\&attrib1=8611 |
| M iniature.dk | Miniature | Windproof, waterproof and breathable. <br> Zip and velcro | 270 kr. | 2-7 Years | http://www.miniaturen.dk/pr oduct.php?id=3286 |
| Tinyzone.dk | M inymo | Waterproof. Effective down to - 20 C | 99 kr . | 1-10 Years | http://www.tinyzone.dk/ prod uct.asp?product=2249 |
| Tinyzone.dk | ABEKO | 100\% waterproof. <br> Lined with cotton fleece. With design. | 89 kr . | 1-10 Years | http://www.tinyzone.dk/prod uct.asp?product=469 |
| Smartkids.dk | Legowear Lego | 100\% waterproof. 100\% nylon. Rubber-grip on palms. <br> With design. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149,75 } \\ & \text { kr. } \end{aligned}$ | 1-7 Years | http://www.smartkids.dk/bab ypige/VisVare.asp?ID=19533\& mid=0 |
| Smartkids.dk | Legowear Duplo | 100\% waterproof. 100\% nylon. | 99,75 kr. | 1-7 Years | http:// www.smartkids.dk/bab ypige/VisVare.asp?ID=19538 \&mid=0 |
| Smartkids.dk | Fransa Kids | Wind and waterproof at 3000 mm . <br> Outer material 100\% polyamide, lining 100\% polyester. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149,75 } \\ & \text { kr. } \end{aligned}$ | S-L <br> 1-2 Years <br> 3-4 Years <br> 5-7 Years | http://www.smartkids.dk/mi nipige/ visvare.asp?id=20498 |
| Børnebiksen.dk | Reima tecmittens | Windproof, waterproof and breathable. <br> Mittens contain X-static which is and effective fibre system with silver fibres. Silver has natural anti- bacterial properties that resist the growth of bacteria and mould in the material. Fleece lining <br> The fibres are therefore effective against odours. Silver also has superior thermo-dynamic properties which keep the fingers extra warm. X-static prevents static electricity and retains its properties for the entire product lifetime. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 239,95 } \\ & \text { kr. } \end{aligned}$ | 0-4 Years | http://www.bornebiksshop.d k/product.asp?product=275 |
| M odebanditten. dk | Troll | Wind and waterproof. Wool lining. | 289 kr. | 1-5 Years | http://www.modebanditten.d k/product_info.php?products id=186 |
| Prinsesserog pirater.dk | Hesta | Water-resistant. | 200 kr. | 0-3Years | http://www.prinsesserogpirat er.eu/shop/hestra-luffer-m36446p.html |
| Prinsesserog pirater.dk | Reima Corno mittens | Can be tumble-dried...Same technical properties as | 239 kr . | 2-4 Years | http://www.prinsesserogpirat er.eu/shop/reima-corno- |


| Webshop | Product name | Description (directly from website) | Item price | Sizes | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | "Sommen" all-in-one-suit. |  |  | green-27167p.html |
| M iniature.dk | Ticket. | Windproof, waterproof and breathable. <br> Fleece lining | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149,95 } \\ & \text { kr. } \end{aligned}$ | 0-2 Years | http://www.miniaturen.dk/pr oduct.php?id=3260 |
| Babysmart.dk | Alfons Åberg. | Waterproof. With design. <br> Rubber-grip on palms. Reflective tape. | 79 kr . | 1-3 Years | http://www.babysmart.dk/sh op/alfons-aaberg-luffer2778p.html |
| Noahogvictoria. dk | Didrikson | Waterproof. <br> With design. Reflective tape. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149,95 } \\ & \text { kr. } \end{aligned}$ | 0-4 Years | http://www.noahogvictoria.d k/product.asp?product=14173 |
| Olgasoldebørn. dk | Mini A Ture | 100\% waterproof. Outer material: 100\% breathable, windproof nad waterproof nylon Inner material: 100\% polyester fleece Lining: 100\% High Performance polyester. <br> With design. | 249 kr . | 2-9 Years | http://www.olgasoldeboern.d k/shop/mini-a-ture3663p.html |
| Babytex.dk | Zipizi | Wind and waterproof. Plush lining With design. | 75 kr . | 1-4 Years | http:// babytex.dk/ vanter_og regnbukser/ vanter/luffer_bla a str 1 aar da.html |

T he following list shows products which have been registered during visits to retailers. All products are of a size suitable for 2 year-olds.

Table 5.4 Examples of waterproof/water-resistant mittens from survey - webshops

| Product name | Retailer | Price | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alpine junior mitten | Bilka | 49.95 kr. | Available in several <br> colours |
| Units children's' mitten | Kvickly | $79,95 \mathrm{kr}$. (2 pack) | Nylon - Available in <br> several colours |
| Mikk-line | BabySam <br> BabyVest | $69 \mathrm{kr}$. On offer, <br> normal price 129 kr. | Available in several <br> colours |
| Reima Tec x-static | BabyVest <br> Magasin | 299 kr. <br> 240 kr. | Available in several <br> colours |
| Reima | BabyVest <br> Magasin | $99 \mathrm{kr.-99} \mathrm{kr}$. | Available in several <br> colours |
| No name Thinsulate lining | Bilka | 49.95 kr. <br> 79 kr. | Various models - <br> Available in several <br> colours |
| No name Thinsulate lining | H\&M | 79 kr. | Various models - <br> Available in other <br> colours |
| No name | H\&M | 79,95 for 2 pack | Available in several <br> colours <br> Only information <br> "Made in China" |
| Hello Kitty | H\&M | $49,75 \mathrm{kr}$. | Kvickly <br> Friends <br> patterns |
| Coop Unitsport | Kvickly | 79.95 kr. | Various colours |
| North Field | Føtex | $49,85 \mathrm{kr}$. | Available in several <br> colours |
| Ticket | Magasin | $149,95 \mathrm{kr}$. | Available in several <br> colours |
| No name | Magasin | $69.95 \mathrm{kr}$. | Available in several <br> colours |
| Cupcake | Magasin | $269 \mathrm{kr}$. | Available in several <br> colours |
| Molo | Magasin | 150 kr. | Available in several <br> colours |

At all shop visits in T able 5.4 (except those at Bilka and Føtex), information was available on whether the mittens were waterproof, or water resistant. At Bilka and Føtex, however, it was not possible to find staff who knew anything about children's clothes.

T he sales assistant in the children's department at $M$ agasin said that all mittens are waterproof to a certain extent. T he most waterproof are Reimatec, then Ticket, Cup Cake and M olo. T here are also standard Reima mittens, although these are not as waterproof as Reima-tec. T he sales assistant said, without being asked, that mittens are often made of the same material as all-in-one suits, but are coated with a substance that makes them waterproof.

H\&M say that four of the types of mitten they sell are water-resistant and 100\% polyester. All types are unnamed. T hree of them are termed "skimittens" on the H \&M website, while the fourth is simply "mittens". H ello Kitty mittens are not stated as water-resistant on the $\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{M}$ website, even though on a shop visit they were said to be so.

M ikk-line mittens are made of K aporous material, which is a registered trade name like T eflon, G ore-tex, etc. K aporous material is claimed to be wind and waterproof.

C oop says that their waterproof mittens have a PU coating.

### 5.3.5.8 Product prices

The survey registered mitten prices varying from 40 kr . per pair ( 2 pack 79.95) and 299 kr . per pair.

### 5.3.5.9 Selected products

T here is no information on whether the mittens in the survey are of the same material as the all-in-one suits (according to product specifications, all-in-one suits are made of various materials, although are often stated as being $100 \%$ nylon).

T he survey has only looked at mittens where the material "looks like" that of all-in-one suits and where the shop personnel have stated that the mittens were either waterproof, or water-resistant.

In all, five products were selected for detailed study from the product group mittens.

Every effort was made to select popular brands from a wide price range.

### 5.4 Footwear

The survey focuses on footwear which could be expected to be worn during the campaign period for the project (originally week 25), which is during the summer. Thus, two types of footwear were examined; rubber boots and rubber clogs.

### 5.4.1 Legislation

T he legislation applicable to footwear has different limitations on use of substances such as PFOS and its derivatives, heavy metals, nickel, etc.

### 5.4.2 Delimitation

In the survey, rubber boots are defined as waterproof boots made from either plastic or rubber. T he survey is limited to rubber boots without lining.

T he expression "rubber clogs" describes a clog-like product, probably of a thermo-plastic material (TPE), for example, an EVA-type.

### 5.4.3 Description of product type in use

Rubber boots are presumed to be used primarily in spring, summer and autumn, although unlined boots, often worn with thick woollen socks, are also likely to be worn in the milder winter months.

Rubber boots are probably also only worn for a limited number of hours, or at a maximum, during the 120 rainy days which the D M I (D anish $M$ eteorological Institute) says is normal for $D$ enmark.

Rubber clogs are worn both indoors and outdoors, during the summer, but during the winter, primarily as indoor shoes. Some models have a detachable lining.

C hildren are mainly exposed to the substances used in rubber boots and rubber clogs if they wear them with bare feet, or if the material comes into contact with bare legs (edges of rubber boots). If the children sweat, there is an even greater risk of migration from the product. It is also quite conceivable that 2 year-olds may suck their rubber clogs - especially if they are being used indoors at home.

### 5.4.4 Survey of the range of footwear

### 5.4.4.1 Procedure

An enquiry was made to $T$ he $D$ anish $C$ hamber of $C$ ommerce for contacts with trade associations.

C oop and D ansk Supermarked were contacted to ask which brands and trade names they stocked, which were the best sellers and how large a proportion of total sales in D enmark they accounted for.

An enquiry was made to Crocs D anmark concerning: the material the footwear is made from; which models are available and stocked in D enmark for 2 year-old children; and if similar products are available.

A number of retail chains specialising in baby articles were also contacted, including BabySam, Ønske Børn and BabyV est.

Several retail outlets were visited. T hese include the following:

- Føtex
- Bilka
- K vickly
- H\&M
- LIC (L ærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers)
- Tops Sko
- BabySam
- BabyV est
- D eichmann sko
- Intersport
- M agasin

In addition, catalogues, advertisements etc, were also surveyed.
G oogle was used for the survey, using various search words and combinations of the same. T his was done to find general details of rubber clogs and rubber boots on the market and to identify a number of webshops selling them.

A number of specific websites were also searched.

### 5.4.5 Results of survey

### 5.4.5.1 Products

On their website, C rocs state that their clogs are made from "C roslite T M with closed cells which are neither plastic, nor rubber". T his could indicate that they are made from a thermoplastic elastomer (T PE), for example an EVA type.

C rocs D anmark say that the content of C rocs is secret and that they cannot reveal any more details of the composition of the material.

Rubber boots, on the other hand, are usually made from natural rubber, although an alternative can be chloroprene, PVC and polyurethane.

### 5.4.5.2 Results of survey via trade associations and large retail chains

## The D anish C hamber of C ommerce

The D anish C hamber of Commerce did not consider itself able to contribute information to the survey. T he organisation says that companies cannot be expected to furnish information on which products sell best, as this could mean that these products would almost certainly be selected for analysis and possibly "exposed". T he D anish C hamber of C ommerce therefore suggested that contact be made directly with the larger baby retailers.

C oop
N o replies were received to our enquiry.
D ansk Supermarked
No replies were received to our enquiry.
Baby chains
No replies were received to our enquiry.
Crocs
Reply to enquiry: Crocs D anmark say that the content of Crocs is secret and that they can not reveal any more details of the composition of the material.

### 5.4.5.3 Result of surveying via the web

A number of website were visited and 17 relevant online webshops were found using G oogle.

Rubber clogs

The search words "C rocs children" were used, which gave around 380,000 results. T he first 11 pages were examined for possible retailers. Each page contains 10 results, which is 110 results in all. T he survey was carried out using G oogle's short summaries, or by visiting the actual website. In addition, a search for "clogs children" gave around 1,910 results, out of which two webshops selling C rocs-like products to the age group were found.

Rubber boots
Four different search criteria have been used:

- "U nlined rubber boots children" - returned approx. 71 results.
- "T extile lined rubber boots" - returned approx. 8 results.
- "T extile lined rubber boots children" - returned 5 results.
- "R ubber boots children lined" - returned approx. 62,000 results.

U sing the search words "unlined rubber boots children", around 20 websites were visited and the rest were checked using the G oogle short summaries. U sing the search words "textile lined rubber boots", 6 out of 8 hits were visited and with "textile lined rubber boots children", two websites were visited. U sing the search words "rubber boots children lined", the first 11 pages were checked for possible retailers. E ach page contained 10 results, giving 110 results altogether. T his survey was done using the G oogle short summaries or by visiting individual websites.

### 5.4.5.4 Results of shop visits

Rubber clogs
T here were only a few shops at this time of year that stocked rubber clogs in children's sizes. K vickly stocked one model with a detachable lining, as did D eichmann Sko.

At Bilka, rubber clogs (without name) were found in a box with left-over items at reduced price.

In their direct-mail catalogue for week 41, K vickly advertise a rubber clog with detachable lining for 69.96 kr .

A rubber clog called "iplay" was found at L ayette baby articles in Allerød.

Rubber boots
T ops Sko registered many different types of rubber boot. T he sales assistant said they had a large range, but could not really say which the best were. T hey did not, however, sell many of the small sizes in the H unter range (a relatively expensive boot, retailing at 499.75 kr ).

Ecco Sko stocked only one model. The sales assistant said they hardly ever sold rubber boots. In spite of a reduction in price from 499 kr to 299 kr . they still did not sell "People get them cheaper at Føtex".

### 5.4.5.5 Product list

T he following tables; T able 5.5 and T able 5.6 present a list of products within the product group rubber clogs registered during the survey. All the products were sold in sizes suitable for a 2 year-old (23-26).

Table 5.5 Exampl es of rubber clogs fromthe survey - webshops

| Webshop | Product name | Description (directly from website) | Price | Size EU | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skosnuden.dk | Crocs Kids Cayman | Light and soft. Easy to wash and dry off. Available in many colours. <br> A comfortable and cool sandal which forms itself to the child's foot. Unique design with orthopaedic non-slip soles. Made from Croslite ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, which is waterproof. | 299 kr. | 21-36 | http://www.skosnuden.d <br> k/shop/black- <br> 2035p.html |
| Skosnuden.dk | Crocs Kids Georgie | Soft and insulating effect. Same material as other Crocs and $100 \%$ waterproof. Available in many colours. Orthopaedic and non-slip soles. Made from Croslite ${ }^{T M}$, which is waterproof. | 399 kr. | 25-36 | http://www.skosnuden.d k/shop/kids-georgie-lime-2408p.html |
| Growingfeet.dk | Crocs Athenes | Flip-flop sandal with elastic at heel. | 299 kr. | 23-36 | http://www.growingfeet. dk/shop/crocs-athens-taasandal-4222p.html |
| Growingfeet.dk | Crocs Mary Jane | Attractive girl's sandal from Crocs with all the great features of Kids Cayman sandals. <br> A comfortable and cool sandal which forms itself to the child's foot. Unique design with orthopaedic non-slip soles. Made in Croslite ${ }^{\mathrm{TN}}$, which is waterproof. | 399 kr . | 23-36 | http://www.growingfeet. dk/shop/ crocs-mary-jane-3643p.html |
| Butiklea.dk | Crocling Crocs | Very shock absorbent. <br> Forms itself to the child's foot <br> Resists bacteria and prevents odour <br> Extremely lightweight. <br> Very shock absorbent. <br> Forms itself to the child's foot <br> Resists bacteria and prevents odour <br> Extremely lightweight. | 399 kr . | 20-36 | http://www.butiklea.dk/ group.asp?group $=122$ |
| Butiklea.dk | Crocs Kids Mammoth | Great outdoors in cold weather and also as an indoor shoe. | 299 kr. | 25-36 | http://www.butiklea.dk/ product.asp?product=52 7 |
| Babyshoe.dk | Holy Soles | Machine washable at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Many colours <br> Colourfast - does not mark. <br> Completely safe - made from EVA and air. <br> No PVC! No phthalates. <br> Resistant to odour, liquids and bacteria. | 299 kr. | 23-31 | http://www.babyshoe.dk /shop/holey-soles-moerkeblaa-956p.html |
| TrendZet |  | Plastic clogs M achine washable at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 40 kr . | 27-28 | http://www.trendzet.dk/ shop/blaa-clogs351p.html |

Table 5.6 Exampl es of rubber clogs from the survey - shop visits

| Product <br> name | Retailer | Price | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No name | Bilka | On offer: 10 kr. |  |
| No name | Bilka | On offer: 10 kr. |  |
| Iplay | Layette baby articles, Allerød | 50 kr. |  |
| No name | Kvickly | 69.95 kr. | With detachable lining |
| Sahara | Deichmann sko | 99 kr. | With detachable lining |

T able 5.7 and T able 5.8 present a range of products from the product group rubber boots registered during the course of the survey. All products stocked in sizes suitable for 2 year-olds (23-26).

Table 5.7 Examples of rubber clogs fromthe survey - webshops

| Webshop | Product name | Description | Price | Size EU | Direct link |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hallgrensko.dk | Viking Rubber <br> boots | $100 \%$ pure rubber | 299 kr. | $24-33$ | http://www.hallgrensko.dk/b <br> oernesko/gummistoevlertilb <br> oernogvoksne/700092/ |
| Frkolga.dk | Molo Rubber <br> boots | Rubber boots with multi-coloured <br> stripes and star reflector at rear. | 199 kr. | $20-37$ | http://www.frk- <br> olga.dk/ commodity/ 686 |


| Webshop | Product name | Description | Price | Size EU | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heartwear.eu | Viking Fish Mini Rubber boots | Rubber boots with tropical fish design. <br> Made from natural rubber with rubber outer sole. Anatomically formed EVA inner sole and M AX GRIP | 200 kr . | 19-26 | http://www.heartwear.eu/pr oduct.asp?product=4689 |
| Sundbaby.dk | Minymo Rubber boots | Rubber boots with turquoise or dark brown arrow design. | 200 kr. | 20-30 | http://sundbaby.dk/shop/mi nymo-gummistoevler73085p.html |
| Brandos.dk | Moomin Hanna Rubber boots | Waterproof, unlined rubber boots. Waterproof rubber. Top part of boot in nylon. Inner lined with material. | 249 kr . | 19-24 | http://www.brandos.dk/moo min/hanna-5995/ |
| Ellos.dk | Kompis rubber boots | Material lined. Rubber sole. Laces in front. M any styles. | 199 kr . | 22-28 | https://www.ellos.dk/DetailP ages/DetailPage.aspx?catego ryld=23960\&productld=2012 02\&sellingflag=Prediction\&S T=Predictor\%20\%20proddet1 |
| Mille-mi.dk | Celavi rubber boot | Rubber boot in olive green with lime green edging. Made from natural rubber. | 130 kr . | 19-34 | http://www.millemi.dk/product.asp?product= 2096 |
| Smartkids.dk | ABEKO rubber boots | Black with white edge. | 80 kr . | 20-35 | http://www.smartkidz.dk/sh op/abeko-gummistoevle-i1086p.html |
| Skosnuden.dk | Bundgaard rubber boot | Laces at top, with striped material lining. M ade from natural rubber. | 199 kr . | 23-32 | http://www.skosnuden.dk/s hop/chokoladebrun-gummistoevle-1015p.html |
| Konceptkids.dk | Celavi Dino rubber boot | Dinosaur print. Light lining and made with $48 \%$ natural rubber. $100 \%$ waterproof. | 199 kr . | 19-29 | http://www.konceptkids.dk/ dino-gummistoevle-p1344.html |
| Niceliving.dk | T2H rubber boots |  | 250 kr . | 22-35 | http://www.niceliving.dk/pro duct.asp?product=502 |
| Niceliving.dk | Ticket rubber boots | Pink edge above, below and behind. Striped. | 270 kr. | 24-28 | http://www.niceliving.dk/ pro duct.asp?product=2030 |
| Prinsesseskabet .dk | Fifi rubber boots | M aterial lined | 199 kr . | 20-26 | http://prinsesseskabet.dk/sh op/fifi-gummistoevler6374p.html |
| Prinsesseskabet .dk | Kennedy rubber boots | Quality footwear. Good fit. M any styles. | 99 kr . | 19-27 | http://prinsesseskabet.dk/sh op/noddy-gummistoevle2113p.html |
| Tinyzone.dk | Barney Boots | Tape fastening at top of boot to keep water out. M ade from natural rubber which lets the skin breathe. With "puddle measurer" the tape can measure how deep a puddle or snow is. | 159 kr. | 22-33 | http://www.tinyzone.dk/ pro duct.asp?product=10082 |

Table 5.8 Examples of rubber clogs fromthe survey - shop visits

| Product name | Retailer | Price in DKK | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No name | Bilka | 49.95 kr. | Various colours and patterns |
| No name | H\&M |  |  |
| Adi | Tops Sko | $199,75 \mathrm{kr}$. | Natural rubber. |
| Viking Play Kids Line | Tops Sko <br> Intersport <br> Magasin | $199,75 \mathrm{kr}$. | Many different styles |
| The Hunter | Tops Sko | $499,75 \mathrm{kr}$. |  |
| CeLaVi | Tops Sko | $149,75 \mathrm{kr}$. | $199,50 \mathrm{kr}$. <br> BundgaardTops Sko <br> Magasin |
| Barney Boots | Tops Sko <br> Magasin | $199,75 \mathrm{kr}$. and 299,75 kr. <br> $200 \mathrm{kr}$. | M any colours and patterns |
| No name | Tops Sko | $299,75 \mathrm{kr}$. | With "puddle measurer" and lace at top |
| Miniature | Magasin |  |  |


| Product name | Retailer | Price in DKK | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Noa Noa | Magasin |  |  |
| Molo | Magasin | 99.95 kr. |  |
| Dille | Føtex | $129 \mathrm{kr}$. and $149 \mathrm{kr}$. |  |
| Abeka | BabyVest | 59.95 kr. |  |
| Units | Kvickly | $99 \mathrm{kr}$. | No name but with a dinosaur on the bottom |
| No name | Deichmann | $99 \mathrm{kr}$. |  |
| Marvel, Spider man | Deichmann | $99 \mathrm{kr}$. | Very stiff material |
| No name | Deichmann | $199 \mathrm{kr}$. |  |
| Elefanten | Deichmann | 99 kr. | Print with "Soccer Sport" |
| No name99 | Deichmann |  |  |

5.4.5.6 Product prices

The survey registered rubber clogs at prices from 10 kr . per pair (on offer) to 399 kr. per pair.

The survey registered rubber boot prices from 49.95 kr . per pair to 499 kr . per pair.

### 5.4.5.7 Selected products

Five products were selected within the product group rubber clogs for detailed studies.

Every effort was made to select popular brands from a wide price range.
Five products were selected within the product group rubber boots for detailed studies.

Every effort was made to select popular brands from a wide price range.

### 5.5 Pacifiers

A pacifier comprises a teat, a coverage and a ring, or knob in various shapes and combinations.

Hipler:


Pacifiers are sold in "sizes"; from 0-3 months, 3-6 months, 6-18 months or 336 months.

[^5]
### 5.5.1 Legislation

The legislation relevant for pacifiers is the EU directive concerning the release of nitrosamines and nitrosatable substances from teats and pacifiers, and the various limitations on use of certain substances such as heavy metals, nickel etc. T hese are described further in C hapter 4.

### 5.5.2 Delimitation

The survey primarily focuses on pacifiers with polycarbonate coverage.
As the survey showed that many pacifiers are produced with a polypropylene coverage, these were also included.

Pacifiers with no information regarding the composition of the coverage were also included.

As a general rule, teat material is always stated (usually latex or silicone).
This survey is only concerned with pacifiers for 2 year-olds.

### 5.5.3 Description of product type in use

Pacifiers are used as a comfort substitute for a mother's breast and can be used both day and night.

H ow much pacifiers are used varies with the child, although most 2 year-old children use pacifiers at some time or another.

C hildren go through many pacifiers and some may have more than one at the same time.

T he Pharmacy writes: "U se: As comfort and substitute for breast or bottle from $0-3$ years. From 3 years, is it recommended that the child be weaned off the pacifier so as not to hinder the development of teeth".

2 year-olds are directly exposed to the substances in the pacifier when they suck on it. M any children go round for long periods holding their pacifier, so it is not only the teat which comes into contact with the child.

### 5.5.4 Survey of the range of pacifiers

### 5.5.4.1 Procedure

An enquiry was made to $T$ he $D$ anish $C$ hamber of C ommerce for contacts with trade associations.

C oop, D ansk Supermarked and M atas were contacted to ask which brands and trade names they stocked, which were the best sellers and how large a proportion of total sales in D enmark they accounted for.

A number of retail chains specialising in baby articles were also contacted, including BabySam, Ønske Børn and BabyV est.

Several retail outlets were visited. T hese include the following:

- Føtex
- Bilka
- K vickly
- M atas
- H\&M
- Pharmacies
- LIC (L ærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers)
- BabySam
- BabyVest
- Irma
- M agasin

In addition, catalogues, advertisements etc, were also surveyed.
G oogle was used for the survey, using various search words and combinations of these. T his was done to find general details of the range of pacifiers on the market and to identify a number of webshops selling them.

A number of specific websites were also searched for.

### 5.5.5 Results of survey

### 5.5.5.1 Products

In more or less all the pacifiers in the survey, information was available on the composition of the teat. T his was however not always the case with the coverage and the ring, and even less so if the pacifier had a knob.

As a general rule, teat material is always stated (usually latex or silicone).
W here information on the composition of the coverage or ring was available, it was usually either polycarbonate or polypropylene. T here were also pacifiers in which the coverage was of polypropylene and the knob of polycarbonate.

Polypropylene is a cheaper plastic material than polycarbonate.
As polycarbonate is an amorphous thermoplastic, it can be produced in a transparent version, whereas polypropylene can not. Polycarbonate is also stronger.

Polycarbonate can contain residues of catalysts and solvents from the polymerisation process. T hese can be triethylamine and tributylamine which are catalyst residues, as well as dichloromethane and monochlorobenzene, which are solvents.

Polypropylene can contain residues of catalysts such as oxides of zirconium, vanadium and chromium.

Silicone rubber, which is peroxide vulcanised, can contain residues of peroxides and their by-products. Platinum vulcanised silicone rubber is regarded as being very clean and free from harmful substances. T his is why platinum-catalysed types are often used in medical utensils, food production and pharmaceutical products.

L atex and natural rubber can contain residues of sulphur vulcanisation agents and their by-products, such as dithiocarbonates, dibutyl amine and other aliphatic amines and nitrosamines.

T he products are available as neutral pacifiers in white, pale blue or pale pink and with designs (soft toys, skulls, crossbones, and teeth), name, photo, free text or company logo.

### 5.5.5.2 Results of surveying via trade associations and large retail chains

The Danish C hamber of C ommerce
The D anish C hamber of Commerce did not consider itself able to contribute information to the survey. T he organisation says that companies cannot be expected to furnish information on which products sell best, as this could mean that these products would almost certainly be selected for analysis and possibly "exposed". T he D anish C hamber of C ommerce therefore suggested that contact be made directly with the larger baby retailers.

C oop
C oop has stated that they stock Bibs, N uk, M AM , T ommee T ippee.
$M$ atas
N o available information
D ansk Supermarked
D ansk Supermarked have stated they do not have a common buying policy and enquiries should be addressed to the individual subsidiary chains, e.g. Føtex, Bilka, N etto, T øj \& Sko, Salling and A-Z.

### 5.5.5.3 Result of surveying via websites

A number of relevant websites were visited and 17 relevant online webshops were found using G oogle.

Five different search criteria have been used:

- "Pacifiers" - returned approx. 2,250 results
- "Pacifiers - 36 months" - returned approx. 400 results
- "Polycarbonate pacifiers" - returned approx. 250 results
- "Polycarbonate pacifiers" - returned approx. 650 results
- "Polypropylene pacifiers" - returned just under 40 results.

O ver 200 websites were surveyed. T he survey was performed through the search engine's short results or by visiting individual sites.

T he www.bambino-mam.se/dk website states the following: "Pacifiers with a silicon teat are becoming more and more popular. But there are still those who think that silicon feels hard and smooth. After many years research, M AM is therefore launching a new silicon surface which completely replaces the old one. Silk T eat T M is as soft and supple as a mother's skin".

### 5.5.5.4 Results of shop visits

There is a strong convergence of brands seen in the shops visited, although $M$ atas markets its own brand, as do pharmacies.

T he A vent brand was stocked by many of the shops visited. In both BabyV est and BabySam they stated that it was the best-selling brand. H owever, this
brand has not been included further in the project because it is aimed at ages up to 18 months and therefore falls outside the project target group.

### 5.5.5.5 Product list

## T able 5.9 and

T able 5.10 present a range of products registered in the course of the survey.
T able 5.9Examples of pacifiers from the survey - webshops:

| Product name | M aterial, teat | Material, coverage | M aterial ring | Website | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gumlo | Silicon | Polypropylene | Polypropylene | www. Gumlo.dk |  |
| Narresut anatomisk ass. | Natural rubber | Polypropylene | Polypropylene | www..e-handel.abena.dk |  |
| Pussycat sut | N atural rubber | Polypropylene | Polypropylene | www.dreamchild.dk |  |
| NUK | $N$ atural rubber | Polypropylene | Polypropylene | www.puslekassen.dk |  |
| Esska | Latex and silicon | polycarbonate | Not stated | www.navnesutten.dk | M eets EU standard EN 1400, pacifier contains no PVC or phthalate |
| M in personlige sut | Latex | polycarbonate | Polycarbonate | www.barnevognshuset.dk |  |
| Anatomisk sut med navn | Latex | polycarbonate | Polycarbonate | www.minegenverden.dk | M eets EU standard EN 1400 |
| Anatomisk sut med navn | Silicon | polycarbonate | Polycarbonate | www.babyrum.dk | Dansk Varefakta approved |
| Min personlige sut | Silicon/latex | polycarbonate | Polycarbonate | www.prinsessebutikken.dk | Available with latex or silicon teat |
| Anatomisk sut med navn | $N$ atural rubber | Polycarbonate | Polycarbonate | www.minegenverden.dk |  |
| Anatomisk sut med navn | Natural rubber | Polypropylene | Polypropylene | www.babysutten.dk |  |
| Klassisk sut | N atural rubber | Not stated | Not stated | www.0107.dk | Dansk Varefakta approved |
| Avent | Silicon | Not stated | N ot stated | www.med24.dk |  |
| Runde sutter | $N$ atural rubber | Polycarbonate | Polycarbonate | www.minegenbamse.dk | M eets EU standard EN 1400 |
| Sutter med begreber | N atural rubber | Polycarbonate | Polycarbonate | www.kjoellers.dk | M eets EU standard EN 1400 |
| MAM | Silicon/latex | Polypropylene | Not stated | www.bambino-mam.se/dk | Knob material stated: <br> polycarbonate |
| Baby-Nova skånesut | Silicon | Not stated | N ot stated | www.med24.dk |  |
| Pigeon | Not stated | Not stated | N ot stated | www.tojbamsen.dk |  |
| Pussycat | $N$ atural rubber | Polypropylene | Polypropylene | www.dreamchild.dk |  |
| Gommotto sut | N ot stated whole pacifier appears to be of the same material | N ot stated entire pacifier appears to be of the same material | Not stated entire pacifier appears to be of the same material | www.dreamchild.dk | Test winner in German consumer magazine "Ökotest". The physiological Gommotte pacifier contains no allergens or carcinogenic substances which can be transferred to the child. |


| Product name | M aterial, teat | Material, <br> coverage | Material ring | Website | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Natural rubber | Polycarbonate | Not stated <br> Could be <br> polypropylene | Seen in a pharmacy | Meets EU standard <br> EN 1400 <br> Pacifiers made of <br> natural rubber can <br> provoke allergic <br> reactions |

Table 5.10 Examples of pacifiers from survey - shop visits

| Product name. | Retailer | Price in DKK | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apotekets N arresut | Pharmacies | 45.95 for pack with two items. | Teat:: N atural rubber Coverage: Polycarbonate. Stated on pack: M eets EU standard EN 1400. Pacifiers made of natural rubber can provoke allergic reactions. |
| Avent | BabySam BabyNest |  | Teat: $N$ atural rubber. Coverage and ring: Polycarbonate. Only sold for ages up to 18 months. |
| Bambino | Bilka Føtex | 19.45 for 2 pack | Teat: Silicon. |
| Bambino - MAM | Bilka <br> Føtex <br> Kvickly <br> Irma <br> SuperBest | 49.95 for 2 pack | 3 versions with teats in latex, silicon and silicon silk teat (ultra soft silicon). Several models, e.g. MAM Maxi air, MAM Classic, UltiM am. |
| Babycare | Bilka Føtex | 19.95 for 2 pack | Teat:: Latex. Coverage: Polypropylene. |
| Bamse-sut | Bilka SuperBest | 16.95 for 3 pack | Teat:: Latex. Coverage: Polypropylene. |
| Baby N ova | Bilka <br> Føtex <br> LIC <br> Pharmacies | 27.95 for 2 pack <br> 27.95 for a "Skånesut" 48.95 at LIC | "Skånesut" only at LIC |
| Nuk | Bilka Kvickly SuperBest | 50 for 2 pack | Teat:: Latex. |
| Tommee Tippee | Bilka |  | Teat: Latex Many models. |
| Bibs | Kvickly SuperBest |  | Several versions, all with latex teats, but with polycarbonate and polypropylene coverages. There is also a luminous model (polypropylene coverage.). |
| M atas Baby | Matas | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19,95-35 } \\ & 30-35 \text { for } 2 \text { pack } \end{aligned}$ | Teat: Latex. Coverage: Polypropylene. Several models. |
| M atas Baby | Matas | $\begin{aligned} & 19.95-35 \\ & 30-35 \text { for } 2 \text { pack } \end{aligned}$ | Teat: Latex. Coverage: Polypropylene. Several models. |

### 5.5.5.6 Product prices

T here is a relatively large price range for pacifiers. Primarily, there are two factors which seem to influence price: the decoration on the coverage (if a photo, name or other personalisation appears, the price is higher than neutral pacifiers) and the number of pacifiers contained in the pack (the more there are, the lower the price per item.)

### 5.5.5.7 Selected products

As none of the substances selected (i.e. potential endocrine disrupters or allergens) were expected to be found in polypropylene products, focus was placed solely on products with polycarbonate coverages.

Five products were selected from the pacifier product group for detailed studies.

### 5.6 PVC bath soap packaging

2-year-old children can be exposed to chemicals in the bath from soap and toys. Such exposure is diluted by the bath water. Soap is regulated by the statutory order on cosmetics, and bath toys by the statutory order on toys. T he new rules on phthalates (REACH, annex XVII, entry 51 and 52 and BEK 855,2009 ) also covers toys. But the packaging of soap for children (body shampoo or bath foam) can be shaped as figures - such as a car, M ickey M ouse or C inderella - and therefore they do not necessarily fall under the definition of a toy, even though they are ideal for use as a toy in the bath and maybe even for small children to suck on.

A previous survey by the D anish Environmental Protection A gency "Survey of chemical substances in cosmetic products for children" showed that many of these packagings were made of PVC and had a high content of phthalates (Poulsen and Schmidt, 2007). T his represents a grey zone, as it concerns cosmetic products shaped in such a way that in many cases they are perceived as toys.

The D anish Safety T echnology Authority (D ST A) decides whether such products are toys, and some of them were categorised by the DST A in the previous project for the $D$ anish Environmental Protection A gency. The figures in question were exclusively 3 -dimensional, e.g. a W innie the Pooh figure with an umbrella, with a head which formed the cap of the bottle.

### 5.6.1 Legislation

As mentioned, there is a grey zone for this type of product in some instances. If the D ST A categorises the products as toys, the statutory order on toys as well as legislation concerning phthalates in toys, apply. Otherwise, the various limitations on the use of different substances such as PFOS and their derivates, heavy metals, cosmetics, etc. apply. See chapter 4 for further details.

### 5.6.2 Delimitation

T his product group is limited to bath soap packaging made of PVC (or of soft plastic with a view to establishing whether they contain PVC), as it is the phthalate content which is relevant with regard to the exposure of 2 -year-olds.

C onsequently, only children's body shampoo/soap/foam bath products in soft plastic packaging shaped as different figures or animals, or ordinary children's body shampoo for which the packaging code indicates that the packaging is made of PVC (triangle with the number $3=$ code for PVC), or moulded figure packaging which was not categorised as toys in DEPA's earlier project: "Identifying chemical substances in cosmetic products for children" were surveyed.

### 5.6.3 Description of product type in use

M any children - including 2 -year-olds - love to bathe and play in the bath. T hat's why children often spend a long time in the bath, perhaps 10-30 minutes. How often parents bathe their children can vary. T wo-year-olds do not need to be bathed every day. The "Bogen om Barnet" published by Politikens F orlag states that children between the ages of 3-6 do not need to bathe every day ( $M$ anniche, 2005). A survey by the D anish A sthma and

Allergy Association, which focused exclusively on children with eczema, showed that $11 \%$ of parents bathe their children every day and approx. $70 \%$ bathe them twice a week ( $D$ anish A sthma and Allergy A ssociation, 2007).

T wo-year-olds can therefore be exposed to phthalate content in bath soap packaging for up to two hours per week, either by sucking on or touching the products directly, or by indirect transfer via the bath water, if playing with the products in the bath.

### 5.6.4 Identifying the range of bath soap packaging

### 5.6.4.1 Procedure

T he survey of bath soap packaging primarily used the findings of the aforementioned survey of cosmetic products for children as its starting point, in which bath soaps, body shampoo, foam bath products and the like (all for children) were identified (Poulsen og Schmidt, 2007).

The earlier project built a database of over 200 cosmetic products for children (including body shampoo/bath gels and foam bath products). An extract from this database shows that the bath soap products bearing a triangular symbol with the figure 3 in them, which indicates the packaging is made of PVC, were all shaped as a certain figure (see
T able 5.11).
Table 5.11 List of packaging made of PVC (Poul sen and Sch midt, 2007). Extract from database of cosmetic products for children from an earlier DEPA survey project.

| Product name | Product type | M anufacturer/Importer | Package description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Barbie Bubble Bath | Foam bath | Adimex | Moulded as a mobile <br> phone with a small bag |
| Barbie Magic Pegasus <br> Bath and Shower <br> Foam | Foam bath | Beauty and Care, <br> Germany | Barbie figure with <br> purple dress |
| Barbie, Erika, Dusch- <br> und badeschaum | Foam bath | Beauty \& Care AG | Dark-haired Barbie doll <br> with pink and blue dress |
|  <br> Shower Gel and <br> Sponge | Body shampoo/bath gel | Adimex, Belgium <br> Dist.: Hillpart, Espania | Winnie the Pooh with <br> honey jar |
|  <br> Shower Gel, M ini <br> mouse | Body shampoo/bath gel | AdimexN.V./S.A. <br> Dist. Hillpart S.A. <br> España | Mini M ouse figure |
| Disney Princess Bath <br> \& Shower Gel, <br> Askepot | Body shampoo/bath gel | AdimexN.V./S.A. <br> Hillpart S.A. | Cinderella figure |
| Disney, Bath and <br> Shower Gel, M ickey <br> Mouse | Body shampoo/bath gel | AdimexN.V./S.A. | Mickey Mouse figure |

D uring the same project, a large number of bath soap figures were bought in shapes such as a zebra or an ice cream cone. Six of these were analysed for phthalate content and all contained minimum $26 \%$ DEHP or $26 \%$ DINP (both are phthalates and are covered by a ban in toys - see section 4.1.2). It was therefore investigated where these figures were bought in the earlier project. T he retailers concerned were thus visited again with regard to establishing whether it was still possible to find PVC bath soap packaging in the shops (autumn 2008).

F oam bath products and bath soap packaging figures were only found via a few websites in the earlier project (Poulsen and Schmidt, 2007). T he same sites were therefore visited again and the $G$ oogle search engine was searched
using various search words and combinations of the same. This was done to find a number of webshops selling foam bath figures.

### 5.6.5 Results of the survey

### 5.6.5.1 Products

Ordinary children's bath soap packaging, e.g. cubic/oval with text, are typically manufactured from pure polyethylene (packaging code 2), polyethylene and polypropylene, or another type of plastic - although not PVC (packaging code 3). T his was confirmed by checking the packaging codes on the bottom of various children's soap packaging types in a wide range of shops, e.g. Bilka, M atas, N etto, pharmacies, Fakta, K vickly and Irma.

C hildren's body shampoo/foam bath products shaped as a certain figure, such as W innie the Pooh or Barbie, were found with packaging code 3, i.e. they are made of PVC. Only D isney and Barbie figures were found with PVC packaging in the survey.

### 5.6.5.2 Result of surveying large retail chains

## C oop

T he retail store Coop has stated that they have previously bought bubble bath or similar products, occasionally with bottles shaped as a figure, animal or similar. Such products are rarely purchased, since the contents have proven to be problematic with regard to perfumes and preservatives.

M atas
M atas stated that the packaging of their own brand of children's bath product is not made of PVC.

### 5.6.5.3 Result of surveying via the web

 F oam bath products and bath soap packaging figures were only found via a few websites in the earlier project (Poulsen and Schmidt, 2007). T hese sites were visited once more to see if they still sold foam bath product figures. T he survey showed that none of the sites in question sold such products any longer.A general search was also performed on $G$ oogle for foam bath product figures, which yielded very modest results. T wo different D anish websites sell the same foam bath product figures in soft plastic. No larger bath soap packaging shaped as different figures were found on the web. The web-based market for such figures seems to be smaller than it was in 2006, when the survey on cosmetic products for children was performed (Poulsen and Schmidt, 2007).

### 5.6.5.4 Result of surveying via shops

A number of physical outlets were visited, such as general stores and perfumeries. Children's body shampoo/foam bath products shaped as certain figures and soft plastic foam bath products figures were primarily found in perfumeries and specialist retailers.
5.6.5.5 Product list

T able 5.12 lists the outlets where PVC bath soap packaging (most probably) is found.

Table 5.12 Examples of PVC bath soap packaging (most probably) from the survey webshops

| Product name | Description | Item price | Packaging | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hjerte rød |  |  | Soft plastic | http://alfredogco.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Sommerfugl |  |  | Soft plastic | http://alfredogco.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| And |  |  | Soft plastic | http://alfredogco.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Fisk |  |  | Soft plastic | http://alfredogco.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Thomas tog |  |  | Soft plastic | http://alfredogco.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Care Bear |  |  | Soft plastic | http://alfredogco.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Skildpadde |  |  | Soft plastic | http://alfredogco.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Delfin |  |  | Soft plastic | http://alfredogco.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Elefant | In blue and pink |  | Soft plastic | http://alfredogco.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Hjerte rød |  | 10 kr . | Soft plastic | http://durance.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Sommerfugl |  | 15 kr . | Soft plastic | http://durance.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| And |  | 15 kr . | Soft plastic | http://durance.dk/ ? traeid=494 |
| Fisk |  | 15 kr . | Soft plastic | http://durance.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Thomas tog |  | 15 kr . | Soft plastic | http://durance.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Care Bear |  | 19 kr . | Soft plastic | http://durance.dk/ ? traeid=494 |
| Skildpadde |  | 19 kr . | Soft plastic | http://durance.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Delfin |  | 19 kr . | Soft plastic | http://durance.dk/ ?traeid=494 |
| Elefant | In blue and pink | 10 kr . | Soft plastic | http://durance.dk/ ? $\mathrm{traeid}=494$ |

Table 5.13 Exampl es of PVC bath soap packaging (most probably) fromthe survey - shop visits

| Product name | Description | Item <br> price | Packaging | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gris |  | 5.5 kr . | Soft plastic |  |
| Sommerfugl |  | 5.5 kr . | Soft plastic |  |
| Mariehøns |  | 5.5 kr . | Soft plastic |  |
| Dødningehoved <br> e |  | 5 kr . | Soft plastic |  |
| Søhest | Blue and green | 5.5 kr. | Soft plastic |  |
| Guldfisk |  | 5.5 kr. | Soft plastic |  |
| Peter Plys | Winnie the Pooh <br> with clock | 70 kr. | Harder plastic | Made of PVC according to <br> package marking |
| Æssel | 70 kr. | Harder plastic | Made of PVC according to <br> package marking |  |
| Barbie | 70 kr. | Harder plastic | Made of PVC according to <br> package marking |  |
| Tornerose? | Princess in yellow <br> dress | 70 kr. | Harder plastic | Made of PVC according to <br> package marking |
| Minnie M ouse |  | 70 kr. | Harder plastic | Made of PVC according to <br> package marking |
| Mickey M ouse | 70 kr. | Harder plastic | Made of PVC according to <br> package marking |  |
| Mus | Some kind of <br> mouse sitting in <br> something? | 70 kr. | Harder plastic | Made of PVC according to <br> package marking |
| Spiderman | Spiderman sitting <br> on a building | $70 \mathrm{kr}$. | Harder plastic | No packaging marking |
| Hello Kitty | Boys and girls <br> versions | $70 \mathrm{kr}$. | Harder plastic | No packaging marking |

### 5.6.5.6 Product prices

It was found that the range of different children's soap packaging made of PVC was small. The price of the small foam bath products figures in soft plastic varies between 5.50 kr . and 19 kr . T he larger packs of harder plastic were exclusively found in one shop during the survey, at 70 kr . each.

### 5.6.5.7 Selected products

Five products were selected from the bath soap packaging product group for detailed studies.

### 5.7 Non-slipfigures and bath mats

2-year-old children can be exposed to chemicals in the bath from soap and toys. Exposure to soap is diluted by the bath water. Bath toys are regulated by the statutory order on toys and, under the new rules on phthalates, which cover a ban on content of certain phthalates (REACH, annex XVII, entry 51 and 52 and BEK 855, 2009). But non-slip mats are not toys or baby articles and are not therefore covered by these statutory orders. N on-slip bath items can be perceived as toys due to their appearance, but it will be up to the DST A to decide whether they are toys or not. It can, however, be expected that children will perceive them as toys, regardless of whether they are categorised as such. Ordinary non-slip bath mats cannot be expected to be used as toys.

### 5.7.1 Legislation

Legislation that applies to non-slip figures and bath mats imposes differing limitations on use of various substances such as PFOS and their derivates, heavy metals, nickel, etc.. See chapter 4 for further details.

### 5.7.2 Delimitation

Only figures and mats in soft plastic or rubber have been included in the survey. H ard plastic tiles - which for example can be clicked together to cover an entire bathroom floor - have not been included.

The survey has primarily focused on figures and mats of such a size that they can fit in a bath tub or bowl, which can be placed in a shower cabin or niche. It was presumed that 2-year-olds are rarely washed while standing up or showered in a shower cabin - most prefer to sit down and play in the water.

### 5.7.3 Description of product types in use

M any children - including 2 -year-olds - love to bathe and play in the bath. T hat's why children often spend a long time in the bath, perhaps 10-30 minutes. How often parents bathe their children can vary and 2 -year-olds do not need to be bathed every day. The "Bogen om Barnet" published by Politikens F orlag states that children between the ages of 3-6 do not need to bathe every day ( $M$ anniche, 2005). A survey by the D anish Asthma and Allergy Association, which focused exclusively on children with eczema, showed that $11 \%$ of parents bathe their children every day and approx. 70\% bathe them twice a week (D anish A sthma and Allergy A ssociation, 2007).

T wo-year-olds can therefore be exposed to chemicals from non-slip figures and mats for up to two hours per week. Such figures for the bath can be shaped in a range of figures and perceived as toys. A part from children sitting on them, 2 -year-olds will also play with them (hence holding them in their hands above the water) and maybe even suck on them. Ordinary non-slip bath mats are not intended to be used as toys, but there is skin contact, as children sit on them in the bath.

### 5.7.4 Survey of the range of non-slip figures and mats for bath tubs

### 5.7.4.1 Procedure

An enquiry was made to $T$ he $D$ anish $C$ hamber of $C$ ommerce for contacts within trade associations.

C oop, D ansk Supermarked, Jysk and M atas were contacted to ask which brands and trade names they carried, which were the best sellers and how large a proportion of total sales in D enmark they accounted for.

A number of retail chains specialising in baby articles were also contacted, including BabySam, Ønske Børn and BabyV est.

Several retail outlets were visited, including the following:

- Føtex
- Bilka
- K vickly
- Jysk
- M atas
- Ikea
- Ilva
- Idé møbler
- LIC
- BabySam
- BabyV est
- M agasin
- Salling
- Silvan
- Bauhaus
- Jem \& fix
- XL-byg
- Stark
- H arald N yborg
- Brødrene Kier AS in Århus
- Frede A ndersen vvs in Århus
- Imerco
- K op og K ande
- Inspiration
- Sinnerup
- T røjborg Isenkram in Århus
- Borgportens Isenkram in Århus
- Alstrøm I senkram in V alby (via telephone).

In addition, catalogues, advertisements, etc. were also surveyed.
The G oogle search engine was searched using various search words and combinations of the same. This was done to obtain general details on non-slip figures and mats for bath tubs on the market and to find a number of shops stocking them.

A number of specific websites were also searched.

### 5.7.5 Results of survey

### 5.7.5.1 Products

In some instances, details of the materials used in the products were registered in the survey. T he materials concerned are $100 \%$ rubber, $100 \%$ synthetic rubber, $100 \%$ PVC and PVC-free.

An antimicrobial agent is expected to have been added to mats made of softened PVC, such as an organotin compound, in order to prevent bacteria and mould.

### 5.7.5.2 Results of surveying via trade associations and large retail chains

The D anish C hamber of C ommerce
The D anish C hamber of Commerce did not consider itself able to provide any details stating that companies cannot be expected to inform which products they sell most of, as doing so will ensure that their products are selected for analysis and thus place them in the public spotlight. T he $D$ anish C hamber of Commerce suggested direct contact to the major baby article chains, which was subsequently taken up.

C oop
C oop has stated that they stock non-slip mats with the Bibs brand name.
$M$ atas
$M$ atas has stated that they do not stock non-slip figures and/or mats.

## J ysk

Jysk has stated that they can regrettably not take part in the survey. T he reason given is the very tight deadlines of the project.

B abySam, Ønske B ørn and B abyV est
No replies were received to our request.
5.7.5.3 Result of surveying via websites

5 relevant online webshops were found using the G oogle search machine and the websites of presumed retailers.

The first 3, BABY HOM E, L avprisvvs.dk and dreamchild.dk (see T able 5.14) were found by entering the search criteria " N on-slip mat bath tub", which returned 1,340 results and "mat bath tub", which returned 68,500 results. It can be presumed that this product group has a very low profile on $D$ anish webshops or is only stocked by a few retailers.

### 5.7.5.4 Results of shop visits

A very limited selection of the product group of non-slip figures and mats was registered in the shops visited.

N on-slip figures and mats were only registered in three of the shops visited (Jysk, Silvan and K vickly).

T wo (possibly three - may be the same material in two different sizes) different non-slip mats were stocked by Jysk. Silvan stocked three different mats, K vickly and BabySam each stocked two different mats.

In other shops visited where non-slip mats were registered, only one type was stocked.

### 5.7.5.5 Product list

T able 5.14 and T able 5.15 present a range of products registered in the survey.

Table 5.14 Examples of non-slipfigures and mats fromsurvey - webshops

| webshop | Product name | Quant. per pack | Price per pack | Details from website | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BABYHOME | HomeM aker Rubber Suction Bath Mat | 1 | 59 kr . | $40 \times 23 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> White | http://babyhome.dk/product.a sp?product=202\&lang=dk\#Sli deFrame 1 |
| Lavprisws.dk | Stella marina | 1 | 139 kr . | $36.5 \times 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ Washable at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ White with blue starfish | http://www.lavprisws.dk/shop /skride-sikker-maatte238542p.html |
| Dreamchild.dk | Baby Dan | 1 | 59.95 kr . | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \times 55 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & 100 \% \text { rubber } \end{aligned}$ | http://www.dreamchild.dk/pro duktX.asp?Produkt=155\&Varia $\mathrm{nt} \mathrm{X}=$ |
| BabySam | Safety Ist. Anti-slip patterns | - | 49.95 kr . |  | http://babysam.dk/default.asp x?load=main\&Data=ProductInf o\&key=22320036 |
| Jysk | Safety mat | 1 | 49.95 kr . | $54 \times 54 \mathrm{~cm}$ | http:// www.jysk.dk/ 97/ 112/113 /2704800/a/catalog |
| Jysk | Non-slip figures | 4 | 29.95 kr . | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { Rubber } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | http://www.jysk.dk/ 97/ 112/119 /2717300/a/catalog |

Table 5.15 Exampl es of non-sl ip figures and mats from survey - shop visits

| Product name | Retailer | Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baby Dan | BabySam BabyNest | $\begin{aligned} & 69.95 \mathrm{kr} . \\ & 49.95 \mathrm{kr} \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | Mat |
| LuckyBaby | BabySam | 69.95 kr . | Mat |
| Patrull | Ikea | 49.95 kr. (29.95 kr. in Ikea Family club) | Mat |
| BathRoom | Jysk | 29.95 kr . | Figures, 100\% rubber |
| Anti-Slip Mat | Jysk | 29.95 kr . | Mat, PVC |
| Babycare | Bilka | 45.95 kr . | M at, rubber |
| No name | Jysk | 49.95 kr . | Mat |
| Dalobad | Kvickly | 25 kr . | Figures |
| Dalobad | Kvickly |  | Mat |
| Bibs | Kvickly | 48.95 kr . | Mat |
| Alba | Salling | 99.95 kr . | Mat, 100\% PVC |
| Slip-not, Ridder | Silvan | 59.95 kr . and 64.95 kr . | Figures, PVC-free |
| Plattfuss, Ridder | Silvan | 89.95 kr . | Mat, PVC-free |
| Lense, Ridder | Silvan | 179.95 kr . | Mat |
| Aquamod Sanitized | Silvan | 79.95 kr . | Mat, PVC-free |
| Sealskin | Bauhaus | 99.95 kr . and 129 kr . | Mat, PVC |
| Osmos Duschmatta | Stark | 59 kr . and 99.95kr. | Mat, natural rubber. |
| Duschy | Alstrøm Isenkram, Valby | 89.95 kr . | Mat |

### 5.7.5.6 Product prices

N on-slip figures and mats were registered at prices ranging from 29.95 kr . to 179.95 kr . during the survey.

### 5.7.5.7 Selected products

Five products were selected from the non-slip figure and mat product group for detailed studies.

Every effort was made to select non-slip products in the form of figures and mats. Similarly, every effort has been made to select products made of PVC, and products sold as being PVC -free.

### 5.8 Soft toys

Soft toys come in many sizes - from very small to those a 2 -year-old can ride on. T hey can be in the shape of a wide range of animals and fantasy animals
including models that make a sound, can change colour, emit a scent, change into balls, etc.

### 5.8.1 Legislation

The following legislation applies to soft toys: The statutory order on soft toys, the statutory order on phthalates, and various regulations on the use of substances such as brominated flame retardants, impregnation substances, PFOS and its derivatives, heavy metals, nickel, etc. T hese are described further in sections 4.1 T oys and 4.2. T extiles.

### 5.8.2 Delimitation

Soft toys cover a very wide product area and are therefore very resourceintensive to survey. During the survey it became apparent that scented soft toys do not represent a large area, hence soft toys were surveyed in general. Due to the enormous range, it was decided to register all the soft toys that were encountered during shop visits and searching the Internet.

### 5.8.3 Description of product type in use

Soft toys are typically used extensively by infants. Some children play with them, others sleep with them, and there are those who are so closely attached to them that they are carried around most of the time. M ost 2 -year-olds must however be presumed to have one or more soft toys which they will cuddle or suck on during the night. As such, exposure occurs when the child is holding the soft toy, and at possible release of various chemical substances.

### 5.8.4 Survey of the range of soft toys

### 5.8.4.1 Procedure

An enquiry was made to $T$ he $D$ anish $C$ hamber of C ommerce for contacts with trade associations.

C oop, D ansk Supermarked, Jysk and M atas were contacted to ask which brands and trade names they carried, which were the best sellers and how large a proportion of total sales in D enmark they accounted for.

A number of retail chains specialising in baby articles were also contacted, including BabySam, Ønske Børn and BabyV est.

T rade association 'L egetøjsfabrikanter i N orden' (N ordic toy manufacturer's association) was contacted and promised to forward our request to T op T oy, K E M athiesen, M attel and others.

In addition, catalogues, advertisements, etc. were also surveyed.
The G oogle search engine was searched using various search words and combinations of the same. This was done to find general details of soft toys on the market and to identify a number of webshops selling soft toys.

A number of specific websites were also searched.
Several retail outlets were visited. T hese include the following:

- Føtex
- Bilka
- K vickly
- Jysk
- Ikea
- H\&M
- LIC (L ærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers)
- Toys'R'Us
- BR
- BabySam
- BabyV est
- M agasin


### 5.8.5 Results of the survey

### 5.8.5.1 Results of surveying via trade associations and large retail chains

The Danish C hamber of C ommerce
The D anish C hamber of Commerce did not consider itself able to provide any details stating that companies cannot be expected to inform which products they sell most of, as doing so will ensure that their products are selected for analysis and thus place them in the public spotlight. T he D anish C hamber of C ommerce suggested direct contact to the major baby article chains, which was subsequently taken up.

Legetøjsfabrikanter i $N$ orden and others.
No replies were received to our request.
Coop
No replies were received to our request.

## F øtex

No replies were received to our request.
BabySam, Ø nske Børn and BabyV est No replies were received to our request.
5.8.5.2 Result of surveying via websites

Scented soft toys were found at the following webshops:

- http://www.netgiraffen.dk/produkter/Baby\ 02\ \%C 3\%A 5r/D ukker\%20og\%20B amser\%20(baby)/
- http://www.tingtiltumlinger.dk/Shopltems.aspx?n=1\&mcat=6\&scat=6 9
- http://www.4-all.dk/group.asp?group=126\&sub=126
- http://mariehonen.dk/product.asp?product=1769\&sub=0\&page=1
- http://ordre.money4you.dk/bamseslottet_39_da/
- http://ordre.money4you.dk/bamseslottet/beddy_bear_81_da.html
- http://bluz.fdf.dk/cms_artikel.php?id=965
- http://24.dk/user/lizz81/perma/2007/02/19/H ot_T eddy.
5.8.5.3 Results of shop visits

Shop visits have shown that scented soft toys - for heating up in a microwave oven - are currently primarily sold through webshops. N either M agasin, BabySam, BR L egetøj nor Build a Bear sell scented soft toys, or soft toys for heating in microwave ovens.

As such, the product group covers soft toys in general.
At inquiry in the shop, the shop personnel stated that they did not know the age groups to which individual soft toys were sold. T hey explained that they did not know because customers do not tend to ask for help or advice when choosing a soft toy.

### 5.8.5.4 Product list

T able 5.16 presents soft toys for heating in microwave ovens registered during the survey via shop visits.

Table 5.16 Exampl es of soft to ys for heating from the survey - shop visits

| Product name | Retailer | Price | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Intelex | Segers Baby house, <br> Fields | approx. 200 kr. |  |
| Bedtime, heat and <br> hug me | Krea, Fields | Approx. 40 kr. |  |

T able 5.17 shows soft toys registered during the survey via shop visits.
Table 5.17 Examples of soft toys from the survey - shop visits

| Product name | Retailer, dealer | Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teddy \& Ko | teddykompagniet.dk | Approx. 80 kr . plus |  |
| Kiddy | VN legetøj | Approx. 80 kr . plus |  |
| Disney | KE M athiesen (sold in BR and others) | Approx. 80 kr . plus |  |
| Bamse, Kylling, Fllling, Kaj, Andrea, Far til Fire, Pilfinger (Sigurds Bjørnetime) | Krea | approx. 100-200 kr. |  |
| Mumitrolde, Martinex | Seen in Krea | 99.95 kr.-169.95 kr. |  |
| Rubens Barn | Seen in Krea | 249.95 kr .-399.95kr. |  |
| Die Spiegelburg | Seen in Krea |  |  |
| Anna Club Plush | Seen in Krea | approx. 199-449 kr. | E.g. cow, horse, dog |
| WWF - Plush Collection Junior | Seen in Krea | From approx. 100 kr. plus |  |
| Beatrix Potter og Russ | Victoria's, Lyngby | Approx. 150 kr . plus |  |
| Noukie's og Ruffy \& Co | Victoria's, Lyngby | Approx. 150 kr. plus |  |
| Babico Toys |  |  |  |
| Build a Bear | Build a Bear | approx. 100-500 kr. | Price class depends on type of soft toy and clothes selected |
| Wacky Bear Factory | Q-Big | approx. 100-500 kr. | Price class depends on type of soft toy and clothes selected |
| TopToy | Toys'R'Us | approx. 50-400 kr. | Wide range of soft toys of all sizes |

As such, a wide range of soft toy brands have been registered, but there are many more.

### 5.8.5.5 Selected products

Five products were selected from the soft toy product group for detailed studies.

Every effort was made to select soft toys from brand stockists, retail chains and from supermarkets. Similarly, every effort was made to select soft toys which can be heated in a microwave oven, as these will probably release the highest levels of chemical substances.

### 5.9 Diapers

D iapers are worn for many hours at a time, because many 2-year-olds wear them both day and night. When diapers are worn there is skin contact with the inside and the edges of the diaper. If the child touches the diaper, then there will also be contact with the exterior surface.

### 5.9.1 Legislation

Legislation relevant to diapers imposes different limitations on use of different substances such as PFOS and their derivates, heavy metals, nickel, etc. See chapter 4 for further details.

### 5.9.2 Delimitation

T he survey concentrated exclusively on paper diapers - i.e. textile diapers were not included.

Diaper sizes approx. 11-16 kg were surveyed, depending on diaper type, and the survey covered standard diapers, those with Velcro fastenings and "Up \& Go" diapers.

### 5.9.3 Description of the product group in use

M ost 2-year-olds wear diapers around the clock and are thus exposed to any chemical substances they contain for 24 hours a day. H owever, exposure is primarily from that part of the diaper in direct contact with the skin. T wo-year-old children will typically use between 3-5 diapers per day.

### 5.9.4 Survey of the range of diapers

### 5.9.4.1 Procedure

An enquiry was made to $T$ he $D$ anish $C$ hamber of $C$ ommerce for contacts with trade associations.

C oop, D ansk Supermarked, Jysk and M atas were contacted to ask which brands and trade names they carried, which were the best sellers and how large a proportion of total sales in D enmark they accounted for.

A number of retail chains specialising in baby articles were also contacted, including BabySam, Ønske Børn and BabyV est.

Several retail outlets were visited. T hese include the following:

- Føtex
- Bilka
- K vickly
- Super Brugsen
- LIC (L ærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers)
- BabySam
- BabyVest
- Fakta
- Aldi
- SuperBest
- Irma.

In addition, catalogues, advertisements etc. were also surveyed.
The G oogle search engine was searched using various search words and combinations of the same. This was done to find general details of soft toys on the market and to identify a number of webshops selling diapers.
A number of specific websites were also searched.

### 5.9.5 Results of the survey

### 5.9.5.1 Products

Paper diapers consist of different plastic materials (e.g. polypropylene, absorbent polyacrylate, thermoplastic elastomers and polyethylene). T he innermost absorbent core is however manufactured from cellulose fibre.

### 5.9.5.2 Results of surveying via trade associations and large retail chains

The Danish C hamber of C ommerce
The D anish Chamber of Commerce did not consider itself able to provide any details, stating that companies cannot be expected to inform which products they sell most of, as doing so will ensure that their products are selected for analysis and thus place them in the public spotlight. T he D anish C hamber of C ommerce suggested direct contact to the major baby article chains, which was subsequently taken up.

C oop
C oop has stated that they stock Coop, Libero, H uggie and M oltex paper diapers.
$M$ atas
No replies were received to our request.

### 5.9.5.3 Result of surveying via websites

Six relevant online webshops were found using the $G$ oogle search machine and the websites of presumed vendors.
"D iapers" - returned approx. 239,000 results. The first 11 pages presented were surveyed for dealers. Each page contains 10 search results - i.e. 110 results in total. T he survey was performed through the search engine's short results or by visiting individual sites.
5.9.5.4 Results of shop visits

D iapers are often sold on special offer. M ost of the shops visited stated that Pampers and Libero are the two big brands, but that consumers generally buy diapers on special offer.

### 5.9.5.5 Product list

T able 5.18 and T able 5.19 present a range of products stocked in sizes suitable for 2 -year-olds.

Table 5.18 Examples of paper diaper sfromthe survey - webshops

| webshop | Product name | Weight category <br> in kg | Item price | Quant. <br> per pack | Price per <br> pack | Direct <br> link |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Svanebutikken.dk | Bambo Nature <br> (Swan mark) | 8 -18 (maxi) | 2.50 kr. | 50 | 124,95 | http://www.svanebut <br> ikken.dk/product.asp <br> ?product=30 |
|  |  | 12-22 (maxi plus) | 2.72 kr. | 46 | 124,95 | http://www.svanebut <br> ikken.dk/product.asp <br> ?product=31 |
|  |  | 2.98 kr. | 42 | 124,95 | $\mathrm{http}: / /$ www.svanebut <br> ikken.dk/product.asp <br> ?product=32 |  |
|  |  | $15-25$ (junior) |  |  |  |  |

[^6]| webshop | Product name | Weight category ${ }^{14}$ in kg | Item price | Quant. per pack | Price per pack | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Torvet A/S | Unique | 11-25 (junior) | 179 kr. | 162 | 289,95 | http://www.torvet.dk /products/productinf o.aspx?productid=89 14\&MenultemID $=212$ |
|  | M oltex Oko (organic) | 11-25 (junior) | 3.60 kr. | 108 | 389 | http://www.torvet.dk /products/productinf o.aspx?productid=11 943\&M enultemID $=2$ 12 |
| helsehelse.dk | M oltexÖko (organic) |  | 3.07 kr. | 42 | 129 | http://www.lamai.dk /shop/moltex-oeko-bleer-1439p.html |
|  |  | 11-25 (junior) | 3.58 kr. | 36 | 129 | http://www.lamai.dk /shop/moltex-oeko-bleer-1438p.html |
|  |  | 16-30 (XL) | 4.30 kr . | 30 | 129 | http://www.lamai.dk /shop/moltex-oeko-bleer-3753p.html |
| PUSLERIET | Libero Comfort Fit | 7-14 (maxi) | 2 kr . | 60 | 120 | http://www.pusleriet. $\mathrm{dk} /$ shop/libero-maxi-bleer-229p.html |
|  |  | 10-16 (maxi plus) | 2.14 kr. | 56 | 120 | http://www.pusleriet. dk/ shop/ libero-maxi-plus-230p.html |
|  | Libero Up\&Go | 10-14 (maxi plus) | 2.86 kr. | 42 | 120 | http://www.pusleriet. dk/shop/libero-up-go-231p.html |
|  | Bambo Nature | 8-18 (maxi) | 2.50 kr . | 50 | 125 | http://www.pusleriet. dk/shop/bambo- <br> nature-bleer- <br> 181p.html |
|  |  | 12-22 (maxi plus) | 2.72 kr . | 46 | 125 | http://www.pusleriet. dk/shop/bambo-nature-bleer182p.html |
| YELLOWM AN ApS | M oltex Öko | 7-18 (maxi) | 2.38 kr . | 42 | 99,95 | http://www.yellowma n.dk/index.php?main page=index\&cPath= 9995_1014_1094 |
|  |  | 11-25 (junior) | 2.78 kr. | 36 | 99,95 |  |
|  |  | 16-30 (XL) | 3.33 kr . | 30 | 99,95 |  |


| webshop | Product name | Weight category ${ }^{14}$ in kg | Item price | Quant. per pack | Price per pack | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M ed24 ApS | M oltex Öko | 7-18 (max) | 3.31 kr . | 42 | 139 | http://www.med24.d k/medicare/hygiejne artikler/bleer/moltex-bleer-maxi-7-18-kg-o-nr-4-42-stk- <br> /product info.php/c Path/496-511 638/pr oducts id/2817? ?osCs id=88a0̄988bblf0839 62730e0e710b9752a |
|  |  | 11-25 (junior) | 3.86 kr. | 36 | 139 | http://www.med24.d k/medicare/hygiejne artikler/bleer/moltex-bleer-junior-o-11-25-kg-nr-5-36-stk/product_info.php/c Path/496_511_638/pr oducts id/ 2816?osCs id=88a0̄988bb1f0839 62730e0e710b9752a |
|  |  | 16-30 (XL) | 4.63 kr . | 30 | 139 | http://www.med24.d $\mathrm{k} /$ medicare/hygiejne artikler/bleer/moltex-bleer-xl-16-30-kg-o-30-stk- <br> /product_info.php/c Path/496-511 638/pr oducts_id/ 282̄0?osC sid=88ā0988bb1f083 962730e0e710b9752a |

Table 5.19 Exampl es of paper diapers from the survey - shop visits
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Product name } & \text { Retailer } & \text { Comments } \\
\hline \text { Libero } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Bilka } \\
\text { Føtex } \\
\text { LIC (Lærernes } \\
\text { indkøbscentral - a } \\
\text { purchasing } \\
\text { cooperative for } \\
\text { teachers) } \\
\text { SuperBest } \\
\text { Kvickly }\end{array} & \\
\hline \text { Pampers } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Bilka } \\
\text { Føtex } \\
\text { LIC (Lærernes } \\
\text { indkøbscentral - a } \\
\text { purchasing } \\
\text { cooperative for } \\
\text { teachers) } \\
\text { SuperBest }\end{array} & \\
\hline \text { Babycare } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Bilka } \\
\text { Føtex }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Bilka } \\
\text { Føtex } \\
\text { Kvickly }\end{array}\end{array}
$$ $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Super Brugsen } \\
\text { Fakta } \\
\text { Kvickly }\end{array}
$$ \quad \begin{array}{l}Fith colourful design on outside, e.g. cars and <br>

princesses or jeans design\end{array}\right]\)| Huggins Pull Ups | Fakta |
| :--- | :--- |
| SuperBest | Organic |
| Unique | SuperBest <br> Kvickly |
| Moltex | Kvickly |

### 5.9.5.6 Product prices

As mentioned above, diapers are sold on offer and the price therefore varies from shop to shop, as well as week by week. H owever, Vibelle from Aldi is generally a very cheap diaper.

### 5.9.5.7 Selected products

Five products were selected within the diaper product group for detailed studies.

Every effort was made to select popular brands, organic/non-organic brands and expensive and cheap brands.

### 5.10 Sunscreens

Sunscreens are used frequently by 2 -year-old children. T hroughout the summer, there is long-term and direct exposure via the skin.

There are two main groups of sunscreens: Lotion/oil-based cream or spray.
T here are a number of UV filters on the EU 's candidate list of potential endocrine disruptors. T hese UV filters have been compared with the annex of the statutory order on cosmetics, on permitted UV filters in cosmetics. A number of parabens are also suspected of having endocrine disrupting effects including:

- UV filters of which two in particular permitted in cosmetic products are suspected of being endocrine disruptres:
o CAS 15087-24-8-3-benzylidene camphor
o CAS 5466-77-3-Ethylhexyl methoxycinnamate.
- Parabenes (preservatives) permitted in cosmetic products:
o CAS 99-76-3-M ethylparaben
o CAS 120-47-8 - Ethylparaben
o CAS 94-13-3 - Propylparaben
o CAS 94-26-8 - Butylparaben
o CAS 4247-02-3 - Isobutylparaben (not on the EU 's candidate list of potential endocrine disruptors).
- Perfume and aroma compounds (the 26 mandatory declaration perfume and aromatic compounds).

The Swan symbol criteria for cosmetics includes a requirement that none of the ingredients can be regarded as a potential endocrine disruptor in accordance with the official lists in any of the Nordic countries or EU (N ordic Environmental M arking, 2007 (Requirement K 4)). If new substances are listed on the EU 's candidate list of potential endocrine disruptors, they will not be permitted in Swan labelled cosmetics. Since 1 M ay 2008, it should therefore have been possible to phase out "new" substances listed on the EU's candidate list of potential endocrine disruptors (D G Environment, 2007) in Swan labelled cosmetics. H owever, there will still be some products on the shelves containing some EU -listed substances, as the manufacturers have been granted permission to sell products in stock produced before 1/5-08. As such, the EU-listed UV filter ethylhexyl methoxycinnamate (OMC) will still temporarily be available in the shops. Swan labelled products for the 2009 summer season will have been manufactured without OM C or other substances on the candidate list (personal communication with M iljømærkning D anmark, September 2008). Other products that do not have
the Swan symbol, may also be free of parabens and substances suspected to be endocrine disruptors. If cosmetic products do contain parabens, for example, this will be apparent from the symbol.

### 5.10.1 Legislation

T he statutory order on cosmetics applies to sunscreens. T his is described in detail in section 4.3 Statutory order on cosmetics.

### 5.10.2 Delimitation

We have exclusively focused on sunscreens sold specifically for children, i.e. marked with "kids", "children", "børn", "baby" or "junior". Sunscreens used for children, even though they do not specifically state they are for children, have been included, such as those for the whole family; those with the $D$ anish A sthma and Allergy A ssociation's symbol; or the Environment symbol, and bought for children. For example Sol Lotion and Dermas sunscreen range from the pharmacies (the Swan symbol and declaration in collaboration with the D anish Asthma and Allergy Association).

### 5.10.3 Description of product type in use

Sunscreen is primarily used during the summer period, from June to August/September. D uring this period, it is typically used every day on 2-year-old children. An agreement often exists with nurseries/day-care centres that sunscreen is applied before the children are dropped off in the morning and once again by the centre personnel after lunch. Primarily, it will be the child's face, arms, legs and feet to which sunscreen is applied daily during the summer, but the whole body will also be covered if the children are allowed to play in a paddling pool or at the beach.

Sunscreens can of course also be used at other times of the year during holidays abroad (beach or skiing).

K ræftens Bekæmpelse (D anish C ancer Society) writes on its website that use of copious amounts of sunscreen is recommended, i.e. children should use a child's handful (approx. 20 ml ). It also states that 20 grams of sunscreen should be used per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ skin. ( K ræftens Bekæmpelse, 2008). As such, children will be exposed daily via their skin to the maximum amount of sunscreen applied during the times of year it is necessary.

### 5.10.4 Survey of the range of sunscreens

### 5.10.4.1 Procedure

The T rade A ssociation for Soap, Perfume and T echnical/C hemical A rticles (SPT ) was contacted to establish what sunscreens are found on the $D$ anish market, as well as to obtain an explanation on the list of ingredients, because many of the sunscreen products had been removed from the shelves when the survey was performed in October.

C oop, D ansk Supermarked and M atas were contacted to ask which brands and trade names they carried, which were the best sellers and how large a proportion of total sales in D enmark they accounted for.

Information on the various types of sunscreen on the web was sought via G oogle. This was done to find general details of sunscreens on the market and to identify a number of webshops selling them.

The primary method of surveying the market for sunscreens has however been physical purchase of the products in various shops, such as perfumeries, supermarkets and pharmacies. Several retail outlets were visited. T hese include the following:

- Føtex
- Bilka
- K vickly
- Super Brugsen
- SuperBest
- Fakta
- Netto
- Irma
- M atas
- Pharmacies
- M agasin
- Victoria's
- Lotus
- Douglas
- Helsemin
- The Body Shop
- Søstrene Grene.

The lists of ingredients were studied and the ingredients entered into an Access database to aid fast searches and provide an overview.
SPT has stated that the composition of sunscreens changes regularly, and that the products that are included in the project will not necessarily contain the same substances today. The lists of ingredients list the substances contained in the sunscreens.

### 5.10.5 Results of the survey

### 5.10.5.1 Products

Sunscreens are typically found in two variants: Lotion/oil-based cream or spray. T hey either contain a physical UV filter (often titanium dioxide), a chemical UV filter, or a combination of both for protection against UV radiation from the sun.
5.10.5.2 Results of surveying via trade associations and large retail chains

T rade association SPT
TheSPT was contacted concerning which sunscreens were found on the D anish market; for details of the list og ingredients; and for concentrations of UV filter substances (if relevant). As agreed with SPT, the association sent an e-mail to selected members selling/stocking sunscreens, on behalf of the project group.

The association stated that often a new formulation is used for sunscreens every year. This means that the sunscreens bought for this survey will be out of date next year, at the time of the information campaign. W e therefore enquired about the list of ingredients for sunscreens on the market in 2009.

F ocus has also been placed on the two UV filters suspected of being endocrine disruptors, in the hope of provoking reactions to their use on the market in 2009.

Individual companies made contact by phone to ask for more details on the project, but none have supplied information. T he manufacturer of D erma products - D erma Pharm - has offered help, but the lists of ingredients for these products are already available via the web.

## C oop

C oop has sent the lists of ingredients on their current range of products.
T hese were entered into the database.
M atas
The lists of ingredients for formulations for 2009 could not be included in the survey, but M atas sunscreens have awarded the N ordic Environmental L abel (the Swan symbol) and do not contain substances that are found on the EU 's candidate list of potential endocrine disruptors nor the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds subject to mandatory declaration.

D erma Pharm
D erma Pharm have confirmed that all their products can and are used by 2-year-olds, including those products not in their baby range. T he lists of ingredients are found on their website and have been entered into the database. D erma Pharm states that they strive to ensure that their baby sunscreens in particular contain the minimum amounts of chemical solar filters, and to keep the number of ingredients to a minimum.

## Pharmacies

T wo pharmacies were visited to purchase sunscreens, one of which stated that the Vichy and La R oche Posay sunscreens were the best sellers.

### 5.10.5.3 Product list

T able 5.20 lists the sunscreens surveyed in the project. Unfortunately, late September/early O ctober is not a good time for surveying sunscreens, as many stockists have removed them from the shelves. We did however manage to visit several shops and find a total of 28 different sunscreens for children/babies.

Table 5.20 Sunscreens found in shops and webshops

| Shop | Product name | M anufacturer/Importer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Douglas | Nivea Sun Children's Sun Spray | Beiersdorf, Copenhagen |
| Lotus | Dr. Hauschka Sunscreen Cream Children | Dr. Hauschka Skin Care, <br> WALA Heilmittel GmbH, <br> Germany |
| Magasin | Clarins Paris - Sun Care Cream High <br> Protection | Clarins Paris |
| Svane Apotek <br> (pharmacy) | VICHY Capital Soleil Spray enfants 30 SPF | Vichy France |
| Svane Apotek | Eau Thermale Avène High Protection Lotion <br> for children SPF40 | Laboratoires <br> Dermatologiques Avene, <br> France |
| Svane Apotek | La Roche-Posay - Anthelios - Peau fragile de <br> I'enfant | La Roche-Posay, France |
| Svane Apotek | Cosmea Børne sollotion SPF25 | Cosmea Aco, Hørsholm |
| www.neutral.dk | Neutral - Kids Solcreme faktor 25 |  |
| www.med24.dk | Lavera Sun Sensitive Kids Sol Spray SPF25- | Lavera? |


| Shop | Product name | M anufacturer/Importer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sunblock |  |
| www.solbutikken.dk | Lavera Sun Sensitiv Baby \& Children Solcreme <br> SPF30 - Sunblock | Lavera? |
| www.aloevera.dk | Aloe Vera Kids SunSafe SPF 25 |  |
| www.med24.dk | Junior Intensive Protection Lotion SPF 25 |  |
| www.med24.dk | Lavera Sun Sensitiv Baby \& Children Neutral <br> Solspray SPF 30 |  |
| www.derma.dk | Derma Baby Solcreme, SPF 30 - Svanemærket | Derma |
| www.derma.dk | Derma Solcreme SPF 20 - Svanemærket | Derma |
| www.derma.dk | Derma Solspray SPF20 - Svanemærket | Derma |
| Super Brugsen | Sunsafe Sunlotion SPF 30 - til børn og babyer | M arinello Cosmetics |
| Super Brugsen | Nivea Sun Childrens Sun Lotion 15 | Beiersdorf |
| Allerød apotek | Apotekets Sol Lotion Faktor 20 - Svanemærket | Apotekernes amba |
| Coop | Coop änglamark Minirisk Sunlotion til børn 0g <br> voksne - Faktor 15 - Svanemærket | Coop |
| Coop | Coop änglamark Minirisk Sunlotion til børn og <br> voksne - Faktor 30 - Svanemærket | Coop |
| www.aquakids.dk | Organic Children Sunlotion SPF25 | Greenpeople |
| www.livfuld.dk | Aubrey N atural Sun SPF25 Ideal for Children | aubrey |
| ABENA | Bambo skincare solcreme til børn SPF30 | Abena |
| www.apotekernes.dk | Apotekets Sol Lotion Faktor 10 - Svanemærket | Apotekernes amba |
| www.apotekernes.dk | Apotekets Sol Lotion Faktor 30 - Svanemærket | Apotekernes amba |
| Matas | Matas Kids Solspray SPF 15 - Svanemærket | M atas |
| Matas | Matas Kids Sollotion SPF 15 - Svanemærket | M atas |

### 5.10.5.4 Exctracts from the Access database

An extract from the Access database reveals the following:
Sunscreens containing UV filters suspected of being endocrine disruptors
An extract from the A ccess database shows that none of the 28 sunscreens contain the UV filter 3-benzylidene camphor ${ }^{15}$ but that two of them contain the UV filter ethylhexyl methoxycinnamate. They are:

- Nivea Sun Children's Sun Spray
- Eau Thermale A vène High Protection L otion for children SPF40.


## Sunscreens containing parabens

Seven sunscreens containing parabens were found:

- Seven sunscreens containing methylparaben.
- T wo sunscreens containing ethylparaben.
- Five sunscreens containing propylparaben. T hey are:
o Nivea Sun Children's Sun Spray (found in a perfumery).
o Nivea Sun Children's Sun Lotion 15 (found in a supermarket).
o C osmea Børne sollotion SPF 25 (found in a pharmacy)
o Junior Intensive Protection Lotion SPF 25 (found at www.med24.dk).
o Eau Thermale A vène High Protection L otion for children SPF 40 (found in a pharmacy).
- O ne sunscreen containing butylparaben. This is:
o Eau Thermale A vène H igh Protection L otion for children SPF40 (found in a pharmacy).

[^7]A potekernes A.m.b.a., which sells Eau T hermale A vène sun lotion states that the product will not be sold in D enmark in 2009, and that all parabens will be removed form the product in 2010.

Sunscreens containing the 26 allergenic perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory.
Six sunscreens containing one or more of the 26 allergenic perfume and aromatic compounds at a concentration at which they must be declared on the product were found. They are:

- N ivea Sun Children's Sun L otion 15 (contains 10 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory).
- Nivea Sun Children's Sun Spray (contains 8 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory).
- Dr. H auschka Sunscreen C ream Children (contains 8 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory).
- Lavera Sun Sensitive Baby \& Children Solcreme SPF 30 - Sunblock (contains 7 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory).
- Lavera Sun Sensitive K ids Sol Spray SPF 25 - Sunblock (contains 7 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory).
- C larins Paris - Sun C are C ream High Protection (contains 5 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory).

A list of all the ingredients found in these 28 sunscreens is contained in Appendix A.

### 5.10.5.5 Selected products

On the basis of the survey that revealed that two sunscreens contain the potential endocrine disruptor UV filters, it was decided to perform a quantitative analysis of the UV filter in:

- N ivea Sun Children's Sun Spray
- Eau T hermale A vène High Protection L otion for children SPF 40.

The manufacturers were subsequently contacted to find out the volume of the UV filter used in these two products.

The co-operative trade company A potekernes A .m.b.a., which stocks Eau T hermale A vène sunscreen, has contacted the F rench manufacturer, who could not state the precise concentration of the UV filter. T he manufacturer states that the contents are within the permitted limit stated in the statutory order on cosmetics (10\%). A potekernes A.m.b.a. state that the product will not be on the market in 2009, and that the UV filter will be phased out from sunscreen products in 2010.

Beiersdorf, which stocks $N$ ivea sunscreens, has stated that the sunscreen bought during the survey is no longer manufactured. It has been replaced by N ivea Sun Children Spray SPF 20 with a new formula with no ethylhexyl methoxycinnamate UV filter content. This filter is, however, still found in the spray product with SPF 50, but this is not sold in D enmark.

### 5.11 Moisturising creams/ oil-based creams/lotions.

M oisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions for children can be frequently used on 2 -year-olds. This may depend on the habits of the adult (particularly women) and whether the child suffers from eczema. In the case of the latter, when use of moisturising creams and oil-based creams is extensive, there will be long-term and direct exposure all year round via the skin.

W ith regard to the prioritised relevant chemical substances in this project, moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions are relevant in relation to parabens and perfume and aromatic compounds. T here are also a number of parabens suspected of having endocrine disruptor effects including:

- Parabenes (preservatives) permitted in cosmetic products, but suspected of being endocrine disruptors:
o CAS 99-76-3-M ethylparaben
o CAS 120-47-8 - Ethylparaben
o CAS 94-13-3 - Propylparaben
o CAS 94-26-8 - Butylparaben
o CAS 4247-02-3 - Isobutylparaben (not on the EU 's candidate list of potential endocrine disruptors).
- Perfume and aromatic compounds (the 26 mandatory declaration perfume and aromatic compounds).

The Swan symbol criteria for cosmetics includes a requirement that none of the ingredients can be regarded as being a potential endocrine disruptor in accordance with the official lists in any of the N ordic countries or EU (N ordic Environmental M arking, 2007 (Requirement K 4)).

### 5.11.1 Legislation

The statutory order on cosmetics applies to creams. This is described in detail in section 4.3 of the Statutory order on cosmetics.

### 5.11.2 Delimitation

We have focused exclusively on moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions sold specifically for or used by children. This means the focus was on moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions stated on the labels as being specifically for "kids", "children", "baby", or equivalent. In addition, products have been included in particular from pharmacies which stock a range of moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions recommended for children - for general skin care and for children with eczema.

We had decided in advance not to perform any analyses of this product group, but details have been collected of their ingredients, either by contacting the manufacturer or by buying the products (and reading the IN CI names on the list of ingredients).

### 5.11.3 Description of product types in use

M oisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions can be used on 2-year-olds all year round. Some will have moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions applied daily, others only after a bath, eczema-sufferers in particular will have them applied up to twice daily all year round, whilst others do not have any applied at all. M oisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions can
of course also be used at other times of the year, for example on the face during the winter against chapping. Exposure is thus direct, via skin contact.
5.11.4 Survey of the range of moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions

### 5.11.4.1 Procedure

The T rade A ssociation for Soap, Perfume and T echnical/C hemical A rticles (SPT) was contacted to establish which moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions are available on the D anish market, as well as for an explanation on the list of ingredients.

C oop, D ansk Supermarked and M atas were contacted to ask which brands and trade names they carried, what were the best selling brands and how large a proportion of total sales in Denmark they accounted for.

Information on the various types of moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions on the web was sought via G oogle. $T$ his was done to find general details of moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions on the market and to identify a number of webshops selling them.

The primary method of surveying the market for moisturising creams, oilbased creams and lotions has however been physical purchase of the products in various shops, such as perfumeries, supermarkets and pharmacies. Several retail outlets were visited. T hese include the following:

- Føtex
- Bilka
- K vickly
- Super Brugsen
- SuperBest
- Fakta
- Netto
- Irma
- M atas
- Pharmacies
- M agasin
- Victoria's
- Lotus
- D ouglas
- Helsemin
- The Body Shop
- Søstrene Grene.

The lists of ingredients were studied and the ingredients entered into an A ccess database to aid fast searches and provide an overview. SPT has stated that the composition of sunscreens changes regularly, and that the products that are included in the project will not necessarily contain the same substances today. The lists of ingredients identify the substances contained in the sunscreens.

### 5.11.5 Results of the survey

### 5.11.5.1 Products

D uring the survey, the following types of moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions were found:

- Face creams
- Body lotion/skin lotion
- M oisturising creams
- Oil-based creams

The difference between body lotion, moisturising creams and oil-based cream variants is typically a question of oil-content. Lotions are more viscous and contain less oil than moisturising creams and oil-based creams. Oil-based creams have a paste-like consistency and a high oil content.

### 5.11.5.2 Results of surveying via trade associations and large retail chains

## The Trade Association SPT

The SPT was contacted on the issue of what moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions were available on the D anish market, as well as for details of the list of ingredients. As agreed with SPT, the association sent an e-mail on behalf of the project group to selected members that sell/stock moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions.

C ontact with the SPT resulted in individual companies making contact by phone to ask for more details on the project, but none have supplied information. T he manufacturer of D erma products - D erma Pharm - offered help, but the lists of ingredients for these products are already available via the web.

## C oop

C oop forwarded the lists of ingredients on their current range of products. T hese were entered into the database. C oop states that their own brand of babycare products account for most of their sales, but other than that N atusan is the brand that sells most.

## D erma Pharm

D erma Pharm have confirmed that all their products can and are used by 2-year-olds, including those products not in their baby range. The lists of ingredients are available on their website and have been entered into the database.

## Pharmacies

M oisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions were purchased from two pharmacies. In one of the pharmacies it was stated that the brands D ermalog and D ecubal were the most sold products for general skin care, whereas Aderma was the bestselling product for eczema treatment.

### 5.11.5.3 Product list

T able 5.21 lists the 32 moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions surveyed in the project.

Table 5.21 moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions found in shops and webshops

| Address of <br> purchase | Product name | Manufacturer/Importer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Address of purchase | Product name | M anufacturer／Importer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helsemin | Earth Friendly Baby－Organic Lavender Body Lotion | HealthQuest Ltd，Edgeware HA8 7BJ，UK |
| Helsemin | Earth Friendly Baby－Organic Chamomile Body Lotion | HealthQuest Ltd，Edgeware HA8 7BJ，UK |
| Helsemin | M ellisa Luksus Mild Baby Lotion m．Aloe Vera | M ellisa N aturkosmetik ApS |
| Magasin | Weleda Baby－Calendula Moisturising Body Cream | Weleda AG，Germany |
| Magasin | Weleda Baby－Calendula Body Lotion | Weleda AG，Switzerland |
| Bilka One Stop | $N$ atusan baby Original lotion | Johnson \＆Johnson |
| Bilka One Stop | N atusan baby－Softlotion Extracare | Johnson \＆Johnson |
| Bilka One Stop | N eutral Baby Lotion－Svanemærket | a／s Blumøller |
| Bilka One Stop | Baby Care Hudlotion | Manufactured in the EU for Dansk Supermarked |
| Bilka One Stop | Baby Care Ansigtscreme | M anufactured in the EU for Dansk Supermarked |
| Bilka One Stop | Baby Care créme | Manufactured in the EU for Dansk Supermarked |
| N etto | Baby＇O Soft Cream | Manufactured in Denmark for Netto A／S |
| Svane Apotek | Locobase Fedtcreme | Astellas pharma |
| Svane Apotek | Decubal－The original decubal cream | Actavis，Gentofte |
| Svane Apotek | Apotekets Baby Lotion－Svanemærket | Apotekernes amba |
| Svane Apotek | A－DERMA Atopic Skin Exomega Emollient milk body | Ducray Paris |
| Svane Apotek | Danatekt creme | Orion Pharma，Nivå |
| www．oriflame．dk | Body Lotion－Giraffen Gerald | Oriflame？ |
| www．derma．dk | Derma Babycreme－Svanemærket | Derma |
| www．derma．dk | Derma Bodylotion－Svanemærket | Derma |
| www．dermalog．dk | Dermalog Fedtcreme－Svanemæ⿸丆口欠et | Dermalog，H olte |
| www．dermalog．dk | Dermalog Fugtighedscreme－Svanemærket | Dermalog，Holte |
| Victoria＇s | Crabtree \＆Evelyn Pudycat comfort cream |  |
| Allerød apotek | Dermalog Hudlotion－Svanemærket | Dermalog，Holte |
| Allerød apotek | Ceridal Fedtcreme | Stiefel Laboratories，CPH |
| Allerød apotek | Locobase Repair | Astellas |
| Allerød apotek | Apotekets Baby Creme－Svanemærket | Apotekernes amba |
| Allerød apotek | A－derma Exomega Creme－tør og irriteret hud | Laboratoires Dermatologiques Ducray， France |
| Allerød apotek | Decubal Recover Cream | Actavis |
| Coop | Coop änglamark M inirisk Baby lotion－ Svanemærket | Coop |
| Coop | Coop änglamark M inirisk Baby Fed creme－ Svanemærket | Coop |
| www．aquakids．dk | Organic Children Toptotoe Lotion \＆Aftersun | Green People |

5．11．5．4 Extracts from the A ccess database
An extract from the Access database reveals the following：
M oisturising creams，oil－based creams and lotions containing parabens
Seven moisturising creams，oil－based creams and lotions containing parabens were found：
－Seven moisturising creams，oil－based creams and lotions containing parabens．
－Four moisturising creams，oil－based creams and lotions containing ethylparaben．
－Six moisturising creams，oil－based creams and lotions containing propylparaben．T hese moisturising creams，oil－based creams and lotions are：
o Baby C are H udlotion（found in a supermarket）．
o Baby C are Ansigtscreme（found in a supermarket）．
o Baby C are C reme（found in a supermarket）．
o Body Lotion - G iraffen Gerald (found at www.oriflame.dk).
o Crabtree \& Evelyn Pudycat comfort cream (found in a perfumery)
o Decubal Recover Cream (found in a pharmacy).

- One moisturising cream, oil-based cream or lotion containing butylparaben. T his is:
o Crabtree \& Evelyn Pudycat comfort cream (found in a perfumery)
- One moisturising cream, oil-based cream or lotion containing isobutylparaben. This is:
o Crabtree \& Evelyn Pudycat comfort cream (found in a perfumery) H owever, this was a discontinued product which is being withdrawn from the market to be replaced by a new product.

M oisturising creams, oil-based creams or lotions containing the 26 allergenic perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory.
Six moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions containing one or more of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory in a concentration at which they must be declared on the product were found. They are:

- Body L otion - G iraffen Gerald (contains 2 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds).
- Earth Friendly Baby - Organic C hamomile Body L otion (contains 2 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory).
- Organic C hildren T optotoe L otion \& Aftersun (contains 2 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory).
- Earth Friendly Baby - Organic L avender Body Lotion (contains 3 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory).
- Weleda Baby - Calendula Body Lotion (contains 3 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory).
- W eleda Baby - C alendula M oisturising Body C ream (contains 5 of the 26 perfume and aromatic compounds whose declaration is mandatory).

A list of all ingredients found in these 32 moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions is contained in A ppendix B.

### 5.12 Bed Iinen

T wo -year-old children can be expected to use a duvet with a duvet cover primarily at night, but in many cases also when they take a nap. As such, the child has skin contact with the bed linen for many hours at a time.

### 5.12.1 Legislation

Legislation applying to bed linen has different limitations on use of substances such as brominated flame retardants, impregnation substances, PFOS and its derivatives, heavy metals, nickel etc. T hese are described further in section 4.2. T extiles.

### 5.12.2 Delimitation

Bed linen for 2-year-olds are defined as junior bed linen, i.e. size $90 \times 140$ $\mathrm{cm} / 100 \times 140 \mathrm{~cm}$.

### 5.12.3 Description of product types in use

T wo-year-olds sleep under a duvet covered by a duvet cover or sometimes under the cover alone (i.e. without the duvet). As such, they are exposed to the chemical substances possibly contained in the bed linen for the many hours they are asleep. T here can be direct skin contact if they sleep without nightclothes in the summer. T he possibility of direct ingestion of various substances exists if the children suck on a corner of a sheet, for example.

### 5.12.4 Survey of the range of bed linen

### 5.12.4.1 Procedure

An enquiry was made to $T$ he $D$ anish $C$ hamber of C ommerce for contacts with trade associations.

C oop, D ansk Supermarked and Ikea were contacted to ask which brands and trade names they carried, which were the best sellers and how large a proportion of total sales in D enmark they accounted for.

A number of retail chains specialising in baby articles were also contacted, including BabySam, Ønske Børn and BabyV est.

Several retail outlets were visited. T hese include the following:

- Føtex
- Netto
- Bilka
- K vickly
- Jysk
- Ikea
- LIC (L ærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers)
- Toys'R'Us
- BabySam
- BabyV est
- M agasin

In addition, catalogues, advertisements, etc. were also surveyed.
T he G oogle search engine was searched using various search words and combinations of the same. This was done to find general details of junior bed linen on the market, and to identify a number of webshops selling junior bed linen.

A number of specific websites were also searched.
5.12.5 Results of the survey
5.12.5.1 Results of surveying via trade associations and large retail chains

The Danish C hamber of C ommerce

The D anish Chamber of Commerce did not consider itself able to provide any details stating that companies cannot be expected to inform which products they sell most of, as doing so will ensure that their products are selected for analysis and thus place them in the public spotlight. T he $D$ anish C hamber of Commerce suggested direct contact with the major baby article chains, which was subsequently taken up.

C oop
C oop has stated that they the junior bed linen they stock are mainly own brand (ID) and brands they sell under license.

## J ysk

Jysk has stated that they can regrettably not take part in the survey. T he reason given is the very tight deadlines of the project.
5.12.5.2 Result of surveying via websites

8 relevant online webshops were found using the $G$ oogle search machine and the websites of presumed retailers.
"Junior bed linen" - returned approx. 12,900 results. T he first 7 pages presented were surveyed for dealers. Each page contains 10 search results i.e. 70 results in total. T he survey was performed through the search engine's short results or by visiting individual sites.
5.12.5.3 Result of surveying via shop visits

The largest range of junior bed linen registered from the shops visited was at Ikea, but Jysk also stocked a large range.

The D isney brand was stocked by many of the shops visited.
Prices registered at LIC (a purchasing cooperative) are typically 100-150 kr. under market price (according to details in the shop).

All bed linen registered at I kea were $100 \%$ cotton.

### 5.12.5.4 Product list

T able 5.22 and T able 5.23 present a range of products registered during the survey.

Table 5.22 Examples of junior bed I inen from the survey-webshops

| Webshop | Product name | Package description | Item price | Size in cm | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shop.sengbad.dk | Franck \& Fischer juniorsengetøj Ferdinand | Charming 100\% fine cotton junior bed linen. | 449 kr . | Duvet: <br> $100 \times 140$ <br> Pillow: <br> 45x40 | http://shop.sen gbad.dk/produ ct.asp?product $=860$ |
| Shop.sengbad.dk | Junior Sengetøj Sweet kiss | 100\% cotton covers in Night \& Day's usual high quality. ØkoTex 100 standard. | 299 kr. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Duvet: } \\ & \text { 100x140 } \\ & \text { Pillow: } \\ & 45 \times 40 \end{aligned}$ | http://shop.sen gbad.dk/produ ct.asp?product $=810$ |
| Shop.sengbad.dk | Junior MIA MAJA Sengetøj | 100\% cotton Good quality from N ordisk Tekstil. | 299 kr. | Duvet: <br> $100 \times 140$ <br> Pillow: <br> $45 \times 40$ | http://shop.sen gbad.dk/produ ct.asp?product $=768$ |
| Denblågiraf.dk | Sebra junior sengetøj | Attractive bed linen with retrolook. Dusty pink with butterflies. | 339 kr . | Junior size. | http://www.de nblaagiraf.dk/a catalog/Produk toversigt_Seng |


| Webshop | Product name | Package description | Item price | Size in cm | Direct link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { et j_m_m_16 } \\ & \text { 7.html?gclid=C } \\ & \text { Lr6-qj- } \\ & \text { hZYCFQ2L1Qo } \\ & \text { djjDqEA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Denblågiraf.dk | Smallstuff: purple star, junior. | Attractive purple with white stars. Available in several variants. | 299 kr. | Junior size. | http://www.de nblaagiraf.dk/a catalog/Produk toversigt_Seng et_j_m_m 16 7.h̄tml? $\mathrm{gclid}=\mathrm{C}$ Lr6-qjhZYCFQ2L1Qo djiDqEA |
| Drengeunivers.dk | Sen-Sen junior sengetøj. | 100\% cotton Available in several variants. | 299 kr. | Duvet: <br> $100 \times 140$ <br> Pillow: <br> $40 \times 40$ | http://www.dre ngeunivers.dk/ product.asp?pr oduct=1733 |
| Drengeunivers.dk | MALA sengetøj | 100\% cotton | 399 kr . | Duvet: <br> $100 \times 140$ <br> Pillow: <br> $40 \times 40$ | http://www.dre ngeunivers.dk/ product.asp?pr oduct=3593 |
| Drengeunivers.dk | Sebra sengetøj | Attractive, colourful cars on a track printed on the bed linen. $100 \%$ cotton. | 299 kr. | Duvet: <br> $100 \times 140$ <br> Pillow: <br> $40 \times 40$ | http://www.dre ngeunivers.dk/ product.asp?pr oduct=503 |
| Violasvilla.dk | Vivatex Junior økologisk sengetøj | We care for nature in the manufacture of these fine organic cottons. | 350 kr . | Duvet: <br> $100 \times 140$ <br> Pillow: <br> 40x45 | http://www.viol asvilla.dk/prod uct.asp?produc $\mathrm{t}=268$ |
| Tinga.dk | Juniorsengetøj Marrit | Cute bed linen set of the finest $100 \%$ cotton. <br> Øko-tex $100=$ contains no harmful chemicals. | 249 kr . | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Duvet: } \\ & \text { 100x140 } \\ & \text { Pillow: } \\ & 40 \times 45 \end{aligned}$ | http://www.tin ga.dk/produktd etaljer.php?pro duktid=420\&ba ckURL=/shop.p hplsslhkatld=2 8loolskatld=81 |
| Tinga.dk | Juniorsengetøj Frederik | Exclusive bed linen in 100\% cotton. Zip fastener. | 299 kr. | Duvet: <br> $100 \times 140$ <br> Pillow: <br> $40 \times 45$ | http://www.tin ga.dk/ produktd etaljer.php?pro duktid=1268\&b ackURL=/shop. phplsslhkatld= 28loolskatld=81 |
| Tojbamsen.dk | TRILLE junior sengetøj | Cute, hardwearing bed linen with giraffe print for children. 100\% pure cotton | 299 kr. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Duvet: } \\ & \text { 100x140 } \\ & \text { Pillow: } \\ & 40 \times 45 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | http://www.toj bamsen.dk/pro duct.asp?produ ct=7582 |
| Tojbamsen.dk | GAIA \& KO sengetøj | Really lovely bed linen set for junior. With really lovely details. 100\% pure cotton | 349 kr . | Duvet: <br> $100 \times 140$ <br> Pillow: <br> 40x45 | http://www.toj bamsen.dk/pro duct.asp?produ ct=319 |
| www.prinsessebu tikken.dk | Katvig junior sengetøj med æbleprint | Smart bed linen set with popular print from Katvig in a soft, smooth cotton which retains its colours after washing. 100\% cotton Wonderful hardwearing quality in Øko-Tex-standard 100 (with EU flower). | 399.95 kr. | Duvet: <br> $100 \times 140$ <br> Pillow: <br> $40 \times 45$ | http://prinsess ebutikken.dk/p roduct.asp?pro duct $=52585$ |
| www.prinsessebu tikken.dk | Hollys Baby Junior sengetøj | Wonderful bed linen in this new range from H ollys, white with blue cloverleaves and in a soft cotton. $100 \%$ cotton. | 449.95 kr . | Junior size. | http://prinsess ebutikken.dk/p roduct.asp?pro duct=40673 |
| Onskeborn.dk Shop name 'Ønskebørn'. | Sebra Junior sengetøj | Bed linen with aeroplane print. 100\% cotton | 299.95 kr. | Junior size. | http://www.on skeborn.dk/sho p.html |


| Webshop | Product name | Package description | Item price | Size in cm | Direct link |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Several brands and variants <br> stocked. |  |  |  |
| Kaereboern.dk | Minymo <br> sengetøj | Collaboration between M inymo <br> and an artist. M ade of organic <br> cotton. Økomærk, Øko-tec 100. | 599.95 kr . | Duvet: <br> $100 \times 140$ <br> Pillow: <br> $40 \times 45$ | Seen at Kære <br> Børn |
| Ikea.com | Barnslig prickar | Cotton satin with extra gloss. <br> There is a wide range of <br> Barnslig bed linen. | 89 kr . | Duvet: <br> $110 \times 125$ <br> Pillow: <br> $55 x 35$ | Seen at Ikea |
| Onskeborn.dk | Den glade ko <br> sengetøj |  | 299.95kr. | Junior size. | Seen at <br> Ønskebørn |
| Netto | Rasmus klump | 2-piece boy's set. 100\% cotton | 99 kr. | Duvet: <br> $100 \times 140$ | Seen at Netto |

Table 5.23 Examples of junior bed I inen from the survey - shop visits

| Product name | Retailer | Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No name | N etto | 149 kr . | With Rasmus Klump and Cirkeline print |
| Høie - bil | LIC (Lærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers) | 225 kr . | Extra fine cotton |
| Høie - fisk aqua | LIC (Lærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers) | 189 kr . | Fine cotton |
| Høie Kardemommeby | LIC (Lærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers) | 279 kr. | Fine cotton |
| Høie Prinsesse | LIC (Lærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers) | 279 kr. | Fine cotton |
| Høie Junior krepp | LIC (Lærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers) | 229 kr . |  |
| Fryd | LIC (Lærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers) | 229 kr . |  |
| Night \& Day | LIC (Lærernes indkøbscentral - a purchasing cooperative for teachers) Magasin | $\begin{aligned} & 449 \mathrm{kr} \text {. } \\ & 399 \mathrm{kr} \text { and } 599 \mathrm{kr} \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Night \& Day | M agasin | 499 kr. | Made of organic cotton. |
| Mads og Mette | Føtex | 79.95 kr . | M any different prints and patterns |
| Disney | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jysk } \\ & \text { Bilka } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149 \mathrm{kr} . \\ & 109 \mathrm{kr} .-119 \mathrm{kr} . \end{aligned}$ | Many different prints and patterns |
| Note | Bilka | 69.95 kr . | Many different prints and patterns |
| Kids Collection | Jysk Magasin | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \mathrm{kr} .-149 \mathrm{kr} . \\ & 279 \mathrm{kr} . \end{aligned}$ | Many different prints and patterns |
| Peder Pedal | Jysk | 149 kr . | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KE Leisure (K. E. } \\ & \text { Mathiasen i Brabrand) } \end{aligned}$ |


| Product name | Retailer | Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postman Per | Jysk | 149 kr . | KE Leisure (K. E. Mathiasen i Brabrand) |
| Spiderman | Jysk | 149 kr . |  |
| Bamse og Kylling | Jysk | 149 kr . | www.dr.dk |
| Kaj og Andrea | Jysk | 149 kr . | www.dr.dk |
| Baby Dan | BabyVest | 299 kr . | Very big brand according to manager of the BabyVest shop visited. |
| Gaia og Ko | BabyVest | 399 kr . | Very modern brand at this time according to manager of the BabyNest shop visited. |
| Barnslig Snurr | Ikea | 75 kr . | 3 piece (incl. sheet) |
| Barnslig Djur | Ikea | 129 kr . |  |
| Barnslig Djur | Ikea | 149 kr . |  |
| Fabler Kalas | Ikea | 149 kr . |  |
| Fabler Kalas | Ikea | 129 kr . |  |
| Siffror | Ikea | 75 kr . | 3 piece (incl. sheet) |
| Hjärten | Ikea | 75 kr . | 3 piece (incl. sheet) |
| Korall Rev | Ikea | 99 kr . |  |
| Korall Rev | Ikea | 99 kr . |  |
| ID - Ideas daily | Kvickly | 69.95 kr . | Øko-Tex mark |
| ID - Ideas daily | Kvickly | 59.95 kr . | No Øko-Tex mark |
| Frank Fisher | M agasin | 449 kr . and 49 kr . |  |
| Borås | Magasin | 329 kr . |  |
| Sebra | Magasin | 269,95kr. |  |
| Magasin - Egyptian satin cotton | Magasin | 249.95 kr . |  |

### 5.12.5.5 Product prices

T he survey registered junior bed linen prices from between 69.95 kr . per set to 649 kr . per set.

### 5.12.5.6 Selected products

Five products were selected from the junior bed linen product group for detailed studies.

## 6 Chemical analyses

### 6.1 Anal yses

T he purpose of the analysis in this project was to establish whether the product groups selected contained chemical substances that are potentially endocrine disruptors or allergens. The analysis programme consists of three elements: Screening analyses, quantitative analyses and migration analyses with different exposure scenarios.

The screening analyses were performed to identify the ingredients in the products selected. Further studies were performed on some of the products based on the results of the screening analyses. T he product groups selected contained chemical substances that are potentially endocrine disruptors or allergens. V arious exposure scenarios simulate contact with the skin and mouth using artificial sweat and saliva. Simulated inhalation was used to study release. T he reasons for choosing these scenarios are described in C hapter 7.

Quantitative content analyses of selected substances and products were made to compare the total content of a product with that a child can be expected to be exposed to through contact with the product. The results were used to perform a risk assessment, including a comparison with previous quantitative studies that did not include exposure scenarios.

### 6.1.1 Product groups selected for analysis.

On the basis of the knowledge collected in C hapter 3 on previously studied substances and products, 12 product groups were selected for surveying. The following 12 product groups were surveyed, see C hapter 3:

1. Outdoor clothes in the form of jackets
2. Outdoor clothes in the form of mittens
3. Footwear in the form of rubber clogs.
4. Footwear in the form of rubber boots (rubber boots).
5. Pacifiers, primarily those in which the plastic part is made of polycarbonate.
6. Bath soap packaging in which packaging is shaped as various figures and animals
7. Non-slip figures and mats for bathtubs/showers
8. Soft toys, including scented soft toys to be heated in a microwave oven
9. Diapers
10. Sunscreens
11. M oisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions
12. Bed linen.

Of these 12 product groups, the following 10 were selected for analyses:

1. Jackets
2. Mittens
3. Rubber clogs
4. Unlined rubber boots
5. Pacifiers, primarily those in which the plastic part is made of polycarbonate
6. Bath soap packaging in which packaging is shaped as various figures and animals
7. Non-slip figures and mats for bathtubs/showers
8. Soft toys, including scented soft toyss to be heated in a microwave oven
9. Diapers
10. Bed linen.

M oisturising creams/oil-based creams/ lotions and sunscreens were not selected because, as agreed with DEPA, risk assessment was to be performed based on maximum permitted amount of the declared content of the products.

Each product group belongs to an arena of use, described in more detail in C hapter 3. T able 6.1 presents a list of the product groups by arena.

Table 6.1Rel ationship between ar en as of use and product groups an al ysed

| Arena of use | Product group |
| :--- | :--- |
| Good morning: Clothes | Diapers |
| Good morning: Breakfast: |  |
| Good day: Indoors play |  |
| Good day: Playing outside | Outdoor clothes, footwear, sunscreens |
| Good night: Bath | Bath soap packaging, non-slip figures and bath mats, <br> moisturising creams |
| Good night: Bed | Pacifiers, bed linen, soft toys |

D iapers fall into all arenas, which is to be expected as most 2-year-olds wear diapers day and night.

### 6.1.2 Analysis programme composition

T he structure of the analysis programme is justified below. First an overall description is provided, followed by a summary of the conclusions of all analyses.

The product groups are described individually in the following chapters. The description includes methods and results of screening analyses, quantitative analyses and migration analyses, including reasons for selection of substances and products for more detailed studies.

A range of substances or substance groups have been selected and described in Chapter 3. Initially, there were more under consideration, but some were excluded. T he analyses focused on the following substances and substance groups:

- Bisphenol A , used for the production of certain plastic types, e.g. polycarbonate, and previously found in items such as baby bottles.
- Phthalates, used as softeners, primarily in PVC.
- Poly- and perfluorous compounds, which can be used in impregnating agents, and which were previously found in impregnated products.
- Organotin compounds used as preservatives, biocides, and as a stabiliser in soft plastics. T he substances were previously found in products in which odour problems were to be minimised, e.g. textiles.
- Formaldehyde, used as a preservative, usually in cotton products stored and transported over long periods and under hot and humid conditions. F ormaldehyde occurs most frequently in non-crease
impregnated/non-iron-impregnated or printed textiles. Formaldehyde can also be found in adhesives, e.g. if a substance has a napped surface, or if decorative stones/glitter have been glued on.
- Orthophenylphenol (OPP), used as a preservative and previously found in textile and paper products.
- 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (M BT ), typically used as an accelerator in the production of rubber.
- C olofonium, a mixture of 3 resin acids, of which abietine acid comprises $90 \%$. T his substance has adhesive properties and is therefore used as an adhesive in many different products.

T able 6.2 presents the analysis methods used in the project.
The initial screening programme was based on knowledge from the survey as to which products could contain the substances. All the products selected were extracted with dichloromethane and analysed using GC/M S to determine the content of extractable organic substances.

In cases where the material composition is not stated on the product nor the accompanying packaging, and where the product was suspected of being made of polycarbonate or PVC, an FTIR analysis has been performed in order to determine the material type. T he aim was to provide information to consumers on the relationship between material composition and the presence of bisphenol A and phthalates. T he studies were not weighted, which gives the total material composition of the product.

Table 6.2 An al ysis methods

| Substance groups | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { X-ray } \\ \text { analysis } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 . \\ \text { ICP- } \\ \text { MS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 . \\ \text { GC/MS } \end{gathered}$ | 4. <br> Spectroph | $\begin{gathered} 5 . \\ \text { FTIR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 . \\ \text { Headspace } \\ \text { GC/MS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 . \\ \text { SPME } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 . \\ \text { HPLC } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bisphenol A |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phthalates |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poly-and perfluorous compounds | X (F) |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Organotin |  | X (Sn) | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Formaldehyde |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 2- <br> mercaptoben <br> zothiazole <br> (MBT) |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orthophenylp henol (OPP) |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colofonium |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \text { (deriva- } \\ \text { tized) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isocyanates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| M aterial determination |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| Release of volatile organic compounds |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Extractable volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quantitative determination of substances from |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  |


| Substance <br> groups | 1 <br> X-ray <br> analysis | ICP- <br> MS | 3. <br> GC/MS | 4. <br> Spectroph <br> otometer | 5. <br> FTIR | 6. <br> Headspace <br> GC/MS | 7. <br> SPME | 8. <br> HPLC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| migration <br> studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 X-ray analyses are used to screen for fluoride. If fluoride can be identified by X-ray screening, there may be perfluoride compounds in the product. Extraction and GC/MS analysis confirm possible content by identification of the compounds and quantification. Similarly, information is obtained on other interesting elements such as bromine, which can reveal flame-retardants. 2. ICP-M S is used to determine the total content of tin, which can indicate possible content of organotin compounds. In the event of positive findings, GC/MS is performed to confirm by identification of the compounds and quantification.
3. GC/MS screening is used to investigate the content of bisphenol A, phthalates, OPP, MBT and colofonium plus other volatile and semi-volatile organic substances, e.g. perfume and aromatic compounds. GC/MS-screening was performed on all products and quantitative analysis on certain products for certain substances.
4. Spectrophotometric analysis was used to identify formaldehyde.
5. FTIR was used to determine material type in those products where such information was of interest and not declared on the product.
6. Headspace analysis was used to identify volatile organic compounds released by the product when heated.
7. SPM E was used for quantitative determination from migration studies
8. HPLC was used for quantitative determination of isocyanates from migration studies

The screening methods used are described under each product group, as there are variations between methods due to the different material composition of the products. Results and details of which part(s) of the products were used for analysis are also given under each product group.

Based on the results of the screening analyses, products and substances were selected for quantitative analyses and exposure scenarios. T he grounds for such choices are given under each product group and described in more detail in Chapter 3.

The exposure scenarios used are described in the following section, whilst the methods of quantitative determination of migrating substances and results are given under each product group.

Section 6.11 contains a list of the results of quantitative analyses and results of the migration studies.

### 6.2 Exposurescenarios

C hoice of relevant exposure scenarios was based on the possible use of the products by 2 -year-olds. T his project focused on skin contact (sweat) and mouth contact (saliva) plus inhalation of perfume and aromatic compounds where relevant.

T he scenarios (including the simulant used and exposure time) were selected in consultation with DEPA. Further grounds and references concerning the exposure scenarios are given in Chapter 7, T able 7.1.

A nalysis results are given under each product group in the following section and in T able 6.82. Risk assessment of the analysis results is given in C hapter 7.

### 6.2.1 Exposure scenarios

T able 6.3 describes the various exposure scenarios used in this project.
Table 6.3Exposure scen arios used

| Table 6.3 Expo sur scen ar ios used | Simulant | Number <br> hours per <br> day | Reason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Product <br> Lining in outdoor <br> clothes (jackets and <br> mittens) | Sweat | 3 hours | The child's skin can be in contact with <br> the lining and the child can suck on the <br> outside of the products. Children wear <br> outdoor clothing when outdoors. |
| Outer material in <br> outdoor clothes <br> (jackets and mittens) | Saliva | 3 hours | Saliva |
| Pacifiers (coverage) | 7 hours, 45 <br> minutes | The child can hold a pacifier in its hand <br> and suck/ bite on the coverage. The child <br> can use a pacifier at night, when taking a <br> nap and for comfort. |  |
| Pacifiers (coverage) | Sweat | 7 hours, 45 <br> minutes |  |
| Soap packaging | Sweat | 0.5 hours | During bath time, the child can play and <br> suck on the products. |
| Soap packaging | Saliva | 0.5 hours | The child sits on the product |
| Non-slip mats | Sweat | 0.5 hours | Toft toys can be used for play and <br> comfort and when sleeping. This project <br> also focused on soft toys containing <br> perfume and aromatic compounds. |
| Soft toys | Inhalation | 16 hours | The child sleeps in bed linen at night <br> when there is contact with the skin, <br> including the face and hands. |
| Bed linen | Sweat | 10 hours |  |

Studies were made for certain substances deemed to be relevant to risk assessment.

### 6.2.2 Artificial sweat, saliva simulants and temperatures used

Simulants for sweat and saliva migrations were selected based on whether they had previously been used for comparable analyses of toys and textiles, for example. Furthermore, these migration fluids were selected because they only contain organic substances, and thus minimise the risk of interference of the organic substances being analysed.

The artificial sweat simulant used is described in DS/EN ISO 105-E04, as used for ØK O-T EX certification (Öko-T ex Standard 100). T he sweat simulant in DS/EN ISO 105-E04 consists of 1-histidine-monohydrochloride-1-hydrate, sodium chloride, sodium dihydrogen phosphate, and sodium hydroxide for adjustment of pH to pH 5.5 .

The artificial saliva simulant is described in an EU project (Simoneau et al, 20001 EU R 19826 EN ). It consists of calcium chloride, magnesium chloride, potassium carbonate, potassium chloride, potassium phosphate, sodium chloride, and hydrochloric acid for adjustment of pH to pH 6.8 .

T he migration tests were performed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which is close to body temperature and is used in DS/EN -71-3, D S/EN ISO 105-E04 and the aforementioned EU report. T he simulant was heated before being applied to the products for the migration tests. T he samples were placed in a temperature-controlled oven $\left(37+/-3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for the number of hours stated in the analysis programme.

W here sample quantity was sufficient, approx. 2.5 g material to 50 ml simulant was used, which is the amount used in DS/EN ISO 105-E04. The
samples were cut into as few pieces as possible to maximally simulate use situations.

### 6.3 Outdoor clothes (jackets and mittens)

O utdoor clothing comes under the G ood D ay arena: Playing outside. The project focussed on outdoor clothes marketed as being waterproof, water resistant, or dirt resistant.

### 6.3.1 Summary of results

Screening the exterior part of the textile materials in the products provided evidence for the presence of a large number of organic substances. Some of these organic substances are suspected of being harmful or potential endocrine disruptors. For example, isocyanates (potential allergens) were found in several of the products. M igration studies on artificial saliva showed that only a fraction of the content migrates.

In addition to the product textiles, certain labels, straps and a reflector that were made of soft polymer materials and deemed to represent a risk of phthalate content, were selected for analysis for phthalates. Phthalates were found in labels printed with product names on two mittens, in a loose-hanging reflector and in a strap on a jacket zip.

T o test for impregnating agents containing perfluoride compounds all the products were screened for fluoride. T he analysis revealed fluoride in all of them apart from product nos. 1-4. C loser investigation of certain jackets and mittens revealed the content of various perfluoride compounds. It was not possible to perform migration studies on these substances.

Formaldehyde was found in the lining of all products. M igration studies of a mitten lining showed that a large proportion of the content migrated to artificial sweat.

### 6.3.2 Description of product type

Jackets and mittens consist of an inner part which can come in contact with the child's skin and an outer part, which the child can suck on. It was therefore deemed to be important that both the outside and inside of the products were tested. Products with straps attached to zips were of interest, as 2 -year-olds tend to suck on the strap.

T he project focussed on outdoor clothes marketed as being waterproof and/or water resistant. T o achieve these properties, the clothes can have:

- Impregnation on the outside
- Plastic linings/coatings on the outside or inside.
- $\quad$ M embranes on the rear or as a laminate in between the outer and inner materials.

Impregnation compounds can contain fluoride, but silicon compounds can also be used to provide a water resistant effect. T he most common fluoride compounds used for this purpose are fluorocarbons, but fluorotelomers can also occur. It is also likely that membranes contain fluoropolymer compounds. Plastic linings can be polyurethane or polyvinylchloride and possible other
types of polymers - it cannot be excluded that they can contain fluoride compounds.

### 6.3.3 Selected products

T able 6.4 and T able 6.4 list the products selected for analysis. T he reasons for choosing these products are described in the survey.

Table 6.4 Sel ect ed products, jackets

| Product <br> no. | Description | Information stated on the packaging or product (direct <br> transcript) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-1$ | Green with hood <br> and reflectors | Water resistant. <br> Outer material: 100\% polyamide Lining: 100\% polyester. <br> Padding: 100\% polyester. |
| $1-2$ | Green and blue <br> check. With <br> reflectors. | Waterproof. Windproof. Breathable. A flexible material even at <br> extreme minus degres. Very hardwearing and watertight seams. <br> Outer material, body lining and padding are 100\% polyester. <br> Arm linings 100\% polyamide Extra impregnation |
| $1-3$ | Purple, with <br> reflectors. | Thermolite micro. Outer material 100\% polyamide Lining and <br> padding 100\% polyester. |
| $1-4$ | Yellow with <br> reflectors on the <br> arms. | Kaporous Waterproof, windproof and breathable. Water <br> resistance: 2000 mm. M oisture permeability: 2000 - 2500 <br> g/spm/24hrs. Air permeability: 0.01cc/ spm.sec. Water <br> repellence: 99\%. <br> Outer material: 100\% nylon. Lining and padding 100\% <br> polyester. |
| $1-5$ | Army green with <br> reflectors on back <br> and three exterior <br> flaps. | Thermolite. WP 7000 waterproof and breathable fabric. Also <br> windproof. <br> Outer material: 100\% nylon. Lining and padding 100\% <br> polyester. |

Table 6.5 Sel ected products, mittens

| Product <br> no. | Description | Information stated on the packaging or product (direct <br> transcript) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2-1$ | Dark purple <br> mittens. Weight <br> 40 g. <br> Outer material: <br> $100 \%$ nylon/PU <br> Lining: $100 \%$ <br> polyester. | Thinsulate insulation 3M. <br> Neo Kapo: <br> Breathable, waterproof and windproof, due to a Hydrophillic PU <br> membrane. Water resistance: $-5000-8000 \mathrm{mmH}_{2}$ O. Moisture <br> Permeability: $5000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / 24 \mathrm{Hrs}$. Water Repellence: $99 \%$ |
| $2-2$ | Brown, with pink <br> strips and Velcro <br> closure. Weight <br> 40 g. | Thinsulate ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ insulation 3M. Water resistant. |
| $2-3$ | Green with Velcro <br> closure. | X-static: Anti odour, Thermodynamic, anti-static. Fibre system <br> made fromf silver. |
| $2-4$ | Red with black <br> palm surface. | Dirt-resistant. |
| $2-5$ | Pink with Velcro <br> closure. | Waterproof and breathable. Water resistance: 10,000 mm. Air <br> permeability: $8,000 \mathrm{gm}$, Water repellence: $99 \%$. Outer material <br> M ini ripstop 108. |

### 6.3.4 Analyses methods

The following sections explain the screening methods and quantitative A nalysis methods applied. The migration analyses have been carried out as described in C hapter 6.2, and have subsequently been analysed using quantitative analyses. T he procedures are described below.

### 6.3.4.1 X-ray analysis

X -ray screening analyses (WEXRF) were performed on the outer material of jacket arms and mittens for elementary substances that could indicate
impregnation using poly and perfluorinated compounds (Fluoride) and flame-inhibitors ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Br}$ ).

### 6.3.4.2 GC/M S analysis, extractable organic substances

A GC/MS analysis is used to test for the presence of extractable volatile and semi-volatile organic components. T he outer material and other textile parts that are easily accessible to the child were analysed, e.g. zip straps and Velcro tapes. If mittens were made of different materials on the back and palm, both were analysed. A single analysis was performed. T he analysis method is described in T able 6.6.

Some jackets and mittens have labels, straps and reflectors easily accessible to the child, and made of soft polymer materials deemed to represent a risk of phthalate content. T hese parts were analysed quantitatively for phthalates. A single analysis was performed due to limited samples.

Table $6.6 \mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{M}$ S screen ing of textiles and quantitative deter mination of phthal ates in other materials

| Sampling | Outer material and other product parts. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Extraction agent and internal standards | Outer material (and edge material if relevant): ASE - Extraction agent: Acetone. Internal standard: Pyrened10. Velcro tapes, textile and elastic straps: Extraction agent: Dichlormethane: Acetone (3:1), extracted 1 hour using ultrasound and 1 hour using mechanical agitation. Internal standards: DEHPd4, Pyrene-d10, Naphthalene-d8. Reflectors, labels, straps, etc of non-textile materials: Extraction method: Acetone. Internal standard: DEHP-d4 |
| GC/MS-instrument | Agilent GC/MS |
| GC-parameters | Column Phenomenex ZB-5MS, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ id., $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ phase film <br> Carrier gas: Helium, constant flow at $1.9 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> Oven settings: $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 min ., $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. to $320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 mins . Injection: $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, splitless |
| MS-parameters | Scan mode: $29-550 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ Solvent delay: 3 min . |
| Detection threshold | Outer material (and edge material if relevant) Velcro tapes, textile and elastic straps: $1-10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ <br> Phthalate analyses of reflectors, labels, straps, etc of non-textile materials: $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

6.3.4.3 Spectrophotometer analysis of formaldehyde.

Spectrophotometer analysis was used to identify formaldehyde. T he analysis was performed according to Japanese law no. 112 (1973). This determines the content of formaldehyde which is not bound. T he result is quantitative. Dual analyses were performed on mittens, whereby the analysis was accredited. Single analyses were performed on jackets, whereby the analysis was not accredited. Priority was given to obtaining maximum knowledge of the product's formaldehyde content, as the jacket linings consisted of several different materials, making it relevant to take samples on several places on the product. T he analysis method is described in

T able 6.7.
Table 6.7 Spectro ph oto meter an al ysis

| Sampling | 2.5 g |
| :--- | :--- |
| Extraction | Japanese law no. $112(1973)$ <br> Extracted at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using 100 ml water in 1 hour. Filters, with acetyl <br> acetone reagent added and 30 minutes in a water bath at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Spectrophotometer | Absorption maximum 412-415 nm |
| Detection threshold | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

Sweat migration was performed according the methods described in C hapter 6.2 Exposure scenarios for a set of bed linen and a mitten. T he migration fluid was then analysed as described above, as extraction with water was avoided. A dual analysis was performed.

### 6.3.4.4 ICP-M S and GC/M S for organotin compounds

The products were analysed for organotin compounds using migration to artificial sweat. The sweat was then IC P-M S analysed to screen for tin content. In the event of positive findings, GC/MS was performed to identify and quantify the organic tin compounds (mono-, di- and tributyltin. A single analysis was performed.

The analysis method is described in T able 6.8.

Table $6.8 \mathrm{ICP} / \mathrm{MS}$ and GC/M S an al yses

| Sampling | Samples of the outer and inner material plus padding were taken. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Extraction ICP-MS | Extraction method: 1 hour using artificial sweat at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, conc. nitric acid 0.14 M added. <br> Extraction volume: 100 ml for padding and 50 ml interfacing/elastic |
| ICP-M S equipment | ion 118 og 120 |
| Settings | Rh |
| Detection threshold ICP-MS | $0.02 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |
| M igration, GC/MS | Sweat migration Oven at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. |
| Extraction method GC/MS | Migration fluid transferred to organic solvent: isooctane. Internal standard: DPT(di-n-propyltindichloride)-149 |
| GC/MS instrument | Agilent GC/M S |
| GC parameters | Column CP-Sil 8 CB Low Bleed, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ Carrier gas: Helium, constant flow at 15 PSI . <br> Oven settings: $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 min ., $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. to $280{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 mins. <br> Injection: $280{ }^{\circ}$ - , splitless |
| M S-parameters | Sim mode Solvent delay: 4 min . |
| Detection threshold GC/MS | $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

6.3.4.5 Q uantitative GC/MS analysis for perfluorous compounds

A nalysis performed by R osanna Bossi, D anmarks M iljøundersøgelser. The analysis method is described in
T able 6.9. External standards were used for quantification of the substances found.

Quantitative analysis of the perfluorous compounds in the migration fluids was attempted, but it was not possible to optimise the method to achieve satisfactory detection.

Table 6.9 Quantitative GC/M S anal ysis for perfluorous compounds

| Sampling | Outer material |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extraction method and internal standards | Extraction: MTBE/acetone ( $50: 50, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) using soxhlet. Extracts evaporated. <br> Internal standards: 4:2 FTOH d $4,6: 2$ FTOH d $_{4}, 8: 2$ FTOH d $_{4}$, 10:2 FTOH d $_{4}, \mathrm{~N}-$ M e-FOSA $\mathrm{d}_{3}, \mathrm{~N}-$ Et-FOSA d ${ }_{5}, \mathrm{~N}-$ M e-FOSE $\mathrm{d}_{7}$ and N-ET-FOSE d9. |  |  |
| Detection threshold | 0.002-0.02 ng/cm ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Table 6.10 List of abbreviations and names for perfluorous compounds |  |  |  |
| Group |  | Abbreviation | CAS-no. |
| Fluorotelomer alcohols |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}$-perfluorohexanol |  | 4:2 FTOH | 2043-47-2 |
| $1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}$-perfluorooctanol |  | 6:2 FTOH | 647-42-7 |
| $1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}$-perfluorodecanol |  | 8:2 FTOH | 678-39-7 |
| $1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}$-perfluorododecanol |  | 10:2 FTOH | 865-86-1 |
| Perfluorosulfonamides and sulfonamidoethanols |  |  |  |
| n -methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamide |  | N-Me-FOSA | 31506-32-8 |
| n -ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamide |  | N-Et-FOSA | 4151-50-2 |
| n -ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoethanol |  | Et-FOSE | 1691-99-2 |
| n-methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoethanol |  | Me-FOSE | 24448-09-7 |

6.3.4.6 GC/M S analysis, migration studies for organic compounds

Saliva migration was performed in accordance with the methods described in C hapter 6.2 of selected jackets and mittens for phthalates, triphenylphosphate, diglycidylbisphenol A and o-toluidine. A dual analysis was performed. The migration fluid was then extracted and analysed as described in T able 6.11.

Table $6.11 \mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{MS}$ an al ysis of migration fluids

| Sampling | Outer, inner and padding material. |
| :---: | :---: |
| M igration | Outer, inner and padding material: migration using sweat or saliva. Oven at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. |
| Extraction method | Outer material: Migration fluid extracted using organic solvent; $2 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ dichlormethane by agitation in separation funnel. <br> Labels: migration fluid extracted using organic solvent; $2 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ dichlormethane by agitation in separation funnel. <br> Internal standard: DEHP-d4. |
| GC/MS instrument | Agilent GC/MS |
| GC parameters | Column: Phenomenex ZB-5 MS, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ id., $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ phase film <br> Carrier gas: Helium, constant flow at $1.9 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> Oven settings: $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 min ., $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. to $320{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 mins . <br> Injection: $280{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, splitless |
| Detection threshold | Phthalate analyses of reflectors, labels, straps, etc. of non-textile materials: $10-20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

6.3.4.7 HPLC analyses and migration studies for TDI and M DI

Saliva migration was performed in accordance with the methods described in C hapter 6.2 Exposure scenarios of selected jackets and mittens for isocyanates 2.4-T DI, 2.6-T DI and M DI. T he migration fluid was then extracted and analysed as described in T able 6.12.

Table 6.12 HPLC an al ysis of migration fluids for TDI and M DI
Sweat migration Oven at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours.

| Sample preparation | Derivation reagent 1- (2-Pyridyl)-Piperazine <br> added to a saliva extract and heated at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> Evaporated until dry and rehydrated in mobile <br> phase. |
| :--- | :--- |
| HPLC instrument | Perkin Elmer HPLC pump, Merck Hitachi auto <br> sampler and fluorescence detector |
| HPLC parameters | Column: Hypersil ENV, $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, room <br> temperature <br> M obile phase: A: $10 \%$ Acetonitrile/ $90 \% 0.01$ <br> ammonium acetate, pH 6, B:90\% <br> Acetonitrile/ $10 \%$ 0.01 0.01 ammonium acetate, pH 6. <br> Program: Gradient <br> Detector: $240 / 370$ |
| Detection threshold | 0.1 ug/g |

### 6.3.5 Results of initial analyses

The results of the screening analyses and other preliminary analyses are presented in the sections below.

### 6.3.5.1 Results of $X$-ray screening analyses

T able 6.13 and $T$ able 6.14 list the results of $X$-ray screening analysis of the product surfaces. Results are given in \% weight.

Table 6.13 Results of X-r ay screen ing an al yses of jackets, \% weight

| Product no. | Fluoride, F | Antimony, Sb | Bromide, Br |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-1$ | 0.41 | - | - |
| $1-2$ | 14 | 0.01 | - |
| $1-3$ | 0.68 | - | - |
| $1-4$ | - | - | - |
| $1-5$ | 0.34 | - | - |
| Detection <br> threshold | 0.05 | 0.002 | 0.002 |

$-:$ Below the detection threshold

Table 6.14 Results of X-ray screening an al yses of mittens, \% weight

| Product no. | Fluoride, F | Antimony, Sb | Bromide, Br |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2-1$ | 2.0 | - | - |
| $2-2$ | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.066 |
| $2-3$ | 13 | 0.004 | - |
| $2-4$ | 11 | - | - |
| $2-5$ | 0.68 | - | - |
| Detection <br> threshold | 0.05 | 0.002 | 0.002 |

$-:$ Below the detection threshold
Fluoride was found in all products except nos. 1-4. Further analyses were therefore performed to establish if the fluoride found came from impregnating agents containing fluorotelomers.

The presence of bromide and antimony could indicate that the products were impregnated with flame-retardants. H owever, the values are so low that they do not support this.

### 6.3.5.2 Results of GC/MS analyses

T he tables below contain the results of the G C/M S analyses

T able 6.15 and T able 6.16 present the results for outer materials on jacket arms. The results are from screening analyses and stated in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$. T he results are semi-quantitative as the substances are estimated according to internal standards.

Table 6.15 Results for the GC/M S-an al ysis of jacket outer material , $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-1 |  | 1-2 |  | 1-3 |  |
| Component | CAS-no. | Outer material | Outer material | Fleece | Outer material | Fleece | $\begin{gathered} \text { Knitted/ri } \\ \text { bbed } \end{gathered}$ |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Styrene | 100-42-5 | - | - | 16 | 4 | 21 | 18 |
| Benzaldehyde | 100-52-7 | - | - | 18 |  | 36 | 31 |
| Phenol | 108-95-2 | - | - | - | 9 | - | - |
| Isophoron or M ethyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one | $\begin{aligned} & 78-59-1 \text { or } \\ & 106251-09-6 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 7 | - | - |
| 4-M ethyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one or Caprolactone | $\begin{aligned} & 1121-84-2 \text { or } \\ & 502-44-3 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 13 | - | - |
| 16-Diisocyanatohexane | 822-06-0 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2.4-Diisocyanato-1methylbenzene | 584-84-9 | - | 190 | - | - | - | - |
| 13-Dihydro-5-mrthyl-2H-benzimidazole-2-one or 5 -Formyl-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carbonitrile | $\begin{aligned} & 5400-75-9 \text { or } \\ & 32487-71-1 \end{aligned}$ | - | 19 | - | - | - | - |
| Butylhydroxytoluene (BHT) | 128-37-0 | - | 18 | - | - | - | - |
| Isophoronediisocyanate | 4098-71-9 | - | 30 | - | 39 | - | - |
| Isophorondiisocyanate or equivalent | 4098-71-9 or equivalent | - | 75 | - | 110 | - | - |
| 4-Chloride-2nitrobenzamine or 4-Chloride-3nitrobenzamine | $\begin{aligned} & 89-63-4 \text { or } \\ & 635-22-3 \end{aligned}$ | - | 14 | - | - | - | - |
| Two components with aniline |  | - | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| 2.6-dichhloro-4nitroaniline | 99-30-9 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - |
| Diisobutylphtalate |  | - | 18 | - | - | - | - |
| Hexadecyldimethylamine | 112-69-6 | - | - | - | - | - | 96 |
| 4'-Diethylaminacetanilide | 5326-57-8 | - | - | - | - | 5 | - |
| 6-Chloride-2.4dinitroaniline | 3531-19-9 | - | 27 | - | - | 17 | 73 |
| 2-Bromide-4.6dinitroaniline | 1817-73-8 | - | - | - | - | - | 19 |
| 5-M ethoxycanthine-6-on | 15071-56-4 | - | - | - | 7 | - | - |
| N, NDimethyltridecylamine | 17373-29-4 | - | - | - | - | - | 330 |
| N-Benzyl-1phenylethanamine | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 17480-69-2 \\ \text { or 38235-77-7 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - | 27 | - | 38 | 54 |
| 2-(2-H ydroxy-5methylphenyl) benzotriazo le (Tinuvin P) or 2-(2H-1,2,3-Benzotriazole-2-yl)-5 methyliphenole | $\begin{aligned} & 2440-22-4 \text { or } \\ & 04998-48-5 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - | 33 | - | - |
| Diphenylmethanediisocya nate | $\begin{aligned} & 101-68-8 \text { or } \\ & 26447-40-5 \end{aligned}$ | 350 | 130 | - | 390 | - | - |
| Fluorous compounds |  | 590 | 440 | - | 35 | - | - |
| Triphenylphosphate | 115-86-6 | - | - | - | 79 | - | - |
| 13-Docosenamide | 112-84-5 | - | 380 | - | - | - | - |
| 2-(4- <br> Acetylanilino) naphtha- <br> quinone | 88590-25-4 | - | 40 | - | - | - | - |
| 16-Chloro-N-methyl-N-phenyl-4-(1- | 105457-08-7 | - | - | - | - | - | 120 |


|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-1 |  | 1-2 |  | 1-3 |  |
| Component | CAS-no. | Outer material | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outer } \\ \text { material } \end{gathered}$ | Fleece | Outer material | Fleece | $\begin{gathered} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} \text { Knitted/ ri } \\ \text { bbed } \end{array}\right. \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| piperidinyl)furo[2,3- <br> b]quinoline-2-amino or equivalent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bromide compounds |  | - | 77 | - | - | - | - |
| 1-Amino-4-hydroxy-2phenoxyanthraquinone | 17418-58-5 | - | - | - | - | - | 510 |
| 5-[(4-tert- <br> Butylphenoxy) methyl]-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-13-oxazolidine-2-one or 5 -[(4-tert- <br> Butylphenoxy) methylf-3-(2-chlorphenyl)-13-oxazolidine-2-one | $\begin{aligned} & 01-03-5256 \text { or } \\ & 6022-25-9 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | 23 | ${ }^{-}$ |
| Aliphatic hydrocarbons |  | - | - | - | - | - | 480 |

-: Below the detection threshold

Table 6.16 Results for the GC/M S-an al ysis of jacket outer material, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-4 |  | 1-5 |  |
| Component | CAS-no. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Outer } \\ \text { material } \end{gathered}$ | Fleece | Outer material | Fleece |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Styrene | 100-42-5 | - | - | - | 12 |
| Isocyanatbenzene or 1H-Benzotriazole | $\begin{aligned} & 103-71-9 \text { or } \\ & 95-14-7 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 2 | - |
| 3-M ethyl-2-cyclohexene-1one | 1193-18-6 | - | - | 8 | - |
| 1-M ethylnaphthalene | 90-12-0 | - | 11 | - | - |
| 1-M ethylnaphthalene isomers |  | - | 11 | - | - |
| Benzylmetacrylate | 2495-37-6 | 6 | - | - | - |
| 16-Diisocyanatohexane | 822-06-0 | 15 | - | - | - |
| 2.4-Diisocyanato-1methylbenzene | 584-84-9 | - | - | 85 | - |
| 13-Dihydro-5-mrthyl-2H-benzimidazole-2-on or 5 -Formyl-2.4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carbonitrile | $\begin{aligned} & 5400-75-9 \text { or } \\ & 32487-71-1 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 4 | - |
| 16-Dioxacyclododecan- <br> 7.12-dione | 777-95-7 | - | - | 7 | - |
| N-(3- <br> Pyridinyl)benzenesulfonamide | 53472-19-8 | - | 12 | - | - |
| 5-M ethoxycanthine-6-one | 15071-56-4 | 4 | - | 8 | - |
| 2-(2-H ydroxy-5methylphenyl) benzotriazo le (Tinuvin P) or 2-(2H-1,2,3-Benzotriazole-2-yl)-5methylphenol | $\begin{aligned} & 2440-22-4 \text { or } \\ & 4998-48-5 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 25 | - |
| Diphenylmethanediisocya nate | $\begin{aligned} & 101-68-8 \text { or } \\ & 26447-40-5 \end{aligned}$ | 330 | - | 410 | - |
| Triphenylphosphate | 115-86-6 | 20 | - | 7 | - |
| 4-Isopropyl-2-pentadecyl- <br> 13-dioxolane or 4,4,5- <br> Trimethyl-2-pentadecyl- <br> 13-dioxolane | $\begin{aligned} & 56559-35-0 \text { or } \\ & 56599-79-2 \end{aligned}$ | 70 | - | - | - |

-: Below the detection threshold
T able 6.17 presents results for other jacket textile parts. T he results are semiquantitative since the substances are estimated according to internal standards.

Table 6.17 Results for the GC/M S an al ysis of other jacket parts, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-1 |  | 1-2 |  | 1-3 |  | 1-4 | 1-5 |  |
| Component | CAS-no. | Black cuffs | Velcro tape on arms | Textile strap on zip | Textile strap on zip | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Strap on } \\ & \text { zip } \end{aligned}$ | Zedges | Elastic in hood | Elastic in hood | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Strap on } \\ & \text { zip } \end{aligned}$ |
| 14-Butanediol | 110-63-4 | - | - | - | - | 92 | - | - | - | - |
| Styrene | 100-42-5 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N-butyl-1-butanamine | 111-92-2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Benzaldehyde | 100-52-7 | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Benzylmetacrylate | 2495-37-6 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - |
| 16-Diisocyanatohexane | 822-06-0 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 12 | - | - | - |
| Butylhydroxytoluene (BHT) | 128-37-0 | - | - | 25 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| Dodecanoic acid | 143-07-7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - |
| 12-dibromo-4-nitrobenzene | 5411-50-7 | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16-Dioxacyclododecan-7.12-dione | 777-95-7 | - | - | - | - | 220 | - | - | - | 3 |
| p-Nitroaniline or m-N itroaniline | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 100-01-6 \\ \text { or 99-09-2 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2-(Methylthio)benzothiazole | 615-22-5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| 2(3H)-Benzothiazolone | 934-34-9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | - | - |
| Dioctyl ether | 629-82-3 | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| N-(Phenylmethyl)benzenmethanamine | 103-49-1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| Salicylic acid benzylester | 118-58-1 | - | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6-Chloride-2.4-dinitroaniline | 3531-19-9 | - | 130 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Dibutyl phthalate | 84-74-2 | - | - | - | - | 43 | - | - | - | - |
| 2-Bromide-4.6-dinitrobenzenamine | 1817-73-8 | - | - | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \text {-(p- } \\ & \text { ethoxyphenyliminomethyl) benzonitrile } \end{aligned}$ | 34128-02-4 | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | 56 | - | - | - | - |
| 2.6-Dibromide-4-nitroaniline or 4.6-Dibromo-2-nitroaniline | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 827-94-1 \text { or } \\ & 827-23-6 \end{aligned}$ | - | 170 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2-mercaptobenzothiazole | 149-30-4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| 2-Bromide-4.6-dinitroaniline | 1817-73-8 | - | 42 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Phenoxybenzamine | 59-96-1 | - | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N-Benzyl-1-phenylethanamine | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17480-69-2 } \\ & \text { or 38235- } \\ & 77-7 \end{aligned}$ | 39 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2-(2-Hydroxy-5methylphenyl) benzotriazole (Tinuvin P) or 2-(2H-1,2,3-Benzotriazole-2-y)-5methylphenol | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2440-22-4 } \\ & \text { or 4998- } \\ & 48-5 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | 430 | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - |
| Diphenylmethanediisocyanate | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 101-68-8 \text { or } \\ & 26447-40-5 \end{aligned}$ | 75 | - | - | - | 1600 | 240 | - | - | - |
| 5-Allyl-5-acetamide-6-imino-hexahydro-2-thioxopyrimidine-4-one | $\begin{aligned} & 114477-58- \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - |
| Triphenylphosphate | 115-86-6 | - | - | - | - | 15 | 22 | - | - | - |
| Ethylhexylchloroformate or equivalent | 24468-13-1 | - | - | - | 71 | - | - | - | - |  |
| DEHP | 117-81-7 | - | - | - | - | 74 | - | - | - | - |
| 4-Isopropyl-2-pentadecyl-13-dioxolane or 4,4,5-Trimethyl-2-pentadecyl-13dioxolane | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 56559-35-0 } \\ & \text { or 56599- } \\ & 79-2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 46 | - | - | - |
| nonylcarboxylic acid phenyl ester | N/A | - | - | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - |
| 2-Ethylhexyl chloroformate | 24468-13-1 | - | - | - | 67 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Triethylethanetricarboxylate | 7459-46-3 | - | - | - | - | 140 | - | - | - | - |
| Unidentified phthalate |  | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trimethylbutane-1,2,4-tricarboxylate | 4339-27-9 | - | - | - | - | 1800 | - | - | - | - |
| 16-Chloro-N -methyl-N-phenyl-4-(1-piperidinyl)furo[2,3-b]quinoline-2amino or equivalent | $\begin{aligned} & 105457-08- \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 110 | 37 | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Tinuvin (R) 292 | 41556-26-7 | - | - | - | - | 370 | - | - | - | - |
| Bromide compound |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Poss. azo-compound |  | 180 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Unidentified aromatic compound. |  | 510 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aliphatic hydrocarbons |  | - | 520 | - | - | - | - | - | 2100 | - |
| Misc. siloxanes |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5700 |

-: Below the detection threshold $<1-10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$
N/A: NoCAS no.

T able 6.18 presents results of analyses for phthalates in labels and reflectors from jackets. T hese components are made of soft polymer materials, deemed to represent a risk of phthalate content. A nalyses were performed as single analysis and quantitative content analysis.

Table 6.18 Results for the GC/MS an al ysis of jacket I abel s and r eflectors*, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

| Product no. | Description | Dibutylphthalate, DBP | Diethylhexylphthalate, DEHP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-2$ | Product name label | - | - |
| $1-4$ | Product name label | - | - |
|  | Strap on zip | 43 | 74 |
| $1-5$ | Loose reflector | 120 | 213000 |
|  | Product name label <br> (small) | - | - |
|  | Product name label <br> (large) | - | - |

-: Below the detection threshold $<10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ *: Analyses were run for the following phthalates: DMP, DEP, DIBP, BBP, DOP, DIDeP and DINP, which were not detected.

T able 6.19 presents the results for mitten outer material. T he results are semiquantitative since the substances are estimated according to internal standards.

Table 6.19 Results for the GC/MS anal ysis of mitten outer material, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2-1 | 2-2* |  | 2-3 | 2-4 | 2-5* |  |
| Component | CAS-no. | Outer material | Outer material, back | Outer material, palm | Outer material | Outer material | Outer material, back | Outer material, palm |
| Styrene | 100-42-5 | - | 12 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| 14-Butanediol | 110-63-4 | 97 | 33 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3-M ethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one | 1193-18-6 | - | - | - | 31 | - | - | - |
| 1 sophorone | 78-59-1 | 16 | - | - | 18 | - | - | 17 |
| 2-Butyl-2-ethyl-1,3-propanediol | 115-84-4 | - | - | - | 300 | - | - | - |
| 16-H examethylendiisocyanate | 822-06-0 | 19 | - | - | 56 | - | - | 40 |
| 2.4-Diisocyanattoluene | 584-84-9 | - | 870 | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| 5-M ethylbenzimidazolone or 5-formyl-2.4-dimethyl-pyrrole-3-carbonitrile | $\begin{aligned} & 5400-75-9 \\ & \text { or 32487- } \\ & 71-1 \end{aligned}$ | - | 42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butylhydroxytoluene (BHT) | 128-37-0 | - | 27 | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| 16-Dioxacyclododecan-7.12-dion | 777-95-7 | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - |
| Fluorous compound |  | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - |
| M ethyl (2E,4E,6E)-2-cyan-7-(dimethylamine)-2,4,6heptatrienoate and Toluene 2.4-Diisocyanate | $\begin{aligned} & 58064-21- \\ & 4 \text { and 584- } \\ & 84-9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | 55 | - | - | - | - | - |
| component corresponding to the above |  | - | 70 | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIBP | 84-69-5 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| p-Dimethylaminebenzoic acid ethylester and 3-Dihydro-4-benzopyranone | $\begin{aligned} & -10287-53-3 \\ & \text { and 491- } \\ & 37-2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 37 |
| Unidentified isocyanate |  | 28 | - | 12 | 57 | - | 12 | 6 |
| M ethylstearate | 112-61-8 | - | - | - | 79 | - | - | - |
| 2-Ethylhexylmaleate | 142-16-5 | - | 27 | 150 | - | - | - | - |
| 2-(2-Hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)benzotriazole (Tinuvin P) or 2-(2H-Benzotriazole-2-yl)-5-methylphenol | $\begin{aligned} & 2440-22-4 \\ & \text { or 4998- } \\ & 48-5 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 36 | - | - | - |
| p, p'-Diphenylmethane diisocyanate or Diphenylmethane diisocyanate | $\begin{aligned} & 101-68-8 \\ & \text { or 26447- } \\ & 40-5 \end{aligned}$ | 990 | 2900 | 320 | 1600 | 610 | 730 | 390 |


|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2-1 | 2-2* |  | 2-3 | 2-4 | 2-5* |  |
| Component | CAS-no. | Outer material | Outer material, back | Outer material palm | Outer material | Outer material | Outer material, back | Outer palm |
| M ethyl hydroxystearate | 141-23-1 | - | - | - | 110 | - | - | - |
| 2-Ethylhexyl fumarate | 141-02-6 | - | - | 650 | - | - | - | - |
| M ethyl-1-benzofurane-2-yl(2-hydroxyphenyl)acetate | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 40800-99- \\ & 5 \end{aligned}\right.$ | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - |
| Triphenylphosphate | 115-86-6 | - | - | 66 | 23 | 12 | 12 | 41 |
| Hexa(methoxymethyl)melamine | $\begin{aligned} & 68002-20-\mid \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 31 | - |
| 1-(1-Cyclopropyl-pentyl) piperidine | 89110-28-1 | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | - |
| Di(ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) | 117-81-7 | - | 320 | - | - | 420 | - | - |
| Unidentified adipic acid |  | - | - | 110 | - | - | - | - |
| Kodaflex txib or equivalent | 6846-50-0 | - | - | 21 | - | - | - | - |
| 0-Toluidine | 95-53-4 | - | - | - | 64 | - | 110 | 23 |
| 4-Isopropyl-2-pentadecyl-1.3-dioxolane or equiv. | 56599-35-0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 61 |
| 2-[4-(3-M ethoxyphenyl)-3H-1,5-benzodiazepine-2yll phenol | $\begin{aligned} & 84634-58- \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | 19 | - | - |
| Fluorous compound |  | - | - | 480 | - | - | - | - |
| Oleamide | 301-02-0 | - | 24 | 30 | - | - | 83 | 12 |
| Diglycidyl bisphenol A | 1675-54-3 | - | - | - | 150 | - | - | - |
| M ono-2-ethylhexyladipate | 4337-65-9 | - | - | 400 | - | - | - | - |
| Bis(2.2.6.6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinyl) sebacate (Tinuvin 770) | $\begin{aligned} & 52829-07- \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | - | 37 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tinuvin (R) 292 | 41556-26-7 | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | - |

-: Below the detection threshold <1-10 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}^{*}$ : Palm and back in different materials.
T able 6.20 presents the results of analysis of V elcro fastener on mittens. The results are semi-quantitative since the substances are estimated according to internal standards.

Table 6.20 Results for the GC/MS anal ysis of Velcro fasteners on mittens, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | CAS no. | 2-1 | 2-2 | 2-3 | 2-5 |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | - | - | 37 | 28 |
| Styrene | 100-42-5 | 5 | - | - | 11 |
| Xylene | 100-42-5 | - | - | - | 15 |
| 6-M ethylheptylacrylate | 54774-91-3 | 24 | - | - | 9 |
| 2.4-Diisocyanatetoluene | 584-84-9 | - | 250 | 18 | - |
| 5-M ethylbenzimidazolone or 5-formyl-2.4-dimethyl-pyrrole-3-carbonitrile | $\begin{aligned} & 5400-75-9 \text { or 32487- } \\ & 71-1 \end{aligned}$ | - | 7 | 15 | - |
| 4- <br> (M ethylenamine) phenyldi methylamine | 147354-14-1 | - | 8 | - | - |
| M ethylenbisacrylamide | 110-26-9 | - | - | - | 16 |
| 12-Dibromo-4nitrobenzene or Tridecyl bromide | 5411-50-7 or 765-09-3 | - | - | - | 22 |
| M ono-2-ethylhexyladipate or equiv. | 4337-65-9 | - | - | 14 | - |
| Amide |  | - | 8 | - | - |
| Triphenyl phosphite (Stabilizer P 36) | 101-02-0 | - | - | 25 | - |
| Unidentified adipic acid |  | - | 24 | - | - |
| o-Toluidine | 95-53-4 | - | - | 19 | - |
| Ester |  | - | - | 130 | - |


|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | CAS no. | $2-1$ | $2-2$ | $2-3$ | $2-5$ |
| Tinuvin (R) 292 | $41556-26-7$ | - | - | 62 | - |
| Aliphatic hydrocarbons |  | 6100 | - | - | 4400 |

$-:$ Below the detection threshold $<1-10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$
T able 6.21 presents the results of analysis for phthalates in mittens. T hese components are made of soft polymer materials, deemed to represent a risk of phthalate content. A nalyses were performed as single analysis and quantitative content analysis.

Table 6.21 Results for the GC/MS an al ysis of mitten I abel sand reflectors*, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

| Product no. | Description | Diethylhexyl phthalate, <br> DEH P | Diisononyl phthalate, <br> DINP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2-3$ | Product name label | 124000 | 86000 |
| $2-4$ | Product name label | 147000 | 78000 |

-: Below the detection threshold $<10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ : Analyses were run for the following phthalates: DBP, DM P, DEP, DIBP, BBP, DOP and DIDeP, which were not detected.

A nalyses of jackets and mittens revealed the presence of a large number of organic compounds.
Phthalates, triphenylphosphate (a softener), o-toluidine (a primary aromatic amine, carcinogen), and a number of isocyanates were found in the outer material and V elcro fastenings of some of the mittens.

Phthalates were found in loose-hanging reflectors and a strap on a jacket zip. Phthalates were also found in labels on the back of mittens made of a nontextile material printed with a product name.

### 6.3.5.3 Results of analyses for formaldehyde

T able 6.22 and T able 6.23 present the results of spectrophotometer analysis for formaldehyde. Results are given in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$. T he results are quantitative (single analysis) and state the content of free formaldehyde in the product.

Table 6.22 Results for formaldeh yde an al ysis of jackets, in dividual an al yses, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

| Product number | $1-1$ | $1-2$ | $1-3$ | $1-4$ | $1-5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lining in arms and body | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Fibre padding in arms and body | - | 9 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| Lining in collar and arm cuffs | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$. | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$. | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$. | 5 |

$-:$ Below the detection threshold $<2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} * \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}=$ not relevant.

Table 6.23 Resul ts for formal deh yde an al ysis of mittens, aver age of dual an al yses, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

| Product number | Test description | Formaldehyde, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $2-1$ | Lining, fibre insulation and fibre padding | 6 |
| $2-2$ | Lining, fibre insulation, fibre padding, outer material <br> (cuff, inside) | 7 |
| $2-3$ | Lining, fibre padding and outer material (cuff, inside) | 11 |
| $2-4$ | Lining and outer material (cuff, inside) | 8 |
| $2-5$ | Lining, fibre padding and outer material (cuff, inside) | 9 |

-: Below the detection threshold $<2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$
F ormaldehyde was found in jackets and mittens.
6.3.5.4 Results of analyses for organotin compounds

T able 6.24 and T able 6.25 present the results of analyses for organotin compounds. Results are given in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$.

Table 6.24 Results for total tin in jackets, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  | $1-3$ | $1-4$ | $1-5$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1-1$ | $1-2$ | - | - | $0 .+3$ |  |  |  |  |
| Outer material | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Lining/inner <br> material | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Padding | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |

Below the detection threshold $<0.02 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$
Table 6.25 Results for total tin in mittens, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2-1$ | $2-2$ | $2-3$ | $2-4$ | $2-5$ |
| Outer material | - | 0,13 | - | - | 0,53 |
| Lining/inner <br> material | - | - | - | - | - |
| Padding | - | - | - | - | - |

-: Below the detection threshold $<0.02 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$
T in was found in the outer material of jacket product nos.1-5 and mitten product nos.2-2 and 2-5, which could stem from the content of organotin compounds.

G C/M S analysis for organotin subsequently showed that there were no organotin compounds in the 3 products in which tin had been detected.

### 6.3.6 Quantitative analyses and migration studies

### 6.3.6.1 Selection of products and substances

In collaboration with the D anish Environmental Protection A gency, a series of products and substances were selected to undergo further examinations based on screening tests. Selection of products was based on high content of the selected substances, and presentation of cheap and expensive products.

Table 6.26 Over view of products and substances sel ected for an al ysis

| Product <br> no. | Description | Components <br> analysed for: | Analyses | Reason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 1-1 | Jacket, outer material | FTOH* | Quantitative + saliva migration: <br> 3 hours | High F at X-ray, fluoride compounds at <br> GC/MS screning. "Cheap" product. |
| $1-2$ | Jacket, outer material | FTOH* | Quantitative + saliva migration: <br> 3 hours <br> Saliva-migration: 3 hours <br> Saliva-migration: 3 hours <br> Gigh F at X-ray, fluoride compoundsat <br> product <br> M DI and TDI content. |  |
| $1-3$ | Jacket, outer material | FTOH*, "Expensive" |  |  |
| DIBP |  |  |  |  |


| Product no. | Description | Components analysed for: | Analyses | Reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Diglycidylbisphenol A, o-Toluidine, Triphenyl phosphate. <br> MDI, TDI | 3 hours <br> Saliva-migration: 3 hours <br> Saliva migration: 3 hours | o-Toluidine is a primary aromatic amine = Carcinogen =EU ban. Second-highest content of MDI. "Expensive" product |
| 2-3 | Mitten, lining, fibre padding and outer material (cuff, inside) | Formaldehyde | Sweat migration: 3 hours | Highest content of formaldehyde found in jackets and mittens analysed. M ore skin contact expected in mitten linings than in jackets. Sweat possible in mittens. |
| 2-3 | Mitten label (single analysis only) possible. | DEHP | Saliva migration: 3 hours | Easy to suck. |
| 2-4 | Mitten label (used as "double" analysis for 2-3) | DEH P | Saliva migration: 3 hours | Easy to suck. |
| 2-4 | Mitten, outer material | DEHP | Saliva migration: 3 hours | Easy to suck. |
| 2-5 | Mitten, outer material | Organic tin | Saliva migration: 3 hours | Sn detected at screening. |

*: See list of analysed compounds in Table 6.10. Unfortunately, it was impossible to perform migration with sweat for FTOH due to problems retrieving the substances in the analytic method.

### 6.3.6.2 Results of quantitative and migration analyses

## Results of the examinations are shown in the table below.

Table 6.27. Results of quantitative and migration an al yses for phthal ates.

| Substance (CAS no.) | Product type + no. | Screening <br> analysis, <br> ug/g | Quantitativ <br> e analysis, <br> ug/g | M igration <br> analysis, <br> ug/g | Migration <br> period, <br> hours | M igration <br> fluid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIBP (84-69-5) | Jacket 1-2, outer material | 18 | n.a. | 0,04 | 3 | Saliva |
| DBP (84-74-2) | Jacket 1-4, zipper strap | 43 | n.a. | $0,51^{*}$ | 3 | Saliva |
| DEHP (117-81-7) | Jacket 1-4, zipper strap* | 74 | n.a. | $<0,1$ | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mittens 2-3, label* | n.a. | 124000 | 0,56 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mittens 2-4, label* | n.a. | 147000 | 0,68 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten 2-4, outer material | n.a. | 417 | $<0,01$ | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten 2-2, outer material | 315 | n.a. | 0,27 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mittens 2-3, label* | n.a. | 86000 | n.d. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mittens 2-4, label* | n.a. | 78000 | n.d. | 3 | Saliva |

n.a.: N ot analysed
n.d.: $N$ ot detected by analysis
*: A single analysis was performed due to limited samples.

Table 6.28 Results of quantitative anal ysis for content of perfluorous compo unds

| Test no. | $4: 2 \mathrm{FTOH}$ | $6: 2 \mathrm{FTOH}$ | $8: 2 \mathrm{FTOH}$ | $10: 2 \mathrm{FTOH}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{e-FOSA}$ | N -Et-FOSA | N-Me-FOSE | N-Et-FOSE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| Jacket 1-1 | n.d. | 0.02 | n.d. | 0.02 | 0.002 | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| Jacket 1-2 | n.d. | 0.02 | 0.48 | 0.34 | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| Jacket 1-3 | n.d. | 0.01 | 109 | 0.57 | 0.002 | n.d. | 0.004 | n.d. |
| Mitten 2-1 | n.d. | 0.09 | 2.82 | 1.47 | 0.002 | n.d. | 0.008 | 0.007 |
| Mitten 2-3 | n.d. | 0.14 | 1.54 | 0.97 | 0.002 | n.d. | 0.006 | n.d. |
| Det.gr. | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 |

n.d.: N ot detected by analysis

Table 6.29. Results of quantitative and migration an al yses for iso cyan ates.

| Substance (CAS-no.) | Product type + no. | Screening <br> analysis, <br> ug/g | Quantitative <br> analysis, <br> ug/g | Migration <br> analysis, <br> ug/g | Migration <br> period, hours | Migration <br> fluid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.4-TDI (584-84-9) | jacket no. 1-2 | 194 | n.a. | 0,24 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten no. 2-2 | 868 | n.a. | 0,20 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Jacket no. 1-2 | 125 | n.a. | $<0,1$ | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten no. 2-2 | 2880 | n.a. | $<0,1^{*}$ | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten no. 2-3 | 1580 | n.a. | $3,4^{* *}$ | 3 | Saliva |

n.a.: N ot analysed
*: N o M D I was detected by migration - there could be another isocyante, as GC/M S screening is only based on the N IST library's suggestion
**: R esult was a single analysis
2.6-T DI, CAS no. 91-08-7 was not shown in any of the tests.

Tabl e 6.30 presents the results of migration an al yses of other organic substances.

| Substance (CAS no.) | Product type + no. | Screening analysis, ug/g | Quantitative analysis, ug/g | Migratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Triphenylphosphate (115-86-6) | Mitten no. 2-2 | 66 | n.a. | 11 |
|  | Mitten no. 2-3 | 23 | n.a. | 11 |
| Diglycidylbisphenol A (1675-54-3) | Mitten no. 2-3 | 146 | n.a. | 3.2 |
| 0-toluidine (95-53-4) | Mitten no. 2-3 | 64 | n.a. | 4.5 |

n.a.: N ot analysed

Table 6.31. Results of quantitative and migration an al yses for formal deh yde.

| Product type + <br> no. | Quantitative <br> analysis | Migration <br> analysis | Migration period | Migration fluid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mitten no. 2-3 | $11 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$ | $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$ | 3 hours | Sweat |

GC/MS analysis for organotin showed that there were no organotin compounds in the 3 products in which tin had been detected.

The analyses show that only a small number of the phthalates migrate from the products surveyed under the specified conditions. Formaldehyde, isocyanates, triphenylphosphate, diglycidylbisphenol and o-toluidine did not migrate. All products analysed contained perfluorinated compounds.

### 6.4 Footwear

F ootwear comes under the arnea G ood D ay: Playing outside. T he survey focused on rubber boots and rubber clogs.

### 6.4.1 Summary of results

In three of the five rubber clogs a quantifiable phthalate content was detected ( product nos. 3-1, 3-3 and 3-4). M igration studies on artificial sweat showed that only a fraction of the phthalate content migrated from the products.

Only a few organic substances were found in the rubber boots, and one type of phthalate was found in one of the boots (product no. 4-4). Therefore, no further analyses were performed on these products.

### 6.4.2 Description of product type

The rubber clogs selected consist of the same material on the outside and inside. They are expected to be worn with socks, but can be used without resulting in skin contact.

Some of the boots consist of the same material on the outside and inside, whilst other products have a thin textile lining on the inside. C hildren were expected to have most skin contact with the upper edge of the boots analysed.

### 6.4.3 Selected products

T able 6.32 and T able 6.33 list the products selected for analysis. The reasons for choosing these products are described in the survey.

Tabl e 6.32 Sel ected products, rubber clogs

| Product <br> no. | Description | Information stated on the packaging or product <br> (direct transcript) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3-1$ | Pink rubber clogs, size 23 | Water friendly. Tread on bottom for traction. Back <br> strap for secure fit. <br> EVA material. |
| $3-2$ | Pink rubber clogs, removable <br> lining, size 26/27 |  |
| $3-3$ | Lime-coloured rubber clogs, <br> size 23/24 | - |
| $3-4$ | Navy blue rubber clogs, size 23- <br> 25 | - |
| $3-5$ | Orange rubber clogs, size 26-27 | Very comfortable to walk in, warm in winter, cool <br> in summer, breathable and healthy for the feet, <br> shock absorbant and flexible, easy to clean, <br> bacteria resistant, float on water, sporty design, <br> lightweight |

Tabl e 6.33 Sel ected products, rubber bo ots

| Product <br> no. | Description | Information stated on the packaging or product <br> (direct transcript) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4-1$ | Black and blue rubber boots, <br> size 24 with small crocodile on <br> side and word "dille". | Uppers, outer sole, lining of other material. |
| $4-2$ | Grey rubber boots with <br> drawings on entire surface. <br> Trees, grass, a river and a <br> castle. Laces at the top. |  |
| $4-3$ | Army green rubber boot with <br> laces at the top. White stripe at <br> top. Size 24 | - |
| $4-4$ | Black/ white striped rubber <br> boots with an orange-spotted, <br> horned dinosaur print. Size 24 | M ade in China |
| $4-5$ | Rubber boots size 23, pink, <br> sole, pink with orange and <br> green spots | - |

### 6.4.4 Analsyis methods

The following sections describe the screening methods and quantitative analysis methods used. The migration analyses have been carried out as described in C hapter 6.2 and have subsequently been analysed using quantitative analyses. The procedures are described below.

### 6.4.4.1

X -ray analysis
O ne of the products selected (product 4-2) had a textile edge at the top. T his product was $X$-ray screened for fluoride to see if it had been impregnated with an agent containing per -or polyfluorous compounds. $T$ he analysis method is described in T able 6.34.

Table 6.34 X-r ay an al ysis

| Sampling | Textile band at top of boot |
| :--- | :--- |
| Spectrophotometer | ESEM, EDX |
| Detection threshold | $0.1 \%$ |
| Analysis margin of <br> uncertainty | $5 \% *$ |

*: Depends on concentration range

### 6.4.4.2 G C/M S analysis, extractable organic substances

A GC/M S analysis is used to test for the presence of extractable organic components. Samples were taken from the top edge of the boots or uppers of the rubber clogs. A single analysis was performed during the initial screening followed by a dual analysis for products selected for quantitative analyses. External standards were applied for calculating quantitative content of selected phthalates.

T he analysis method is described in T able 6.35.
Table 6.35 GC/MS method

| Sampling | Rubber boots: Upper edge <br> Rubber clogs: Uppers <br> Sample quantity for extraction: 15 g |
| :---: | :---: |
| Extraction | Extraction agent: Dichloromethane, 15 ml (boots)/ 25 ml (clogs). Extraction: Overnight extraction as a minimum, performed at room temperature. Thereafter 15 ml of extraction fluid withdrawn, adding 13.5 ml of methanol to precipitate any dissolved polymeric material. |
| Internal standards | Hexachlorobenzene (HCB) and Butylbenzenephthalate (BBP) |
| GC/MS instrument | Varian 3800 GC/MS |
| GC parameters | Column RTX-5sil MS, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ id., $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ phase film Carrier gas: Helium, constant flow at $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Oven settings: $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min ., $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. until $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. until $320{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Injection: $325{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, split 20 |
| M S-parameters | Scan mode: $35-650 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ Solvent delay: 5 min . |
| Detection threshold (estimated) | $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

### 6.4.4.3 SPM E analysis of migrating fluids

A 2.5 g sample (cut into as few pieces as possible and with the surface area estimated) was placed in 50 ml of preheated artificial sweat or saliva with BBP as internal standard and left at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5-7.75 hours. T he liquid phase was decanted from the sample pieces and examined using GC/M S, with solidphase micro-extraction (SPM E) of substances migrated to the liquid phase with $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PD M S-fibre after the addition of $25 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{NaCl}$.

### 6.4.5 Results

T he results of the screening analyses are given in the sections below.

### 6.4.5.1 Results of $X$-ray analyses

No fluoride traces over $0.1 \%$ were found in the textile edge at the top of product 4.2, thus there is no evidence that this product had been impregnated with an agent containing per- or polyfluorous compounds.
6.4.5.2 Results of the GC/M S screening analyses

T able 6.36 and $T$ able 6.37 contain the results of the G C/M S screening analysis. Results are given in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$. The results are semi-quantitative since the substances are estimated according to internal standards.

Table 6.36 Results of the GC/MS analysis of rubber clogs, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $3-1$ | $3-$ | $3-3$ | $3-4$ | $3-1$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.37 Results of the GC/MS an al ysis of rubber boots, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  |  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4-1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4- \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 4-2, textile edge | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 4-4 | $4-$ 5 |
| Substance name | CAS no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.4-bis (1-phenylethyl)-phenol | 2769-94-0 | 1500 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butylisobutyl phthalate | 17851-53-5 | - | - | - | - | 400 | - |

'-' = Below the detection threshold
Phthalate was detected in three of the five rubber clogs, (product nos. 3-1, 33 and 3-4), and in one of the boots (product 4-4).

### 6.4.6 Quantitative analyses and migration studies

### 6.4.6.1 Selection of products and substances

In collaboration with the D anish Environmental Protection A gency, a series of products and substances have been selected to undergo further examinations based on screening tests.

Table 6.380 ver view of sel ected products and substances

| Product <br> no. | Description | Components <br> analysed for | Analyses | Reason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3-1$ | Rubber clogs | DEHP, DIBP | Quantitative sweat migration: <br> 7,75 hours | Used without socks in the summer. <br> Quantitative analyses and migration <br> analyses performed to compare with <br> results in literature. |
| $3-3$ | Rubber clogs | DBP | Quantitative sweat migration: <br> 7,75 hours | See product 3-1 |

6.4.6.2 Results of quantitative and migration analyses

A nalysis results are shown in T able 6.39.
Table 6.39 Results of quantitative and migration an al yses for phthal ates

| Substance(CAS no.) | Product type + no. | Screening <br> analysis, <br> ug/g | Quantitative <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration <br> analysis, <br> ug/g | Migration <br> period, <br> hours | Migration <br> fluid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIBP (84-69-5) | $3-1$, Clogs | 3000 | $670^{*}$ <br> $(431-901)$ | $84^{*}$ <br> $(32-136)$ | 6 | Sweat |
| DBP (84-74-2) | $3-3$, Clogs | 51000 | 25603 | 249 | 6 | Sweat |


| Substance (CAS no.) | Product type + no. | Screening <br> analysis, <br> ug/g | Quantitative <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration <br> analysis, <br> ug/g | Migration <br> period, <br> hours | Migration <br> fluid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEHP (117-81-7) | $3-1$, Clogs | 50000 | 15658 | n.d. | 6 | Sweat |
|  | $3-3$, Clogs | n.d. | 137 | n.d. | 6 | Sweat |

*: R elatively large spread of these results (interval stated in brackets)
i.a.: n.d.: N ot detected at analysis

### 6.5 Pacifiers

Pacifiers come under the arena Good $N$ ight: Bed

### 6.5.1 Summary of results

2.4-bis (1-phenylethyl) phenol was found in the teat of one product, no. 5-3. Siloxane compounds were found in products 5-4 and 5-5, made of silicon rubber.

The coverage of all the pacifiers analysed were made of polycarbonate; they all contained bisphenol A. Phthalates were found in the coverage of product no. 5-1 and 5-3. M igration studies showed that the substances did not migrate to artificial sweat or saliva.

2-mercaptobenzothiazole was not found in the teat of the pacifiers analysed.

### 6.5.2 Description of product type

A pacifier comprises a teat and a coverage of various shapes and combinations. T he coverage can also bear printed decorations. T he teat was analysed, along with a pooled sample of coverages.

### 6.5.3 Selected products

T able 6.40 displays those products selected for analysis. T he reason for choosing these products is described in the survey.

Table 6.40 Sel ected products

| Product <br> no. | Description | Information stated on the packaging or <br> product (direct transcript) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5-1$ | Pacifier with red coverage, white <br> knob, blank handle. Drawing of a <br> golden crown on the white knob. <br> Anatomic, 3-36 mths (2) | Non-allergen pacifier. Anatomic |
| $5-2$ | Pacifier with blank handle. Blue line <br> drawing of two yellow teddies. <br> Anatomic natural rubber. | Natural rubber pacifier, shatterproof <br> polycarbonate coverage. |
| $5-3$ | Blue pacifier, round coverage. Shiny <br> blue with silver hue. Natural rubber <br> (latex), round vent hole, 6 mths.+ | Polycarbonate coverage and ring: Teat made <br> of natural rubber. |
| $5-4$ | White pacifier with own name (MST <br> 2-year-olds) engraved | Teat: Natural rubber (latex/ silicon). Coverage <br> and ring: Polycarbonate |
| $5-5$ | 2 pacifiers with clear pink open <br> coverage. Pink knob on one, white <br> on the other. No ring. Ultra soft <br> silicon. Air anatomic, extra skin- <br> friendly <br> (4M+) | Anatomic. Extra skin-friendly |

### 6.5.4 Analysis methods

The following sections describe the screening methods and quantitative analysis methods used. T he migration analyses have been carried out as described in C hapter 6.2 and have subsequently been analysed using quantitative analyses. The procedures are described below.

### 6.5.4.1 FTIR analysis of the material composition

In the case of products 5-1 and 5-5, the material composition was not stated on the product nor on the accompanying packaging. Thus, a FT IR analysis has been performed in order to determine the type of material.
6.5.4.2 GC/M S screening, extractable organic substances

A GC/M S analysis is used to test for the presence of extractable organic components. All pacifiers were scalded with boiling water before analysis as agreed with DEPA, and as instructed on their user instructions (scalding or boiling). A sample was taken from the teat, along with a pooled sample of coverages. A single analysis was performed.

T he analysis method is described in T able 6.41.
Tabl e $6.41 \mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{M}$ S-scr een ing

| Sampling | 1) Teat <br> 2) Shield / knob (equal parts of each) <br> Sample quantity: 1.5 g |
| :---: | :---: |
| Extraction | Extraction agent: Dichlormethane, 15 ml . <br> Extraction: Overnight extraction as a minimum, performed at room temperature. Thereafter 15 ml of extraction fluid withdrawn, and 13.5 ml methanol added to precipitate any dissolved polymeric material. |
| Internal standards | BBP, HCB |
| GC/MS instrument | Varian 3800 GC/M S |
| GC parameters | Column RTX-5sil MS, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ id., $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ phase film Carrier gas: Helium, constant flow at $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> Oven settings: $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min ., $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. until $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. until $320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Injection: $325^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, split 20 |
| M S parameters | Scan mode: 35-650 m/z Solvent delay: 5 min . |
| Detection threshold (estimated) | $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ (DINP $500 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) |

6.5.4.3 SPM analysis of migrating fluids

A 2.5 g sample (cut into as few pieces as possible and with the surface area estimated) was placed in 50 ml of preheated artificial sweat or saliva with BBP as internal standard and left at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $0.5-7.75$ hours. The liquid phase was decanted from the sample pieces and examined using G C/M S, with solidphase micro-extraction (SPM E) of substances migrated to the liquid phase with $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PD M S-fibre after the addition of $25 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{NaCl}$.

### 6.5.5 Results

The results of the screening analyses are presented in the sections below.
6.5.5.1 Results of FTIR analyses

The FT IR analyses showed that both the pacifier coverages analysed ( $5-1$ and $5-5$ ) were manufactured from polycarbonate.

### 6.5.5.2 Results of the G C/M S screening analyses

T able6.42 and T able6.43 present the results of the G C/M S-screening analysis. Results are given in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$. T he results are semi-quantitative as the substances are estimated according to internal standards.

Table6.42 Results for the GC/M S an al ysis, teat, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $5-1$ <br> (teat) | $5-2$ <br> (teat) | 5-3 <br> (teat) | 5-4 <br> (teat) | 5-5 (teat) |
| Substance name | CAS no. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.4-bis (1- <br> phenylethyl)-phenol | $2769-94-0$ | - | - | 4400 | - | - |
| Cyclosiloxane <br> compound (several) | $556-71-8$ <br> $18772-36-6 ~$ | - | - | - | + | + |

-: Below the detection threshold
+: Shown to contain the substance
2-mercaptobenzothiazole was not found in the teat of the pacifiers analysed.
Tabl e6.43 Resul ts for the GC/M S an al ysis, co ver age, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $5-2$, <br> (coverage) | $5-3$, <br> (coverage) | 5-4, <br> (coverage) | $5-5$, <br> (coverage) |  |
| Substance name | CAS no. |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEHP | $117-81-7$ | - | - | 300 | - | - |
| DINP | $28553-12-0$ | $500 *$ | - | 1600 | - | - |
| Bisphenol A | $80-05-7$ | 1900 | 1700 | 1600 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Tert. Butylphenol | $98-54-4$ | 1600 | 1500 | - | 2200 | - |
| 2-(4-(1,1- <br> dimethylethyl)-2- <br> methylphenoxyet <br> hanol | $54934-87-1$ | 250 | 500 | - | 500 | - |

$-:$ Below the detection threshold
+: Shown to contain the substance *: Result close to the detection threshold.
The coverages of all the pacifiers analysed were made of polycarbonate; they all contained bisphenol A. Phthalates were found in the coverage of two of the products, but in such low concentrations that the phthalates cannot have been added as softeners.

Pacifiers are defined as articles for infants as the product is intended to make it easier for the child to sleep or relax by sucking it. REACH, annex XVII, entry 51 and 52 continued the prohibition to use, import or sell toys and childcare articles containing certain phthalates (including DEHP and DINP) in concentrations above $0.1 \%$ expressed per mass of the softened material (equivalent to $1,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$, i.e. $1,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). This means that the DIN P content in the coverage of pacifier 5-3 is above this limit. It may be that the coverage is not intended to be put in the mouth, but the pacifier can be turned the wrong way accidentally. T he DEPA Chemical Inspection Service has considered the case.
6.5.6 Quantitative analyses and migration studies
6.5.6.1 Selection of products and substances

In collaboration with the D anish Environmental Protection A gency, a series of products and substances have been selected to undergo further investigations based on screening tests.

Table 6.44 O ver view of sel ected products and substances

| Product <br> no. | Description | Components <br> analysed for | Analyses | Reason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5-1 | Pacifier, coverage | Bisphenol A, Tert. <br> Butylphenol | Quantitative sweat migration: <br> 7.75 hours <br> Saliva migration: 7.75 hours | Quantitative analyses and migration <br> analyses performed to compare with <br> results in literature. High contents of <br> bisphenol A and content of phthalates. <br> The child's mouth and hands are in <br> contact. |
| 5-3 | Pacifier, coverage | DEHP, DIN P <br> Bisphenol A, Tert. <br> Butylphenol | Quantitative sweat migration: <br> 7.75 hours <br> Saliva migration: 7.75 hours | See 5-3. |

### 6.5.6.2 Results of quantitative and migration analyses

A nalysis results are shown in T able 6.45. The results of the screening analyses are single determinations. U nless otherwise specified, the results of the quantitative and migration analyses are averages of dual analyses.

Table 6.45Results for quantitative and migration an al yses for bisph enol A and tert. butyl ph en ol

| Substance (CAS no.) | Product type + no. | Screening analysis, ug/g | Quantitative analysis, $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$ | Migration analysis, ug/g | Migration period, hours | Migration fluid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bisphenol A (80-05-7). | 5-1, Pacifier (coverage) | 1900 | 106 | n.d. | 7,75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7,75 | Saliva |
|  | 5-3, Pacifier (coverage) | 1600 | 280 | 7* | 7,75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7,75 | Saliva |
| Tert. Butylphenol | 5-1, Pacifier(coverage) | 1600 | 1264 | n.d. | 7,75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7,75 | Saliva |
|  | 5-3, Pacifier(coverage) | 1900 | 1003 | n.d. | 7,75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7,75 | Saliva |

*: O nly found in one of the samples.
n.d. Signifies that the substance has not been detected.

Table 6.46 Results of quantitative an al yses and migration anal yses for phth al ates.

| Substance (CAS-no.) | Product type + no. | Screening analysis, ug/g | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quantitativ } \\ & \text { e analysis, } \\ & \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Migration analysis, ug/g | M igration period, hours | Migration fluid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEHP (117-81-7) | 5-1, Pacifier (coverage) | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | 7,75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7,75 | Saliva |
|  | 5-3, Pacifier (coverage) | 300 | 275 | n.d. | 7,75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7,75 | Saliva |
| DIN P (28553-12-0) | 5-3, Pacifier (coverage) | 1600 | 1047 | n.d. | 7,75 | Sweat |

n.d. Signifies that the substance has not been detected.

D espite high quantitative levels of phthalates and bisphenol A, the results show that these substances do not migrate with the use of artificial saliva or sweat for the stated number of hours.

### 6.6 Soap packaging

Soap packaging comes under the arena Good N ight: Bath.

### 6.6.1 Summary of the results

All the soap packaging analysed was made of PVC and large quantities of phthalates were found in all the products analysed. T he phthalates found were

DEHP, DINP, DNOP and DEP. M igration studies showed that the some of the phthalates migrated to artificial sweat and saliva, whilst the heavier DIN P did not.

All the soap packaging analysed contained DEHP, DIN P and/or DNOP exceeding the permitted threshold of $0.1 \%$ in accordance with the statutory order on phthalates in toys (BEK 855, 2009). T he D anish Safety T echnology A uthority subsequently determined that these products can be considered toys. Sales of these products were therefore stopped.

### 6.6.2 Description of product type

T he products are shaped as colourful figures. T hey are soft products and focus was placed on the container, which represents the largest surface of the product. T he packaging was rinsed thoroughly with water prior to analysis, but allowance has to be made for the contents of the product, e.g. perfume residue, that could affect the analysis results.

### 6.6.3 Selected products

T able 6.47 presents those products selected for analysis. T he reason for choosing these products is described in the survey.
Table 6.47 Sel ect ed products

| Product <br> no. | Description | Information stated on the packaging or product (direct <br> transcript) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6-1 | Foam bath with lid <br> shaped as a head | Product Ref. 50381 Information about chemicals, <br> including methylparaben, butylparaben and perfume. <br> Plastic code 3 = PVC |
| 6-2 | Small product - fits in <br> your hand. Colour of <br> soap: blue. | Information about chemicals, including methylparaben, <br> ethylparaben, propylparaben and perfume. |
| 6-3 | Small product - fits in <br> your hand. <br> Approximate length: 8 <br> cm. Colour of soap: <br> orange. | Information about chemicals, including methylparaben, <br> ethylparaben, propylparaben and perfume. |
| 6-4 | Small product, max. 12 <br> cm in length Colour of <br> soap: pink. |  |
| 6-5 | Small product - fits in <br> your hand. Maximum <br> length: 8 cm. Colour of <br> soap: red. |  |

### 6.6.4 Analysis methods

T he following sections describe the screening methods and quantitative analysis methods used. T he migration analyses have been carried out as described in Chapter 6.2 and have subsequently been analysed as quantitative analyses. T he procedure is described below.
6.6.4.1 FTIR analysis of the material composition

In cases where the material composition is not stated on the product nor on the accompanying packaging (products 6-2, 6-3, 6-4, 6-5), an FT IR analysis has been performed in order to determine the type of material.
6.6.4.2 G C/M S screening, extractable organic substances

A GC/M S analysis is used to test for the presence of extractable organic components. Samples have been taken from the product packaging (6-1:

From the lid/head. F rom the rest a sample was extracted from the packaging, which includes valves). A single analysis was performed.

The analysis method is described in T able 6.48.

Table 6.48 GC/MS screening

| Sampling | 6-1 (head/lid), with the rest: The entire packaging, including the soap valve. <br> Sample quantity: 1.5 g |
| :---: | :---: |
| Extraction | Extraction method: Dichloromethane, 15 ml (product 6-1) - 25 ml (other). <br> Extraction: Overnight extraction as a minimum, performed at room temperature. Thereafter 15 ml of extraction fluid withdrawn, and 13.5 ml methanol added to precipitate any dissolved polymeric material. |
| Internal standards | BBP, HCB |
| GC/M S-instrument | Varian 3800 GC/MS |
| GC-parameters | Column RTX-5sil MS, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,25 \mathrm{~mm}$ id., $0,25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ phase film Carrier gas: Helium, constant flow at $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> Oven settings: $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min ., $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. until $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. until $320{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Injection: $325{ }^{\circ}$-C, split 20 |
| M S-parameters | Scan mode: $35-650 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ Solvent delay: 5 min . |
| Detection threshold (estimated) | $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

6.6.4.3 Quantitative SPM E analysis of migrating fluids

A 2.5 g sample (cut into as few pieces as possible and with the surface area estimated) was placed in 50 ml of preheated artificial sweat or saliva with BBP as internal standard and left at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $0.5-7.75$ hours. T he liquid phase was decanted from the sample pieces and examined using G C/M S, with solidphase micro-extraction (SPM E) of substances migrated to the liquid phase with $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PD M S-fibre after the addition of $25 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{NaCl}$.

### 6.6.5 Results

T he results of the screening analyses are given in the sections below.

### 6.6.5.1 Results of FTIR analyses

The FTIR analyses showed that all the examined packagings ( $6-2,6-3,6-4$, $6-5)$ consist of PVC softened with phthalates.

### 6.6.5.2 Results of the G C/M S-screening analyses

T able 6.49 presents the results of the G C/M S screening analysis R esults are given in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$. T he results are semi-quantitative since the substances are estimated according to internal standards.

Table 6.49 Results for the GC/M S an al ysis of soap packagings, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | $6-1$ | $6-2$ | $6-3$ | $6-4$ | $6-5$ |  |
| Substance <br> name | CAS no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEHP | $117-81-7$ | - | - | - | 190000 | 200000 |  |
| DINP | $28553-12-0$ | - | - | - | 100000 | 200000 |  |
| DNOP | $117-84-0$ | 120000 | 150000 | 150000 | - | - |  |
| DEP | $84-66-2$ | - | 6000 | 11000 | 300 | 300 |  |

$-:$ Below the detection threshold

+ : Shown to contain the substance
Phthalates were found in all examined soap packagings.


### 6.6.6 Quantitative analyses and migration studies

### 6.6.6.1 Selection of products and substances

In collaboration with the D anish Environmental Protection A gency, a series of products and substances have been selected to undergo further investigations based on screening tests.

Table 6.50 O ver view of sel ected products and substances

| Product <br> no. | Description | Components being <br> analysed for | Analyses | Reason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6-1 | Soap packaging | DNOP | Quantitative sweat migration: <br> 0.5 hours | The material is stated to be PVC and is <br> hard compared to the other soap <br> packagings, which are soft. Has been <br> selected to test whether migration is <br> different. |
| 6-2 | Soap packaging | DNOP, DEP | Quantitative sweat migration: <br> 0.5 hours <br> Saliva-migration: 0.5 hours | $6-2$ and $6-5$ contain various phthalates <br> and were therefore both selected. The <br> products are deemed tempting for a <br> child to play with and suck on. |
| 6-5 | Soap packaging | DEHP, DINP, DEP | Quantitative sweat migration: <br> 0.5 hours <br> Saliva-migration: 0.5 hours | See product 6-2 |

6.6.6.2 Results of quantitative and migration analyses

Results of the examinations are shown in the table below. The results of the screening analyses are single determinations. U nless otherwise specified, the results from the quantitative and migration analyses are averages of dual analyses.

Table 6.51 Results of quantitative and migration anal yses for phth al ates.

| Substance (CASno.) | Product type + no. | Screening analysis, ug/g | Quantitative analysis, ug/g | Migration analysis, ug/g | Migration period, hours | Migration fluid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEHP (117-81-7) | 6-1, Soap packaging | n.d. | 133 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  | 6-2, Soap packaging | n.d. | 206 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 0.5 | Saliva |
|  | 6-5, Soap packaging | 200000 | 80130 | 2 | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 0.5 | Saliva |
| DIN P (28553-12-0) | 6-5, Soap packaging | 200000 | 87692 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 0.5 | Saliva |
| DNOP (117-84-0) | 6-1, Soap packaging | 120000 | 57740 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  | 6-2, Soap packaging | 150000 | 64595 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 0.5 | Saliva |
| DEP (84-66-2) | 6-2, Soap packaging | 6000 | 11357 | 34 | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | 34 | 0.5 | Saliva |
|  | 6-5, Soap packaging | 300 | 1092 | 5 | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | 7 | 0.5 | Saliva |

n.d. means that the substance was not detected above the detection threshold.

D espite high quantitative levels in these projects, the results show that only a small amount of the phthalate contents migrates under the specified conditions. Results also show that the higher molecular weight phthalates DIN P and D N OP are not detected in the migration fluids.

### 6.7 Non-slipfigures and mats

N on-slip figures and mats for bathtubs belong to the arena "G o'nat": Bath.

### 6.7.1 Summary of the results

T he phthalates DEH P and DIN P were detected in three of the products. M igration studies show that DEHP migrates to artificial sweat while DINP is not detected.

### 6.7.2 Description of product type

N on-slip figures and mats often consist of a smooth or structured surface and an underside with suction capabilities. W hen the child is sitting on the product, the greatest exposure will be from the top surface. H owever, when the child plays with the product, it may come into contact with both sides. Both sides of the product have been examined (at the edge).

### 6.7.3 Selected products

T able 6.52 presents those products selected for analysis. The reason for choosing these products is described in the survey.

Table 6.52 Sel ected products

| Product <br> no. | Description | Information stated on the packaging or <br> product (direct transcript) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $7-1$ | White mat with print | PVC |
| $7-2$ | Toy figures | $100 \%$ TPE |
| $7-3$ | Toy figures in various colours | PVC-free |
| $7-4$ | Bright green shower mat | PVC |
| $7-5$ | White mat |  |

6.7.4 Analysis methods

T he following sections describe the screening methods and quantitative snalysis methods used. T he migration analyses have been carried out as described in C hapter 6.2 and have subsequently been analysed using quantitative analyses. The procedures are described below.

### 6.7.4.1 FTIR analysis of the material composition

In case of products 7-3 and 7-5, the material composition was not stated on the product nor on the accompanying packaging. Thus, an FTIR analysis has been made in order to determine the type of material.
6.7.4.2 GC/M S screening, extractable organic substances GC/MS is used to examine for organic components. Samples have been extracted from the edge of the mats. Product 7-3 consists of figures of various colours and a sample has been analysed from all three colours. A single analysis was performed.

T he analysis method is described in T able 6.53.
Table 6.53 GC/MS-scr een ing

| Sampling | At the edge of the mats. In product 7-3 equal amounts have been <br> sampled (weight wise) from each of the 3 colours. <br> Sample quantity: 1.5 g |
| :--- | :--- |
| Extraction | Extraction method: Dichloromethane, 20 ml (product $7-1$ ) -15 ml <br> (other). <br> Extraction: O vernight extraction as a minimum, performed at room <br> temperature. Thereafter 1.5 ml of extraction fluid withdrawn, and <br> 13.5 ml methanol added to precipitate any dissolved polymeric <br> material. |
| Internal standards | BBP, HCB |
| GC/MS-instrument | Varian $3800 \mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{MS}$ |
| GC-parameters | Column RTX-5sil MS, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0,25 \mathrm{~mm}$ id., $0,25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ phase film |


|  | Carrier gas: Helium, constant flow at $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> Oven settings: $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \mathrm{~min} ., 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. until $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> until $320{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Injection: $325{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, split 20 |
| :--- | :--- |
| MS-parameters | Scan mode: $35-650 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ <br> Solvent delay: 5 min. |
| Detection threshold <br> (estimated) | $100 \mu \mathrm{gg} / \mathrm{g}$ |

6.7.4.3 SPM E analysis of migrating fluids

A 2.5 g sample (cut into as few pieces as possible and with the surface area estimated) was placed in 50 ml of preheated artificial sweat or saliva with BBP as internal standard and left at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $0.5-7.75$ hours. The liquid phase was decanted from the sample pieces and examined using G C/M S, with solidphase micro-extraction (SPM E) of substances migrated to the liquid phase with $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PD M S-fibre after the addition of $25 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{NaCl}$.

### 6.7.5 Results

The results of the screening analyses are given in the sections below.

### 6.7.5.1 Results of FTIR analyses

Products 7-3 and 7-5 were analysed using FT IR. T he analyses have shown that both products are made from poly(ethylene-propylene).

### 6.7.5.2 Results of the GC/M S screening analyses

T able 6.54 contains the results of the G C/M S screening analysis Results are given in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$. T he results are semi-quantitative as the substances are estimated according to internal standards.

Table 6.54 Results for the GC/M S an al ysis, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $7-1$ | $7-2$ | $7-3$ | $7-4$ | $7-5$ |  |  |
| Substance name | CAS-no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEHP | $117-81-7$ | 220000 | - | - | - | - |  |
| DINP | $28553-12-$ <br> 0 | - | - | - | Large <br> quantities* | - |  |
| Tributyl Acetylcitrate | $77-90-7$ | 10000 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Phthalic acid, diisooctyl <br> ester | $1330-91-2$ | 3100 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Phthalic acid, 2- <br> methylpropylbutyl ester | $17851-53-5$ | - | - | 14000 | - | - |  |
| Butyl octyl phthalate | $84-78-6$ | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-identifiable <br> hydrocarbons |  |  | + | + |  | + |  |

-: Below the detection threshold + : Shown to contain the substance
*: Dilution necessary for a usable result

### 6.7.6 Quantitative analyses and migration studies

### 6.7.6.1 Selection of products and substances

In collaboration with the Environmental Protection A gency, a series of products and substances have been selected to undergo further investigations based on screening tests.

Table 6.550 ver view of sel ected products and substances

| Product <br> no. | Description | Components <br> analysed for | Analyses | Reason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $7-1$ | Shower mat | DEHP | Quantitative sweat migration: <br> 0.5 hours | The child sits on the mat |
| $7-4$ | Shower mat | DINP | Quantitative sweat migration: <br> 0.5 hours | The child sits on the mat |

6.7.6.2 Results of quantitative and migration analyses

A nalysis results are shown in T able 6.56 .
Table 6.56 Results of quantitative and migration anal yses for phthal ates

| Substance (CAS <br> no.) | Product type + <br> no. | Screening <br> analysis, <br> $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$, | Quantitative <br> analysis, <br> $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$, | Migration <br> analysis, <br> $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$ | Migration <br> period, hours | M igration fluid <br> DEHP (117-81-7) 7-1, Shower mat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 220000 | 128625 | 25 | 0.5 | Sweat |  |  |
| DINP (28553-12-0) | 7-4, Shower mat | 800000 | 146330 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |

n.d.: N ot detected

D espite large quantities in these products, results show that only a fraction of the contents of the DEH P phthalate migrates and that the higher molecular weight phthalate DIN P is not detected in the migration fluids.

### 6.8 Soft toys

Soft toys come under the arena G ood N ight: T he bed

### 6.8.1 Summary of results

T wo of the soft toys are designed for heating and they release several fragrances consistent with the constituents of lavender oil, both before and after heating. No fragrances were found in the remaining three products.

No trace of formaldehyde was found during analysis of the selected soft toys.

### 6.8.2 Description of product type

A soft toy may consist of many parts. F or example, the fur, the eyes and the nose may be made from different materials such as textiles and polymers, and it may be equipped with a bowtie or be clothed. It was decided to pool the various materials used in the soft toys. T wo of the selected soft toys are designed to be heated in the microwave and both these soft toys give off a lavender scent.

### 6.8.3 Selected products

T able 6.57 presents those products selected for analysis. The reason for choosing these products is described in the survey.

Table 6.57 Sel ected products

| Product <br> no. | Description | Information stated on the packaging or product (direct <br> transcript) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8-1$ | Soft toy with scent. | Microwavable. Gentle relaxing aroma. Washable outer <br> cover. Toy standard EN 71 approved*. CE-marked |
| $8-2$ | Small soft toy, approx. <br> 40 cm in length. | Machine washable at 30 degrees C. Produced in China <br> CE-marked |


| Product no. | Description | Information stated on the packaging or product (direct transcript) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8-3 | Soft toy | 100\% polyester both filling and external material. CEmarked |
| 8-4 | Soft toy in a cow outfit. | 100\% polyester - both filling and external material. CEmarked |
| 8-5 | Soft toy with scent. | Microwave for two minutes max. Microwave H eating Times: <br> 600-700 watts 150 seconds <br> 800-1000 watts 120 seconds <br> Complies with BS EN 71-1/2/3 and ASTM-F963 safety standards. CE-marked |

* The information is misleading since it is not made clear exactly which of the standards the product is analysed against.


### 6.8.4 Analysis methods

T he following sections describe the screening methods and quantitative analyses used. Examination of exposure through inhalation is also conducted.

### 6.8.4.1 G C/M S screening, extractable organic substances

GC/MS is used to examine for organic components. Samples have been extracted from the surface of the soft toys (equal weight samples of each type of fabric on the soft toys). A single analysis was performed.

T he analysis method is described in T able 6.58.
Tabl e 6.58 GC/M S scr eening

| Sampling | In total 1.5 g , equal amounts of each fabric on the soft toys |
| :---: | :---: |
| Extraction | Extraction agent: Dichloromethane 15 ml . <br> Extraction: Overnight extraction as a minimum, performed at room temperature. Thereafter 1.5 ml of extraction fluid withdrawn, and 13.5 ml methanol added to precipitate any dissolved polymeric material. |
| Internal standards | BBP, HCB |
| GC/MS instrument | Varian $3800 \mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{MS}$ |
| GC parameters | Column RTX-5sil MS, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ id., $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ phase film Carrier gas: Helium, constant flow at $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> O ven settings: $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min ., $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. until $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. until $320{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Injection: $325{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, split 20 |
| MS parameters | Scan mode: $35-650 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ Solvent delay: 5 min . |
| Detection threshold (estimated) | $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

6.8.4.2 G C/M S-screening, headspace analysis

A G C/M S-headspace analysis is used to test for the presence of volatile organic components. The soft toys (the entire soft toy) are placed in a closed chamber (in an exsiccator) and volatile substances are then collected using R adiello-tubes (white diffusive body + cartridge code 130) for 16 hours with and without prior heating of the scented bears in the microwave (8-1 and 8-5 respectively). M icrowave heating has been conducted according to the instructions on the soft toys, meaning that soft toy 8-1 (just the inside bag) was heated at 650 watts for 45 seconds. Soft toy 8-5 (the entire bear) was heated at 650 watts for 150 seconds. A single analysis was performed.

T he analysis method is described in T able 6.59.

Tabl e 6.59 GC/M S-scr een ing

| GC/MS instrument | Varian $3800 \mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{MS}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| GC parameters | Column RTX-5sil MS, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ id., $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ phase film |


|  | Carrier gas: Helium, constant flow at $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> Oven settings: $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min} ., 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. until $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> until $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Injection: $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, split 30 |
| :--- | :--- |
| MS parameters | Scan mode: $35-650 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ <br> Solvent delay: 2 min. |
| Detection threshold <br> (estimated) | $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ absolute |

### 6.8.4.3 Spectrophotometric analysis of formaldehyde.

Spectrophotometric analysis was used to identify formaldehyde. T he analysis was performed according to Japanese law no. 112 (1973). T his determines the content of formaldehyde that is not bound. T he result is quantitative and a single analysis was performed from two different places on each soft toy, for instance including ribbons. T he analysis method is described in T able 6.60.

Table 6.60 Spectroph otometer an al ysis

| Sampling | 2.5 g |
| :--- | :--- |
| Extraction | Japanese law no. 112 (1973) <br> Extracted at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using 100 ml water in 1 hour. Filtered, with acetyl <br> acetone reagent added and incubated for 30 minutes in a water <br> bath at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| Spectrophotometer | Absorption maximum $412-415 \mathrm{~nm}$ |
| Detection threshold | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

### 6.8.5 Results

The results of the screening analyses are presented in the sections below.

### 6.8.5.1 Results of the GC/MS analyses, extractable organic substances

T able 6.61 contains the results of the GC/M S-screening analysis. Results are given in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$. T he results are semi-quantitative since the substances are estimated according to internal standards.

Table 6.61 Results for the GC/M S-an al ysis, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | $8-2$ | $8-3$ | $8-4$ | $8-5$ |  |  |
| Substance name | CAS <br> no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid, <br> 2-methylpropylbutyl ester, | $17851-$ <br> $53-5$ | - | 1600 | - | 160 | - |  |
| Dibutyl phthalate | $84-74-2$ | - | - | - | 130 | - |  |

-: Below the detection threshold
V ery few organic substances were detected during analysis of the soft toys.
6.8.5.2 Results of the GC/M S analyses, headspace

T abel 6.62 contains the results of the G C/M S-screening analysis. T he collected substances are comparable to substances found in lavender oil. T he results for the total amount of lavender oil are given in

T abel 6.62. T he results are semi-quantitative since the substances are estimated according to internal standards. T ablel 6.63 presents the substances identified using the GC/M S NIST database, but the identification is rather uncertain due to the complex composition of structurally similar compounds found in lavender oil.

Tabel 6.62 Results for GC/M S anal ysis, ug absolute over 16 hours

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $8-1$ | $8-1^{*}$ | $8-2$ | $8-3$ | $8-4$ | $8-5$ | $8-5^{*}$ |  |
| Collected/degassed <br> total amount | ug | 70 | 4800 | - | - | - | 100 | 11000 |

*: Heated in microwave as instructed on the product
-: N ot detected in the product

Tabl el 6.63 Resultatd for GC/MS analysis, identified substances at headspace an al ysis, $\mu \mathrm{g}$ absoluteover 16 hours

|  |  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $8-1$ | $8-1^{* *}$ | $8-2$ | $8-3$ | $8-4$ | $8-5$ | $8-5^{* *}$ |  |  |
| Substance name | CAS no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Linalool | $78-70-6$ | 15 | 650 | - | - | - | 17 | 1580 |  |
| Linalool acetate | $115-95-7$ | 16 | 800 | - | - | - | 15 | 1460 |  |
| Camphene | $79-92-5$ | - | 22 | - | - | - | - | 79 |  |
| Eucalyptol | $470-82-6$ | 24 | 2400 | - | - | - | 48 | 6430 |  |
| Camphor | $76-22-2$ | 8 | 370 | - | - | - | 11 | 630 |  |
| Linalyl oxide | $5989-33-3$ | + | 260 | - | - | - | + | 830 |  |
| $\alpha-C u m y l a l c o h o l ~$ | $617-94-7$ | + | + | - | - | - | + | + |  |
| Camphol | $507-70-0$ | + | + | - | - | - | + | + |  |
| $\beta$-pinene | $127-91-32$ | - | 31 | - | - | - | - | 120 |  |
| m-cymol | $535-77-3$ | - | + | - | - | - | - | + |  |
| Limonene | $138-86-3$ | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Terpineol | $7299-41-4$ | - | + | - | - | - | - | + |  |
| 4-terpineol | $562-74-3$ | - | + | - | - | - | - | + |  |
| Bornyl acetate or <br> isobornylacetate | $76-49-3$ | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | 170 |  |
| Limonene oxide | $125-12-2$ | $1195-92-2$ | - | + | - | - | - | - |  |
| $\alpha$-pinene | $7785-70-8$ | - | + | - | - | - | - | + |  |

**: Heated in microwave as instructed on the product

+ : Detected in the product - : Not detected in the product
T wo of the soft toys are designed for heating and these give off several fragrances, both before and after heating. No fragrances were found in the remaining three products.
6.8.5.3 Results of analyses for formaldehyde

Stuffing, bows, laces and pouch (depending on product) were analysed for formaldehyde, which was not detected above the detection threshold of 2 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$.

### 6.8.6 Quantitative analyses and migration studies

H eadspace analyses were performed, which correspond to exposure through inhalation. T he results can be found in section 6.8.5.2.

It was decided not to select more products and fabrics for further analysis in this product category.

### 6.9 Diapers

T he diapers come under the arena G o'morgen: C lothing, but may come under all arenas if the 2 -year-old child wears them for 24 hours a day.

### 6.9.1 Summary of the results

Screening analyses have been performed on the extractable organic compounds in various parts of the diapers. T he analyses showed that most of
the organic substances found are aliphatic hydrocarbons and polymers which could not be identified using the applied method.

Five of the organic compounds appear in all the products. T hese are all additives (antioxidants) which may have been used in the production of the polymers that comprise the diapers.

Limonene, which is a perfume substance, was detected in three of the products.

The analysis showed that three of the analysed diapers contained low levels of formaldehyde. H owever, these levels were so low that they were close to the detection threshold of this method.

N o organotin compounds or rosin were detected in the diapers.

### 6.9.2 Description of product type

A diaper consists of many parts in close contact with the child's skin. T he filling material which provides suction capability is a large component of the product. T he diapers' upper edge and leg edges are also in close contact with the skin and may be made from a different material than the rest of the diaper in order to give a good fit. On selected diapers there is a strip of adhesive for fitting the diaper. This is not in direct contact with the skin. T he screening methods below clarify which parts of the diapers have been analysed.

### 6.9.3 Selected products

T able 6.64 presents those products selected for analysis. The reason for choosing these products is described in the survey.

Table 6.64 Sel ected products

| Product <br> no. | Description | Information stated on the packaging or product (direct <br> transcript) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9-1$ | Diaper with stretch <br> closure. Print on the front <br> side of diaper. Junior/ 5 11- <br> 25. kg | - Latex free. Contains no lotion or perfume <br> - Contains: Cellulose, bleached without chlorine, <br> polypropylene, polyethylene, polyurethane, synthetic <br> rubber. |
| $9-2$ | Trouser diaper, print on <br> the front side of diaper. <br> 13.20 kg | - Anti leak technology <br> - All-round soft fit |
| $9-3$ | Diaper with stretch <br> closure. <br> Print on the front and back <br> sides of the diaper. Junior <br> 11-25. kg | - Non-stop fit <br> - Stretch \& H old <br> - Contains: Petrolatum, stearyl alcohol, paraffinum <br> liquidum, aloe barbadensis extract. |
| $9-4$ | Diaper with stretch <br> closure. <br> Print on the front side of <br> diaper. Junior 12-22. kg | - Perfume and lotion free |
| $9-5$ | Diaper with stretch <br> closure. <br> Print on the front side of <br> diaper. | - 100\% free of chlorine <br> - Contains over 50\% "renewable resources". <br> - Compostable packaging. <br> - Dermatologically and clinically tested <br> - Breathable foil 100\% biodegradable |

### 6.9.4 Screening methods

In the following sections, the applied screening methods are explained.
6.9.4.1 GC/M S screening, extractable organic substances

A GC/M S analysis is used to test for the presence of extractable volatile and semi-volatile organic components. Samples have been extracted from the filling material, the elastic/rim around the legs, the waistband and, if present, frontal prints and adhesive strips. A single analysis was performed.

The analysis method is described in T able 6.65.
Tabl e 6.65 GC/M S-scr een ing

| Sampling | Samples were collected from 4-5 different places on the diapers. The samples have been analysed individually. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Extraction | Extraction agent: Dichloromethane and acetone (3:1), 20-40 ml. Extraction: 60 min . in ultrasound followed by 60 min . of mechanical shaking |
| Internal standards | DEH P-d4, Pyrene-d10, N aphthalene-d8. |
| GC/MS instrument | Agilent GC/MS |
| GC parameters | Column Phenomenex ZB-5MS, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ id., $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ phase film <br> Carrier gas: Helium, constant flow at $1.9 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> Oven settings: $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 min ., $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. to $320{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 mins . Injection: $280{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, splitless |
| MS parameters | Scan mode: $29-550 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ Solvent delay: 3 min . |
| Detection threshold | $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

### 6.9.4.2 GC/M S analysis, derivatised from rosin

5 ml of the extract from the GC/M S analysis was reduced to dryness after which 2 ml of BF 3 in methanol was added. See T able 6.65 After heating, the sample was cooled and water plus hexane were added. T he hexane phase was analysed using GC/MS using the same method as that for screening. T wo samples were taken from each diaper, one from the filling and one from the inside lining. T he detection threshold is estimated to be $1-2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$.
6.9.4.3 Spectrophotometer analysis of formaldehyde.

A spectrophotometric analysis was employed for the identification of formaldehyde. The analysis was performed according to Japanese law no. 112 (1973). T his determines the content of formaldehyde that is not fixed. T he result is quantitative and a single analysis was performed. The analysis method is described in T able 6.66.

Table 6.66 Spectrophotometer an al ysis

| Sampling | 2.5 g |
| :--- | :--- |
| Extraction | Japanese law no. 112 (1973) <br> Extracted at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using 100 ml water in 1 hour. Filter, add <br> acetylacetone reagent and leave for 30 minutes in a water bath at <br> $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| Spectrophotometer | Absorption maximum 412-415 nm |
| Detection threshold | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

### 6.9.4.4 ICP-M S for organotin compounds

T he products were analysed for organotin compounds using migration to artificial sweat. T he sweat was then ICP-M S analysed to screen for tin content. A positive finding meant that a $\mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{MS}$ analysis was performed to identify and quantify the organotin compounds. A single analysis was performed.

T he analysis method is described in table 6.67.

Table 6.67 ICP/M S-an al ysis

| Sampling | 2.5 g of filling material and elastic rim around legs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Extraction | Extraction agent: Artificial sweat at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and concentrated nitric <br> acid 0.14 M added. <br> Extraction volume: 100 ml for padding and 50 ml <br> interfacing/elastic |
| ICP-MS equipment | ion 118 and 120 |
| Internal standard | Rh |
| Detection threshold | $0.03 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ for filling material and $02 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ for elastic rim |

### 6.9.5 Results

T he results of the screening analyses are given in the sections below.

### 6.9.5.1 Results of G C/M S analyses

Several different parts of the diapers were analysed. Filling material, elastic leg rims, stretch closures, inner lining and imprints were all analysed.

G C/M S-analyses showed that most of the organic substances found are aliphatic hydrocarbons and polymers which could not be identified using the applied method.

A nalysing the filling material of the diapers revealed no other organic substances in addition to those mentioned - except for Irganox 245 (an additive - antioxidant) found in product no. $9-2$, see $T$ able 6.68 . $T$ he result is given in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$. T he result is semi-quantitative since the substance is estimated according to an internal standard.

Tabl e 6.68 Results for GC/M S-An al ysis, filling material in diaper s, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

| Substance <br> name | CAS no. | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $9-1$ | $9-2$ | $9-3$ | $9-4$ | $9-5$ |
| Irganox 245 | $36443-68-2$ | - | 160 | - | - | - |

-: Below the detection threshold
T able 6.69 contains an overview of the organic substances found in other parts of the diaper. T he organic substances are not from the filling material, but from the waistband, the elastic, the stretch closures, the inner lining and the frontal print.

Table 6.69 Results of screening for extractable organic substances

| Name | CAS no. | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $9-1$ | $9-2$ | $9-3$ | $9-4$ | $9-5$ |
| Limonene | $138-86-3$ |  |  | + | + | + |
| 3.6-Dimethyl-1.4- <br> dioxan-2.5-dione | $95-96-5$ |  |  |  |  | + |
| Caprolactam | $105-60-2$ |  |  |  | + | + |
| 2.4-bis (1,1- <br> dimethylethyl)-phenol | $96-76-4$ | + | + | + | + | + |
| Butylhydroxytoluene <br> (BHT) | $128-37-0$ | + | + | + | + | + |
| 1-Octadecanol | $112-92-5$ |  |  | + |  | + |
| Unknown ester | N/A |  |  |  |  | + |
| 2-methylpropyl <br> hexadecanoic acid <br> ester | $110-34-9$ |  |  |  | + | + |
| 2-methylpropyl <br> octadecanoic acid ester | $646-13-9$ |  |  |  | + | + |


| Name | CAS no. | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $9-1$ | $9-2$ | $9-3$ | $9-4$ | $9-5$ |
| Octadecyl oleate | $17673-49-3$ |  |  |  | + |  |
| 13-Docosenamide | $112-84-5$ |  |  |  | + |  |
| Naugard 524 /Irgafos <br> 168 | $31570-04-4$ | + | + | + | + | + |
| Unknown phthalate <br> with large alkyl groups | N/A |  |  |  |  | + |
| Oxidated Irgafos 168 <br> (phosphite to <br> phosphate) | N/A | + | + | + | + | + |
| Irganox 1076 | $2082-79-3$ | + | + | + | + | + |

+: Detected in the product N/A: N ot available
T he results of the G C/M S analyses are presented below, grouped by the part of the diaper that was analysed. Results are given in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$. T he results are semi-quantitative as the substances were calculated using internal standards for hydrocarbons $\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{C}_{24}$.

Tabel 6.70 Results for the GC/M S-an al ysis, inner waist lining, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

| Name | CAS no. | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $9-1$ | $9-2$ | $9-3$ | $9-4$ | $9-5$ |  |
| Limonene | $138-86-3$ | - | - | - | - | 33 |
| $3.6-D i m e t h y l-1.4-~$ <br> dioxan-2.5-dion | $95-96-5$ | - | - | - | - | 220 |
| Butylhydroxytoluene <br> (BHT) | $128-37-0$ | 18 | 7 | 8 | - | 10 |
| Naugard 524 <br> IIrgafos 168 | $31570-04-4$ | 430 | 890 | 550 | 380 | 220 |
| Unknown phthalate <br> with large alkyl <br> groups | N/A | - | - | - | - | 100 |
| Oxidated Irgafos 168 <br> (phosphite to <br> phosphate) | N/A | 98 | 61 | 67 | 180 | 41 |
| Irganox 1076 | $2082-79-3$ | 92 | - | 55 | 50 | - |

-: Below the detection threshold N/A: Not available
Table 6.71 Resul ts for the GC/MS-an al ysis, el astic rim*, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

| Name | CAS no. | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 9-1 | 9-2 | 9-3 | 9-4 | 9-5 |
| Limonene | 138-86-3 | - | - | - | - | 140 |
| 3.6-dimethyl-14-dioxane-2.5-dione | 95-96-5 | - | - | - | - | 160 |
| 2.4-bis (1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol | 96-76-4 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 7 | 6 |
| Butylhydroxytoluene (BHT) | 128-37-0 | 100 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 8 |
| 1-Octadecanol | 112-92-5 | - | - | 4800 | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N augard } 524 \\ & \text { / Irgafos } 168 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 31570-04-4 | 480 | 1200 | 550 | 560 | 260 |
| Unknown phthalate with large alkyl groups | N/A | ${ }^{-}$ | - | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | 170 |
| Oxidated Irgafos 168 (phosphite to phosphate) | N/A | 200 | 180 | 240 | 150 | 130 |
| Irganox 1076 | 2082-79-3 | 180 | - | 280 | 76 | - |

-: Below the detection threshold N/A: N ot available
*: The sample was extracted near the legs in products 9-1, 9-3, 9-4 and 9-5. The sample from product no. 9-2 was extracted at the inner lining, since it is a trouser diaper with elastic bands both around the waist and legs.

Table 6.72 Results for the GC/MS-analysis, stretch closures*, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

| Name | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $9-1$ | $9-3$ | $9-4$ | $9-5$ |
| Limonene |  | - | 42 | 60 | 210 |
| 2.4-bis (1,1-dimethylethyl)- <br> phenol |  | 19 | 11 | 10 | 25 |
| Butylhydroxytoluene <br> (BHT) |  | 29 | 9 | 10 | 41 |
| 13-Docosenamide | $112-84-5$ | - | - | 82 | - |
| Naugard 524/Irgafos 168 | $31570-04-4$ | 1000 | 300 | 210 | 830 |
| Oxidated Irgafos 168 <br> (phosphite to phosphate) | N/A | 180 | - | 89 | 100 |
| Irganox 1076 | $2082-79-3$ | - | 500 | 480 | 62 |

- : Below the detection threshold N/A: N ot available Product 9-2 is a trouser diaper which means that there are no stretch closures to analyse.

Table 6.73 Results for the GC/M S-an al ysis, frontal print, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

| Name | CAS no. | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 9-1 | 9-2 | 9-3 | 9-4 | 9-5 |
| Limonene | 138-86-3 | - | - | - | 41 | 92 |
| Caprolactam | 105-60-2 | - | - | - | 610 | 240 |
| 2.4-bis (1,1-dimethylethyl)-phenol | 96-76-4 | - | 8 | 8 | 7 | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Butylhydroxytoluene } \\ & (\mathrm{BHT}) \end{aligned}$ | 128-37-0 | 25 | 7 | 10 | 6 | - |
| Unknown ester | N/A | - | - | - |  | 1200 |
| 2-methyl propyl hexadecanoic acid ester | 110-34-9 | - | - | - | 210 | - |
| 2-methyl propyl octadecanoic acid ester | 646-13-9 | - | - | - | 560 | 1200 |
| Octadecyl oleate | 17673-49-3 | - | - | - | 210 | - |
| Naugard 524 /Irgafos | 31570-04-4 | 130 | 960 | 430 | - | 390 |
| Oxidated Irgafos 168 (phosphite to phosphate) | N/A | 81 | 160 | 140 | - | - |
| Irganox 1076 | 2082-79-3 | 110 |  | 150 | - | - |

$-:$ Below the detection threshold N/A: N ot available
Five of the organic substances such as Irgafos 168 and BHT are present in all products. T hese substances are additives (antioxidants) which may have been used in the production of the polymers that are used in the diapers.

In three of the products the fragrance limonene was detected, although not in the filling material used for most of the diaper.

### 6.9.5.2 Results of analyses for rosin

An analysis was performed for rosin, which is sometimes used as an adhesive in paper products. No rosin was detected above the $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ detection threshold in the filling material of the diaper or in the waistband.
6.9.5.3 Results of analyses for formaldehyde

T able 6.74 presents the results of the spectrophotometric analysis for formaldehyde. Results are given in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$. T he results are quantitative
(single analysis) and state the content of free formaldehyde in the product. It was not possible to finish the analysis for the filling material in the diapers.

Tabel 6.74 Results for spectrophotometric an al yses, formal deh yde, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

|  | Product no. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $9-1$ | $9-2$ | $9-3$ | $9-4$ | $9-5$ |
| Outer lining with print and <br> inner lining | 4 | - | - | 4 | 2 |
| Top rim, tape, flaps and <br> elastic waistband | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$. | - | - | - |
| Top rim by stomach and <br> elastic rim by legs (inside) | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$. | - | - | - |
| Elastic rim by stomach and <br> legs | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$. | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$. | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$. | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$. |

-: Below the detection threshold $<2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} . \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$ : Not relevant. Depending on whether it is a trouser diaper.

T he diaper analyses showed that three diapers contained low levels of formaldehyde. H owever, these levels were so low they were close to the detection threshold of this method.
6.9.5.4 Results of analyses for organotin compounds

T he diapers were analysed for organotin compunds by screening for tin. N 0 tin was detected above the detection threshold ( $0.02-0.03 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) in the diaper's filling material, nor in the elastic bands near the legs.

### 6.9.6 Quantitative analyses and migration studies

In collaboration with the D anish Environmental Protection A gency, it was decided not to select any more products and fabrics for further analysis in this product category.

### 6.10 Bed Iinen

Bed linen comes under the arena $G$ ood $N$ ight: $T$ he bed

### 6.10.1 Summary of results

A large number of organic compounds have been detected in the examined bed linens; some will disappear after washing while others will remain detectable.

A number of substances suspected to be a health risk were detected in products no. 12-3 and 12-4, and which are subject to the requirements in the Eco-T ex Standard 100, such as dichlorobenzene, o-toluidine, aniline and dichloroanilines. The highest concentrations of organic substances were found in product no. 12-4.

At analysis of the bed linen, formaldehyde was detected in 3 types of bed linen. C ontents decrease after washing. Product no. 12-4 has the highest detected levels both before and after washing.

### 6.10.2 Description of product type

One set of bed linen consists of a main pillowcase and a duvet cover. In this product, the sole focus has been on the duvet cover. All of the selected products are patterned, and the analyses have attempted to sample from as many of the colours as possible.
6.10.3 Selected products

T able 6.75 presents those products selected for analysis. The reason for choosing these products is described in the survey.

Table 6.75 Sel ected products

| Product no. | D escription | Information stated on the packaging or product (direct transcript) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-1 | Printed teal stripes, floral vine and picture of a prince on a white fabric | - 2 piece bed linen <br> - Duvet case: $70 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> - Pillow case: $40 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> - M aterial. 100\% cotton <br> Washed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 12-2 | Lots of colours, dominant ones being red and black | - 100\% cotton <br> - $100 \times 140 \mathrm{~cm}$ duvet case, $40 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$ pillow case <br> Washed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 12-3 | Large and small numbers of various colours printed on white material | Bed sheet: $140 \times 240 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> Duvet case: $150 \times 200 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> Pillow case: $50 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> Material: 100\% cotton <br> Shrinkage 4\% <br> Washed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 12-4 | Red print on orange fabric | Style: 82-007 Colour: 05 Size: Junior |
| 12-5 | Bright gray-ish green and red/orange brown symmetrical pattern printed on white fabric | Material: 100\% organic cotton, certified according to international SKAL-standards. GOTS-certified. Eco-sustainable licensed textiles. <br> Eco-tex colour standards. <br> PVC and phthalate free packaging <br> Washed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

### 6.10.4 Washing procedure

All bed linens were analysed both before and after washing. Each set of bed linen was washed separately according to the instructions provided on the packing material or on the product, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. A standard washing procedure was performed in a washing machine of the brand W ascator, using a standard ECE-detergent without added perborate. N o bulking agent was used and thus no standard wash fabric filling. "Blindsample" washing was performed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in which a 1 m cotton standardized fabric of full width (zig-zag cut at the ends) was washed by itself with ECE detergent. Both the blinded sample and the bed linen were then hung-dried.

### 6.10.5 Analysis methods

T he following sections explain the screening methods and quantitative analysis methods used. The migration analyses have been carried out as described in Chapter 6.2 and have subsequently been analysed as quantitative analyses. T he procedures are described below.
6.10.5.1 GC/M S screening, extractable organic substances

A GC/M S analysis is used to test for the presence of extractable volatile and semi-volatile organic components. Sampling of the bed linen was conducted in such a way that as many colours as possible were represented in the samples.

T he analysis method is described in T able 6.76.

Tabl e $6.76 \mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{M}$ S-scr een ing

| Sampling | Between 1.0-13 grams extracted before and after washing |
| :---: | :---: |
| Extraction method | ASE with acetone Dichloromethane was added to selected samples due to unsolved substances |
| Internal standards | Pyrene-d10 |
| GC/MS instrument | Agilent GC/MS |
| GC parameters | Column Phenomenex ZB-5MS, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ id., $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ phase film <br> Carrier gas: Helium, constant flow at $1.9 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> Oven settings: $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 min ., $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. to $320{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 mins . Injection: $280{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, splitless |
| MS parameters | Scan mode: $29-550 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ Solvent delay: 3 min . |
| Detection threshold | $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

### 6.10.5.2 Spectrophotometric analysis of formaldehyde

Spectrophotometric analysis was used to identify formaldehyde. T he analysis was performed according to Japanese law no. 112 (1973) - this is an accredited method. T his determines the content of formaldehyde, which is not fixed. T he result is quantitative and dual analyses were performed. $T$ he analysis method is described in T able 6.77.

Table 6.77 Spectroph oto meter an al ysis

| Sampling | 2.5 g |
| :--- | :--- |
| Extraction | Japanese law no .112 (1973) <br> Extracted at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using 100 ml water in 1 hour. Filter, add acetyl <br> acetone reagent and 30 minutes in a water bath at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| Spectrophotometer | Absorption maximum $412-415 \mathrm{~nm}$ |
| Detection threshold | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ |

### 6.10.6 Results

T he results of the screening analyses are given in the sections below.

### 6.10.6.1 Results of GC/M S analyses

T able 6.78 presents the results of the $\mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{MS}$ analysis. T he results are given in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ and are semi-quantitative since the substances are estimated according to internal standards.

Table 6.78 Results for the GC/MS-an al yse, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ - before and after washing

| Component | CAS no. | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 12-1 |  | 12-2 |  | 12-3 |  | 12-4 |  | 12-5 |  |
|  |  | before | after | before | after | before | after | before | after | before | after |
| 12-Ethandiole | 107-21-6 | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | - |
| Propylenglycole | 57-55-6 | - | - | 49 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5-Hexene-2-one | 109-49-9 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N-tert-butylacetamide | 762-84-5 | 5 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2.6 Dimethylpyridine | 108-48-5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - |
| Styrene | 100-42-5 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | + | 2 |
| 3,4-Dimethylpyridine, 2,4Dimethylpyridine or 2,5Dimethylpyridine | $\begin{aligned} & 583-58-4,108-47-4 \text { or } \\ & 589-93-5 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 6 | - | 7 | - | 33 | - | - | - |
| 2.2'-Oxydiethanol | 111-46-6 | - | - | - | - | 32 | 16 | 300 | - | - | - |
| Isocyanate benzene | 103-71-9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 6 | 220 | - |
| Aniline | 62-53-3 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 8 | 24 | 7 | - | - |
| 1,1'-Oxybis-2-propanol | 110-98-5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 65 | - |


| Component | CAS no. | Product no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 12-1 |  | 12-2 |  | 12-3 |  | 12-4 |  | 12-5 |  |
|  |  | before | after | before | after | before | after | before | after | before | after |
| 12-Dichlorobenzene, 1.3dichlorobenzene or 14dichlorobenzene | $\begin{aligned} & 95-50-1,541-73-1 \text { or } \\ & 106-46-7 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 7 | - | - |
| Dipropylenglycol or 2,2'-oxybis-1-Propanol | $\begin{aligned} & 25265-71-8 \text { or 108- } \\ & 61-2 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | - |
| 3,3'-Oxybis-2-Butanol | 54305-61-2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | - |
| Trimethylpyridine | 695-98-7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - |
| Urea | 57-13-6 | 210 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2-M ethoxybenzamine | 90-04-0 | - | - | - | - | 4.5 | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| Isosorbide | 652-67-5 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2,5-Dichloroaniline, 2,3dichloroaniline or 14dichloroanilline | $\begin{aligned} & 95-82-3,608-27-5 \text { or } \\ & 95-76-1 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 130 | 62 | - | - |
| 4-tert-Butylcyclohexylmethyl ethylphosphanate |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - |
| M elamine | 108-78-1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - |
| Di(sec-butyl) 2methylsuccinate | 57983-31-0 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| 4-Chloro-2.5-dimethoxybenzamine or 5-Chloro-2,4-dimethoxy-benzamine | 6358-64-1 or 97-50-7 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| bis(2-M ethylpropyl)hexanedioic acid ester | 141-04-8 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Triethanolaminborate | 15277-97-1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - |
| Tetradecanoic acid | 544-63-8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - |
| 4-Aminebenzamide | 2835-68-9 | - | - | - | - | + | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Heptadecanic acid | 506-12-7 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4,4-Ethylidendiphenol | 2081-08-5 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - |
| di-p-Tolylsulfone | 599-66-6 | - | - | - | - | + | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2Propenoic acid 2-ethylhexyl ester | 5466-77-3 | 5 | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palmidrol | 544-31-0 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3-Amino-4-methoxy-N phenylbenzamide | 301-02-0 | - | - | 8 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2-Butoxy-ethanol phosphate | 78-51-3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | - | - | - |
| Hexa(methoxymethyl)mela mine | 68002-20-0 | - | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | - |
| Triethylenglycol monododecyl ether, Tetraethylenglycol monododecyl ether or Pentaethylenglycol monododecyl ether | $\begin{aligned} & 3055-94-5,5274-68-0 \\ & \text { or 3055-95-6 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - |
| Octadecyloxyethylpalmitate | 29899-13-6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | 47 |
| 2,3-Dihydroxypropyl hexadecanoic acid ester | 542-44-9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - |
| 3-Amino-4-methoxy-Nphenylbenzamide | 120-35-4 | - | - | 11 | 4 | 30 | 13 | 22 | 16 | - | - |
| Octadecyloxyethylstearate | 28843-25-6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 95 | 45 |

- : Below the detection threshold $<0,3-10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$
+: Detected, but not possible to calculate due to interference

A large number of organic compounds have been detected in the surveyed bed linens; some disappear on washing. A few substances appear in larger quantities after washing. T he reason for this is that interfering substances made it impossible to identify those substances before washing. Some of these interfering substances are removed in the wash, resulting in better identification and quantification of other substances (semi quantitatively).

A number of substances were found in products no 12-3 and 12-4, including arylamines such as aniline, o-toluidine, dichloroanilines and dichlorobenzenes, which are regulated through the Eco-T ex Standard 100 (Eko-T ex Standard 100, 2009). The arylamines may be the decomposed products from an azo colouring agent and the dichlorobenzenes may result from chemicals used to aid fabric colouring. The highest concentrations of organic substances were found in product no. 12-4.
6.10.6.2 Results of analyses for formaldehyde

T abel 6.79 presents the results of the spectrophotometric analysis for formaldehyde. Results are given in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$. T he results are quantitative (average of dual analyses) and state the content of free formaldehyde in the product.

Tabel 6.79 Results for spectroph otometric analyses, formaldeh yde, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$

| Product number | $12-1$ | $12-2$ | $12-3$ | $12-4$ | $12-5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Before washing | - | 16 | 7 | 182 | - |
| After washing | - | 4 | 3 | 34 | - |

"-" : Below the detection threshold $<2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$.
F ormaldehyde has been detected in 3 of the products both before and after washing.

### 6.10.7 Quantitative analyses and migration studies

6.10.7.1 Selection of products and substances

A series of products and substances have been selected to undergo further examinations based on screening tests.

Table 6.80 Over view of sel ected products and substances

| Product <br> no. | Description | Components <br> analysed for | Analyses | Reason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $12-4$ | Bed linen, before <br> washing | Formaldehyde | Sweat migration: 16 hours | A quantitative result is found by <br> extraction in water, 1 hour, 40 degrees. <br> The child sleeps during the day and <br> night. |
| $12-4$ | Bed linen, after <br> washing | Formaldehyde | Sweat migration: 10 hours | In order to calculate exposure with and <br> without washing |

The set of bed linen containing the highest levels of formaldehyde during screening studies was selected for further analysis.
6.10.7.2 Results of quantitative and migration analyses

Results of the investigations are shown in the table below.
Table 6.81. Results of quantitative an al yses and migration anal yses for formal deh yde.

| Product type + no. | Quantitative <br> analysis | M igration <br> analysis | M igration period | Migration fluid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bed linen no. 12-4 <br> before washing | $182 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$ | $307 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$ | 10 hours | Sweat |
| Bed linen no. $12-4$ <br> after washing | $34 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$ | $121 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$ | 10 hours | Sweat |

A larger content of formaldehyde has been found following the migration analysis compared to the quantitative analysis using a standardized method for the detection of formaldehyde in fabric. T he quantitative analysis is performed followed by 1 hour extraction with water, whereas the migration analysis is performed for 10 hours with artificial sweat, which is a watery fluid containing salts. It would therefore appear that the applied standardized method does not determine the total amount of formaldehyde present in a given product. The standardized method determines the amount of free formaldehyde, and it is possible that the artificial sweat releases more formaldehyde due to its composition, or due to the prolonged liquid exposure.

### 6.11 Overview of quantitative anal yses and migration anal yses

The results of the quantitative analyses and the migration studies are found in the chapters pertaining to the specific products. T he most important results are summarized in T able 6.82.

Table 6.82. Anal ytical results of quantitative an al yses and migration an al yses

| Substance (CAS-no.) | Product type + no. | Screening analysis, ug/g | Quantitative analysis, ug/g | Migration analysis, ug/g | M igration period, hours | Migration fluid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formaldehyde | Mitten no. 2-3 | n.s. | 11 | 5 | 3 | Sweat |
|  | Bed linen no. 12-3 before washing | n.s. | 16 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Bed linen no. 12-3 after washing | n.s. | 4 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Bed linen no. 12-4 before washing | n.s. | 7 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Bed linen no. 12-4 after washing | n.s. | 3 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Bed linen no. 12-4 before washing | n.s. | 182 | 307 | 10 | Sweat |
|  | Bed linen no. 12-4 after washing | n.s. | 34 | 121 | 10 | Sweat |
|  | Jacket no. 1-1 | n.s. | 5 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Jacket no. 1-2 | n.s. | 6 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Jacket no. 1-3 | n.s. | 5 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Jacket no. 1-4 | n.s. | 5 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Jacket no. 1-5 | n.s. | 5 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Mitten no. 2-1 | n.s. | 6 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Mitten no. 2-2 | n.s. | 7 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Mitten no. 2-3 | n.s. | 11 | 5 | 3 | Sweat |
|  | Mitten no. 2-4 | n.s. | 8 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Mitten no. 2-5 | n.s. | 9 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| DIBP (84-69-5) | jacket no. 1-2, outer material | 18 | n.a. | 0,04 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Clog no. 3-1 | 3000 | 670 | 84 | 6 | Sweat |
| DBP (84-74-2) | Jacket no. 1-4, zipper strap | 43 | n.a. | 0,51 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Jacket no. 1-5, loose reflector piece | n.s. | 120 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Clog no. 3-3 | 51000 | 25603 | 249 | 6 | Sweat |


| Substance (CAS-no.) | Product type + no. | Screening analysis, ug/g | Quantitative analysis, ug/g | Migration analysis, ug/g | Migration period, hours | Migration fluid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEHP (117-81-7) | Jacket no. 1-4, zipper strap | 74 | n.a. | $<0.1$ | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Jacket no. 1-5, loose reflector piece | n.s. | 213000 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Mittens no. 2-3, label* | n.s. | 124000 | 0.56 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mittens no. 2-4, label* | n.s. | 147000 | 0.68 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | mitten no. 2-4, outer material | n.s. | 417 | <0,01 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten no. 2-2, outer material | 320 | n.a. | 0.27 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Clog no. 3-1 | 50000 | 15658 | n.d. | 6 | Sweat |
|  | Clog no. 3-3 | n.d. | 137 | n.d. | 6 | Sweat |
|  | Pacifier (coverage) nr. 5-3 | 300 | 275 | n.d. | 7.75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7.75 | Saliva |
|  | Soap packaging no. 6-1 | n.d. | 133 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  | Soap packaging no. 6-2 | n.d. | 206 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 0.5 | Saliva |
|  | Soap packaging no. 6-5 | 200000 | 80130 | 2 | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 0.5 | Saliva |
|  | Shower mat no. 71 | 220000 | 128625 | 25 | 0.5 | Sweat |
| DIN P (28553-12-0) | Mittens no. 2-3, label* | n.s. | 86000 | n.d. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mittens no. 2-4, label* | n.s. | 78000 | n.d. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Pacifier no. 5-3 (coverage) | 1600 | 1047 | n.d. | 7.75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7.75 | Saliva |
|  | Soap packaging no. 6-5 | 200000 | 87692 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 0.5 | Saliva |
|  | Shower mat no. 7- $4$ | 800000 | 146330 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
| DNOP (117-84-0) | Soap packaging no. 6-1 | 120000 | 57740 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  | Soap packaging no. 6-2 | 150000 | 64595 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 0.5 | Saliva |
| DEP (84-66-2) | Soap packaging no. 6-2 | 6000 | 11357 | 34 | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | 34 | 0.5 | Saliva |
|  | Soap packaging no. 6-5 | 300 | 1092 | 5 | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | 7 | 0.5 | Saliva |
| 2.4-TDI (584-84-9) | Jacket no. 1-2 | 190 | n.a. | 0,24 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten no. 2-2 | 870 | n.a. | 0,20 | 3 | Saliva |
| M DI (101-68-8) | Jacket no. 1-2 | 130 | n.a. | n.d. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten no. 2-2 | 2900 | n.a. | n.d. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten no. 2-3 | 1600 | n.a. | 3,4 | 3 | Saliva |
| Bisphenol A (80-057). | Pacifier (coverage) nr. 5-1 | 1900 | 106 | n.d. | 7.75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7.75 | Saliva |
|  | Pacifier (coverage) nr. 5-3 | 1600 | 280 | 7 | 7.75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7.75 | Saliva |
| Tert. Butylphenol (98-54-4) | Pacifier (coverage) nr. 5-1 | 1600 | 1264 | n.d. | 7.75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7.75 | Saliva |
|  | Pacifier (coverage) nr. 5-3 | 1900 | 1003 | n.d. | 7.75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  |  | n.d. | 7.75 | Saliva |
| Triphenylphosphate(115-86-6) | Mitten no. 2-2 | 66 | n.a. | 11 | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten no. 2-3 | 23 | n.a. | 1.1 | 3 | Saliva |
| Diglycidylbisphenol A (1675-54-3) | Mitten no. 2-3 | 150 | n.a. | 3.2 | 3 | Saliva |
| o-toluidine (95-53-4) | Mitten no. 2-3 | 64 | n.a. | 4,5 | 3 | Saliva |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:2 FTOH (647-42- } \\ & \text { 7) } \end{aligned}$ | Jacket 1-1 | 0.41 \% by weight F | 0.02 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Jacket 1-2 | 1.4 \% by weight F | 0.02 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Jacket 1-3 | 0.68 \% by weight F | 0.01 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |


| Substance (CAS-no.) | Product type + no. | Screening analysis, ug/g | Quantitative analysis, ug/g | Migration analysis, $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{g}$ | Migration period, hours | Migration fluid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mitten 2-1 | 2 \% by weight F | 0.09 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten 2-3 | 1,3\% by weight F | 0.14 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
| 8:2 FTOH (678-39-7) | Jacket 1-2 | 14 \% by weight F | 0.48 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Jacket 1-3 | 0.68 \% by weight F | 109 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten 2-1 | 2 \% by weight F | 2.82 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten 2-3 | 1,3\% by weight F | 154 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:2 FTOH (865-86- } \\ & \text { 1) } \end{aligned}$ | Jacket 1-1 | 0.41\% by weight F | 0.02 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Jacket 1-2 | 1.4 \% by weight F | 0.34 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Jacket 1-3 | 0.68 \% by weight F | 0.57 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten 2-1 | 2 \% by weight F | 147 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten 2-3 | 1,3\% by weight F | 0.97 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
| N-Me-FOSA | Jacket 1-1 | $0.41 \%$ by weight F | 0.002 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Jacket 1-3 | $0.68 \%$ by weight F | 0.002 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten 2-1 | 2 \% by weight F | 0.002 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten 2-3 | 1,3\% by weight F | 0.002 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
| Me-FOSE | Jacket 1-3 | $0.68 \%$ by weight F | 0.004 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten 2-1 | 2 \% by weight F | 0.008 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
|  | Mitten 2-3 | 1,3\% by weight F | 0.006 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |
| Et-FOSE (1691-99-2) | Mitten 2-1 | 2 \% by weight F | 0.007 | n.p. | 3 | Saliva |

n.a.: Product or fabric was not selected for analysis
n.s.: A screening result was not calculated
n.d.: T he substance was not detected above the detection threshold, as indicated earlier in this report
n.p.: T he analysis was not possible due to problems retrieving the frabrics

Substances and product groups have been selected based on these results, and subjected to risk assessment.

### 6.11.1 Conclusions from migration studies

T he results from the migration studies are highly dependant on the substance in question:

- A higher level of formaldehyde was detected in bed linen following migration over 10 hours compared to the quantitative analysis based on an extraction period of 1 hour.
- Several products have high levels of phthalates, e.g. soap packaging, but only a fraction was found in the migration analysis. T he high molecular weight phthalates (DIN P and DN OP) were not detected by the migration analysis.
- Bisphenol A was found in the coverage/button on pacifiers made of polycarbonate, but this was not detected by the migration analysis.
- Perfluoro compounds were found in impregnated jackets and mittens, but migration analysis was not possible due to interference in the analysis method and thus poor detection. For this reason it cannot be determined - and thus not excluded - that perfluorate compounds migrate from the product.
- Isocyanates (2,4-T DI and M DI), triphenylphosphate,

Diglycidylbisphenol and o-toluidine, which were detected in jackets and bed linen, were all shown to migrate.

Risk assessment of selected substances is described in C hapter 7.

## 7 Risk Assessment

### 7.1 Sel ection of dosefactors (NOAELs and LOAELs)

The emphasis of the cumulative risk assessment in this project is on substances with endocrine disrupting effects. Thus the choice was made to base the assessment on NOAELs (No Observed Adverse Effect Levels) and LOAELs (L owest Observed Adverse Effect Levels) from animal experiments that have shown endocrine disrupting effects. The used NOAEL s/L OAEL s do not come from the critical effect of the substances, which would normally be used in the surveying reports of the D anish Environmental Protection A gency. The aim has been to select NOAEL s/LOAELs that are used for endocrine disrupting effects in EU risk assessments, EFSA opinions, or other official risk assessments. In many cases the employed results come from studies where the effects have been observed after the animals have been exposed to the substances during the foetal stage. O ne can question the assumption of whether 2 -year-old children can be expected to be equally sensitive towards endocrine disrupting effects as in the foetal stage. T here is insufficient knowledge about this relationship at the present time. As long as there are no counterarguments to this, use of NOAEL s/LOAEL s from experiments on exposure in foetuses to formulate the risk assessment of the exposure of 2 -year-old children is deemed a reasonable (although careful) approach to the problem.

### 7.2 Use of assessment factors

In the previous surveying projects (among others the surveying projects from 2008 and prior to that), calculation of the M argin of Safety (M oS) was employed in the risk assessment of the measured exposure concentration/dose in the individual experiment.
Instead, REACH uses a D erived No Effect Level (DNEL) value calculated on the basis of NOAEL (or similar) and relevant assessment factors.

The DNEL value can be determined on the basis of dose factors (dose descriptors) such as NOAEL s or LOAEL s, corrected using several assessment factors (AF). T he assessment factors to be used will depend on which study the dose factor is based. T he endpoint-specific DNEL value is then calculated from this (ECH A, M ay 2008-R8).

The endpoint-specific DNEL value is determined on the basis of the formula:
Endpoint-specific DNEL $=\frac{N O A E L_{\text {corr }}}{A F_{1} \cdot A F_{2} \cdot \ldots \cdot A F_{n}}=\frac{N O A E L_{\text {corr }}}{O v e r a l l ~ A F}$
NOAEL corr is the corrected NOAEL value, i.e. the carefully selected NOAEL value on the basis of which the DNEL value is calculated (NOAEL corrected, R8). An LOAEL value is used instead of an NOAEL value in certain cases where an NOAEL value has not been determined.

The employed assessment factors and D N EL values are evidenced by the substance review in chapter 7.7. T he assessment factors are determined based on the principles outlined in the REACH guidelines. They are adjusted to the scenario with 2 -year-old children as the target group. T he assessment factors employed in the calculations are given in the table below.

Table 7.1.The assessment factors (AF) emplo yed in the cal cul ation of DNEL.

| Parameters | Value | Employed assessment factor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interspecies | Allometric scaling <br> Correction for differences in the metabolic <br> rate per kg bodyweight. | AS: <br> 4 for rats <br> 7 for mice |
| Interspecies | Remaining inter-species differences | 2.5 |
| Intraspecies | Differences between individuals | 10 |
| Dose response | LOAEL to NOAEL, if LOAEL is <br> empl o yed th is is becau se N OAEL h as <br> not been deter min ed | 3 |

### 7.3 Expo sure scen arios - M ethod

T he focus of the project is 2 -year-old children's total exposure for chemical substances from consumer products, foods and the indoor climate. Exposure calculations for the selected substances have been made on the basis of the analyses that have been made for products relevant to 2 -year-olds in this project, analyses of relevant products made in prior surveying projects as well as estimates of the exposure from cosmetic products, foods and the indoor climate.

R ealistic worst-case exposure scenarios have been devised for the consumer products based on the EU REACH Guidance D ocument for risk assessments (REACH "G uidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment" (EC HA, M ay 2008)) as well as "Children's toys fact sheet: to assess the risks for the consumer" from RIV (Bremmer \& V een, 2002) ${ }^{17}$. T he scenarios are based on calculations of the use and predictable other uses of the products. T he exposure assessment is (depending on the product type and chemical substance) based on sucking on/ingestion of the product, dermal contact and/or inhalation of volatile substances from the product or from chemical substances in the indoor climate. T he exposure from the indoor climate is based on data from the literature.
For foods the starting point is 2 -year-olds average food ingestion.

### 7.3.1 Route of exposure

### 7.3.1.1 Inhalation

In the risk assessment, calculations have been made for exposure to chemical substances via the indoor climate. T he basis has been literature studies on chemical substances in dust and the indoor climate. In addition, 2-year-olds can be affected by inhalation of substances from several products, e.g. linens, clothing, etc.

[^8]
### 7.3.1.2 D ermal uptake

Skin exposure (dermal exposure) must be considered relevant for all the selected product groups, as children have direct skin contact with all these products. T he case considered is exposure via skin on varying places of the body, as clarified in the exposure calculations.

### 7.3.1.3 D aily ingestion

Ingestion via the mouth (oral exposure) is assumed to be the potentially largest problem for 2 -year-olds. This age group is known for putting things in their mouths. Furthermore, they suck on their fingers. T hereby they can transfers any possible depositing from the fingers to the mouth, after they have been in contact with the products. Ingestion by these means is considered to be relevant for all product groups.

### 7.3.2 Previous relevant product surveys

The first phase of the project will review prior surveys/analyses of products that are relevant for 2 -year-olds. The product types that the substances occur in are listed below:

The relevant, selected substances are found in:

- Baby/children duvets
- Swimming pool
- Beach ball
- Shower curtain
- Car tires for sandbox
- Books (of foam plastic)
- Indoor climate in the children's room
- Indoor climate - carpets, impregnating agents, dust, vinyl wallpaper
- Indoor climate day-care institution - laminating materials
- Scented toys
- Floor jigsaw
- W rapping paper
- Play bags
- Toys - miscellaneous
- Lunch boxes
- M ake up
- M asks
- Plasticine
- Baby changing mats/cushions
- Shoe care products
- Bottle feeder
- Swimming board
- Clothes
- T oilet paper.

The exposure for the relevant substances in these product types is thus combined with the exposure from the analysed products in this project.

For some of the products only content analyses and no migration analyses exist. Only data from migration analyses have been used in order to aovid overestimating the exposure, as this gives a more accurate assessment of the oral ingestion. D ue to this lack of migration data, relevant contributions, have not been included in the total calculations,

### 7.3.3 Exposure scenarios

### 7.3.3.1 Exposure times used

Below, data has been collected for relevant exposure times (T able 7.2) for the product groups analysed in this project, as well as for the product types previously analysed.

On the basis of the available studies, realistic worst case-values have been determined for the later exposure calculations. Suitable exposure periods have been found in particular in Bremmer \& V een (2002) and DTI (2002).

Because the studies are structured differently, for the stated time intervals the best suitable category from the reference has been used, e.g. Bremmer \& V een (2002) have only one category for "pacifier", "teething ring", "plastic toy" and "other objects". T his means that for soft toys the same time is used as for "other objects" because most soft toys that children sleep with do not belong to the category "plastic toys".

T he statements in "Ingestion, 15 minutes per day (Bremmer \& V een, 2002)" of, for example, junior bedding (saliva) are average values for children (19-39 months) that suck on "other objects". T his means that the value does not represent the worst case in the group, but an average of the time that children - who put things in their mouth - have other objects in their mouth.

T he corresponding values from the other study (DTI, 2002) have also been entered in the table. A total statement shows that 2-year-olds (24-36 months) sit with objects in the mouth at the most 7:42 hours/day in the daily hours, excluding eating periods and including periods with a pacifier (DTI, 2002). The corresponding average time for 2 -year-olds is 1:39 hours/day, which attests to the fact that there are large individual differences.

For migration from articles, REACH R 17 (R17.3) refers to Van Engelen et al (2006). D ue to the limited number of surveys and the large variations in the data, it is generally recommended to use an exposure time (sucking time) of 3 hours for toys (and other objects) that children of 0-3 years put in their mouth.

Based on the above principle and recommendations, the existence of identical categories has been taken into account in the exposure calculations, in such a way that the total oral exposure of toys and other objects together gives at most 3 hours/day, i.e. excluding pacifiers, since these are also used when sleeping. Similalry, a correction has been made for the overlap between the groups "packaging for bath soap" and "non-slip figures and mats for the bath" so that the exposure for these two groups is in total 30 min . for the bath period.

T able 7.2 Reproduces the migration analyses of the analysis programme.

Table 7.20 ver view of the rel evant migration an al yses compared with the exposure period

| Product groups | Migration analysis | Remarks on the exposure period (intervals) | Used exposure period (worst case) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product types analysed in this project |  |  |  |
| Outdoor clothes Impregnated jackets and mittens | Saliva (sleeve edge or collar, exterior surface of mitt, strap) Sweat (inner side of jacket sleeve and mitt) | Intake: 15 min. per day (Bremmer \& Veen, 2002) <br> Intake: 178 min. (2:58 hours) per day, max. for 2-year-olds, other objects (DTI, 2002) Dermal uptake 195 min . (3:16 hours) outdoors stay for 1-4-year-olds. Max. 715 min. ( $11: 55$ hours) (US EPA, 2002) Possible inhalation 195 min. (3:16 hours) average outdoors stay for 1-4-year-olds. Max. 715 min. (11:55 hours) (US EPA, 2002) | Intake: 178 min. (2:58 hours) per day, max. for 2-year-olds, other objects (DTI, 2002) <br> Dermal uptake: 3 hours is used as a realistic value for 2-year-olds (estimate by the Danish Ministry of the Environment). |
| Footwear <br> Rubber clogs <br> Rubber boots | Sweat | Dermal uptake: 10 hours per day (DHI estimate). <br> (Possible ingestion: 178 min. (2:58 hours) per day, max. for 2-yearolds, other objects (DTI, 2002) <br> Possible inhalation 10 hours per day (DHI estimate). | Dermal uptake: 10 hours per day for both indoor + outdoor footwear is used as a realistic worst case for 2-year-olds. . <br> Additionally, 4 hours is used as an alternative scenario (estimate by the Danish Danish Environmental Protection Agency). |
| Pacifiers <br> Teat <br> Coverage | Saliva and sweat (while playing) | Ingestion: 462 min. (7:42 hours) per day including night (Bremmer \& Veen, 2002) <br> Ingestion: 217 min. (3:37 hours) per day excluding night, max. for 2-year-olds (DTI, 2002) <br> Dermal uptake: 462 min. (7:42 hours) per day (Bremmer \& Veen, 2002) <br> Possible inhalation 462 min. (7:42 hours) per day, based on the same contact time as for dermal uptake (DHI estimate) | Ingestion: 462 min. (7:42 hours) per day including night (Bremmer \& Veen, 2002) <br> Dermal uptake: 462 min. (7:42 hours) per day including night (Bremmer \& Veen, 2002) |
| Soap packaging for bath soap | Saliva (by playing) and sweat (by dermal contact) | Ingestion: 11 min. per day, plastic toys (Bremmer \& Veen, 2002) Ingestion: 126 min. (2:06 hours) per day, max for 2-year-olds (DTI, 2002) Dermal uptake: 10-30 min. per day (reference to Chapter 1, Tier 1 parameters) Possible inhalation 10- | Ingestion: 30 min. per day, as estimated average bathing duration (DHI estimate). <br> Dermal uptake: 30 min. per day, as estimated average bathing duration (DHI estimate). |


| Product groups | Migration analysis | Remarks on the exposure period (intervals) | Used exposure period (worst case) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 30 min . per day (reference to Chapter 1, Tier 1 parameters) |  |
| Non-slip figures and mats for baths | Saliva (by playing) and sweat (by dermal contact) | Ingestion: 11 min . per day, plastic toys (Bremmer \& Veen, 2002) <br> Ingestion: 126 min. (2:06 hours) per day, max. for 2-year-olds, toys (DTI, 2002) Dermal uptake: 10 to 45 min. per day average bathing during per day for 1-17-year-olds (US EPA, 2002). | IngestionIngestion: 30 min. per day, as estimated maximum average bathing duration (DHI estimate). <br> Dermal uptake: 30 min. per day, as estimated average bathing duration (DHI estimate). |
| Soft toys | Sweat, saliva and inhalation (in scented soft toys and for heating) | Ingestion: 15 min . per day, other objects (Bremmer \& Veen, 2002) <br> Ingestion: 126 min. (2:06 hours) per day, max. for 2-year-olds, toys (DTI, 2002) <br> Dermal uptake: 10:34 hours per day, average sleep/ 24 hours for 3-5-year-olds (US EPA, 2002). <br> Dermal uptake: 10-12 hours of sleep/ 24 hours for 1 -3-year-olds (Netdoktor, 2008a). Inhalation 12 hours per day generally for soft toys at room temperature. And release from microwave heated-soft toys 1 hour per day (based on personal experience in the project group) | Ingestion: 126 min . (2:06 hours) per day, max. for 2-year-olds, toys (DTI, 2002) Dermal uptake: 12 hours per day based on a 12 hour sleep period for 2-year-olds (DHI estimate) Inhalation 1 hour per day, as release from microwave-heated soft toy $+$ 12 hours per day based on release at room temperature (DHI estimate) |
| Diapers | Sweat (urine ${ }^{3}$ ) | Dermal uptake: $23: 30$ hours per day (DHI estimate). (Possible ingestioningestion: 178 min. (2:58 hours) per day, max for 2-yearolds, other objects (DTI, 2002) | Dermal uptake: 23:30 hours per day based on 24 hours per day subtracted 30 min . bathing time (DHI estimate) |

[^9]| Product groups | Migration analysis | Remarks on the exposure period (intervals) | Used exposure period (worst case) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Junior linen | Sweat, saliva | Ingestion: 15 min . per day (Bremmer \& Veen, 2002) <br> Ingestion: 178 min . (2:58 hours) per day, max. for 2-year-olds, other objects (DTI, 2002) <br> Dermal uptake: 10:34 hours per day, average sleep/ 24 hours for 3-5-year-olds (US EPA, 2002). <br> Dermal uptake: 10-12 hours of sleep/ 24 hours for 1-3-year-olds (Netdoktor, 2008a). Possible inhalation 1012 hours of sleep/ 24 hours for 1-3-year-olds (Netdoktor, 2008a). | Ingestion: 178 min . (2:58 hours) per day, max. for 2-year-olds, other objects (DTI, 2002) <br> Dermal uptake: 12 hours sleep/ 24 hours for 2-year-olds (DHI estimate) |
| Toys | Sweat, saliva | Ingestion: 15 min . per day, other objects (Bremmer \& Veen, 2002) <br> Ingestion: 126 min. (2:06 hours) per day, max. for 2-year-olds, toys (DTI, 2002) <br> Dermal uptake: No data found, but according to Bremmer \& Veen (2002) 2-3-year-old children suck on miscellaneous items approx. 11 hours per day, which also indicates the active time period of dermal contact. | Ingestion: 178 min. (2:58 hours) per day, max. for 2-year-olds, other objects (DTI, 2002) <br> Dermal uptake: 9 hours for 2-year-olds Estimate based on 11 hours of being active minus approx. 2 hours for eating and dressing (DHI estimate). |

In summary, the overall times considered for a 2-year-old child's day:

- It is assumed that 2-year-old children sleep approx. 12 hours per day.
- It is assumed that 2-year-old children suck on things 11 hours per day (including pacifiers). According to Bremmer \& V een (2002), 2-3-year-old children suck on miscellaneous items at most about 11 hours per day.
- It is assumed that of the 11 hours, the 2-year-old uses about 2 hours to eat and dress.
- It is assumed that 2-year-old children bathe approx. $1 / 2$ hour per day.
- T here is no available information on the remaining last half hour of the day, but it is assumed that the 2-year-old is busy with another activity other than eating, bathing, playing, getting dressed and sleeping, which is included in the scenarios.

According to the CASA report (H agendorn-R asmussen, 2008) only a few cases have been observed in which 2 -year-old children play with one item for more than half an hour per day. For the calculations, the toy with the highest exposure ( migration value) has been used. This is because the data constitutes a basis of random samples and not a representative market analysis. T he data basis thus gives no knowledge about the highest concentrations of the
substance in the products on the market; therefore the highest migration value is used to ensure a realistic worst case. T he highest migration value is therefore used as the worst-case representative for all toys throughout the day.

The majority of the previous surveys on phthalates in toys originate from before 2007, when the statutory order on phthalates came into force. In this investigation, the decision to use results from the previous surveys of toys is a conscious choice. T his is despite the fact that some of the toys would be banned today because the phthalate concentrations exceed the allowed threshold limit. T his decision was taken because it is realistic to believe that toys purchased prior to 2007 will still be in use in D anish homes. T oy products purchased today do not give the same exposure, becasue new toys must comply with the statutory order on phthalates. H owever, dermal exposure from phthalates other than DEHP, DBP and BBP can still occur if the 2 -year-old plays with toys suitable for children above the age of 3 , since these three phthalates are the only ones banned in all toys. T he regulation on the phthalates D IN P, DIDP and D N OP apply exclusively to toys that children are able to put into the mouth (i.e. the toy is smaller than a certain size).

T wo-year-olds can be exposed even if they are not holding the toys in their hands. For example, this could be via inhalation, if the toy releases substances to the immediate inhalation zone, or the indoor air. Inhalation of evaporated phthalates (i.e. that contained in the indoor climate) is not generally considered to be the main exposure source. The ingestion of phthalates via dust is considered to contribute to the oral uptake. T hese factors in addition to the general lack of data on the evaporation of substances from toys means that only dermal uptake and oral ingestion have been included in the calculations.

If the 2 -year-old holds the toy in their hand, exposure occurs not only via dermal uptake but also when the 2 -year-old sucks on their fingers, which is something they do a lot. T his means that we assume that the entire quantity of substance that is transferred to the fingers will either be taken up via the skin or will be sucked off the fingers. T o avoid overestimating the amount ingested, it is assumed in the calculations that dermal contact with toys is at most 9 hours (the time that a 2 -year-old is in contact with toys during the day) and oral ingestion occurs over 3 hours per day (the maximum time that a 2 -year-old sucks on toys). A 2 -year-old does not normally suck on as many things as an infant. T his is accounted for in the calculations by assuming that they suck on an area that is smaller than that of the dermal contact. It is assumed and included in the calculations that the 2 -year-old sucks on $50 \%$ of the area that they have dermal contact with.

### 7.3.3.2 U se of summer and winter scenarios

As there is a difference in the behavioural patterns of 2 -year-olds in the summer half-year and in the winter half-year, a summer scenario and a winter scenario have been considered in order to include the most realistic exposure during both seasons.

It has been decided that the scenarios encompass the following:
T he summer scenario encompasses:

- C ontact with sunscreens
- C ontact with rubber clogs (no socks are worn)
- Dermal contact with toys for 9 hours in the summer
- Ingestion of 50 mg dust (US EPA states this value for the summer scenario).

The winter scenario encompasses:

- Dermal contact with toys for 6 hours in the winter
- C ontact with jackets/mittens for 3 hours.
- Ingestion of 100 mg dust (US EPA states this value for the winter scenario, when one is more indoors).

In addition both the summer and winter scenarios contain the same remaining elements, i.e.:

- Ingestion of foods
- C ontact with objects other than toys, i.e. moisturising cream, bath articles and other textiles aside from winter clothing (jackets/mittens).


### 7.3.3.3 A natomic data

For the exposure scenario for the risk assessment, a series of data on frequency of use, body surfaces exposed, etc. have been collected. T hese are given in T able 7.3. A nthropometric data (body weight, skin areas, etc.) have been used for the calculations of the exposure per kg bodyweight per day, as assumed in Bremmer \& V een, 2002. A verage data for the anatomic data have been used for exposure calculations as agreed with the D anish Environmental Protection A gency. T hese are given in the column "used".

Table 7.30 ver view of other data for use in the exposure scen arios for 2 -year-olds

| Parameters | Value (possible min./ max. and remarks) | Applied ( average): |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight | 13.0 kg (average for boys and girls that have recently turned 2 years, N etdoktor, 2008b). <br> $11.0-16.3 \mathrm{~kg}$ for boys and $10.3-15.5 \mathrm{~kg}$ for girls (minimum and maximum weight for girls and boys that recently turned 2 years, Netdoktor, 2008b). <br> 15.2 kg (average for boys and girls that have recently turned 3 years, Netdoktor, 2008b). <br> 12.7-19.0 kg for boys and $12.0-18.2 \mathrm{~kg}$ for girls (minimum and maximum weight for girls and boys that recently turned 3 years, Netdoktor, 2008b). <br> Since the project focuses on 2-year-olds, i.e. from 2 years to almost 3, the average value for 3 -year-olds is used. | 15.2 kg |
| Height (body length) | 15.2 kg (average for boys and girls that have recently turned 2 years, N etdoktor, 2008). 97 cm (average for boys and girls that have just turned 3 years, N etdoktor, 2008). <br> $81-94 \mathrm{~cm}$ for girls and $82-95 \mathrm{~kg}$ for boys (minimum- and maximum length for girls and boys that recently turned 2 years, Netdoktor, 2008b). <br> 3 -year-old boys are max. 105 cm , i.e. 2-year-old children (that are soon to turn 3 years) can measure up to 105 cm in height. | 97 cm |
| Body surface | The body surface of 2 -year-olds ( $2<3$-yearolds) is on average $0.591 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (based on the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, which is $0.603 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for boys and $0.579 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for girls, respectively) and | $0.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (in order to have an adequate value of the soon to turn 3-year-old boys). |


| Parameters | Value (possible min./max. and remarks) | Applied (average): |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | the corresponding $0.657 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for the 3 -yearolds ( $3<4$-year-olds), which is. $0.664 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for boys and $0.649 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for girls, respectively (US EPA, 2002). <br> Corresponding values are given in the REACH Guidance R. 15 Consumer exposure estimation (ECHA, M ay 2008 R. 15 p. 43), so that the body surface for 23 -year-olds is $6,030 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ which is equivalent to $0.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. |  |
| Head | In the REACH Guidance R. 15 Consumer exposure estimation (ECHA, M ay 2008 R. 15 p. 43), the relationship between the area of the head (face) of adult men and women to the body surface area is given. Both men and women's heads constitute $6.1 \%$ of the body. <br> Children have a somewhat larger head in proportion to their body size, therefore $10 \%$ is used in the calculations for 2-3-year-olds. The values are accurate for the face, but are assumed to also be valid for the head covered with hair. | 10\%, i.e. $0.06 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Arms | In the REACH Guidance R. 15 Consumer exposure estimation (ECHA, M ay 2008 R. 15 p. 43), the relationship between the area of the arms of adult men and women to the body surface area is given. Both men and women's arms constitute $117 \%$ and $118 \%$ of the body, respectively. It is assumed that the same conditions are valid for the arms of 2 -year-olds, i.e. the arms constitute $0.07 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of the body. | $118 \%$ of the entire body, i.e. $0.07 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. |
| Legs | In the REACH Guidance R. 15 Consumer exposure estimation (ECHA, M ay 2008 R. 15 p. 43), the relationship between the area of the legs of adult men and women in proportion to the body surface area is given. Both men and women's legs constitute $26.1 \%$ and $26.0 \%$ of the body, respectively. It is assumed that the same conditions are valid for the legs of 2 -yearolds, i.e. the legs constitute $0.16 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of the body. | 26.1\% of the entire body, i.e. $0.16 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. |
| Feet, as \% of body | The feet constitute $7 \%$ of the body in $2<3$ -year-olds. 7\% of the body is in contact with shoes (US EPA, 2002 Table 8-3). Children have relatively large feet in comparison to the rest of the body (when compared to adults). | 7\% of the entire body (i.e. $0.042 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) |
| \% body part in contact with diaper | Body/ torso ${ }^{3}$ of $2<3$-year-olds constitutes 38.5\% (US EPA, 2002, Table 8-3). It is assumed that the lower part, i.e. from the navel and downwards constitutes approx. $1 / 3$, i.e. in total $12.8 \%$ of the body. | 12.8\% of the entire body (i.e. $0.077 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) |
| \% buttocks | It is assumed that the buttocks constitute approx. half of the body part that is in contact with the diaper, i.e. in total 6.4\% of the body. | $6.4 \% \text { of the body (i.e. } 0.038$ $\left.\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |

T he exposure scenarios that are to be calculated are chosen on the basis of the existing results as well as the results from the analyses in this project.

19 Body/torso is the body without limbs and neck/head.

### 7.3.4 M ethods for the calculation of exposure

For the substances from the screening analyses a "T ier 1 exposure assessment" has been performed as explained in the REACH guidelines for risk assessment. This T ier 1 exposure assessment has only been performed on the substances where a value was measured from the screening analyses. A direct value cannot be measured for all the substances identified via the screening analyses, because the measurement requires that the substance be found as a reference substance in the analysis laboratory's database. T his requirement was not fulfilled for all of the substances. The T ier 1 exposure gives a very rough estimate of the children's exposure, since it assumes 100\% migration and $100 \%$ uptake of all substances. M ore detailed exposure calculations are performed for the selected substances listed in chapter 3.1.

The following chapters describe how the exposure via inhalation, dermal contact and oral contact was calculated.

### 7.3.4.1 C alculation of exposure

Exposure at inhalation
The exposure of 2 -year-olds via the respiratory passages occurs primarily indirectly via the indoor climate or via toys that release volatile substances.

For assessment of the exposure, the general equations described in the REACH document " $G$ uidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment" (ECHA, M ay 2008) have been employed.

The exposure is calculated according to the formula "Equation 15-2" from the REACH Guidance document, C hapter R. 15 "C onsumer exposure estimation" (ECHA, M ay 2008):

$$
D_{\text {inh }}=\frac{F_{\text {resp }} \cdot C_{\text {inh }} \cdot I H_{\text {air }} \cdot T_{\text {contact }}}{B W} \cdot n
$$

where

| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {inh }}$ | Inhaled daily dose | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {resp }}$ | Inhaled substance, i.e. the respirable fraction (decimal fraction between $0-1)$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{5}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {inh }}$ | Concentration of the substance in the air of the room | hours |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {contact }}$ | Duration of exposure per event | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day |
| IH | Ventilation rate of person | per day |
| $n$ | Number of exposures (events) | Kg |

The parameters used for the calculation of the exposure via inhalation for 2-year-old are described in T able 7.2 and T able 7.3.

Dermal exposure
T he exposure of the skin occurs by direct contact with the products, e.g. when the toy is held in the hand, when the clothes are worn on the body, when not wearing socks, when the child falls asleep with its cheek on its soft toy, etc. T he chemical substances can come in contact with the skin via sweat. The results from the migration analyses (to artificial sweat) are used in the calculations.

The possible uptake via skin is calculated according to the formula "Equation 15-8" from the REACH Guidance document, C hapter R. 15 "C onsumer exposure estimation" (ECH A, M ay 2008). We have added a factor $\mathrm{F}_{\text {abss }}$, which is the fraction of substances that can be taken up via the skin. T hus, the calculated $D_{\text {de }}$ value constitutes the actual amount of substances that can be taken up per kg BW per day.

$$
D_{\text {der }}=\frac{Q_{\text {prod }} \cdot F c_{\text {prod }} \cdot F c_{\text {migr }} \cdot F_{\text {abs }} \cdot F_{\text {contact }} \cdot T_{\text {contact }}}{B W} \cdot n
$$

The product $\mathrm{Fc}_{\text {prod }} \cdot \mathrm{Fc}_{\text {migr }}$ corresponds directly to the results from the migration analyses.
where

| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {der }}$ | Daily dermal dose (amount of chemical substance taken up) | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q_{\text {prod }}$ | Amount of product used | g |
| $\mathrm{FC}_{\text {prod }}$ | Weight fraction of the substance in the product (decimal fraction between 0 and 1) |  |
| $\mathrm{FC}_{\text {migr }}$ | Fraction of substance that migrates out of the product per unit time | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ per hour |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {abs }}$ | Fraction of the applied substance that is absorbed through the skin (decimal fraction between 0 and 1) |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {contact }}$ | Fraction of the contact area (to account for the fact that the product is on in partial contact with the skin) | $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {contact }}$ | Duration of exposure per event | Hours |
| n | Number of exposures (events) | per day |
| BW | Body weight (BW) |  |

The parameters used for the calculation of the exposure via inhalation for 2-year-old are described in T able 7.2 and T able 7.3.

If there is no knowledge of the dermal uptake of a substance, then a worst case-scenario is used: T he entire amount of substance that is given off to the artificial sweat in the exposure experiments will be dermally absorbed. W here data for the dermal uptake of a substance exists, this will be used.

0 ral exposure
O ral exposure occurs when the 2 -year-olds suck on their clothes, toys, pacifiers, etc. By oral exposure is understood the uptake in the body occurring after release (migration) of the substances from products and mixing in saliva. U ptake can occur via mucous membranes in the oral cavity or in the gastrointestinal tract.

The possible uptake via the mouth is calculated according to the formula "Equation 15-11" from the REACH G uidance document, C hapter R. 15 "Consumer exposure estimation" (ECHA, M ay 2008). This formula however covers the direct ingestion of substances/products, which is why the equation has been adjusted to the present scenario with migration to the saliva simulant, i.e. where the 2 -year-olds suck the products (and does not swallow them directly). $\mathrm{D}_{\text {oral }}$ below thus denotes the ingestion of the substance when the child sucks on the product.

$$
D_{\text {oral }}=\frac{Q_{\text {prod }} \cdot F c_{\text {prod }} \cdot F c_{\text {migr }} \cdot F_{\text {oral contact }} \cdot T_{\text {contact }}}{B W} \cdot n
$$

The product $\mathrm{FC}_{\text {prod }}, \mathrm{FC}_{\text {migr }}$ corresponds directly to the results from the migration analyses, where the following is used:
$D_{\text {oral }} \quad$ Oral exposure daily dose $\quad \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day
$\mathrm{Q}_{\text {prod }} \quad$ Weight of product one is exposed to g
$\mathrm{Fc}_{\text {rod }} \quad$ Weight fraction of the substance in the product (decimal fraction
between 0 and 1)
$\mathrm{FC}_{\text {migr }} \quad$ Fraction of substance that migrates per unit time $\quad \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ per hour
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {oral contact }}$ Fraction of the contact area (to account for the fact that the
product is only inside the mouth)
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {contact }}$ Duration of exposure per event hours
$n$ Number of exposures (events) per day
BW Body weight (BW)
kg
In REACH R 17 (R17.3) on the subject of migration from articles there is a reference to V an Engelen et al (2006). In the reference, a formula for the uptake of a substance from "sucking" (on p. 47) is given, whereby it is possible to calculate a factor for the migration of a substance from the item in the given case where no migration data exists for the release of a metal from the item. The reference focuses on the release of metals from items. T his formula is not relevant in the present context, since there are no metals on the substance list and no migration of substances has been measured.

### 7.4 Calculation of risk - method

As explained above, the 2-year-olds can be exposed to the same substance via different routes of exposure - inhalation, dermal and oral exposure.
According to the REACH Guidance document on consumer exposure (ECHA, M ay 2008-R.15 p. 29), the exposure dose for the three different routes of exposure is summated to obtain the total exposure:
$D_{\text {total }}=D_{\text {inh }}+D_{\text {der }}+D_{\text {oral }}$
According to the REACH guidance document for risk assessment (ECHA, M ay 2008 - Part E p. 14), each case is assessed for health risks using the following formula, which calculates the Risk C haracterisation Ratio (RCR) by using the D erived $N o$ Effect $L$ evel (DNEL):

$$
R C R=\frac{\operatorname{Exposure}\left(D_{\text {total }}\right)}{D N E L}
$$

If the $\mathrm{RCR}>1$ (i.e. the exposure is greater than the DNEL) then there is a risk. If the $R C R<1$ then the exposure is considered to not pose any risk.

The basis for foods is normally the EFSA assessments of oral ingestion and the respective threshold values dictated in the legislation. H owever, in this report the above model has been used for the calculations.

### 7.4.1 Combination effects

C ombination effects or cocktail effects denote the exposure to different substances that all have the same effects from many different sources. T he D anish W orking Environment Authority recommends that calculations consider a total (additive) effect if no specific information on the concurrent effects is availabsummated (the D anish W orking Environment Authority, 2005). The simultaneous occurrence of several substances can have a
strengthening (synergic) or weakening (antagonistic) effect. D emonstrating the existence of these effects requires rigorous studies with the appropriate detailed combinations of substances. In this project only the additive effects are included and considered.
$N$ ew investigations show that combination effects of phthalates and other antiadrogenic substances can be calculated using the dose addition-concept (N AP, 2008; Benson 2009). This concept is also used here.

T he total, i.e. additive risk is thus calculated by adding the individual substance RCR values together:
$R C R($ total $)=R C R_{1}+R C R_{2}+R C R_{3}+\ldots+R C R_{n}$
RCR total is thus an expression for the increased (cumulative) risk that the child is exposed to, for example, the effects from the entire group of potential endocrine disruptors with antiandrogenic effects.

It should be noted that the RCR value for the individual substance in toys is only included once. T he largest RCR value for the substance in toys is selected and used in the calculation for a maximum of 9 hours. Overall this ensures that the contact with toys and individual substance is not included when the period of contact exceeds 9 hours per day .

RCR total is calculated:

- In an isolated fashion for the antiandrogenic substances (RCR total antiandrogegnic )
- In an isolated fashion for the oestrogen-like substances (RCR total oestrogen $)$.


### 7.5 Significant sources of exposure

In the following section the significant sources of exposure for some of the prioritised substances from selected literature are discussed.

### 7.5.1 Indoor climate

A ccording to Rudel et al, 2003, indoor air has been identified as one of the most significant sources of exposure to chemical substances. Indoor air appears to contain significantly higher concentrations of chemical substances than outdoor air. For young children the most important exposure pathway appears to be house dust.

A series of the selected substances are found in the indoor air as they are released by miscellaneous furniture and consumer products in the home, and can thus be measured in both the dust as well as the indoor air. Several more recent investigations on the content of potential endocrine disruptors in the indoor climate are reviewed, and the tables below give an overview of the data presented in the sources. There are most references in the open literature for the measurement of the content of phthalates in dust. Europe has for a number of years had legislation prohibiting the use of certain phthalates in toys (first a ban in toys for children aged 0-3 years, now a ban in all toys) but this is not reflected in the investigations, since phthalates in dust in the indoor
climate in the US and European countries are at the same level (shown in H wang et al, 2008, among other sources). F or instance, the highest measured concentrations of DEHP have been made in Sweden (Bornehag et al, 2005).

Only one American investigation was found in which several potential endocrine disruptors were measured in both the dust indoors and the indoor air, and a few surveys on PCB in dust and indoor air. A D anish survey on PCB in D anish buildings was recently published in M arch 2009 ( $G$ unnarsen et al, 2009).

G unnersen et al. (2009) state that the greatest exposure to PC B used in building joints occurs due to realease to the indoor air. Gunnersen et al. (2009) conclude that although primarily non-dioxin-like PC Bs are released to the indoor air, there will also be exposure to dioxin-like PCBs. The relevance of this finding should be considered in view of the fact that there is always more or less concomitant exposure to non-dioxin-like PC Bs and dioxin-like PCBs.

The present report focusses on the dioxin-like PCBs because there is documented evidence of their endocrine disrupting effects.

Several PCB concentration measurements have been made in the indoor climate (dust and air), but most have focussed on measurements in buildings (e.g. schools) where there is awareness that the building is contaminated with PCB. The levels in these buildings can be extremely high, even above 40 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in air and $980 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ in dust ( $W$ eis et al, 2003). For the exposure calculations in this project, we have chosen to use values found in common households, (Rudel et al, 2003; Gunnarsen et al, 2009). There are no investigations showing whether PCB found in day-care institutions resembles the data for common households or public buildings (which normally have a significantly higher content of PCB in dust and indoor air).

D anish values are used in the exposure calculations where possible, but these are only available for PCB and DEHP (in dust). For DEH P, the D anish value was used for the $95^{\text {th }}$ and $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile but not for the maximum value, which was not given. The maximum value of DEHP in dust ( $>40,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) comes from an investigation of household dust in Swedish homes (Bornehag et al, 2004). T he same Swedish survey has lower values for both the $95^{\text {th }}$ and $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile when compared to the D anish survey ( 4069 and $770 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ in dust, respectively, (Sweden) versus 7063 and $858 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$, respectively, (D enmark)). T he Swedish survey ( 346 measurements) is significantly larger than the $D$ anish survey ( 23 measurements). T he figures from studies on household dust in Swedish homes are used for the D BP phthtalate (Bornehag et al, 2005), as no figures are available for D anish homes.

As is apparent from the data in T able 7.4, there is a very large difference between the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, and the maximum values of phthalates measured in in dust. T his illustrates the large differences in the levels that exist and, thus also the levels that will occur in D anish households. T hus, exposure calculations have been made for the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles, as well as for the maximum value, in order to illustrate the large range and its significance for the risk.

Table 7.4 Over view of the amounts of various potential endocrine disruptors in dust in theindoor climate.

| Source | Concentration measured in indoor climate dust | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hwang et al, 2008 | DEHP: ND - $40459 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ <br> ( $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 854 - <br> $7980 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> (Avg.: $192-3214 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> (median* $=195-996$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | For phthalates the source has only investigated DEHP. American investigation, but data has also been given from various other sources including European (1997-2008). Between 5 and 376 number of samples in the various surveys. The largest value is measured in the investigation involving 376 samples. |
| Becker et al, 2004 | DEHP: $22-5330 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> ( $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 1840 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> ( $50^{\text {th }}$ ) percentile: 515 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> (Avg. (geometric): 508 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Only DEHP was measured in household dust from vacuum cleaner bags in Germany. Otherwise measurements of phthalate metabolites were made in children's urine. 252 vacuum samples have been analysed. |
| Clausen et al, 2003 | DEHP Schools: Avg.: <br> $3214 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ <br> ( $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 7063 <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> ( $50^{\text {th }}$ ) percentile: 858 <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> Household dust: Avg.: <br> $640-858 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> ( $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 2000 - <br> $2600 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Also reproduces results from prior Danish (1991/23 samples), German (1997/272 samples, 2001/286 samples) and Norwegian (1997/ 38 samples) surveys on household dust (vacuum cleaner dust). The most recent surveys (2003) are solely from schools and not private homes. <br> Bornehag et al, 2005 that has cited the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile from this Danish investigation by Clausen et al, 2003. |
| Bornehag et al, 2004 and Bornehag et al, 2005 | DEHP: 0-40459 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> (Avg.: $1310 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$, median: <br> $770 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> ( $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 4069 <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> DEP: $0-2425 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> (Avg.: $31 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$, median: <br> $0.000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> ( $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: $115 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> DIBP: $0-3810 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> (Avg.: $97 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$, median: <br> $0.045 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> (95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile: $311 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> BBP: 0-45549 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> (Avg.: $319 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$, median: <br> $0.135 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> ( $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 599 <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> DINP: 0-40667 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> (Avg.: $639 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$, median: <br> $0.041 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> ( $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 1930 <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | 346 measurements of surface dust from children's rooms in Sweden were performed. Data from the same survey is presented in the two sources, but in Bornehag (2005) results from six German surveys are also given (1997/272 samples, 2001/286 samples, 2002/199 samples, 2003/65samples, 2004/30 samples, 2004/ 252 samples), as well as a Norwegian survey (1997/ 38 samples) and a Danish (2003/23 samples - only DEHP) |


| Source | Concentration measured in indoor climate dust | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kolarik et al, 2008 | DEHP: $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: <br> 1190-7980 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) <br> (50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=340$ - <br> $990 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ <br> BBP: $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: ND <br> - $1560 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ <br> ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=$ ND 340 <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ <br> DBP: 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile: $N$ D <br> $-30.800 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ <br> ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=$ ND - <br> $9850 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ | Dust analyses were performed in 177 households in Bulgaria. In addition the results of nine other European investigations were reproduced (including Becker et al, 2004; Clausen et al, 2003; and Bornehag et al, 2004). Results from Sweden (2004/346 samples), Germany (1997/272 samples, 2001/286 samples, 2002/ 199 samples, 2002/ 65 samples, 2004/30 samples, 2004/252 samples), Norway (1997/ 38 samples) and Denmark (2003/23 samples). Other phthalates have also been measured. <br> Kolarik et al, 2008 refers to the same investigations as other sources, but only gives the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile and not the max. values, which is the reason why the high value of $>$ $40.000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ does not appear in the source. |
| Rudel et al, 2003 | DEHP: $16,7-7700 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) (median $=340 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) DBP: $<24-352 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) (median $=20,1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} /$ BBP: $3,87-1310 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) (median $=45,4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) DIBP: $<1-39,1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) (median $=1,91 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) DEP: $<4-111 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) (median $=4,98 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) Butylparaben: $<0,2-$ 3,92 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) (median $=<0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) PCB 52: $<0,2-15,7$ $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) (median $=<0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) PCB 105: $<0,2-16,3$ $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) (median $=<0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) PCB 153: $<0,2-35,3$ $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) (median $=<0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) Bisphenol A: $<0,2-17,6$ $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) (median $=0,821 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) S | M easurements were done in 120 American households. The dust sample is collected via a vacuum cleaner from 4-5 of the most used rooms in the household. <br> Of the 120 households, PCB was found in the air in $32 \%$ of the cases and in the dust in $18 \%$ of the cases. (Rudel et al, 2008) |
| Rudel et al, 2008 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of PCB } 105 \text { and 153:. } \\ & \text { Max: } 0.6-10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \end{aligned}$ | The source follows up 2 of the 120 American households that had the highest measured PCB concentrations. The cause is discovered (wooden floor finish). High PCB concentrations are still measured 5 years later. The result that other American surveys do not show the same high PCB concentrations is reproduced (a survey of 1000 vacuum cleaner bag samples). The distribution thus indicates the levels from "normal" to the few high concentrations given in Rudel et al, 2008. |
| Sullivan, 2008 | Total PCB: Max. $36 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ Avg.: $6,7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ | 19 random samples taken at a school. PCB was found in 18 out of 19 samples from the school. |
| Gunnaesen et al, 2009 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCB 7: <0,015-0,0899 } \\ & \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}) \\ & \text { PCB n: }<0,015-0,171 \\ & \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}) \end{aligned}$ | In the study, buildings containing PCB in the building materials were chosen consciously. The values stated are for single family houses (4) and single story houses (1), but measurements were also made in a warehouse, an office, a high school and a university that contained between 1 and 100 times higher concentrations of PCB in the dust. <br> PCB 7 = sum of 7 congeners. <br> PCB $n=$ sum of $n$ of the 22 congeners that were above the detection threshold. |


| Source | Concentration measured <br> in indoor climate dust | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Note that no $95^{\text {hh }}$ percentile has been given for <br> the few measured data. |

ND =Not detected (below the detection threshold)
${ }^{*}$ ) Note that some surveys provide a median value and others a $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile. This is an expression of the same value, since the 50th percentile is also called the median, a measure of centrality, i.e. the value where half of the values lie below and the other half of the values lie above. The median is thus not (necessarily) the same value as the average.

The majority of the surveys focus on the content of phthalates in the dust of the indoor climate. T wo A merican surveys were found that measured the concentration of phthalates in the indoor air; one study that also measured other potential endocrine disruptors in the indoor air; two American surveys measuring PCB in the indoor air; and a new D anish survey that measures PCB in the indoor air. It should be noted that the measurement of the indoor air can include the airborne particles (e.g. swirled up) and gases/steam. T he results are reproduced in the table below.

Tabl e7.5 O verview of the amounts of various potential en docrine disruptors in theindoor air.

| Source | Concentration measured in the indoor air | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adibi et al, 2008 | DEHP: $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 0.49 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=0.19 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) <br> DBP: $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 104 <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=0.48 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) <br> BBP: $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 0.27 <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) <br> DIBP: $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 1.43 <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=0.50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) <br> DEP: $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: 5.06 <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=2.33 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | M easurements were made in 96 American homes over a period of 48 hours. The persons wore a device that assured the measurements from the air were made around the person (personal air). |
| Schettler, 2006 | DEP: median $0.10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> DBP: median $0.39 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> BBP: median $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> Dicyclohexyl phthalate: median $0.07 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> DEH P: median $0.11 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Phthalate concentrations were measured in the indoor air in 27 houses in Tokyo. |
| Rudel et al, 2003 | ```DEHP: < \(59-1000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) (median \(=77 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) ) DBP: \(52-1100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) (median \(=220 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) ) BBP: <31-480 ng/m \({ }^{3}\) (median \(=<31 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) ) DIBP: \(11-990 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) (median \(=61 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) ) DEP: \(130-4300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) \(\left(\right.\) median \(\left.=590 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)\) Butylparaben: Max.: \(3.2 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) (median \(=<4 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) ) PCB 52: <1-25 ng/m \({ }^{3}\) (median \(=<1 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) ) PCB 105: <1-3.6 ng/m \({ }^{3}\) (median \(=<1 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) ) PCB 153: \(<1-6.7 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) (median \(=<1 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) )``` | M easurements were made in 120 American homes over a period of 24 hours. M easurements were made in a room that is used frequently, i.e. the living room or the family room. Air was suctioned at a height of 12 m above the floor ( 4 ft ). <br> Of the 120 households, PCB was found in the air in 32\% of the cases and in the dust in $18 \%$ of the cases. (Rudel et al, 2008) |
| Rudel et al, 2008 | Sum of the three PCBs: Max: $7.3 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | The source follows up 2 of the 120 American households that had the highest measured PCB concentrations. The cause is discovered (wooden floor finish). High PCB concentrations are still measured 5 years later. The result |


|  |  | that other American surveys do not show the same high <br> PCB concentrations is reproduced. <br> The distribution thus indicates the levels from "normal" <br> to the few high concentrations given in Rudel et al, 2008. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sullivan, 2008 | Total PCB: $2.4-310 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Samples taken at a school. |
| Gunnaesen et al, <br> 2009 | PCB $7:<1-5.6 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> PCB $\mathrm{n}:<1-11.9 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | In the study, buildings containing PCB in the building <br> materials were chosen consciously. The values stated are <br> for single family houses (4) and single story houses (1), <br> but measurements were also done in a warehouse, an <br> office, a high schools and a university that contained <br> between 1 and 100 times higher concentrations of PCB in <br> the indoor air. <br> PCB 7 = sum of 7 congeners. <br> PCB n =sum of n of the 22 congeners that were above <br> the detection threshold. |

Small children have a particularly high ingestion of dust, since they crawl around on the floor, put dirty fingers in their mouth, as well as suck on toys and other objects. But this depends entirely on behaviour, hygiene and actual conditions. According to Survey Report no. 75, babies that crawl around the floor can in certain cases have a daily ingestion of dust and earth of up to 10 grams.

N ormally it is estimated that children consume 200 mg earth/day when establishing earth quality-criteria (corresponding to the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile) and 100 mg earth/day as a daily average ( N ote by the K riteriegruppen, 2004; D anish Environmental Protection Agency, 2006). US EPA uses the same value of 200 mg earth/day for children as a conservative estimate, 100 mg earth/day as an average value and up to 400 mg earth/day if $95 \%$ of children are to be taken into account ( $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile) ( $N$ ielsen et al, 2008).

G unnarsen et al 2009, states without referring to the sources that the different sources state that household dust exposure makes up approx. $55 \%$ in relation to ingestion of earth. US EPA has assessed that a $2^{1 / 2}$-year-old child has a daily ingestion of 100 mg household dust in the winter and 50 mg in the summer, when the child spends more time out of doors (US EPA, 1997). In G ermany the estimate used is a daily ingestion of dust of $20-100 \mathrm{mg}$ for 1 -6-year-old children (Seifert et al in Jensen and K nudsen, 2006).

The CST EE (Scientific Committee on T oxicity, Ecotoxicity and the Environment) has expressed in an opinion for an assessment report that it is reasonable to use a daily ingestion of earth and/or dust of $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$ (CSTEE, 2003).

On the basis of using between 100 and 200 mg earth when establishing earth quality-criteria, coupled with the fact that several sources state similar values for the ingestion of household dust, it has been decided to use a daily ingestion value of 100 mg dust (for the winter scenario). A value of 50 mg household dust/day (for the summer scenario) is used in order to account for a possible lower ingestion during the summer.

### 7.5.2 Other sources of exposure

### 7.5.2.1 Phthalates, generally

T he human exposure to phthalates from foods is estimated via the EFSA assessment and the report from M üller et al (2003). T his estimate is aimed at D anish conditions and encompasses the group of 1-6-year-olds, to which the target group of 2 -year-olds belongs.

D ata on exposure have been searched for in the literature from 2003 until the present day. It should be noted that phthalates can have been replaced with other substances in the meantime, e.g. in household plastic film and screw caps, and that from 2008 lower threshold limits have been set for set-off from food contact materials and articles.

One of the references found, Schettler (2006), highlights medicinal devices as a source of phthalates due to the use of phthalate-softeners (Schettler, 2006). H owever, these sources must be considered as sporadic, and do not occur commonly in the 2 -year-old population in general, therefore these sources have not been taken into account in this report.

Schettler (2006) also points at oven baking of plasticine as a source of inhalation of phthalates, which can be relevant for 2 -year-olds. T he release of phthalates from baking Sculpey and Fimo-plasticine with 3.5 and 14\% phthalates, respectively, resulted in indoor air concentrations of 32-2667 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for BBP; not detected to $6670 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for D N OP; and 6.05-4993 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for DEHP. At inhalation of $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ in an hour, which according to the US EPA is realistic for children under 18 years (for short-term exposure), the maximum inhalation exposure to be used is $2667 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BBP}, 6670 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ D N OP and $4993 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ D EHP (Schettler, 2006).

W ith regard to dust, reference is made to a survey from 2004 in which the concentration of DEHP in household dust was investigated together with the content of DEH P metabolites in children's urine. No correlation was found between the amount in urine and the amount in household dust, which according to the survey indicates that household dust does not constitute a significant source of the total DEHP exposure. T he age of the children examined is not stated in the survey. It makes a significant difference if one is dealing with young children, because it must be assumed that their ingestion of dust is larger than that of older children.

A second survey from 2003 found a significant correlation between exposure via air, measured with person-borne measuring devices, and release of DEP, D BP and BBP in women's urine (Schettler, 2006). T his indicates that inhalation can be a significant exposure pathway for the low-molecular-weight phthalates in women, but provides no information on 2-year-olds.

A recent N orwegian survey by Rakkestad et al. (2007) has found phthalates in household dust on university premises, in schools, in day-care institutions and households related to the particle size. T he most dominating phthalate is D BP, both on the $P M_{25}$ and the $P M_{10}^{19}$-fraction. T he highest levels of totalphthalates were found in a children's room, a day-care institution, two schools as well as a computer room. T he relative share of total-phthalates was approx. $1.1 \%$ for both particle-size fractions. D espite the fact that DBP can be found in car tires, Rakkested et al. (2007) performed an analysis on D BP in household dust and have concluded that it does not originate from car tires, but that the sources are to be found in indoor materials.

### 7.5.2.2 Parabens in general 99-96-7

In foods
The use of methyl-, ethyl- and propylparabens as additives in certain foods was permitted until 15 February 2008. Propylparaben has since been banned as an additive, but only methyl- and ethylparabens are still allowed, although only in the following foods:

- Jelly coat of meat products and pâté: $1000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.
- Surface treatment of dried meat products: as much as is necessary (q.s.).
- Grain- or potato-based snacks, nuts and comfiture (except chocolate): $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$
- Liquid supplements: $2000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.

Parabens are not, and were not, permitted in beverages.
Parabens have the following E numbers:

- M ethylparaben: E218 and E219 (N a salt).
- Ethylparaben: E214 and E215 (N a salt).
- Propylparaben: E216 and E217 (N a salt).

A rough estimate of the ingestion in the EU for adults and children has shown that an ADI of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day is not exceeded (N NT, 2000). In 2004 the EFSA reviewed the ADI of parabens and found that propylparaben could no longer be included in the ADI of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (EFSA, 2004). T he EFSA could at that time not establish an ADI for propylparaben (EFSA 126). The use of propylparaben in foods was thus banned after the 15 February 2008.

Parabens (4-H ydroxybenzoic acid, its salts and esters) may be used in products regulated by the statutory order on cosmetics in amounts up to 0.4\% by product weight for one ester and up to $0.8 \%$ for mixtures of esters (calculated as the acid) (BEK 422, 2006).

It is very difficult to estimate the exposure via skin, since there is disagreement on how much can be absorbed via the skin. In the most recent statement on parabens by the SCC P from 2008, the industry assesses that the absorption of unreacted butylparaben is approx. 1\% of the content in the formulations that come into contact with the skin (SCCP, 2008). It is thought that the skin is capable of converting parabens to conjugated metabolites, and that the metabolites can subsequently be found in the urine, but so far, no safe methods exist to correlate the amount of metabolite in the urine with oral exposure and exposure via skin (Ye, 2006).

D arbre and Harvey (2008) points to the fact that certain surveys suggest that after multiple applications on the skin, parabens may accumulate in the skin and later be absorbed therefrom, either in the unreacted form or as miscellaneous metabolites. T he SCCP have in their statement chosen to disregard the survey (EI H ussein et al., 2007) which the claim is based on, because the survey is thought to be vitiated by errors and omissions.

D arbre and $H$ arvey (2008) further suggest that there are significant variations in the conversion of parabens (esterase activity) in the liver amongst individuals, which is probably reflected in the skin. Ethanol in formulations for application on skin has been shown to increase the absorption of parabens through the skin, inhibit the hydrolysis of methylparaben to p-hydroxybenzoic acid (the common metabolite of all parabens) as well as promote transformation (transesterification) of methylparaben to butylparaben.

Studies have also been performed on moisturising creams containing 2\% butylparaben, where skin absorption has been shown to occur. According to current legislation, only $0.4 \%$ butylparaben is permitted as an affitive to
creams which complicates the interpretation of the results ( $D$ arbre $P$ and H arvey PW 561-78). G iven the data currently available it is not possible to give accurate and meaningful quantitative estimates for exposure to parabens via the skin.

T he SCCP is awaiting new data from the industry on the dermal uptake of parabens.

In consumer products
Propylparaben, butylparaben and isobutylparaben, which have been selected for exposure calculations in this project due to their oestrogen-like effects in animal experiments, are included in common cosmetic products but, from previous studies, have also been identified in makeup kits for children sold in toy stores. Parabens are thus expected to be found in products like Shrovetide/H alloween makeup, etc.

In Survey Project no. 88 on cosmetic products for children, parabens were identified in a large numbers of the 208 different cosmetic products for children, where the content labelling was reviewed (Poulsen \& Schmidt, 2007):

- M ethylparaben (in 79 products) - is not surveyed further here
- Propylparaben (in 70 products)
- Butylparaben (in 48 products)
- Ethylparaben (in 46 products) - is not surveyed further here
- Isobutylparaben (in 39 products).


### 7.6 Calculation of exposure

As described in the chapter on exposure calculations, these have been performed for a summer scenario and a winter scenario because it is assumed that there is a difference between the duration of the dermal contact with toys in the summer and winter periods, as well as a difference in the contact with other products like sunscreens and rubber clogs.

In the calculations it is assumed that there is both dermal and oral contact with the products. For toys it is assumed that there are 9 hours of dermal contact and 3 hours of oral contact (in the summer scenario). T his is only valid for toys and similar items that the child alternately holds and sucks. For footwear, for example, the calculation encompasses dermal exposure but not oral intake.

For each substance, the assumptions in the calculations on pre-existing data are described. No mention was made of the weight of the products in the existing data, hence it was necessary to use an estimate of this weight in the calculations. Likewise, the percentage of the products that the 2 -year-old is in contact with was estimated. It was estimated that the 2 -year-old sucks an area smaller than the area of dermal contact, i.e. in the calculation it is assumed that the child sucks $50 \%$ of the area with which it has dermal contact.

A nother problem is that most of the data that exists from earlier studies are quantitative analyses of the contents of the material, but not of the substances released (migration). T herefore, migration analyses have only been performed in very few cases. The migration data that is available has been used in the calculations, where applicable.

W hen using migration data measured over a short period (often a few hours) it is assumed that the migration from the product occurs at a constant rate. For some products this means an overestimate of the daily ingestion of the substance that migrates from the product. T his will be valid for erasers and bath mats, for example, products with which there is contact for a longer period of time. The measured migration does not continue indefinitely since more substance than that contained in the product cannot migrate. For products such as toys, rubber clogs, pacifiers, jackets and mitts, the calculation results more closely reflect the actual situation, because these are product groups from which new products are used constantly, thus exhibiting new migration. C hildren constantly get new toys, new clothes and shoes because they outgrow the old.

T here is a difference between the calculation results and the numbers that the individual surveys stated for exposure contribution from air, dust, toys and foods, for example. These numbers vary quite naturally, as a consequence of the variations in the data employed in the surveys, the measurement methods used, biological variations, and the differences in the methods used to calculate the results. For example, in the EU risk assessments (RAR) values are given for indoor air (aerosol + gas phase) that do not include indoor climate dust, whereas other sources have included the contribution from dust. In addition, there are differences in how the sources have included respirable dust (i.e. swirled up in air) and the dust that is ingested in by finger sucking.

### 7.6.1 Exposure calculations for the selected substances via the indoor climate

In the following chapter the exposure to the selected substances via the indoor climate is calculated. In order to calculate the risk of exposure to chemical substances from the indoor climate, the NOAEL and DNEL are used. T hese values are given in the chapters on the individual substances. For PCBs, only exposure has been calculated, because it is not known whether these are dioxin-like PCBs or non-dioxin-like PCBs, and the NOAEL and effects for the two substance groups are different.

### 7.6.1.1 Dust

F or the calculations of the exposure of the 2 -year-old children to the selected substances via indoor climate dust, an oral ingestion of 50 or 100 mg household dust is used for the summer and winter scenario, respectively. T he daily exposure per kg body weight is obtained by multiplying the 50 or 100 mg household dust by the maximum measured concentration of the substances in the household dust and dividing it by 15.2 kg , which is the average weight for a child of age 2 years. T he calculations assume 100\% ingestion, since it is assumed that the 2 -year-old ingests the dust by finger sucking. Furthermore, when these values were discussed in chapter 7.5.1, the values were given in terms of daily oral ingestion of dust.
$N$ ot many data have been obtained concerning the question of whether all the dust is absorbed or whether some dust is excreted in an unreacted fashion. W ormuth et al (2006) refers to an older source (Hawley, 1985) in which it is

[^10]stated that a matrix of earth reduces the uptake of a specific chemical to about $15 \%{ }^{20}$. If this source (H awley, 1985) is further examined, the $15 \%$ originate from dermal contact (uptake). T he same source states that a matrix of earth reduces the uptake of a chemical by $50 \%$. In the source it is stated that this factor will be different for every substance. In a more recent article on brominated flame retardants (PBDEs) and experiments on rats, it was discovered that PBDE is easily taken up from dust and distributed in rats. On that basis, the survey concludes that household dust is a source of human PBDE exposure, which it is necessary to take into account (H uwe et al, 2008). DEHP is easily taken up, and experiments on rats appear to indicate that the method of application does not matter, which implies the uptake should be the same regardles of whether ingestion is via sucking on toys or via ingestion of dust. T hese numbers are substantiated by Björklund et al. (2009) that used intake of between 100 and 200 mg dust/day for young children (toddlers), and $100 \%$ absorption of PFOS/PFOA from the dust that is ingested. Based on this the possibility of all the substance in the dust being taken up cannot be excluded.

Tabel 7.6 Daily ingestion of sel ected substances via household dust based on maximum measured val ues for the indoor climate.

| Substance | Ingestion of household dust per day | Max. measured value in household dust ${ }^{22}$ $(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g})$ | Comment | Average weight, 2-year-old child | Daily ingestion ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Calculated } \\ \text { DNEL } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\ \text { BW/day) } \end{gathered}$ | RCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEHP | 100 mg | 40459 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 266.2 | 0.05 | 5.32 |
|  | 50 mg | 40459 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 133.1 | 0.05 | 2.66 |
| DINP | 100 mg | 40667 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 267.5 | 16 | 0.17 |
|  | 50 mg | 40667 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 133.8 | 16 | 0.08 |
| DBP | 100 mg | 5446 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 35,8 | 0.0067 | 5,35 |
|  | 50 mg | 5446 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 17,9 | 0.0067 | 2,67 |
| DIBP | 100 mg | 3810 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 25.1 | 1.25 | 0.02 |
|  | 50 mg | 3810 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 12.5 | 125 | 0.01 |
| BBP | 100 mg | 45549 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 299.7 | 0.5 | 0.60 |
|  | 50 mg | 45549 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 149.8 | 0.5 | 0.30 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCBs (US } \\ & \text { data) } \end{aligned}$ | 100 mg | 67.3 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 0.44 |  |  |
|  | 50 mg | 67.3 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 0.22 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCBs } \\ & \text { (Danish data) } \end{aligned}$ | 100 mg | 0.171 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 0.0011 |  |  |
|  | 50 mg | 0.171 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 0.0006 |  |  |
| Butylparaben | 100 mg | 3.92 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.0009 |
|  | 50 mg | 3.92 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.0004 |
| Bisphenol A | 100 mg | 17.6 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 0.12 | 0.5 | 0.0002 |
|  | 50 mg | 17.6 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 0.06 | 0.5 | 0.0001 |

Example calculation for DEHP:
D aily ingestion of
DEHP $=\frac{0.1 \mathrm{~g} \text { dust } / \text { day } \cdot 40459 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \text { dust }}{15.2 \mathrm{~kg}}$
$=266.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/$ day
$R C R=\frac{\text { Exposure }}{\text { DNEL }}=\frac{0.2662}{0.05}=5.32$
${ }^{21} \mathrm{~T}$ he numbers are obtained from table 4.1

The RCR value exceeds 1 for DEHP, DBP and PCBs when using the maximum values (and the 95th percentile for DBP), irregardless of whether an ingestion value of 50 or 100 mg dust/day is used.

It should be noted that the stated max. concentration of PCB in dust comes from A merican surveys. In addition, it appears that the stated maximum values for PCBs are not normal. In the A merican study, measurements were made in 120 households, and the median value is stated to be below the detection threshold of $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$. The median is the middle value in the survey; this means that in at least half of the households the measured levels of PC B were under the detection threshold. $A 95^{\text {th }}$ percentile was not given in the study.

T he use of PC B has been banned for some years. A single D anish survey was found that also covers normal households. The measurements from 5 different D anish households with PC B in the building materials yielded results that are approx. 1000 times below the maximum measured American value. It should be noted, however, that the D anish study does not cover a representative sample of $D$ anish households (it only uses measurements from 5 households), whereas the A merican survey, with its 120 measurements, gives a more reasonable representation of the possible differences.

For the calculations of PCB taken in via dust from $D$ anish homes, only 5 measurements from private households were made, and no measurements were made in public buildings. In public buildings, the measured concentrations of PCB in dust have been up to 10 times higher.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile:
A number of studies do not state the maximum measured concentration, but only the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile. H owever, there can be significant differences between the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile and the maximum values (Rudel et al, 2003), which can be discerned from the table, in which, according to Bornehag et al. 2004, the difference between the maximum measured value of DEHP and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile is a factor of 10 .

The same calculation (where applicable) has thus also been performed for the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, provided the value is available (which is not the case for PCB, DBP, butylparaben and Bisphenol A).

Table 7.7 Dail y ingestion of sel ected substances via househ old dust on the basis of measured val ues for the indoor climate ( $95^{\text {th }}$ per centile values).

| Substance | Ingestion of household dust per day | $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile in household dust $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g})$ | Comments | Average weight, 2-year-old child | $\begin{gathered} \text { Daily } \\ \text { ingestion } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \\ \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Calculated } \\ \text { DNEL } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\ \mathrm{BW} / \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | RCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEHP | 100 mg | 7063 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 46.5 | 0.05 | 0.93 |
|  | 50 mg | 7063 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 23.2 | 0.05 | 0.46 |
| DINP | 100 mg | 1930 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 12.7 | 16 | 0.008 |
|  | 50 mg | 1930 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 6.3 | 16 | 0.004 |
| DBP | 100 mg | 568 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 3.7 | 0.0067 | 0.56 |
|  | 50 mg | 568 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 1.9 | 0.0067 | 0.28 |
| DIBP | 100 mg | 311 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 2.05 | 125 | 0.002 |
|  | 50 mg | 311 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 1.02 | 125 | 0.001 |
| BBP | 100 mg | 1560 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 10.3 | 0.5 | 0.02 |
|  | 50 mg | 1560 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.01 |
| PCBs (US) | 100 mg | 67.3 | Max. value | 15.2 | 0.44 |  |  |
|  | 50 mg | 67.3 | Max. value | 15.2 | 0.22 |  |  |


| Substance | Ingestion <br> of <br> household <br> dust per <br> day | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> percentile <br> in <br> household <br> dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g})$ | Comments | Average <br> weight, 2- <br> year-old <br> child | Daily <br> ingestion <br> $(\mu \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day})$ | Calculated <br> DNEL <br> $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day})$ | RCR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCBs <br> (Danish data) | 100 mg | 0.171 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 0.0011 |  |  |
|  | 50 mg | 0.171 | Max. value | 15.2 kg | 0.0006 |  |  |
| Butylparaben | 100 mg | 3.92 | Max. value | 15.2 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.0009 |
| Bisphenol A | 50 mg | 3.92 | Max. value | 15.2 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.0004 |
|  | 100 mg | 17.6 | Max. value | 15.2 | 0.12 | 0.5 | 0.0002 |
|  | 50 mg | 17.6 | Max. value | 15.2 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 0.0001 |

When the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile for the few $D$ anish and Swedish studies is used for DEHP and DBP, respectively, the exposure calculations show that the RCR value is less than 1.

The $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile
The corresponding calculation has been performed using the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile value, giving the corresponding picture:

Tabel 7.8 Daily ingestion of sel ected substances via household dust based on measured val ues for the indoor climate ( $50^{\text {th }}$ per centile val ues).

| Substance | Ingestion of household dust per day | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile in household dust $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g})$ | Comments | Average weight, 2-yearold child | Daily ingestion ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Calculated } \\ \text { DNEL } \\ \text { (mg/kg } \\ \text { BW/day) } \end{gathered}$ | RCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEHP | 100 mg | 858 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 5.6 | 0.05 | 0.113 |
|  | 50 mg | 858 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 2.8 | 0.05 | 0.056 |
| DINP | 100 mg | 0,041 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.0003 | 16 | 0.0000002 |
|  | 50 mg | 0.041 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.0001 | 1.6 | 0.00000008 |
| DBP | 100 mg | 150 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.99 | 0.0067 | 0.15 |
|  | 50 mg | 150 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.49 | 0.0067 | 0.07 |
| DIBP | 100 mg | 191 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.0126 | 125 | 0.00001 |
|  | 50 mg | 1.91 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.0063 | 125 | 0.000005 |
| BBP | 100 mg | 330 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.004 |
|  | 50 mg | 330 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.002 |
| PCBS | 100 mg | <0.6 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.004 |  |  |
|  | 50 mg | <0.6 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.002 |  |  |
| PCBs (Danish data) | 100 mg | 0.111 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 kg | 0.0007 |  |  |
|  | 50 mg | 0.111 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 kg | 0.0004 |  |  |
| Butylparaben | 100 mg | $<0.2$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.001 | 0.03 | 0.00004 |
|  | 50 mg | <0.2 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.0007 | 0.03 | 0.00002 |
| Bisphenol A | 100 mg | 0.821 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.005 | 0.5 | 0.00001 |
|  | 50 mg | 0.821 | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 15.2 | 0.0027 | 0.5 | 0.000005 |

*) N ote that some surveys provide a median value or a $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile. This is an expression for the same value, i.e. the value where one half of the values lie below and the other half of the values lies above.

It should be noted that the value of the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile for PCB that was used is greater than the maximum value by a factor of 5 in the $D$ anish study on households, but approximately $21 / 2$ times smaller than the maximum measured in a D anish public building ( $G$ annarsen et al, 2009) that could represent some of the institution buildings which 2 -year-olds stay in. In the new D anish survey only 10 random samples were performed ( 5 from D anish households and 5 from public buildings), which is why the measured results must be viewed with considerable reservations.

### 7.6.1.2 Air

According to the REACH G uidance document, C hapter R. 15 "C onsumer exposure estimation" (ECHA, M ay 2008), 2-3-year-old children inhale $7 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ air per day.
A normal D ane spends on average 80 to $90 \%$ of the time inside (L uk luften ind, 2007). This corresponds to between 19.2 and 21.6 hours per day. 2 -yearold children will often spend more time outdoors than an average D ane (some even take a nap outside). In the calculations it is assumed that 2-year-old children on average spend 19 hours inside per day and that the respirable fraction for all substances is $1(100 \%)$. Hereafter it is possible to calculate the daily ingestion via inhalation using the formula given in C hapter 1 "Exposure Scenarious - methods", which is reproduced below.

$$
D_{\text {inh }}=\frac{F_{\text {resp }} \cdot C_{\text {inh }} \cdot I H_{\text {air }} \cdot T_{\text {contact }}}{B W} \cdot n
$$

where

| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {inh }}$ | Inhaled daily dose | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {resp }}$ | Inhaled substance, i.e. the respirable fraction (decimal fraction between 0-1) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {inh }}$ | Concentration of the substance in the air of the room | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{5}$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {contact }}$ | Duration of exposure per event | hours |
| $1 \mathrm{H}_{\text {air }}$ | Ventilation rate of person | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ day |
| n | Number of exposures (events) | per day |
| BW | Body weight (BW) | Kg |

The values used in the calculations, as well as the results of the calculations are presented in T able 7.8. It can be seen that none of the substances exceed the RCR value of 1 . H owever, the contribution from the indoor air needs to be added to the contribution via the dust in order to obtain the total exposure via the indoor climate.

Tabel 7.9 Daily ingestion of sel ected substances via the indoor air based on maximum measured val ues for the indoor climate

| Substance | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {resp }}$ | Max <br> measured <br> concentrati <br> on in air <br> $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Comments | IH air <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} /\right.$ day $)$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {contart }}$ <br> (hours) | Average <br> weight, <br> 2-year- <br> old <br> child | Daily <br> inhalation <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> BW/day) | RCR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEHP | 1 | 1 | Max. value | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.36 | 0.0073 |
| DINP | 1 | - | Max. value | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | - |  |
| DBP | 1 | 11 | Max. value | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.40 | 0.0599 |
| DIBP | 1 | 143 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.52 | 0.0004 |
| BBP | 1 | 0.48 | Max. value | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.18 | 0.0004 |
| PCBs (US) | 1 | 0.0353 | Max. value | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg |  |  |
| PCBs (DK) | 1 | 0.0119 | Max. value | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg |  |  |
| Butylparaben | 1 | 0.0032 | Max. value | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.001 | 0.00004 |
| Bisphenol A | 1 | - | - |  |  |  | - | - |

Example calculation for DEHP:
D aily ingestion of $D E H P=\frac{1 \cdot 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \text { air } \cdot 7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \text { day } \cdot 19 \text { hours }}{15.2 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot 24 \text { hours } / \text { day }}$
$=0.36 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day
The corresponding values for the $95^{\text {th }}$ and $50^{\text {th }}$ percentiles / median values are given in the table below.

Tabl el 7.10 Daily ingestion of sel ected substances viatheindoor air based on the $95^{\text {th }}$ per centile val ues for the indoor climate.

| Substance | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {resp }}$ | Max measured concentrati on in air $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Comments | $\underset{\left(m^{3} / \text { diay }\right)}{\mathrm{IH}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}_{\text {contact }} \\ & \text { (hours) } \end{aligned}$ | Average weight, 2-yearold child | Daily ingestion ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day) | RCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEHP | 1 | 0.49 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.18 | 0.0036 |
| DINP | 1 | - | - | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | - | - |
| DBP | 1 | 104 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.38 | 0.0566 |
| DIBP | 1 | 143 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.52 | 0.0004 |
| BBP | 1 | 0.27 | $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.10 | 0.0002 |
| PCBs (US) | 1 | 0.0353 | Max. value | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.01 |  |
| PCBs (DK) | 1 | 0.0119 | Max. value | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.004 |  |
| Butylparaben | 1 | 0.0032 | Max. value | 7 | 19 | 15.2 kg | 0.001 | 0.00004 |
| Bisphenol A | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.11 Table. Dail y intakeingestion of sel ected substances via the indoor air on the basis of the $50^{\text {th }}$ per centile val ues for the in door climate.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Substance } & \mathrm{F}_{\text {resp }} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Max } \\ \text { measured } \\ \text { concentrati } \\ \text { on in air }\end{array} & \text { Comments } & \begin{array}{c}\text { IH air } \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \text { day }\right)\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\mathrm{T}_{\text {cortact }} \\ \text { (hours) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { weight, } \\ \text { 2-year- } \\ \text { old }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Daily } \\ \text { ingestion } \\ \text { ( } \mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{kg}\end{array} & \text { RCR } \\ \text { BW/day) }\end{array}\right]$

O nce again it should be noted that the used maximum value for PCB is greater than the maximum value measured in the $D$ anish survey by a factor of 3 , whereas the used $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile for PCB is approximately equal to the maximum value measured in the $D$ anish survey based on private households ( $G$ unnarsen et al, 2009). On the other hand, the maximum measurement from the $D$ anish survey on public buildings is approx. 1.5 times greater than the values used from the A merican households.

### 7.6.1.3 C omparison of dust and air

If the daily exposure concentrations from deposited dust are compared with the daily exposure concentration from the indoor air, it can be seen that the contribution from the deposited dust constitutes the largest part of the daily exposure. For phthalates the exposure occurs mostly via the deposited dust, whereas for PC Bs and butylparaben the indoor air contributes a few percent, which may also include the air-borne dust particles.

Table 7.12 Daily exposure concentration fromair as percent of daily exposureconcentration from dust (for the max. conc. At 100 mg dust ingestion)

| Substance | Percent of dust ingestion |
| :--- | :---: |
| DEHP | $0.1 \%$ |
| DINP | Not measured in air |
| DBP | $11 \%$ |
| DIBP | $2.1 \%$ |
| BBP | $0.1 \%$ |
| PCBS | $2.9 \%$ |
| Butylparaben | $4.5 \%$ |

7.6.1.4 T otal contribution from the indoor climate

T he total contribution from the indoor climate is the sum of the contribution from the dust and from the air. The total contribution from the indoor climate is given in the table below for both the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

Table 7.13 Daily contribution of sel ected substances via the indoor climate (dust and air) based on the $95^{\text {th }}$ per centile (or the max. value if no $95^{\text {th }}$ per centile is avail abl e) and 50 or 100 mg dust, respectively.

| Substance | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) | Daily ingestion at <br> 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day) | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEHP | 46.65 | 0.93 | 23.41 | 0.47 |
| DINP | 12.70 | 0.008 | 6.35 | 0.004 |
| DBP | 4.08 | 0.62 | 2.28 | 0.34 |
| DIBP | 2.57 | 0.002 | 1.54 | 0.001 |
| BBP | 10.36 | 0.02 | 5.23 |  |
| PCBs (total), US | 0.46 |  | 0.23 |  |
| PCBs (total), DK <br> (max) | 0.0055 |  | 0.0049 |  |
| Butylparaben <br> (max) | 0.03 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.0005 |
| Bisphenol A <br> (max) | 0.12 | 0.0002 | 0.06 | 0.0001 |

Table 7.14 Daily ingestion of sel ected material sthrough theindoor climate (dust and air) based on the $50^{\text {th }}$ per centile and 50 or 100 mg dust, respectivel y

| Substance | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) | Daily ingestion <br> at 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEHP | 5.71 | 0.11 | 2.89 | 0.06 |
| DINP | 0.0003 | 0.0000002 | 0.00013 | 0.00000008 |
| DBP | 1.17 | 0.18 | 0.67 | 0.10 |
| DIBP | 0.19 | 0.0002 | 0.19 | 0.0002 |
| BBP | 2.27 | 0.005 | 1.18 | 0.002 |
| PCBs (total), US | 0.01 |  | 0.003 |  |
| PCBs (total), DK | 0.002 |  | 0.002 |  |
| Butylparaben | 0.003 | 0.0001 | 0.002 | 0.07 |
| Bisphenol A | 0.01 | 0.00001 | 0.003 | 0.000005 |

A common factor for all the studies is the extremely large variation between the different measurements - e.g. from just detectable and up to $>40,000$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ DEHP in Swedish house dust. T here are some households in which the concentration of phthalates is relatively high and will contribute more to the total exposure to endocrine disruptors.

### 7.7 Risk assessment of the in dividual substances

The risk assessment of the selected substances is based on the NOAEL/LOAEL values and the assessment factor (AF), that the D anish

Environmental Protection A gency has chosen in conjunction with the Food Institute DTU. The NOAEL/LOAEL values are based on endocrine disrupting effects, but not on the critical effects that the $D$ anish Environmental Protection Agency traditionally uses to make risk assessments.

The aim has been to select NOAEL/LOAEL values that are used for endocrine disrupting effects in the EU risk assessments, EFSA opinions or other official risk assessments. In many cases, the employed results come from studies where the effects have been observed after the animals have been exposed to the substances during the foetal stage. O ne can question the assumption of whether 2 -year-old children can be expected to be equally sensitive towards endocrine disrupting effects as in the foetal stage. T here is insufficient knowledge about this relationship at the current stage. As long as there is no counter-evidence for this, then the use of NOAELs/LOAEL s from experiments with exposure of foetuses to formulate the risk assessment of the exposure of 2 -year-old children is deemed a reasonable (although careful) approach to the problem.

The group of antiandrogenic substances comprises:

- DIBP, di-isobutyl phthalate, 84-69-5
- DBP, dibutyl phthalate, 84-74-2
- BBP, benzyl butyl phthalate, 85-68-7
- DEHP, diethylhexyl phthalate, 117-81-7
- DINP, di-isononyl phthalate, 28553-12-0
- Prochloraz, 67747-09-5
- T ebuconazole, 107534-96-3
- Linuron, 330-55-2
- Vinclozolin, 50471-44-8
- Procymidone, 32809-16-8
- PCBs
- Dioxins
- DDT.

The group of oestrogen-like substances comprises:

- Propylparaben, 94-13-3
- Butylparaben, 94-26-8
- I sobutylparaben, 4247-02-3
- Bisphenol A, 80-05-7.

The calculations and risk assessment are performed for each substance in the following section.

### 7.7.1 DIBP, di-isobutyl phthalate, 84-69-5

Table 7.15Identification of DIBP

| Chemical name | di-i-sobutyl phthalate |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $84-69-5$ |
| EINECS no. | $201-553-2$ |
| M olecular formula <br> (gross) | C16-H22-04 |


| M olecular structure |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| M olecular weight | 278.3435 |
| Synonyms | Diisobutyl phthalate, <br> 1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid, bis(2-methylpropyl) ester, <br> DIBP |
| Classification | Repr. Cat. 2; R61- Repr. Cat. 3; R62 (EU, ESIS, 2009) |

### 7.7.1.1 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For DIBP a N OAEL of $125 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (LOAEL $250 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{d}$ ) for antiandrogenicity is chosen, based on reduced anogenital distance (AGD) and increased retention of nipples in offspring of rats exposed during pregnancy (Sallenfait et al., 2008).

T he combined assessment factor is set to 100 based on a factor 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, and 10 for intraspecies differences.

Thus, D NEL for DIN P becomes $1.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (NOAEL/AF).

### 7.7.1.2 General exposure

W ormuth et al. (2006) estimates a daily internal exposure of approx. 0.08-4 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW with a median of approx. $0.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day for 1 -3-year-olds. A pprox. $60 \%$ of the exposure comes from foods, $30 \%$ from sucking on things like toys and $10 \%$ from inhalation of air. N ote that the data basis for assessment of the exposure from foods is very limited.

### 7.7.1.3 Exposure to DIN P from foods

DIBP in foods can stem from the environment as well as from use in materials in contact with food.

T he exposure estimate of W ormuth et al. (2006) is $60 \%$ exposure via food of an internally totalled exposure of approx. $0.08-4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW with a median of approx. $0.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day for 1-3-year-olds. T his gives a $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $0.48 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day}$ and a maximum exposure of $2.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day}$.

N either EFSA, M üller et al. (2003) nor the EU RAR gives data for the exposure to DIBP via foods, and therefore the W ormuth et al. estimate is used in the total calculations for this report.

### 7.7.1.4 Exposure from consumer products

DIBP has been found through earlier surveys and in two of the examined product groups in this project. T he table below presents the products in which DIBP has been found in this project, and previously.

Table 7.16 o ccurrence of DIBP in consumer products

| Occurrence of DIBP in earlier surveys | Occurrence of DIBP in product groups tested in <br> this project |
| :--- | :--- |
| Toys (wooden toys) | Jacket (outer material) |
| Toys (sword of foam plastic) | Rubber clogs |
| Toys (floor jigsaw made of foam plastic) |  |
| Toys (mask made of foam plastic) |  |
| Toys (book made of foam plastic) |  |
| Toys (Winnie the Pooh picnic table) |  |
| Play bags |  |
| Rubber pacifiers |  |
| Baby changing mats/cushions |  |
| Swimming board |  |

As the table shows, DIBP was found in toys that were examined from 2004 onwards, (i.e. published in the year 2004 or later, so the surveys themselves are probably from 2003 and later). T he study on rubber pacifiers is from 1999.

DIBP was not included in the previous statutory order on Phthalates (BEK 786, 2006), which came into force on 16 A pril 2007 (BEK 1074, 2006).
$M$ easured values and migration values
T he two tables below present the measured values of DIBP in the various products previously examined, and the products studied in this project.

As the first table illustrates, migration of D IBP is only measured in rare cases in the products tested in earlier surveys.

Table 7.17 O ver view of surveys an al ysing for content of DIBP

| Year | no. | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Relevant arena | Quantity identified $\min$. value | Quantity identified max value | Unit | Migration identified min. value | Migration identified max value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 60 | Surface treated wooden toys |  | Wooden toy (wooden jigsaw) | Day-care centre, inside |  |  |  | 2.4 | 14 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Sword | Day-care centre, inside |  | 2.85 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Floor jigsaw | Day-care centre, inside | 3.1 | 315 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Swimming board | Playing outside |  | 5.75 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | M ask | Day-care centre, inside |  | 6.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Book | Day-care centre, inside |  | 3.4 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 84 | School bags, erasers, pencil cases and play bags |  | Eraser | Day-care centre, inside |  |  |  |  | 1.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 2007 | 84 | School bags, erasers, pencil cases and play bags |  | Play bags | Day-care centre, inside |  |  |  | 0.3 | 15 | mg/kg |


| Year | no. | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Relevant arena | Quantity identified min. value | Quantity identified max value | Unit | Migration identified $\min$. value | Migration identified max value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 90 | Baby products | M easured as phthalates - DIBP + DBP | Baby changing mats/cushion s | All | $<20$ | 70 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for The Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Winnie's picnic table (Winnie the Pooh, Disney) | Toy (Winnie the Pooh picnic table) | Day-care centre, inside | 0.04 | 0.04 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 1999 |  | Rub-off from harmful substances in rubber pacifiers, Danish Veterinary and Food Administrat ion | Rub-off from pacifiers | Rubber pacifiers | All | 1 | 1 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{pa}$ cifier |  |  |  |

Table 7.18 Over view of findings of DIBP in the products an al ysed in th is project

| Product type + no. | Screening <br> analysis, ug/g | Quantitative <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration period | Migration fluid |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jacket no. 1-2, outer <br> material | 18 | n.a. | 0.04 | 3 | Saliva |
| Rubber clog no. 3-1 | 3000 | 670 | 84 | 6 | Sweat |

n.a.: Product or material not selected for analysis.

T he earlier surveys have supplied information on the contents of DIBP in eight different consumer products. T he measured concentrations vary between 2.9 (sword of foam plastic) and $314 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (floor jigsaw).

In baby changing mats/cushions up to $70 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of DIBP has been found (H owever, this value includes both DIBP and DBP, indicating that a conclusive identification had not been made). DIBP has also been found in rubber pacifiers at a level of $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per pacifier.

In the earlier surveys, migration analyses were only conducted for wooden toys, an eraser and a play bag. T he highest migration values were identified in wooden toys (jigsaws) and a play bag at 14 and $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ respectively.

In this project DIBP has been identified in the outer material of a jacket at a concentration of $18 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and in a rubber clog at a concentration of 670 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. M igration analyses have been conducted for both products and the values amount to $0.04 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (outer material, jacket) and $84 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (rubber clog), respectively.

In this project five different types of rubber clogs have been analysed for phthalate contents. Phthalate content has been identified in three of the five clogs:

- DEHP
- DBP and DEHP and finally
- DIBP and DEHP

M igration analyses have been conducted on two of these rubber clogs (those with the highest contents). Here the results showed that migration of D BP and DIBP occurs (in two different rubber clogs). No migration of DEHP has been demonstrated.

C alculation of exposure - toys
For toys the highest migration value is measured at $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for a play bag.
As noted in the chapter "Exposure scenarios - method", the calculations assume that dermal contact occurs with the toy for 6 hours in the winter and 9 hours in the summer and that oral ingestion occurs for 3 hours in both scenarios. T he maximum level measured in toys is used as a standard value for calculations in all toys, meaning that this worst-case scenario toy is assumed to be used by the 2 -year-old during the assumed contact period. Since data for dermal absorption of DIBP is lacking, data concerning D BP is used. DBP and DIBP are similar in several respects, namely in molecular structure, molecule weight and $\log \mathrm{K}$ ow (estimate from the D anish Environmental Protection Agency), which suggest that the dermal absorptions are alike. T herefore a value of $10 \%$ absorption through the skin has been assumed.

It is furthermore assumed that the weight of the play bag is 50 g (a guess, since the value was not stated in the report), and that the 2 -year-old is in contact with $10 \%$ of the surface area of the play bag and sucks on half of this area. T he measured migration of $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ is measured over a period of 4 hours and therefore the result has been corrected by a factor 4 .

H ence, the value of the exposure from toys on 2 -year-olds is:
D aily ingestion of DIBP from toys = dermal absorption (9 hrs) + oral absorption (3 hrs)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{50 \mathrm{~g} \cdot 15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \cdot 0.1 \cdot 0.1 \cdot 9 \text { hours } / \text { day }}{15.2 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot 4 \text { hours }}+\frac{50 \mathrm{~g} \cdot 15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \cdot 0.05 \cdot 3 \text { hours } / \text { day }}{15.2 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot 4 \text { hours }} \\
& =2.96 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \text { BW } / \mathrm{day}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, a corresponding RCR value of 0.0024 (i.e. a daily ingestion less than the D N EL value of $1250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day) can be obtained.

C alculation of exposure-other objects
Exposure from other products containing D IBP may occur (in addition to the exposure from toys and the indoor climate). For instance, this could be from erasers (mainly if there are older siblings in the household), baby changing mats/cushions, pacifiers and rubber clogs. H owever, no migration data has been found for DIBP in either pacifiers or baby changing mats/cushions.

## Eraser

In the calculations it has been assumed that there is contact with the eraser for 1 minute a day (only if any possible older siblings are doing their homework). In Survey Report no. 84 it is stated that a migration of $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ (per 4 hours) occurs and that the eraser weighs 21.1 g . It is assumed that there is contact with $50 \%$ of the eraser.

Baby changing mats/cushions
In Survey Report no. 90 concerning baby products, a migration analysis is conducted for baby changing mats/cushions and data is only stated for DIN P, so it is assumed that there has been no migration of DIBP.

Rubber clogs

In this project, migration analyses have been conducted on rubber clogs. A migration of $84 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for DIBP is found over a period of 6 hours, which is the period of time the rubber clogs are assumed to be worn each day. T he weight of the pair of rubber clogs is 64.8 g . C ontact with $20-40 \%$ of the clog is assumed, as well as the worst case scenario that the child wears no socks with the clogs. Since data for DIBP is lacking, data concerning DBP is applied instead. T herefore a value of $10 \%$ absorption through the skin has been assumed. It has furthermore been assumed that the rubber clogs are used for 4-10 hours a day (both indoors as slippers and outdoors).

For the remaining objects, the exposure values are the following:
Table 7.19 Daily ingestion of DIBP fromother objects based on measured migration values

| Product | Weight <br> product <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Max <br> measured <br> migration <br> value $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g})$ | Fraction of <br> product in <br> dermal <br> contact | F abs | Average <br> weight, <br> 2 -year- <br> old | Exposur <br> e <br> (hours) | Daily <br> ingestion <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | Calculate <br> d DNEL <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eraser | 21.1 | $1.5 / 4$ hours | 0.5 |  | 15.2 kg | 1 <br> minute | 0.004 | 1.25 | $3 * 10^{-6}$ |
| Rubber clogs | 64.8 | $84 / 6$ hours | 0.4 | 0.1 | 15.2 kg | 10 | 23.87 | 125 | 0.019 |
| Rubber clogs | 64.8 | $84 / 6$ hours | 0.2 | 0.1 | 15.2 kg | 10 | 1194 | 125 | 0.0096 |
| Rubber clogs | 64.8 | $84 / 6$ hours | 0.4 | 0.1 | 15.2 kg | 4 | 9.55 | 125 | 0.0076 |
| Rubber clogs | 64.8 | $84 / 6$ hours | 0.2 | 0.1 | 15.2 kg | 4 | 4.78 | 125 | 0.0038 |

### 7.7.1.5 Exposure from indoor climate

The exposure calculation for DIBP through the indoor climate is presented and calculated in the section concerning indoor climate and is reproduced in the table below.

Table 7.20 Daily ingestion of DIBP through the indoor climate (dust and air)
based on $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile

| Material | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) $)$ | Daily <br> ingstioningestion at <br> 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIBP | 2.57 | 0.002 | 1.54 | 0.001 |

Table 7.21Daily exposure to DIBP through the indoor climate (dust and air)
based on $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile

| Material | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/ day) | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) | Daily ingestion at 50 <br> mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIBP | 0.19 | 0.0002 | 0.19 | 0.0002 |

T he result shows that the RCR value is less than 1 , which indicates that there will be no risk of endocrine distrupting effects caused by exposure to DIBP through the indoor climate, whether the dust ingestion contributes 50 or 100 mg dust.
In the table below the various contributions to DIBP are summarised.

### 7.7.1.6 C ombined exposure and risk

Table 7.22 Dail y ingestion of DIBP from various sources

|  | Summer scenario |  | Winter scenario |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Daily ingestion <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR | Daily ingestion <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR |
| Foods combined 50 <br> percentile | 0.48 | 0.0004 | 0.48 | 0.0004 |
| Foods combined max | 2.40 | 0.0019 | 2.40 | 0.0019 |
| Indoor climate combined <br> $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 0.19 | 0.0002 | 0.19 | 0.0002 |
| Indoor climate combined <br> 95 | 1.54 | 0.001 | 2.57 | 0.002 |
| Toys |  |  |  |  |

*) Due to a larger number of decimals in the calculations in the complete tables in section 7.88 , this 0.006 is rounded up to 0.01 in Table 7.879
${ }^{* *}$ ) The number is not found in section 7.88, because only the max values for shoes are applied in the totalled tables in the relevant places.

The combined result for DIBP shows that the RCR value is far less than 1 and therefore, under the assumptions applied in the report, no risk has been identified in either summer or winter time as a result of the combined exposure to DIBP through foods, indoor climate, shoes and other objects included in the present survey.

### 7.7.2 DBP, dibutyl phthalate, 84-74-2

Table 7.23Identification of DBP

| Chemical name | Dibutyl phthalate |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $84-74-2$ |
| EINECS no. | $201-557-4$ |
| M olecular formula <br> (gross) | $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{H} 22-\mathrm{O} 4$ |
| M olecular structure |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 7.7.2.1 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For DBP an LOAEL of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (no NOAEL identified) has been chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on effects on gamete development and development of mammary tissue in a development study in rats (L ee et al., 2004 in EFSA opinion: EFSA (2005)).

The combined assessment factor is set to 300 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, 10 for intraspecies differences, and 3 for LOAEL to NOAEL.

Thus, D N EL for D BP becomes $0.0067 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (LOAEL/AF).

### 7.7.2.2 General exposure

M üller et al (2003) estimates a total exposure of approx. $400 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day for 1-6-year-olds. Practically all of the exposure is oral, as only approx. 0.4 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day can be attributed to inhalation.

W ormuth et al. (2006) estimates a daily internal exposure of approx. 0.4-40 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW with a median of approx. $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day for 1-3-year-olds. Approx. 55\% of the exposure stems from foods, approx. $10 \%$ from ingestion of dust, approx. $2 \%$ from textiles and approx. $33 \%$ from inhalation of air. Note that the data basis for assessment of the exposure from foods is very limited.

T he large difference between the two estimates could be caused by two factors:

- The Wormuth estimate is internal, meaning that only the absorbed amounts are considered.
- The $M$ üller estimate is based on the maximal estimated exposure through the environment.

A bsorption through the various exposure paths are, according to EU risk assessments and quoted by M üller et al.(2003):

- D ermal: $100 \%$
- Oral: $100 \%$
- Inhalation: 75\%.

The RAR (risk assessment report) from the EU for DBP (European C hemicals Bureau, 2004)) which M üller quotes, states no set dermal absorption percentage, but on page 65 refers to an experiment of dermal exposure in rats, which after 24 hours results in 10-12\% excretion in the urine, and $1 \%$ in the faeces. After 7 days, there is $60 \%$ excretion in the urine and $12 \%$ in the faeces, giving a total excretion of $72 \%$. T his means that absorption must range from 10 to $100 \%$. H owever, on page 103, the EU RAR considers 10\% dermal absorption as the worst case scenario. On the other hand, the RAR applies $100 \%$ absorption through inhalation as the default value due to lacking data. It is not known how M üller et al (2003) reaches 75\%.

T herefore, in accordance with the EU RAR, the following absorptions are applied in this report:

- Dermal: $10 \%$
- Oral: $100 \%$
- Inhalation: 100\%.


### 7.7.2.3 Exposure to D BP from foods

The presence of DBP in foods can originate from the environment as well as use in materials in contact with food.

M üller et al (2003) estimates a total exposure of approx. $400 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day for 1 to 6 -year-olds. Practically all of the exposure is oral, as only approx. 0.4 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day can be attributed to inhalation. It does not show, however, how much of the oral exposure is attributed to foods. EFSA (2005) points out that over $90 \%$ of these maximal exposure values stem from the highest estimated value of exposure through the local environment, which refers to printing inks, and is thus not related to the diet itself.

W ormuth et al. (2006) estimates a daily internal exposure of approx. 0.4-40 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW with a median of approx. $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day for the 1-3-year-olds. Approx. 55\% of the exposure stems from foods, approx. $10 \%$ from ingestion of dust, approx. 2\% from textiles and approx. 33\% from inhalation of air. T his means that the exposure from foods can be estimated to a median of 2.2 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day and a maximum of $22 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day. N ote that the data basis for assessment of the exposure from foods is very limited.

EFSA (2005) refers to an estimate based on "the total diet study" in the UK of an exposure through foods for adults of 60 kg at an average of $13 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ and the $97.5^{\text {th }}$ percentile at $31 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, equivalent to 0.2 and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day for adults.

Since 2-year-olds according to the N N A (2004) (N ordic nutrient recommendations) have an energy need per body weight at approx. double that of adults, the 0.2 and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day correspond to 0.4 and $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day for 2-year-olds.

EFSA (2005) also refers to another estimate based on measurements of D anish meals, in which the average and high exposures for adults were calculated at 4.1 and $10.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day, respectively.

F or the 2 -year-olds this corresponds to 8.2 and $20.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day, respectively.

Based on a principle of choosing realistic worst case results for the further calculations, an average exposure has been chosen of $8.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day from the D anish meal survey and, as the maximal exposure from foods, $22 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day from W ormuth et al. (2006).

### 7.7.2.4 Exposure from consumer products

D BP has been found both through earlier surveys and in some of the examined product groups in this project. T he table below presents those products in which D BP has been found in this project and inearlier studies.

Table 7.24 occurrence of DBP in consumer products

| Occurrence of DBP in earlier surveys | Occurrence of DBP in product groups <br> tested in this project |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vinyl floors | Jacket (zipper strap) |
| Plasticine | Jacket (loose reflector piece) |
| Scented toys | Rubber clogs |
| Toys of foam plastic (sword, floor jigsaw, swimming |  |
| board, mask, book) |  |
| Toy (inflatable feeding bottle) |  |
| Toy (bath dolls) |  |
| Baby changing mats/cushions |  |
| Clothes (printing on clothes) |  |

As the table shows, D BP was found in toys that were examined in 2004 and onwards (meaning published in the year 2004 or later, so the surveys themselves are probably from 2003 and later). The study on plasticine is from 2002.

REACH annex XVII, entry 51 and 52 continued the prohibition of toys containing DEHP, DBP and BBP. In accordance with REACH, the concentration of DBP in a toy must not surpass $0.1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$. T his means that those toys examined previously could no longer be sold today due to their high concentrations of D BP. In the earlier surveys, the scented toys exceeded $0.1 \%$ D BP.

A nalysis values
The two tables below display the measured values of DBP in the various products examined earlier and the products studied in this project.

As illustrated in T able 7.25, migration of DBP from the products was only measured in rare cases in the earlier surveys.

Table 7.25. Over view of earlier surveys an alysing for content of DBP

| Year | no | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Releva nt arena ${ }^{24}$ | Quantity identified min. value | Quantity identified max value | Unit | Migration identified - min. value | Migration identified max value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 1 | Products containing PVC |  | Vinyl floors | Daycare centre, inside | 15000 | 16000 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 14 | Plasticine |  | Plasticine | Daycare centre, inside | 43 | 200 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.37 | 6 | mg material emitted/ kg test at 200 degrees Celsius |
| 2006 | 68 | Aroma substances in toys and articles for babies |  | Scented toy (eraser) | Daycare centre, inside | 120 | 3500 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Sword | Daycare centre, inside |  | 18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Floor jigsaw | Daycare centre, inside | 7.95 | 780 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Swimming board | Playing outside |  | 2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | M ask | Daycare centre, inside |  | 2.6 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Book | Daycare centre, inside |  | 11.9 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 90 | Baby products | Phthalates measured DIBP + DBP | Baby changing mats/cushion s | All | $<20$ | 70 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for The Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | From the survey "Test of toys for presence of chemical compounds", prepared by TI, October 2004. | Toy (inflatable feeding bottle) | Daycare centre, inside | 13 | 13 | Mg/g |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for The Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Arwill baby from Norway 2 small baby dolls in a bath with sponge | Toy (bath dolls?) | Bath | 0.02 | 0.02 | Mg/g |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | TÆNK <br> (THINK, magazine) test/ article | Test of substances in body stockings. Found phthalates, formaldehyde and triclosan. Disney print was the worst. | Body stockings | All |  | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 |  | Greenpeace <br> - Toxic <br> Textiles by Disney | Chemicals in clothes from 19 different countries all over the world. | Clothes | All | 0 | 770 | Mg/kg |  |  |  |

23 The numbers refer to the originally determined areas

| Year | no | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Releva nt arena ${ }^{24}$ | Quantity identified min. value | Quantity identified maxvalue | Unit | Migration identified - min. value | Migration identified max value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 |  | Phthalates in children's clothes, GöteborgPosten (Gothenbur g Post) | M easurements of phthalates in print on clothes | Clothes (shirts) | All | 20 | 290 | Mg/kg |  |  |  |

Table 7.26 Over view of findings of DBP in the products an al ysed in this project

| Product type + no. | Screening <br> analysis, ug/g | Quantitative <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration period, <br> hours | Migration fluid |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jacket 1-4, zipper <br> strap | 43 | n.a. | 0.51 | 3 | Saliva |
| Jacket no. 1-5, loose <br> reflector piece | n.s. | 120 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| Rubber clog 3-3 | 51000 | 25603 | 249 | 6 | Sweat |

n.a.: Product or material not selected for analysis
n.s.: No screening result calculated

The earlier surveys have provided information on the contents of $D B P$ in nine different consumer products. T he concentrations measured were between 8 and $780 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (floor jigsaw), and up to $3500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in an eraser (scented toy).

In print on clothes, levels up to $770 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ were found. Additionally, up to 70 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (measured as DBP + DIBP) was found in baby changing mats/cushions and a higher content of D BP up to $16,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (i.e. 1.6\%) was determined in vinyl floors.

In the earlier surveys, migration analyses for plasticine were performed solely by measuring release to the indoor climate (when "baking" plasticine in an oven). H ere, release of up to $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ was measured. T he maximum concentration of D BP was measured at $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.

In this project, D BP has been identified in a zipper strap and a loose reflector piece from two different jackets. On the zipper strap, migration analysis showed that 0.51 mg D BP migrates per kg. In addition to this, D BP has been found in a pair of rubber clogs - at approx. $25,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, and a migration of $249 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ during a migration period of 6 hours.

In this project five different types of rubber clogs have been analysed for phthalate contents. In three of the five rubber clogs, phthalates were identified:

- DEHP
- DBP and DEHP, and finally
- DIBP and DEHP

M igration analyses have been conducted on two of these rubber clogs (those with the highest contents). H ere the results showed that migration of D BP and DIBP occurs (in two different rubber clogs). No migration of DEHP has been demonstrated.

C alculation of exposure-toys
W ith regard to toys no migration has been measured on any of the products, and therefore no calculations of exposure have been performed.

C alculation of exposure- other objects
Exposure from other products containing DBP can occur (in addition to the exposure from toys and the indoor climate). T his could, for example, be from erasers (mainly if there are older siblings in the household), baby changing mats/cushions, clothes and rubber clogs. Exposure from a vinyl floor is assumed to be included in indoor climate data.

## Eraser

In Survey no. 68 on scented toys, no measurement was made of migration of D BP from the eraser, and therefore no calculations of exposure have been performed.

Baby changing mats/cushions
In survey no. 90 on baby products, a migration analysis was conducted on baby changing mats/cushions. Only data concerning DIN P were stated, so it is assumed that there was no migration of DBP.

C lothes
DBP was found in print on clothes in a survey by T ÆNK (THINK, magazine), a survey by $G$ reenpeace, and a recent Swedish survey. However, none of the surveys measured migration of DBP, and therefore no calculations of exposure have been performed.

In this project, a migration analysis has been conducted on a zipper strap from a jacket. Here, 0.51 mg D BP migrates per kg over a period of 3 hours. The calculations assume that the strap weighs 5 g , that approx. half of the strap is sucked and that, as described for "other objects", it is sucked for 3 hours a day.

## Rubber clogs

In this project, migration analyses have been conducted on rubber clogs. A migration of $249 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ has been found for D BP over a period of 6 hours. The weight of the pair of rubber clogs is 69.0 g . C ontact with $20-40 \%$ of the shoe is assumed, as is the idea that the child in the worst case scenario wears no socks with the shoes. It has been assumed that the rubber clogs are used for 4-10 hours a day (both indoors as slippers and outdoors). If the rubber clogs are only used as outdoor shoes, 4 hours is a realistic estimate of the exposure, but if the rubber clogs are used as slippers, an exposure period of 10 hours is not unrealistic. As stated earlier, it is assumed that $10 \%$ DBP is absorbed through the skin.

For the remaining objects, the exposure values are the following:
Table 7.27 Daily ingestion of DBP fromother objects based on measured migration val ues

| Product | Weight product | Max measured migration value ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Fraction of product in dermal contact | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{abs} \end{gathered}$ | Avera ge weight 2- year- old | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exp } \\ & \text { osu } \\ & \text { re } \\ & \text { (ho } \\ & \text { urs) } \end{aligned}$ | Daily ingestion ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day) | Calculat ed DNEL (mg/kg BW/day) | RCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zipper strap, jacket | 5 | 0.51/3 hours | 0.5 |  | 15.2 kg | 3 | 0.084 | 0.0067 | 0.013 |
| Rubber clogs | 69 | 249/6 hours | 0.4 | 0.1 | 15.2 kg | 10 | 75.355 | 0.0067 | 11.25 |
| Rubber clogs | 69 | 249/6 hours | 0.2 | 0.1 | 15.2 kg | 10 | 37.68 | 0.0067 | 5.62 |
| Rubber clogs | 69 | 249/6 hours | 0.4 | 0.1 | 15.2 kg | 4 | 30.14 | 0.0067 | 4.50 |
| Rubber clogs | 69 | 249/6 hours | 0.2 | 0.1 | 15.2 kg | 4 | 15.07 | 0.0067 | 2.25 |

### 7.7.2.5 Exposure from indoor climate

T he exposure calculation for D BP through the indoor climate is presented and calculated in the section relating to indoor climate, but is reproduced in the table below.

Table 7.28 Daily ingestion of DBP through the indoor climate (dust and air) based on $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile

| M aterial | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) | Daily ingestion at <br> 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DBP | 4.08 | 0.62 | 2.28 | 0.34 |

Table 7.29 Daily ingestion of sel ected material sthrough the indoor climate (dust and air) based on $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile/ median value

| Material | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day) | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) | Daily ingestion at <br> 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DBP | 1.17 | 0.18 | 0.67 | 0.10 |

Based on the assumptions used in the calculation of the risk, there will be a relatively large exposure from DBP via the indoor climate. T he calculations have, however, been based on studies of households in Sweden, as no $D$ anish studies on concentrations of D BP in the indoor climate are available.

### 7.7.2.6 C ombined exposure and risk

T he table below summarises the various contributions to D BP.
Table 7.30 Daily ingestion of DBP from var io us sources

|  | Summer scenario |  | Winter scenario |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Daily ingestion } \\ (\mathbf{\mu g} / \mathrm{kg} \\ \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | RCR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Daily } \\ \text { ingestion } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \\ \mathrm{BW} / \text { day }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | RCR |
| Foods combined $50{ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 8.2 | 1.22 | 8.2 | 122 |
| Foods combined max | 22 | 3.28 | 22 | 3.28 |
| Indoor climate combined $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 0.67 | 0.10 | 117 | 0.18 |
| Indoor climate combined 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 2.28 | 0.34 | 4.08 | 0.62 |
| Zipper strap, jacket |  |  | 0.08 | 0.01 |
| Rubber clogs (low $=20 \%$ and 4 hours) | 15.07 | $2.25 * *$ |  |  |
| Rubber clogs ( $\max =40 \%$ and 10 hours) | 75.36 | 1125 |  |  |
| Total ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile), low | 23.9 | 3.57** | 9.45* | 141* |
| Total (95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile), max | 99.64* | 14.87* | 26.16 | 3.0* |

*) Due to a larger number of decimals in the calculations in the complete tables in section 7.88 , these have smaller round-off deviations
**) The number is not found in section 7.88, because only the max values of shoes are applied in the totalled tables in the relevant places.

T he combined result for DBP reveals that the RCR value is far above 1 in both the summer and winter scenarios. T his is due to exposure to DBP from foods; shoes in themselves can constitue a risk using the assumptions made in the reports.
7.7.3 BBP, benzyl butyl phthalate, 85-68-7

Table 7.31 Identification of BBP.

| Chemical name | Benzyl butyl phthalate |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $85-68-7$ |
| EINECS no. | $201-622-7$ |
| Molecular formula <br> (gross) | C19-H20-04 |
| M olecular structure |  |
| M olecule weight |  |
| Synonyms | 312.3597 <br> benzyl butyl phthalate, <br> $1,2-$ Benzenedicarboxylic acid, butyl phenylmethyl ester, <br> BBP, <br> Palatinol BB |
| Classification | REP2;R61REP3;R62 $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{R50/53}$ (List of hazardous materials) |

### 7.7.3.1 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For BBP an NOAEL of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (LOAEL $250 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{d}$ ) is chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on reduced anogenital distance (AGD) in offspring of rats exposed during pregnancy (T yl et al., 2004 in an EU risk assessment: European C hemicals Bureau (2007)).

The combined assessment factor is set to 100 based on a factor 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans and 10 for intraspecies differences.

Thus, DNEL for BBP becomes $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (LOAEL/AF).

### 7.7.3.2 G eneral exposure

M üller et al. (2003) estimates an oral exposure of $5.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day and an inhalation exposure of $0.12 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/$ day for $1-6$-year-olds. T he estimate for oral exposure is based on measured values in the environment (including foods).

W ormuth et al. (2006) estimates a daily internal exposure of approx. 0.02-6 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW with a median of approx. $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day. Approx. $18 \%$ of the exposure stems from foods, approx. $2 \%$ from sucking on things such as toys, approx. $75 \%$ from ingestion of dust and approx. $5 \%$ from inhalation of air. $N$ ote that the data basis for assessment of the exposure from foods is very limited.

Absorption through the various exposure paths are, according to EU risk assessments (European Chemicals Bureau, 2007) and quoted by M üller et al.(2003):

- D ermal: 5\%
- Oral: 100\%
- Inhalation: 100\%.


### 7.7.3.3 Exposure to BBP from foods, etc.

BBP can be found in foods both as a result of dispersion in the environment and as a consequence of migration from materials in contact with food, in which it is used as a softener.

M üller et al. (2003) estimates an oral exposure of $5.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day and an inhalation exposure of $0.12 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day for the $1-6$-year-olds. The estimate for oral exposure is based on measured values in the environment (including foods). It does not state, however, how much of the oral ingestion that can be attributed to foods.

W ormuth et al. (2006) estimates a daily internal exposure of approx. 0.02-6 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW with a median of approx. $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day for 1-3-year-olds. Approx. 18\% of this exposure stems from foods, approx. 2\% from sucking on things such as toys, approx. 75\% from ingestion of dust and approx. 5\% from inhalation of air. This means that the exposure from foods should to contribute with $0.07 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day as a median and $1.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day as the highest value.

EFSA (2005a) refers to an estimate based on data on diet and foods from the UK and Denmark, in which the exposure to BBP through foods is estimated at an average of $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ day and 97.5 -percentile $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day, which for an adult corresponds to 0.1 and $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day, respectively.

Since 2-year-olds according to the N N A (2004) (N ordic nutrient recommendations) have an energy ingestion per kg body weight at approx. the double of that of adults, this corresponds to 0.2 and $0.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day respectively for the 2 -year-olds.

EFSA also refers to a D anish survey that estimates an average and a high exposure for adults of 0.4 and $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day, respectively.

For 2-year-olds this corresponds to 0.8 and $9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day, respectively.
Based on a principle of choosing the most realistic worst case exposures, in the further calculations the EFSA exposure numbers have been included as contributions from foods with the average 0.8 and the highest value $9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day.
7.7.3.4 Exposure from consumer products

BBP was only found in earlier surveys and has not been identified in products examined in this project. T he table below states the products in which BBP has been found earlier.

Table 7.320 ccurrence of BBP in consumer products

| Occurrence of BBP in earlier surveys | Occurrence of BBP in product groups tested in <br> this project |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vinyl floors <br> Plasticine <br> Wooden toy (wooden fishing boat with small <br> components on strings) <br> Clothes (printing on clothes) | None |

As the table shows, BBP was found in toys that were examined in 2004 onwards (meaning published in the year 2004 or later, so the surveys
themselves are probably from 2003 and later). The study on vinyl floors is from 2002.

REACH annex XVII, entry 51 and 52 continued the prohibition of sale of these toys because the concentration of BBP is too high. Plasticine had concentrations of BBP that exceeded $0.1 \%$ and according REACH , the concentration of BBP in a toy must not exceed $0.1 \%(w / w)$.

A nalysis values
T he two tables below display the values of BBP that were measured in the various products examined earlier.

As the table illustrates, migration of BBP is only measured in rare cases in the products tested in earlier surveys.

Table 7.33 Over view of earlier surveys analysing for content of BBP

| Year | no. | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Relevant arena | Quantity identified $\min$. value | Quantity identified max value | Unit | Migration identified $\min$. value | Migration identified max value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 1 | Products containing PVC |  | Vinyl floors | Daycare centre, inside | 900 | 20000 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 14 | Plasticine |  | Plasticine | Daycare centre, inside | 37000 | 37000 | mg/kg | 3,1 | 4.6 | mg material released/kg test at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 2002 | 14 | Plasticine |  | Plasticine | Daycare centre, inside |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1000 | mg material released/kg test at 200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 2005 | 60 | Surface treated wooden toys |  | Wooden toy (wooden fishing boat) | Daycare centre, inside |  |  |  | 1.3 | 13 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ |
| 2003 |  | Greenpeace Toxic Textiles by Disney | Chemicals in clothes from 19 different countries all over the world. | Clothes | All | 0 | 22000 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  | Phthalates in children's clothes, <br> GöteborgPosten (Gothenburg Post) | M easurements of phthalates in printi on clothes | Clothes (shirts) | All | 10 | 1500 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |

C alculation of exposure
T he earlier surveys have supplied information on the contents of BBP in two different kinds of toys - plasticine and wooden toys. The measured concentrations in plasticine are $37,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BBP corresponding to $3.7 \%$. T he concentration of BBP was not measured in the wooden toys.

In clothes (print on clothes) up to $22,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BBP has been measured and in vinyl floors up to $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BBP.

M igration analyses were performed for the earlier surveys on wooden toys and plasticine. T he migration for the wooden toys was measured at $1.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and a migration of BBP to the indoor climate was measured of up to $1,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ when "baking" the plasticine in the oven.

As mentioned earlier, BBP has not been identified in the products that have been examined in this project.

C alculation of exposure - toys
For toys the highest migration is measured at $1.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for wooden toys. The values for plasticine are not used in this context since they show release to the indoor climate and not to sweat.

As noted in the chapter "Exposure scenarios - methods", the calculations assume that dermal contact occurs with the toy for 6 and 9 hours respectively and oral ingeston occurs for 3 hours. Furthermore, the maximum value measured in a toy is used as the standard for all toys, meaning that this worst case toy is assumed to be used during all the hours in which a 2 -year-old is assumed to have contact with toys.

It is furthermore assumed that the weight of the wooden toy is 50 g (a guess, since the value is not stated in the report) and that the 2 -year-old is in dermal contact with $50 \%$ of the wooden toy area and sucks on half of this area. T he migration of $1.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ has been measured over a period of 1 hour. $5 \%$ absorption through the skin is used for BBP.

The exposure from toys for 2 -year-olds is thus found to be the following:
D aily ingestion of BBP from toys = oral ingestion ( 3 hrs ) + dermal absorption ( 9 hrs ) (summer scenario):
$=\frac{50 \mathrm{~g} \cdot 1.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \cdot 0.25 \cdot 3 \text { hours } / \text { day }}{15.2 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot 1 \text { hour }}+\frac{50 \mathrm{~g} \cdot 1.3 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{g} \cdot 0.5 \cdot 0.05 \cdot 9 \text { hours } / \text { day }}{15.2 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot 1 \text { hour }}$
$=4.17 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day}$
A corresponding RCR value of 0.008 (i.e. a daily ingestion less than the DNEL value) can be obtained.

C alculation of exposure-other objects
Exposure from other products containing BBP may occur (in addition to the exposure from toys and the indoor climate). An example could be clothes. H owever, no migration has been measured from clothes and therefore no calculation of exposure is performed.

### 7.7.3.5 Exposure from indoor climate

T he exposure calculation for BBP via the indoor climate is presented and calculated in the section on indoor climate, but is reproduced in the table below.

Table 7.34 Daily ingestion of BBP through the indoor climate (dust and air) Based on $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile

| Material | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) | Daily ingestion at <br> 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BBP | 10.36 | 0.02 | 5.23 | 0.01 |

Table 7.35 Dail y ingestion of BBP through the indoor climate (dust and air)
Based on $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile

| Material | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) | Daily ingestion at <br> 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BBP | 2.27 | 0.005 | 118 | 0.002 |

T he calculation shows that the RCR value is less than 1, which indicates that there is no risk of endocrine distrupting effects as a consequence of exposure to BBP via the indoor climate.

### 7.7.3.6 C ombined exposure and risk

T he table below summarises the various contributions to BBP.

Table 7.36 Daily ingestion of BBP from various sources

|  | Summer scenario |  | Winter scenario |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Daily ingestion ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day) | RCR | Daily ingestion ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day) | RCR |
| Foods combined $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 0.8 | 0.002 | 0.8 | 0.002 |
| Foods combined max | 9.0 | 0.018 | 9.0 | 0.018 |
| Indoor climate combined $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 1.18 | 0.002 | 2.27 | 0.005 |
| Indoor climate combined $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 5.23 | 0.01 | 10.36 | 0.02 |
| Toys | 4.17 | 0.008 | 3.85 | 0.008 |
| Total ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) | 6.15 | 0.012 | 6.92 | 0.015* |
| Total (95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile) | 18.4 | 0.036 | 23.21 | 0.046 |

*) Due to a larger number of decimals in the calculations in the complete tables in section 7.88 , these have smaller round-off deviations.

T he combined result for BBP shows that the RCR value is less than 1. B ased on the assumptions made, no risk exists as a result of the combined exposure to BBP through foods, indoor climate, toys and other objects included in the present survey.

### 7.7.4 DEHP, diethylhexyl phthalate, 117-81-7

Table 7.37 Identification of DEHP.

| Chemical name | diethylhexyl phthalate |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $117-81-7$ |
| EINECS no. | $204-211-0$ |
| M olecular formula <br> (gross) | $\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{H} 38-04$ |
| Molecular structure |  |
| M olecular weight |  |


| Synonyms | Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, <br> DEHP, <br> Octyl phthalate <br> Classification <br> REP2;R60-61(List of hazardous materials) |
| :--- | :--- |

### 7.7.4.1 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For DEHP, an NOAEL of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day is chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on effects on gametes and reduced testicular weight in rats (W olfe \& L eyton, 2003 in an EU risk assessment : European Chemicals Bureau (2008)).

The combined assessment factor is set to 100 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, and 10 for intraspecies differences.

Thus, D N EL for DEH P becomes $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (N OAEL/AF). 7.7.4.2 General exposure M üller et al. (2003) estimates an oral exposure of $133.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day, an inhalation exposure of $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day and a dermal exposure of $15.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day for 1-6-year-olds.

T he oral exposure of $133.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day is distributed between various sources in the following way:

| T oys | $33.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day |
| :--- | ---: |
| Environment, max estimate (incl. foods) | $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day |

A Iternative estimates of exposure through the environment are $3.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day, based on the measured values in the environment, and $26 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day based on measured values in foods.

T he estimated $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day from the environment can be compared with the EU Risk Assessment Report (RAR), which estimates the corresponding exposure at $85 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day}$.

D ata from the EU RAR has later been used in a probability risk assessment (Bosgra et al, 2005) which has estimated the total exposure of children to $7.58-23.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day ( $5-95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) with a geometric mean of 13.19 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day. The contributions to the mean of $13.19 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/$ day is distributed in the following way:

Foods $\quad 12.84 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day
Air inside $\quad 0.29 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/$ day
T oys $\quad 0.053 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day
W ormuth et al. (2006) estimates a daily internal exposure of approx. 0.3-80 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW with a median of approx. $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day. Approx. $55 \%$ stems from foods, approx. 5\% from sucking on things such as toys, approx. 37\% from ingestion of dust and approx. 3\% from inhalation of air. N ote that the data basis for assessment of the exposure from foods is very limited.

A more recent and more precise estimate based on measurements of metabolites in the urine of $31 \mathrm{German} 2-4$-year-olds is $0.4-409 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day}$ with a median of 5.7-10.7 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day}$ and a 95th percentile of 23.4-45 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day}$, depending on the calculation in relation to the creatinine
exretion or urine volume (W ittassek et al., 2007). Boys in this age group are more exposed than girls. 1 out of 17 boys, but no girls, exceeded the T DI set by EFSA at $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day. In total 239 2-14-year-olds were examined. The exposure is highest among the 2 to 4 -year-olds and drops as they get older, though not that much within the age group of below 8 years. A few children in the age group 9 to 11-year-olds still demonstrate high exposure.

A bsorption through the various exposure paths are, according to EU risk assessments (European C hemicals Bureau, 2008), and quoted by M üller et al. (2003):

- Dermal: 5\%
- Oral: $100 \%$
- Inhalation: $100 \%$.


### 7.7.4.3 Exposure from foods

DEHP can be found in foods both as a result of dispersion in the environment and as a consequence of migration from materials in contact with food, in which it is used as a softener.

M üller et al. (2003) estimates an oral exposure for the 1-6-year-olds of 133.4 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day out of which the $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day are assessed to stem from foods. T hey also present an alternative estimate of $26 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day, based on measured values in foods.

The estimated $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day from the environment can be compared with the EU Risk Assessment Report (RAR), which estimates the corresponding exposure at $85 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day}$.

D ata from the EU RAR has later been used in a probabilistic risk assessment (Bosgra et al, 2005) which has estimated the contribution from foods to be $12.84 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/$ day ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile).

W ormuth et al. (2006) estimates a daily internal exposure of approx. 0.3-80 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW with a median of approx. $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day for the 1 to 3 -year-olds. A pprox. $55 \%$ are thought to stem from foods, giving a median of $4.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day and a high exposure of $44 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day.

EFSA (2005b) refers to an estimate based on a "total diet" survey from the UK, in which the exposure to DEHP from foods is estimated at an average of $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ day BW/day and a high exposure of $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day for adults. Since 2 -year-olds according to the N N A (2004) (N ordic nutrient recommendations) have an energy consumption per kg body weight at approx. the double of that of adults, the 2.5 and $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day for adults correspond to 5 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day, respectively, for the 2 -year-olds. EFSA also refers to an estimate based on analyses of $D$ anish meals, in which the exposure for adults was found to be 4.3 and $15.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day for the uppermost average interval and the high percentile respectively.

For the 2 -year-olds this corresponds to 8.6 and $31.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day, respectively.

Based on a principle of choosing realistic worst case values to be used in the further calculations, the $8.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day from the D anish meal survey is used as the median and the $44 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day from W ormuth et al. is used as the high exposure via foods.

### 7.7.4.4 Exposure from consumer products

DEHP has been found in earlier surveys, and in a few of the examined product groups in this project. T he table below states the products in which DEHP has been found in earlier surveys, and in this project.

Table 7.38 occurrence of DEHP in consumer products

| Occurrence of DEHP in earlier surveys | Occurrence of DEHP in product groups tested <br> in this project |
| :--- | :--- |
| Shower curtain | Jacket (reflector piece) <br> Packaging of body shampoo/ bath gel for (label) <br> children <br> Rubber clogs <br> Printing on body stocking |
| Book made of foam plastic | Soap packaging for children |
| Ball made of foam plastic | Shower mat |
| Scented eraser |  |
| Floor jigsaw made of foam plastic |  |
| Wrapping paper (Christmas paper) |  |
| Lamination materials |  |
| Play bags |  |
| Toy (doctor play set) |  |
| Toy (Action M an) |  |
| Toy (bath doll) |  |
| Toy (Winnie the Pooh ball) |  |
| Toy (Bratz doll) |  |
| Toy (Dinosaur figure) |  |
| Toy (dragon figure Disney) |  |
| Toy (Fashion Teen doll) |  |
| Toy (kaleidoscope) |  |
| Toy (M anchester United football) |  |
| Toy (My Little Pony) |  |
| Toy (inflatable feeding bottle) |  |
| Toy (Felix plastic / textile ball) |  |
| Toy (stickers for bath tub) |  |
| Toy (textile/ plastic doll) |  |
| Lunch boxes |  |
| Mask made of foam plastic |  |
| Plasticine |  |
| Mucous toys |  |
| Dust (indoor climate) |  |
| Sword |  |
| Swimming board |  |
| Textiles |  |
| Wooden toys |  |
| Carpet squares |  |
| Clothes |  |
| Wanyl floors |  |

As the table shows, DEHP was found in quite a few toys that were examined in 2004 and onwards (meaning published in the year 2004 or later, so the surveys themselves are probably from 2003 and later). Plasticine, shower curtains, floorings with vinyl and vinyl wallpaper were examined in 2002 (2001).

A new statutory order on Phthalates (BEK 855, 2009) came into effect in September 2009, which continued the prohibition of sale of those toys examined previously due to their high concentrations of DEHP. In accordance with the current statutory order on phthalates, the concentration of DEHP must not exceed $0.1 \%(w /)$ in toys.

In this project we have chosen to include the results from the earlier surveys of toys in spite of changes in the legislation. T he reason for this is partly that families with several children may have bought toys years ago that their 2-
year-olds are playing with today, and partly that the concentrations found in the earlier surveys of toys do not in all instances exceed the value $0.1 \%$. T hat means that in several instances the levels in question would also be legal today. H owever, six out of 25 toy items in the earlier surveys do exceed the today set limit of $0.1 \%$ DEHP.

A nalysis values
The two tables below display the measured values of DEHP in both the various products previously examined and the products from this project.

As the first table illustrates, migration of D EHP is only measured in rare cases in the products tested in earlier surveys.

Table 7.39 Over view of earlier surveys an al ysing for content of DEHP

| Year | no | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Relevant arena | Quantity identified - min. value | Quantity identified - max value | Unit | Migratio <br> identified <br> - min. <br> value | Migratio n identified - max value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 1 | Products containing PVC | The product groups gloves and bags have not been included | Shower curtain | Bath | 62000 | 230000 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 20 | Decorative fluids in merchandi se | Not all are relevant for 2-year-olds. Exposure only happens if the fluids seep out of the products | Shower curtain | Bath | 18 | 18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 88 | Cosmetic products for children | Phthalate content in packaging | Body shampoo/ bath gel | Bath | 0 | 270 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | TÆNK <br> (THINK, magazine) test/article | Test of substances in body stockings. Found phthalates, formaldehyde and triclosan. Disney print was the worst. | Body stockings | All |  | 18.3 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Book | Day-care centre, inside |  | 9.4 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Ball | Day-care centre, inside and playing outside |  | 17.7 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 68 | Aroma substance $s$ in toys and articles for babies |  | Scented toy - eraser | Day-care centre, inside |  | 6100 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Floor jigsaw | Day-care centre, inside | 45 | 355 | mg/kg |  |  |  |


| Year | no | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relevant } \\ & \text { arena } \end{aligned}$ | Quantity identified - min. value | Quantity identified - max value | Unit | Migratio <br> n <br> identified <br> - min. <br> value | Migratio <br> n <br> identified <br> - max <br> value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 37 | Christmas decoration S |  | Wrapping paper | Day-care centre, inside | 6.2 | 7.7 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 97 | Laminatio <br> n materials |  | Lamination materials | Day-care centre, inside |  |  |  | 0.93 | 13 | $\underset{2}{\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dm}}$ |
| 2008 | 97 | Laminatio <br> n materials |  | Lamination materials | Day-care centre, inside |  |  |  | 0.55 | 0.85 | $\underset{2}{\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dm}}$ |
| 2007 | 84 | School bags, erasers, pencil cases and play bags |  | Play bags | Day-care centre, inside |  |  |  | 2.4 | 2.4 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (measu red over a period of 4 hours) |
| 2007 | 84 | School bags, erasers, pencil cases and play bags | Perhaps relevant for 2-year-olds. | Eraser | Day-care centre, inside | 0 | 440000 | mg/kg | 180. | 1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Doctor case from Austria | Toy (doctor play set) | Day-care centre, inside | 0.94 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Action Man CAM O ATAK. No aromatic amines were identified. | Toy (Action man) | Day-care centre, inside | 0.03 | 0.03 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Arwill baby from Norway2 small baby dolls in a bath with sponge | Toy (bath dolls?) | Bath? | 7.2 | 7.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Disney Winnie the Pooh ball | Toy (ball) | Day-care centre, inside and playing outside | 6.1 | 6.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  | Additional test of toys for presence of chemical compounds. For the Danish Consumer Council, compiled by TI, on the IMS | Bratz treasures | Toy (Bratz doll) | Day-care centre, inside | 0.35 | 0.35 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |


| Year | no | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Relevant arena | Quantity identified - min. value | Quantity identified - max value | Unit | Migratio n identified - min. value | Migratio n <br> identified <br> - max <br> value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | homepage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toytest performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Dinosaur figure from Greece | Toy (dinosaur figure) | Day-care centre, inside | 0.25 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toytest performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 |  | Toy (dragon figure Disney) | Day-care centre, inside | 0.23 | 0.23 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | TたNK (THINK, magazine) test/article | Test of 11 different toys | Toy (Fashion teen doll) | Day-care centre, inside | 19 | 19 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toytest performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2005 | Wunderland Ass. <br> (kaleidoscope) from Norway | Toy (kaleidosc ope) | Day-care centre, inside | 0.02 | 0.02 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | T/ENK (THINK, magazine) test/article | Test of 11 different toys | Toy (M anchest er United football) | Day-care centre, inside | 169 | 191 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | My Little Pony Jamborre Dancing Blossonforth | Toy (My Little Pony) | Day-care centre, inside | 0.3 | 0.3 | \% $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toytest performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Both Danish and foreign toys that are relevant for 2-year-olds | Toy (inflatable feeding bottle) | Day-care centre, inside | 49 | 49 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Felix plastic /textile ball from Germany | Toy (plastic /textile ball) | Day-care centre, inside | 0.14 | 0.14 | mg/g |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Aqua Joy Bath Time Stickers from Norway | Toy (stickers for bath tub) | Bath | 185 | 185 | mg/g |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toytest performed | Textile/ plastic doll from | Toy (textile/ | Day-care centre, | <0.05 | $<0.05$ | mg/g |  |  |  |


| Year | no | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Relevant arena | Quantity identified - min. value | Quantity identified - max value | Unit | Migratio <br> n <br> identified <br> - min. <br> value | Migratio <br> $n$ <br> identified <br> - - max <br> value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Greece | plastic doll) | inside |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | M ask | Day-care centre, inside |  | 19 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 14 | Plasticine |  | Plasticine | Day-care centre, inside | 18 | 600 | mg/kg | 0.5 | 23 |  |
| 2006 | 67 | Mucous toys | Examples of examined products: Rubbery balls with spikes, soft balls containing fluid, rubbery lizard, mucus with bugs in it, pole containing fluid. | Mucous toys | Day-care centre, inside | 17 | 81 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  | Departme <br> nt of Civil <br> and <br> Environme <br> ntal <br> Engineerin <br> g, <br> Departme <br> nt of <br> Entomolo <br> gy and <br> Cancer <br> Research <br> Centre, <br> University <br> of <br> California. | Examination of endocrine disruptors in dust in the indoor climate: Dust samples from dust bags in 10 households. | Dust | All (except playing outside) | 104 | 7630 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Sword | Day-care centre, inside |  | 76 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Swimming board | Playing outside |  | 0.89 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 23 | Textile piece products |  | Textiles | Clothes | 1 | 8.6 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 60 | Surface treated wooden toys |  | Wooden toys | Day-care centre, inside |  |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ |
| 2002 | 1 | Products containing PVC |  | Carpet squares | Day-care centre, inside | 87000 | 92000 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2003 |  | Greenpeac e-Toxic Textiles by Disney | Chemicals in clothes from 19 different countries all | Clothes | All | 0 | 170000 | mg/kg |  |  |  |


| Year | no | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Relevant arena | Quantity identified - min. value | Quantity identified - max value | Unit | M igratio <br> n <br> identified <br> - min. <br> value | Migratio <br> n <br> identified <br> - max <br> value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | over the world. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 1 | Products containing PVC |  | Vinyl floors | Day-care centre, inside | 47000 | 160000 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 1 | Products containing PVC |  | Vinyl wallpaper | Day-care centre, inside | 67000 | 100000 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  | Phthalates in <br> children's clothes, GöteborgPosten (Gothenbu rg Post) | M easurements of phthalates in printing on clothes | Clothes (shirts) | All | $<20$ | 11000 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  | TÆNK (THINK, magazine) test/ article | Examinations of lunch boxes and water bottles | Lunch box | Day-care centre, inside | 30 | 30 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |

Table 7.40 O ver view of findings of DEHP in the products anal ysed in this project

| Product type + no. | Screening <br> analysis, ug/g | Quantitative <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration <br> period, hours | M igration fluid |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jacket 1-4, zipper strap | 74 | n.a. | $<0.1$ | 3 | Saliva |
| Jacket no. 1-5, loose <br> reflector piece | n.s. | 213000 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| M ittens 2-3, label | n.s. | 124000 | 0.56 | 3 | Saliva |
| M ittens 2-4, label | n.s. | 147000 | 0.68 | 3 | Saliva |
| Mitten 2-4, outer material | n.s. | 417 | $<0.01$ | 3 | Saliva |
| Mitten 2-2, outer material | 320 | n.a. | 0.27 | 3 | Saliva |
| 3-1, Rubber clogs | 50000 | 15658 | n.d. | 6 | Sweat |
| 3-3, Rubber clogs | n.d. | 137 | n.d. | 6 | Sweat |
| 5-3, Pacifier (coverage) | 300 | 275 | n.d. | 7.75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  | n.d. | 7.75 | Saliva |
| 6-1, Soap packaging | n.d. | 133 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
| 6-2, Soap packaging | n.d. | 206 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  | n.d. | 0.5 | Saliva |
| 6-5, Soap packaging | 200000 | 80130 | 2 | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  | n.d. | 0.5 | Saliva |
| 7-1, Shower mat | 220000 | 128625 | 25 | 0.5 | Sweat |

n.a.: Product or material not selected for analysis.
n.s.: No screening result calculated
n.d.: $M$ aterial not demonstrated above the detection threshold

## C alculation of exposure

T he earlier surveys provide information on the content of DEHP in 25 different types of consumer products. T he measured concentrations vary from $1.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (mask of foam plastic) to as high as $191,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ D EHP in a football.

In print on clothes, levels are found up to $170,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ corresponding to $17 \%$. Furthermore, levels have been found between 6100 and $440,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (corresponding to 44\%) in erasers and levels of DEHP in indoor climate dust have been found at approx. $7-8000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (see section on indoor climate for additional details). Carpet tiles, vinyl floorings and vinyl wallpaper contain large quantities of DEHP, the exact percentages being $9 \%, 16 \%$ and $10 \%$, respectively. Small quantities of DEHP have also been identified in a lunch box. Finally, DEHP content has been identified in bath soap packaging.

M igration analyses were only performed in the earlier surveys on lamination materials, play bags, erasers, toys (Bratz doll), plasticine, wooden toys and bath soap packaging. Here the migration falls between 2.4 (play bags) and 5.1 (wooden toys) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. The migration of the $5.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ was measured in a hammer bench with 6 "nails", executed in beech, but it is not stated from where precisely in the hammer bench DEH P migrates. For example, it might stem from a rubber band on the plate, where the wooden nails are placed or some other place the child will not suck at frequently. For that reason this value is ignored in the basis for the calculations. T he highest value, of 23 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, is found for plasticine but describes release to the indoor climate. The migration of the $2.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ from a play bag has therefore been applied as the highest migration measured in the earlier surveys.
In the analyses in this project DEHP has been identified in labels on mittens with concentrations of up to $14.7 \%$, in loose reflector pieces on jackets up to $21.3 \%$, in rubber clogs up to $1.6 \%$, in the coverage of pacifiers in small concentrations ( $275 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), in soap packagings up to $8 \%$ and in shower mats up to $12.9 \%$ D EHP. On most of these products migration analyses were also performed, showing that in rubber clogs and pacifiers no migration occurs beyond the detection threshold (detection threshold $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). The migration is highest for shower mats, in which it is $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.

C alculation of exposure - toys
For toys, the highest migration value has been measured at $2.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for play bags. A higher migration was measured from plasticine (into the indoor air, but this value is assumed to be included in the values from the indoor climate (see section on indoor climate). The value from the play bag stems from an earlier survey. It is applied in spite of the fact that the total concentration in this play bag exceeds the current limit for DEHP in toys of $0.1 \%$, because it is assumed that the play bag might have been bought before the limit value came into effect and may still be used.

As noted in the chapter "Exposure scenarios - methods", the calculations assume that dermal contact occurs with the toy for 6 and 9 hours (winter and summer scenarios) and oral ingestion occurs for 3 hours. T he maximum level measured in a toy is furthermore used as the calculation value for all toys, meaning that this worst case value for toys is assumed to be used during all the hours that a 2 -year-old is assumed to have contact with toys.

It is furthermore assumed that the weight of the play bag is 50 g (a guess, since the value is not stated in the report) and that the 2 -year-old is in dermal contact with $10 \%$ of the area of the play bag containing migrating DEH P and sucks on half of this area. T he measured migration of $2.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ is measured over a period of 4 hours and therefore the result needs to be corrected by a factor 4. Absorption of $5 \%$ is used for dermal absorption.

H ence, the value of the exposure from toys on 2-year-olds becomes (summer scenario):

D aily ingestion of DEHP from toys = oral ingestion (3 hrs) + dermal absorption ( 9 hrs )
$=\frac{50 \mathrm{~g} \cdot 2.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \cdot 0.1 \cdot 0.05 \cdot 9 \text { hours } / \text { day }}{15.2 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot 4 \text { hours }}+\frac{50 \mathrm{~g} \cdot 2.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \cdot 0.05 \cdot 3 \text { hours } / \text { day }}{15.2 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot 4 \text { hours }}$
$=0.38 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day
A corresponding RCR value of 0.008 (i.e. a daily ingestion less than the D N EL value) can be obtained.

C alculation of exposure- other objects
Exposure from other products containing D EHP can occur (in addition to the exposure from toys and the indoor climate). This could be, for example, from erasers (mainly if there are older siblings in the household), the shower mat in the bath tub, bath soap packaging and jackets/mittens. DEHP has furthermore been identified in lunch boxes, but this contribution is assumed to be contained in the figures from foods.

## Eraser

In these calculations it is assumed that there is contact with the eraser for 1 minute a day (only when possibly older siblings are doing their homework). In survey no. 84 (Svendsen et al, 2007), it is stated that a migration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ (per hour) occurs and that the eraser weighs 14.4 g . It is assumed that there is contact with $50 \%$ of the eraser.

## Shower mat

Shower mat 7-1 has a migration of $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ and weighs 202.2 g . The calculations assume that there is contact with $25 \%$ of the area of the shower mat. Instead, an area the size of a baby's bottom might be used, i.e. $0.038 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, but at some point parts of legs and hands will also touch the shower mat. A contact period of 30 minutes is assumed, meaning the period of time the child sits on the mat in the bath and since everything takes place in water, a retention factor of 0.01 is applied. T he retention factor has been introduced by the SC CN FP to account for products that leave behind a residue when used and washed off after use, i.e. for shampoo products, body shampoos and similar rinse-off products (SC CN FP 0690 (2003)). Since this exposure is in the bath tub it is permissible to use the retention factor in this context too. It is only assumed that dermal exposure occurs, i.e. the result is corrected because only $5 \%$ of DEHP is absorbed through the skin.

Bath soap packaging
Soap packaging no. 6-5 has a content of DEHP of $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ corresponding to $8 \%$. The D anish Safety T echnology A uthority has assessed this soap packaging to be a toy, so the product thus violates the limit of $0.1 \%$ set by the statutory order on Phthalates. The migration to sweat has been measured at 2 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ (during $1 / 2$ hour). No migration to saliva has been demonstrated (i.e. the value is below the detection threshold), so only dermal absorption has been assumed. T he soap packaging weighs 4 g . A contact period of 30 minutes is assumed. T he child is assumed to have contact with $75 \%$ of the area of the bath packaging, which is not very large. It might be relevant to apply a dilution factor as well, since the exposure occurs in a bath tub, but because playing often occurs above the water, a worst case calculation has been made without dilution.

The calculation appears in the table below and shows an RCR value for the soap packaging of 0.0002 , i.e. far below 1 and therefore not posing a risk. The value furthermore represents the smallest contribution of DEHP from the consumer products. T his small contribution has not been included in the complete calculations because the product is now illegal. and is expected to be withdrawn from the market.

## Jackets/mittens

The highest migration measured is $0.68 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ (during 3 hours) from the label with product name on a mitten. This mitten weighs a total of 8 g . It is assumed, as described in the section "Exposure calculations - method", that the 2 -year-old maximally sucks on mittens for 2 hours and 58 minutes (rounded up to 3 hours) each day. It may not be entirely realistic that the 2 -year-olds suck on the label with the product name in the middle of the mitten, but DEHP has also been found (a migration of $0.27 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) in the outer material of a mitten. The child is assumed to suck on approx. $5 \%$ of the weight of the mitten.

For the remaining objects, the exposure values are the following:
Table 7.41 Daily ingestion of DEH P fromother objects based on measured migration values

| Product | Weight <br> product | Max <br> measured <br> migration <br> value <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g})$ | Fraction <br> of <br> product <br> in <br> dermal <br> contact. | F <br> abs | Average <br> weight, <br> 2-year- <br> old | Exposure <br> (hours) | Daily <br> ingestion <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{BW} /$ day) | Calculated <br> DNEL <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day})$ | RCR <br> Eraser |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14.4 | $1000 / 1$ <br> hour | 0.5 | 15.2 kg | 1 min. | 7.895 | 0.05 | 0.158 |  |  |
| Shower mat | 202.2 g | $25 / 0.5$ <br> hour | 0.25 x <br> $0.01^{*}$ | 0.05 | 15.2 kg | 0.5 | 0.042 | 0.05 | 0.0008 |
| Soap <br> packaging | 4 | $2 / 0.5$ <br> hour | 0.75 | 0.05 | 15.2 kg | 0.5 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.0002 |
| Jackets/mittens | 88 g | $0.68 / 3$ <br> hours | 0.05 |  | 15.2 kg | 3 | 0.197 | 0.05 | 0.004 |

* = dilution factor through bath water
$F_{\text {abs }}=$ Relative amount of product taken up via dermal contact. Is used solely for products where the only factor to be considered is dermal contact (such as the shower mat). Oral absorption must be accounted for in all other products and the absorption percentage is thus $100 \%$


### 7.7.4.5 Exposure from indoor climate

T he exposure calculation for DEH P via the indoor climate is presented and calculated in the section on indoor climate, but is reproduced in the table below.

Table 7.42 Daily ingestion of DEHP through theindoor climate (dust and air) based on 95th percentile

| Material | Daily ingestion at 100 <br> mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/ day) | RCR <br> (at 100 mg <br> dust) | Daily ingestion <br> at 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEHP | 46.65 | 0.93 | 23.41 | 0.47 |

Table 7.43 Daily ingestion of DEHP through the indoor climate (dust and air) based on $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile

| Material | Daily ingestion at 100 <br> mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 100 mg <br> dust) | Daily ingestion <br> at 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEHP | 5.71 | 0.11 | 2.89 | 0.06 |

T he calculation shows that at least $95 \%$ of the 2 -year-olds will be exposed to concentrations of DEHP via the indoor climate that, with the assumptions made, will not pose a risk if 100 mg of dust is consumed per day. N ote however that in bigger surveys than the D anish (which forms the basis of these calculations) levels of D EH P have been seen in the indoor climate high enough to pose a risk for 2 -year-olds with the assumptions made.

### 7.7.4.6 C ombined exposure and risk

In the table below, the various contributions to DEHP are summarised. T he tables are distributed according to the summer and winter scenarios as described earlier.

Tabl e 7.44 Dail y ingestion of DEH P from various sources

|  | Summer scenario |  | Winter scenario |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily <br> ingestion <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> BW/day) | RCR | Daily ingestion <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> BW/day) | RCR |
| Source | 8.6 | 0.17 | 8.6 | 0.17 |
| Foods combined 50th percentile | 44 | 0.88 | 44 | 0.88 |
| Foods combined max | 2.89 | 0.06 | 5.71 | 0.11 |
| Indoor climate combined 50 <br> percentile | 23.41 | 0.47 | 46.65 | 0.93 |
| Indoor climate combined 95th <br> percentile | 0.39 | 0.008 | 0.36 | 0.007 |
| Toys | 7.90 | 0.16 | 7.90 | 0.16 |
| Eraser | 0.04 | 0.0008 | 0.04 | 0.0008 |
| Shower mat |  |  | 0.20 | 0.004 |
| Jackets/mittens | 19.82 | 0.40 | 22.8 | 0.45 |
| Total (50 | percentile) | 75.74 | 1.51 | 99.15 |
| Total (95 $5^{\text {th }}$ percentile) |  |  |  | 198 |

T he combined result for DEHP shows that the RCR value is above 1 in both the summer and winter scenarios when the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile is considered, but that the RCR is below 1 when the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile is considered.

### 7.7.5 DINP, di-isononyl phthalate, 28553-12-0

Table 7.45Identification of DIN P.

| Chemical name | Di-isononyl phthalate |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $28553-12-0$ |
| EINECS no. | $249-079-5$ |
| M olecular formula <br> (gross) | $\mathrm{C} 26-\mathrm{H} 42-04$ |
| M olecular structure |  |

### 7.7.5.1 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For DIN P an NOAEL of $276 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (LOAEL $742 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day) is chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on reduced testicular weight in
mice (Aristech, 1995 in an EU risk assessment: European Chemicals Bureau (2003)).

T he combined assessment factor is set to 175 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 7 for allometric scaling between mice and humans, and 10 for intraspecies differences.

Thus, D NEL for DIN P becomes $1.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (N OAEL/AF). 7.7.5.2 G eneral exposure

M üller et al. (2003) estimates a total oral exposure of $63.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day, an inhalation exposure of $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day, and a dermal exposure of 1.6 $\mu g / k g$ BW /day.

T he oral exposure of $63.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day is distributed in the following way:

```
T oys (1-3-year-olds) 33.8 \mug/kg BW/day
Environment, max estimate }30\mu\textrm{g}/\textrm{kg BW}/da
```

T his can be compared with the estimate in the EU Risk A ssessment Report, in which the total oral exposure for 3-6-year-olds is $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day. H owever, in this case the bioaccessibility (the absorption) has been factored in.

W ormuth et al. (2006) estimates a daily internal exposure of approx. 0.02-90 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW with a median of approx. $9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day. A pprox. $95 \%$ stems from sucking on things such as toys and $5 \%$ from ingestion of dust.

Schettler (2006) refers to surveys in the U SA, which have estimated the exposure to DIN P through children's contact with toys to $5.7-44 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}$ depending on assumptions and statistical techniques. $T$ he $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile estimate is at 40-173 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}$ (Schettler, 2006). D IN P is used primarily in toys in the USA.

A bsorption through the various routes of exposure is for young children, according to EU risk assessments (European Chemicals Bureau, 2003) and quoted by M üller et al. (2003):

- D ermal: 0.5\%
- Oral: 100\%
- Inhalation: 100\%.
7.7.5.3 Exposure to DIN P from foods

DIN P can find its way into foods through dispersion in the environment and absorption into domestic animals, fish and crops, or through migration from usage in materials in contact with food.
T he exposure estimates stated above (below 7.7.5.2) demonstrate that the exposure through foods must be assumed to be negligible for 2 -year-olds in relation to the exposure that is possible through toys.
EFSA (2005c) estimates that as worst case the exposure through foods is 10 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day.

T herefore, based on these EFSA estimates the calculations apply $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day as $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day as contribution from foods.
7.7.5.4 Exposure from consumer products

DINP was found both in the earlier surveys and in some of the examined product groups in this project. T he table below states the products in which DIN P has been found earlier and in this project.

Table 7.46 occurrence of DIN P in consumer products

| Occurrence of DINP in earlier surveys | Occurrence of DINP in product <br> groups tested in this project |
| :--- | :--- |
| Plasticine | In the label on two different mittens <br> Toys (mucous toys) <br> Toys of foam plastic (sword, book, ball, floor jigsaw) <br> Toy (inflatable feeding bottle) <br> Toy (dragon figure Disney) <br> Bath soap packaging |
| Toy (Action M an) | Shower mat |
| Toy (textile doll bear) |  |
| Toy (dinosaur figure) |  |
| Toy (stickers for bath tub) |  |
| Toy (bath dolls) |  |
| Toy (kaleidoscope) |  |
| Toy (doll) |  |
| Toy (doctor play set) |  |
| Toy (pig Pinky \& Perky) |  |
| Toy (The Little Mermaid) |  |
| Toy (M anchester United football) |  |
| Toy (doll Fashion teen) |  |
| Toy (dinoworld) |  |
| Toy (pony) |  |
| Toy (Sailor M oon) |  |
| Toy (dolls) |  |
| Toy (Bratz doll) |  |
| Bath soap packaging |  |
| Baby changing mats/cushions |  |
| Clothes (printing on clothes) |  |

DIN P was found in toys that were examined in 2004 and onwards (meaning published in the year 2004 or later, so the surveys themselves are probably from 2003 and later). T he study on plasticine is from 2002.

REACH annex XVII, entry 51 and 52 continued the prohibition af sale of the toys examined previously because of the high concentrations of DIN P. In accordance with REACH, the concentration of DINP must not exceed 0.1\% ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) in toys children are able to put into their mouths.

A nalysis values
T he two tables below present the measured values of DIN P in the various products previously examined, and the products examined in this project.

As the first table illustrates, migration of DIN P is only measured in rare cases in the products tested in earlier surveys.

Table 7.47 Over view of earlier surveys an al ysing for content of DIN P

| Year | no | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Relevant arena | Quantity identified min. value | Quantity identified maxvalue | Unit | Migration identified min. value | Migration identified max value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 14 | Plasticine |  | Plasticine | Day-care centre, inside | 97000 | 99000 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 14 | Plasticine | Several materials identified: Diisooctyl phthalate, Diisononyl phthalate (DINP), | Plasticine | Day-care centre, inside |  |  |  | 0.23 | 0.75 | mg <br> mater <br> ial <br> releas <br> ed/kg <br> test at <br> 130 <br> degre |


| Year | no | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Relevant arena | Quantity identified $\min$. value | Quantity identified max value | Unit | Migration identified min. value | Migration identified max value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Diisodecyl phthalate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | es <br> Celsiu <br> s |
| 2006 | 67 | Mucous toys |  | Mucous toys | Day-care centre, inside |  | 1800 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Sword | Day-care centre, inside |  | 935 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Floor jigsaw | Day-care centre, inside |  | 18.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Book | Day-care centre, inside |  | 5.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 70 | Toys made of foam plastic |  | Ball | Day-care centre, inside and playing outside |  | 14 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 84 | School bags, erasers, pencil cases and play bags |  | Eraser | Day-care centre, inside | 0 | 700000 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 88 | Cosmetic products for children | Phthalate content in packaging | Body <br> shampoo/b <br> ath gel | Bath | 10 | 310 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 90 | Baby products | Phthalates DINP | Baby changing mats/cushio ns | All | $<50$ | 144000 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ | 4.8 | 6.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} / 20 \\ & 0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2008 | 90 | Baby products | Phthalates - DINP + DIDeP | Baby changing mats/cushio ns | All | $<50$ | 220000 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 90 | Baby products | Phthalates DINP | Baby changing mats/cushio ns | All |  | 3800 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 |  | Toy (inflatable feeding bottle) | Day-care centre, inside | 302 | 302 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 |  | Toy (dragon figure Disney) | Day-care centre, inside | 400 | 400 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Action Man CAMO ATAK. No aromatic amines were identified. | Toy (Action man) | Day-care centre, inside | 400 | 400 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | My little Pony Jamborre Dancing Blossonforth | Toy (My Little Pony) | Day-care centre, inside | 342 | 342 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |


| Year | no | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Relevant arena | Quantity identified $\min$. value | Quantity identified max value | Unit | Migration identified min. value | Migration identified maxvalue | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 |  | Toy (textile doll bear) | Bed | 2.2 | 2.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Dinosaur figure from Greece | Toy (dinosaur figure) | Day-care centre, inside | 123 | 123 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Aqua Joy Bath Time Stickers from Norway | Toy (stickers for bath tub) | Bath | 50 | 50 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Arwill baby from Norway 2 small baby dolls in a bath with sponge | Toy (bath dolls?) | Bath? | 334 | 334 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2006 | Wunderland Ass. (kaleidoscope) from Norway | Toy (kaleidoscop e) | Day-care centre, inside | 420 | 420 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Scented doll from Slovenia | Toy (doll) | Day-care centre, inside | 219 | 219 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  | Toy test performed for the Danish Consumer Council, 2004 | Doctor case from Austria | Toy (doctor play set) | Day-care centre, inside | 229 | 229 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | TÆNK (THINK, magazine) test/ article | Test of 11 different toys | Toy (pig Pinky \& Perky) | Day-care centre, inside | 234 | 241 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | TÆNK (THINK, magazine) test/ article | Test of 11 different toys | Toy (The Little M ermaid) | Day-care centre, inside | 219 | 247 | mg/g |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | TENK (THINK, magazine) test/ article | Test of 11 different toys | Toy (M anchester United football) | Day-care centre, inside | 4.9 | 22 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | TÆNK (THINK, magazine) test/ article | Test of 11 different toys | Toy (doll Fashion teen) | Day-care centre, inside | 293 | 293 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | TÆNK (THINK, magazine) test/ article | Test of 11 different toys | Toy (dinoworld) | Day-care centre, inside | 108 | 131 | mg/g |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | TたNK | Test of 11 | Toy (pony) | Day-care | 1.4 | 14 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |


| Year | no | Project | Comments on the project | Product types | Relevant arena | Quantity identified $\min$. value | Quantity identified max value | Unit | Migration identified min. value | Migration identified maxvalue | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (THINK, magazine) test/ article | different toys |  | centre, inside |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  | T/ENK (THINK, magazine) test/ article | Test of 11 different toys | Toy (Sailor Moon) | Day-care centre, inside | 215 | 221 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | TÆENK <br> (THINK, <br> magazine) <br> test/ <br> article | Test of 10 different toys 5 contained phthalates. Three products sold illegally. | Toy (dolls) | Day-care centre, inside |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 |  | Greenpea ce - Toxic Textiles by Disney | Chemicals in clothes from 19 different countries all over the world. | Clothes | All | 0 | 320000 | mg/kg |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  | Additional test of toys for presence of chemical compoun ds. For the Danish Consumer Council, compiled by TI, on the IMS homepage | Bratz treasures | Toy (Bratz doll) | Day-care centre, inside | 130 | 177 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ | 11 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{k} \\ & \mathrm{~g} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 2009 |  | Phthalates in <br> children's clothes, GöteborgPosten (Gothenb urg Post) | M easurement s of phthalates in printing on clothes | Clothes (shirts) | All | 10 | 10 | mg/kg |  |  |  |

Table 7.48 Over view of findings of DIN $P$ in the products an al ysed in this project

| Product type + no. | Screening <br> analysis, ug/g | Quantitative <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration period, <br> hours | Migration fluid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mittens 2-3, label | n.s. | 86000 | n.d. | 3 | Saliva |
| Mittens 2-4, label | n.s. | 78000 | n.d. | 3 | Saliva |
| 5-3, Pacifier <br> (coverage) | 1600 | 1047 | n.d. | 7.75 | Sweat |
|  |  |  | n.d. | 7.75 | Saliva |
| 6-5, Soap packaging | 200000 | 87692 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |
|  |  |  | n.d. | 0.5 | Saliva |
| $7-4$, Shower mat | 800000 | 146330 | n.d. | 0.5 | Sweat |

n.s.: N o screening result calculated
n.d.: $M$ aterial not demonstrated above the detection threshold

## C alculation of exposure - toys

The earlier surveys provide information on the content of DINP in 27 different consumer products. T he measured content concentrations fall between $5.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (polystyrene book) and $334,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ corresponding to $33 \%$ (in dolls) ${ }^{2}$.

[^11]In printed clothes, the levels were found to be up to $320,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ corresponding to $32 \%$. Furthermore, an eraser was found to contain up to $70 \%$ DIN P, but the typical percentage ranged between 30 and $50 \%$ for erasers containing D IN P. In Survey Project no. 90 on baby products, contents of DIN P of $3900,144,000$ and $220,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ were found in baby changing mats (corresponding to $0.38 \%, 14.4 \%$ and $22 \%$, respectively). It should be noted that the maximum value also covers the content of DiD eP.

M igration analyses were performed for the earlier investigations on plasticine, toys (Bratz doll) and baby changing mats. T he migration values lie between $0.23 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (plasticine - released to the indoor climate) and $11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Bratz doll).

In this project DIN P has been found in two stickers on mitts with concentrations of up to $86,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ corresponding to $8.6 \%$, in the coverage of a pacifier with a concentration of $1047 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, in a soap packaging with a concentration of $8.8 \%$ and in a bath mat with a concentration of $14.6 \%$. M igration analyses were performed on all these products, showing that DIN P does not migrate out of the products in concentrations above the detection threshold.

C alculation of exposure - toys
For toys, the highest migration value measured is $11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for a Bratz doll.
As noted in the chapter "Exposure scenarios - methods", the calculations assume that dermal contact occurs with the toy for 6 and 9 hours,, and oral contact with the toy for 3 hours. T he maximum level measured in a toy is used as the calculation value for all toys, meaning that this worst-case scenario toy is assumed to be used during all the hours that a 2 -year-old is assumed to have contact with toys.

It is furthermore assumed that the weight of the Bratz doll is 70 g (an educated guess, since the value was not stated in the report), that the two-year old is in dermal contact with $10 \%$ of the surface area of the doll and sucks on half of this area. The measured migration of $11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ is measured over a period of 2 hours, and therefore the result needs to be corrected by a factor of 2. The value used for the dermal uptake of DIN P is $0.5 \%$

Hence, the value of the exposure from toys on two-year-olds is (summer scenario):

D aily ingestion of DIN P from toys = oral ingestion (3t) + dermal uptake (9 t)

$$
=\frac{70 \mathrm{~g} \cdot 11 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \cdot 0.1 \cdot 0.005 \cdot 9 \text { hours } / \text { day }}{15.2 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot 2 \text { hours }}+\frac{70 \mathrm{~g} \cdot 11 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{g} \cdot 0.05 \cdot 3 \text { hours } / \text { day }}{15.2 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot 2 \text { hours }}
$$

$=3.91 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day
A corresponding RCR value of 0.002 (i.e. a daily ingestion smaller than the DNEL value) can be obtained.

[^12]C alculation of exposure-other objects
Exposure from other products containing D EHP can occur (in addition to the exposure from toys and the indoor climate). T his could be, for instance, from erasers ( mainly if there are older siblings in the household), baby changing mats/cushions.

## Eraser

M igration analyses were not done on DIN P in Survey Report no. 84. The weight of the eraser with a measured content of DIN P of $70 \%$ is not given. But if it assumed that DIN P migrates similarly to DEH P (DIN P and DEHP are both phthalates with a high molecular weight), that there was a high concentration of phthalates in both erasers, and that it is assumed that the eraser weighs 20 g (which is the typical weight for the analysed erasers), then we can make the calculation even though the result is somewhat uncertain.

In the calculations it has been assumed that there is contact with the eraser for 1 minute a day (only when any older siblings are doing their homework). It is assumed that there is contact with $50 \%$ of the surface area of the eraser.

Baby changing mats/cushions
2 -year-old children will still be changed on a baby changing mat/cushion in certain situations, but can also have their diaper changed while standing. It is therefore assumed that there is dermal contact with a baby changing mat at most twice a day each time with duration of 5 minutes, i.e. a total of 10 minutes per day. The migration of DIN P from the baby changing mat, measured over a period of 4 hours (which must be taken into account in the calculations), is found to have a maximum value of $6.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 200 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$

As described in chapter 7.1 it is assumed that the body surface area of a 2-year-old is $0.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, i.e. $6000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ It is assumed that approximately one-third of the body surface area of the 2 -year-old will be in contact with the baby changing mat, i.e. migration occurs from $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. It is assumed that there is dermal exposure solely from the baby changing mat, i.e. the result is corrected so that only $0.5 \%$ of the D IN P absorption occurs via skin. For the remaining products where dermal contact is not the only factor to be taken into account, the result is $100 \%$ because oral ingestion is also considered.

For the remaining objects the exposure values are the following:
Table 7.49 Daily ingestion of DINP from other objects based on measured migration values

| Product | Weight/size of product | Max measured migration value ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / 200$ $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) | Fraction of product in dermal contact. | F abs | Average weight, 2-yearold | Exposure (hours) | Daily ingestion ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day) | Calculated DNEL <br> (mg/kg <br> BW/day) | RCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eraser | 20 g | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1000/1 } \\ & \text { hour } \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 | 1 | 15.2 kg | 1 min | 10.96 | 16 | 0.007 |
| Baby changing mats/cushions | $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.6 \\ & \mu \mathrm{~g} / 200 \\ & \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / 4 \\ & \text { hours } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 0.005 | 15.2 kg | 10 min . | 0.0009 | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 6^{*} \\ & 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ |

$\mathrm{F}_{\text {abs }}=$ Relative amount of product taken up via dermal contact. Is used solely for products where the only factor to be considered is dermal contact (like the baby changing mat). Oral uptake must be accounted for in all other products, and the uptake percentage is thus $100 \%$

### 7.7.5.5 Exposure from indoor climate

The exposure calculation for DIN P via the indoor climate is presented and calculated in the section on indoor climate, but is reproduced in the table below.

Table 7.50 Daily ingestion of DIN Pthrough theindoor climate (dust and air) based on the 95th percentile

| Material | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) | Daily ingestion at <br> 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DINP | 12.70 | 0.008 | 6.35 | 0.004 |

Table 7.51 Daily ingestion of DINP through the indoor climate (dust and air) based on the 50th percentile

| Material | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/ day) | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) $)$ | Daily ingestion at <br> 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day})$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIN P | 0.0003 | 0.0000002 | 0.0001 | 0.00000008 |

C alculations show that the RCR value is less than 1 , indicating that on the basis of the assumptions made there is no risk associated with exposure to DINP via the indoor climate, neither by ingestion of 50 mg or 100 mg of dust per day.
7.7.5.6 C ombined exposure and risk

In the table below the various contributions to DIN P are summarised. T he tables are distributed according to the summer scenario or winter scenario described earlier.

Table 7.52 Daily ingestion of DIN P from various sources

|  | Summer scenario |  | Winter scenario |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Daily ingestion <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR | Daily ingestion <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day $)$ | RCR |
| Foods combined 50 <br> percentile | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foods combined max | 10 | 0.006 | 10 | 0.006 |
| Indoor climate combined <br> $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 0.0001 | 0.00000008 | 0.0003 | 0.0000002 |
| Indoor climate combined <br> 95 | 6.35 | 0.004 | 12.70 | 0.008 |
| Toys | 3.91 | 0.002 | 3.88 | 0.002 |
| Eraser | 10.96 | 0.007 | 10.96 | 0.007 |
| Baby changing <br> mats/cushions | 0.0009 | 0.0000006 | 0.0009 | 0.0000006 |
| Total (50 | percentile) | 14.88 | 0.009 | 14.84 |
| Total (95 <br> max | 3123 | 0.020 | 37.54 | 0.023 |

The combined result for DINP shows that the RCR value is far above 1 in both the summer and winter scenarios, and therefore, under the assumptions applied in the report, does not constitute a risk.

### 7.7.6 Prochloraz, 67747-09-5

Table 7.53 Identification of Prochloraz

| Chemical name | Prochloraz |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $67747-09-5$ |
| EINECS no. | $266-994-5$ |
| M olecular formula <br> (gross) | C15-H 16-Cl3-N 3-02 |


| M olecular structure |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| M olecular weight | 376.6647 <br> carbopyl- N -[2-(2,4,6-trichlorophenoxy)ethyl]-1H-imidazole-1- <br> Dibavit, <br> Mirage |
| Synonyms |  |
| Classification ;R22-N; R50-53 (EU, ESIS) |  |

### 7.7.6.1 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For prochloraz the NOAEL of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (LOAEL $250 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{d}$ ) is chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on increased retention of nipples in the offspring of rats exposed during pregnancy (Christiansen et al. 2009).

The combined assessment factor is set to 100 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, and 10 for intraspecies differences.

Thus, the D N EL for prochloraz becomes $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (N OAEL/AF).

### 7.7.6.2 Exposure from food

Prochloraz ( N -propyl-N -[2-(2,4,6-trichlorophenoxy)ethyl]-1H -imidazole-1carboxamide) is a fungicide use of which is permitted on several edible crops. JM PR (2001) has determined the ADI to be $0.01 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day.

Table 7.54 Findings of prochloraz in the 2008 monitoring programme of the Danish Veterinary and Food Administration (Danish Veterinary and Food Administration, 2008).

| Food | Max finding | Number of exceeded <br> thresholds/number of <br> samples | MRL (maximum <br> residue limit) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oranges | $0.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 63$ | $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Lemons | $0.47 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 67$ | $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Clementine | $11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 57$ | $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Grapefruit | 0.16 | $0 / 67$ | $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Mango | 2.1 | $0 / 11$ | $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Papaya | 0.49 | $0 / 12$ | $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

Grapefruit is presumably only consumed minimally by two-year olds, so it can be disregarded in the context of exposure.

Prochloraz is not amongst the 20 pesticides that, according to calculations by the D anish Food and V eterinary Administration, constitute the majority of the ingestion in 2007. The average ingestion is less than $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ day $/ \mathrm{person}$. For a 60 kg person this corresponds to less than $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day.

The caloric consumption of 2-year-olds is approximately $325 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW, which is roughly 3 times that of adults. If a transformation factor of 3 is used for 2 -year-olds, the corresponding exposure can be derived:

Less than $0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day.
It should be noted that the findings in the table cannot be used to directly calculate the exposure. T his is because in many cases one is dealing with results of analyses of samples that were chosen on the basis of suspicion, because the findings are not representative, and because there is always a proportion of the pesticides that will be removed upon peeling, washing and preparation. A larger exposure than the one calculated above will therefore only occur sporadically.
7.7.6.3 C ombined exposure and risk

T he total contribution for prochloraz that was considered in the investigation comes from foods. As it can be discerned from the tables T able 7.85-T able 7.87 the contribution from prochloraz was so minimal that it only gives a visible contribution in the total calculations for the maximum value, which constitutes $0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day. The contribution is too small to be reflected in the RCR values, since the calculations are with two decimals.

### 7.7.7 Tebuconazole, 107534-96-3

Table 7.55 Identification of Tebuconazole

| Chemical name | Tebuconazole, 107534-96-3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| CAS no. | 107534-96-3 |
| EINECS no. | 403-640-2 |
| M olecular formula (gross) | C16-H23-Cl-N3-0 |
| M olecular structure |  |
| M olecular weight | 307.8182 |
| Synonyms | (RS)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4,4-dimethyl-3-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1- <br> ylmethyl) pentan-3-01, <br> Ethyltrianol, <br> Fenetrazole |
| Classification | Rep3;R63XN;R22 N;R51/53 Rep3;R63XN;R22 N;R51/53(LOFS) |

### 7.7.7.1 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For tebuconazole the LOAEL of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (N OAEL is not identified) is chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on increased retention of nipples in the offspring of rats exposed during pregnancy (C hristiansen et al. 2007).
T he combined assessment factor is set to 300 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, 10 for intraspecies differences and 3 for LOAEL to NOAEL.

Thus, the D N EL for tebuconazole becomes $0.17 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (LOAEL/AF).

### 7.7.7.2 Exposure from food

T ebuconazole is a fungicide use of which on a series of edible crops is allowed outside of the EU . JM PR (1994) has determined the ADI to be $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (FAO/WHO, 2006).

Table 7.56 Findings of tebuconazole in the 2007 monitoring programme of the Danish Veterinary and Food Administration (Danish Veterinary and Food Administration, 2008).

| Food | Max. finding | Number of <br> occurrences/number <br> of samples | MRL (maximum residue <br> limit) in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plums, foreign. | $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $5 / 55$ | 0.5 |
| Green beans, foreign. | $0.019 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $1 / 36$ | 2 |
| Clementines | $0.025 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $2 / 57$ | 0.05 |
| Peaches | $0.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $6 / 23$ | 1 |
| Figs | $0.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $1 / 1$ | 0.05 |
| Carrots, foreign. | $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $1 / 13$ | 0.5 |
| Melons | $0.06 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $1 / 56$ | 0.2 |
| Nectarine | $0.43 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $9 / 34$ | 1 |
| Leek, foreign. | $0.045 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $1 / 12$ | 1 |
| Grapes | $0.38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $6 / 75$ | 2 |
| Peas with pea pod, <br> foreign | $0.02 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $2 / 4$ | 0.05 |

T ebuconazole is not amongst the 20 pesticides that, according to calculations by the D anish Food and Veterinary Administration, constitute the majority of the ingestion in 2007. T he average ingestion is less than $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day} / \mathrm{person}$. For a 60 kg person this corresponds to less than $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day.

The caloric consumption of 2-year-olds is approximately $325 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW ., which is roughly 3 times that of adults. If a transformation factor of 3 is used for 2 -year-olds, the corresponding exposure is derived:
Less than $0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day.

### 7.7.7.3 C ombined exposure and risk

T he total contribution for tebuconazole that was considered in the investigation comes from foods. As it can be discerned from the tables T able 7.85 to-T able 7.87 the contribution is so minimal that it only gives a visible contribution in the total calculations for the maximum value, which constitutes $0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day. T he contribution is too small to be reflected in the RCR values, since the calculations are with two decimals.

### 7.7.8 Linuron, 330-55-2

Table 7.57 Identification of I inuron.

| Chemical name | Linuron, 330-55-2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $330-55-2$ |
| EINECS no. | $206-356-5$ |
| Molecular formula <br> (gross) | $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{H}_{10}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |


| M olecular structure |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Molecular weight | 249.0934 <br> Synonyms <br> Garnitan <br> Afalon, |
| Classification | REP2;R61XN;R22-48/22 CARC3;R40 REP3;R62 N;R50/53(LOFS) |

### 7.7.8.1 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For linuron the N OAEL of $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (LOAEL $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{d}$ ) is chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on increased retention of nipples in the offspring of rats exposed during pregnancy (Christiansen et al. 2000).

The combined assessment factor is set to 100 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, and 10 for intraspecies differences.

T hus, the D N EL for linuron becomes $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (N OAEL/AF).

### 7.7.8.2 Exposure from food

L inuron is an herbicide that is used on corn, vegetables, sunflowers and decorative greenery.

Table 7.58 Findings of linuron in the 2007 monitoring programme of the Dan ish Veterinary and Food Administration (Danish Veterinary and Food Administration, 2008).

| Food | Max. Finding | Number of exceeded <br> thresholds/number of <br> samples | MRL (maximum <br> residue limit) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Carrot, DK | $0.038 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 45$ | $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Carrot, foreign | $0.07 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 13$ | $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

Linuron is not amongst the 20 pesticides that, according to calculations by the D anish F ood and Veterinary Administration, constitute the majority of the ingestion in 2007. I.e. the average ingestion is less than $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day} / \mathrm{person}$. For a 60 kg person this corresponds to less than $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day.

The caloric consumption of 2-year-olds is approximately $325 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW ., which is roughly 3 times that of adults. If a transformation factor of 3 is used for 2-year-olds, the corresponding exposure is derived:

Less than $0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day.
7.7.8.3 C ombined exposure and risk

T he total contribution for linuron that was considered in the investigation comes from foods. As it can be discerned from T able 7.87 to T able 7.89 the
contribution is so minimal that it only gives a visible contribution in the total calculations for the maximum value, which constitutes $0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day. The contribution is too small to be reflected in the RCR values, since the calculations are with two decimals.

### 7.7.9 Vinclozolin

Table 7.59 Identification of Vinclozolin

| Chemical name | Vinclozolin |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $50471-44-8$ |
| EINECS no. | $256-599-6$ |
| M olecular formula <br> gross) | $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{H}_{9}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ |
| M olecular structure |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 7.7.9.1 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For vinclozolin, the LOAEL of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (NOAEL is not identified) is chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on increased retention of nipples in the offspring of rats exposed during pregnancy (H ass et al. 2007).

T he combined assessment factor is set to 300 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, 10 for intraspecies differences and 3 for LOAEL to NOAEL.

T hus, the D N EL for vinclozolin becomes $0.0167 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW / day (LOAEL/AF).

### 7.7.9.2 Exposure from foods

Vinclozolin is a fungicide that so far has been used widely. The EF SA (2008) has recommended that the use be limited, since the theoretical maximum (TAM DI) is high, around $110-644 \%$ of the ADI.
Even though the actual ingestion value is smaller, the EFSA has recommended that residues not be tolerated in certain crops. (EFSA 1-36).

Table 7.60 Findings of vinclozolin in the 2007 monitoring programme of the Danish Veterinary and Food Administration (Danish Vveterinary and Food Administration, 2008).

| Food | Max finding | Number of exceeded <br> thresholds $/$ number of <br> samples | MRL (maximum <br> residue limit) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peas with pea pod, <br> foreign | $0.07 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 36$ | $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Peaches | $0.026 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 23$ | $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Kiwi | $2.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 57$ | $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Salad, foreign | $0.049 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 32$ | $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

Vinclozolin is not amongst the 20 pesticides that, according to calculations by the $D$ anish Food and V eterinary Administration, constitute the majority of the ingestion in 2007. The average ingestion is less than $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day} / \mathrm{person}$. F or a 60 kg person this corresponds to less than $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day.

The caloric consumption of 2-year-olds is approximately $325 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW ., which is roughly 3 times that of adults. If a transformation factor of 3 is used for 2-year-olds, the corresponding exposure is derived:
Less than $0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day.

### 7.7.9.3 C ombined exposure and risk

T he total contribution for vinclozolin that was considered in the investigation comes from foods. As it can be discerned from T able 7.87 to T able 7.89 the contribution is so minimal that it only gives a visible contribution in the total calculations for the maximum value, which constitutes $0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day. T he contribution is too small to be reflected in the RCR values, since the calculations are with two decimals.

### 7.7.10 Procymidone

Table 7.61Identification of Procymidone

| Chemical name | Procymidone |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $32809-16-8$ |
| EINECS no. | $251-233-1$ |
| M olecular formula <br> (gross) | $\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{N}^{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| Molecular structure |  |
|  |  |
| M olecular weight | 2 |
| Synonyms | 284.1374 <br> Dicyclidine |
| Classification | - |

### 7.7.10.1 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For procymidone the N OAEL of $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (LOAEL of $12.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$
BW /day) is chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on decreased anogenital distance (AGD ), hypospadias (malformed genitalia) as well as
effects on the testes in the offspring of rats exposed during pregnancy (EFSA, 2009b).
The combined assessment factor is set to 100 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, and 10 for intraspecies differences.

Thus, the D N EL for procymidone becomes $0.025 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (NOAEL/AF).

### 7.7.10.2 Exposure from foods

Procymidone is a fungicide which is prohibited to use within the EU .
Table 7.62 Findings of procymidone in the 2007 monitoring programme of the
Dan ish Veterinary and Food Administration (Danish Veterinary and Food
Administration, 2008).

| Food | Max. finding | Number of exceeded <br> thresholds/number of <br> samples | MRL (maximum residue <br> limit) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cucumber, foreign | $0.19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 28$ | $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Plum, foreign. | $0.46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 55$ | $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Green bean with pod, <br> foreign | $0.44 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 36$ | $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Strawberry, foreign | $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 26$ | $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Pepper, foreign | $0.14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 55$ | $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Salad, foreign | $0.028 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 32$ | $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Tomato, foreign | $0.02 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 26$ | $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Grapes | $0.07 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0 / 75$ | $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

Procymidone is amongst the 20 pesticides that, according to calculations by the $D$ anish Food and V eterinary Administration, constitute the majority of the pesticide ingestion in 2007. T he average ingestion has been calculated to be $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day} / \mathrm{person}$ (D anish Food and Veterinary Administration, 2008). For a 60 kg person this corresponds to $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/ \mathrm{day}$.

The caloric consumption of 2-year-olds is approximately $325 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW}$., which is roughly 3 times that of adults. If a transformation factor of 3 is used for 2 -year-olds, the corresponding exposure is derived fromof $0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day.

### 7.7.10.3 Combined exposure and risk

The total contribution for procymidone that was considered in the investigation comes from foods. As it can be discerned from T able 7.87 to T able 7.89 the contribution is so minimal that it only gives a visible contribution in the total calculations for the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile value and the maximum value, respectively, each of which constitute $0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day. The contribution is too small to be reflected in the RCR values, since the calculations are with two decimals.

### 7.7.11 Dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs

Table 7.63 Identification of dioxins.

| Chemical name | "Dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs" include polychlorinated dibenzo-para- <br> dioxins (PCDD), polychlorinated dibenzofurans(PCDF) and <br> polychlorinated biphenyls |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | Dioxins and dioxine-like PCBs comprise a whole group of the above <br> substances. There is intergroup variation and thus CAS nos. etc. <br> have not been given here. |
| EINECS no. | M olecular formula <br> (gross) |
| M olecular structure |  |
| M olecular weight |  |

### 7.7.11.1 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For dioxins, an LOAEL of 25 ng 2,3,7,8-T CDD/kg (NOAEL not identified) is chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on reduced semen production in rats (F aqi et al. 1998). In the study, the dose has been administered as a loading dose before mating, with a subsequent maintenance dose of $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/week.

For dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs, the EU Scientific Committee on Foods (SCF) and the FAO/WHO Expert C ommittee on Food Additives (JECFA) have set a tolerable daily intake (T DI) of $2 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW for $2,3,7,8$-tetrachlor dibenzo-p-dioxin (T CDD ). At assessment, the animal's body load has been converted to the body load and daily dose for humans at continuous exposure. $N$ ext, a factor of uncertainty of 3 has been used to extrapolate from an LOAEL to an NOAEL level, and a factor of uncertainty of 3.2 is used to take into account intraspecies differences.

A toxic equivalent factor is used to measure the toxicity of the various PCDD s, PCD Fs and PCBs that denotes the various potencies of the substances. As the most toxic, 2,3,7,8-T CDD has been allocated a toxicity of 1.

### 7.7.11.2 Exposure from foods

Bergkvist et al. (2008) have estimated the exposure from six food groups combined with data on food intake for 670 people aged between land 24. Swedish children up to 10 years of age have a median TEQ intake that is greater than the T DI of $2 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{d}$. Y ounger children between 1-3 yearsold revealed a median TEQ intake of $4.4-4.3 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/$ day, while the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile lay between 6.6 and 8.1. Y ounger children have the highest exposure per kg BW , which drops with increasing age. T he higher exposure is due to the fact that children consume more food than adults compared to their body weight. T he youngest children in the Swedish study consumed 3-4 times more food compared to their body weight than did the average young adult.

Bergkvist et al. (2008) have estimated the exposure to dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs via foods, see table 7.64
Table 7.64 Expo sure to dioxin-like substances in Swedish children aged 1-3 years (Ber gkvist et al., 2008)

|  | pg WHO-TEQ/kg BW/day |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | boys | girls |
| Median intake | 3.5 | 3.9 |
| Average TEQ intake | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| 95 |  |  |
| Individuals exceed ingTDI (\%) | 6.6 | 8.1 |

Therefore, in this project we have calculated the exposure to dioxin from foods for 2 -year-olds as an average 4.3 pg W H O-T EQ/kg bW /day, and a maximum 8.1 pg WHO-T EQ/kg BW/day.

Bergkvist et al. calculate that average exposure via foods is distributed as 30\% from diary products, 29\% from fish, 12\% from meat, 1\% from eggs, and 28\% from other fat-containing products.

### 7.7.11.3 Combined exposure and risk

T he combined exposure and risk from dioxin and dioxin-like substances covered in this study, comes from foods. T he Swedish study from 2008 states that children aged 1-3 years have an average intake that is twice as great as the TDI, while the maximum exceeds the TDI by four times. The RCR becomes 2 for average exposure and 4 for maximum exposure for dioxins and dioxin-like PC Bs solely from foods. Any additional contribution of dioxin-like PCBs from the indoor climate arising from the use of PCB-containing building materials would therefore be undesirable as the background load of dioxins and dioxin-like PC Bs from foods already exceeds the tolerable exposure.

### 7.7.12 Non-dioxin-like PCBs

Table 7.65 Identification of PCBs.

| Chmeical name | Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | PCBs is a collective name for an entire group of 209 closely-related <br> polychlorinated biphenyls. There is intergroup variation, and <br> therefore CAS nos, etc. have not been allocated for the substances. |
| EIN ECS No. |  |
| M olecular formula <br> (gross) |  |
| M olecular structure |  |
| M olecular weight |  |
| Synonyms |  |
| Classification |  |

### 7.7.12.1 Risk assessment

In the report, "Sundhedsmæssig vurdering af PCB-holdige bygningsfuger"
(H ealth-related assessment of PCB-containing building joint-filler)
G unnersen et al. (2009), it is stated that the greatest exposure to PCB used in building joint-fillers is due to releases into the indoor air. Even though there is some exposure to dioxin-like PCBs, it is primarily non-dioxin-like PCBs that liberate into the indoor air. The risk assessment performed by G unnersen et al. (2009) is based on an N OAEL of $0.036 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}$ for non-dioxin-like PCB (PCB 28) with regard to the effect on the liver and thyroid. T he assessment was not performed for antiandrogenic effects. Re-assessment of the toxicology of non-dioxin-like PCBs with regard to antiandrogenic effects or oestrogenic effects lies outside the remit of this project. Its relevance should also be considered taking into account that exposure to non-dioxin-like PCBs to some extent or other always occurs in conjunction with dioxin-like PCBs. It has already been concluded for these substances, that any additional contriubtion of PCBs to the antiandrogenic effect is deemed undesirable. Any additional contribution to exposure by the non-dioxon-like PC Bs must similarly be deemed undesirable.

### 7.7.13 DDT

Table 7.66 Identification of DDT.

| Chemical name | Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $50-29-3$ |
| EINECS no. |  |
| M olecular formula <br> (gross) | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ |


| M olecular |
| :--- | :--- |
| structure |

### 7.7.13.1 NOAEL,AF and DNEL

For DDT, an LOAEL of $10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{pp}-\mathrm{DDE} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (NOAEL is not identified) is chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on increased retention of nipples in the offspring of rats exposed during pregnancy ( Y ou et al. 1998).

T he combined assessment factor is set to 300 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, 10 for intraspecies differences, and 3 for LOAEL to NOAEL.

T hus the DNEL for pp-DDE becomes $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (LOAEL/AF).

### 7.7.13.2 Exposure from foods

Fromberg et al. (2005) estimated the adult daily ingestion of DDT based on measured findings in animal foods. This is expressed as the sum of DDT and its metabolites DDE and DDD.

T he average ingestion of D DT from animal foods is $0.27 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$, the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile is $0.46 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ day and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile is $0.60 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. W hen converted to units of kg BW for a 60 kg adult this corresponds to $0.005,0.008$ and $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/$ day, respectively.

T he caloric consumption of 2 -year-olds is approximately $325 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW}$., which is roughly 3 times that of adults. If a transformation factor of 3 is used for 2-year-olds, the corresponding exposure is obtained:

- Average: $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day
- $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile: $0.02 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day
- $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile: $0.03 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day


### 7.7.13.3 Combined exposure and risk

T he total DDT contribution that was considered in the investigation comes from foods. A s it can be discerned from T able 7.87 to $T$ able 7.89 the contribution is so minimal that it only gives a visible contribution to the total calculations for the average value (the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) of $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day and the maximum value, which gives a total of $0.03 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day. T he
contribution is too small to be reflected in the RCR values, since the calculations are with two decimals.
7.7.14 Propyl-, butyl-, and isobutylparaben
7.7.14.1 Propylparaben, 94-13-3

Table 7.67 Identification of propyl par aben.

| Chemical name | Propylparaben, 94-13-3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $94-13-3$ |
| EINECS no. | $202-307-7$ |
| Molecular formula <br> (gross) | $\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}_{12}-\mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Molecular structure |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 7.7.14.2 NOAEL, AF and DNEL

For propylparaben, an LOAEL of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (N OAEL is not identified) is chosen for its oestrogenic effects, based on decreased daily semen production in young rats ( O ishi et al., 2002 in SCCP opinion: SCCP (2008)).

The combined assessment factor is set to 300 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, 10 for intraspecies differences, and 3 for LOAEL to NOAEL.

T hus the DNEL for propylparaben is $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (LOAEL/AF).
Exposure from foods etc.
Soni et al. (2005) has calculated the possible average (PADI) and maximum (PM DI) ingestion via food for 2-4-year olds. The values are 105 and 179 mg , respectively, or 10 and $16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day, respectively, as calculated by Soni et al., using a body weight of 11 kg for the 2-4-year olds.

Propylparaben as a food additive is called E 216 or propyl-phydroxybenzoate, but its use was not permitted after 15 F ebruary 2008. The actual exposure through foods should therefore now be 0 .

As mentioned in chapter 7.5.2.2, with the data currently available, it is not possible to obtain reliable quantitative estimates of the dermal uptake of parabens.

The industry, in its answer to the SCCP, gives an estimate of $1 \%$ absorption of unreacted butylparaben via the skin from cosmetic products, whilst a series
of investigations suggest that the absorption could be higher. D ue to some metabolisation in the skin the absorption presumably does not reach $100 \%$. T herefore, the absorption is experimentally set to $10 \%$ with the condition that dermal uptake is the same for propylparaben and butylparaben.
7.7.14.3 Butylparaben, 94-26-8

Table 7.68 Identification of butyl par aben.

| Chemical name | Butylparaben, 94-13-3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $94-26-8$ |
| EINECS no. | $202-318-7$ |
| Molecular formula <br> (gross) | $\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{H}_{14}-\mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Molecular structure |  |
|  |  |

The combined assessment factor is set to 300 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 4 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, 10 for intraspecies differences, and 3 for LOAEL to NOAEL.

T hus the DNEL for butylparaben is $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (LOAEL/AF).
Exposure from foods etc.
It is assumed no contributions occur via foods since the use of butylparaben as a food additive is not permitted within the EU .

As mentioned in chapter 7.5.2.2, with the data currently available, it is not possible to obtain reliable quantitative estimates of the dermal uptake of parabens.

The industry, in its answer to the SCCP, gives an estimate of $1 \%$ absorption of unreacted butylparaben via the skin from cosmetic products, whilst a series of investigations suggest that the absorption could be higher. Due to some metabolisation in the skin the absorption presumably does not reach $100 \%$. T herefore, the absorption is experimentally set to $10 \%$.
7.7.14.4 Isobutylparaben, 4247-02-3

Table 7.69 Identification of iso butyl par aben.

| Chemical name | Isobutylparaben, 94-13-3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $4247-02-3$ |
| EINECS no. | $224-208-8$ |
| M olecular formula <br> (gross) | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| M olecular structure |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| M olecular weight |  |
| Synonyms | 194.2304 <br> 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid, 2-methylpropyl ester, <br> isobutyl 4-hydroxybenzoate, <br> 2-M ethylpropyl 4-hydroxybenzoate, |
| Classification | - |

NOAEL, AF and DNEL
For isobutylparaben, an LOAEL of $72 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (NOAEL is not identified) is chosen for its oestrogenic effects, based on increased uterus weight in mice in an uterotrophic study (D arbre et al., 2002).

The combined assessment factor is set to 525 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 7 for allometric scaling between rats and humans, 10 for intraspecies differences, and 3 for LOAEL to NOAEL.

Thus the DNEL for isobutylparaben is $0.14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (LOAEL/AF).
Exposure from food, etc.
It is assumed no contributions occur via foods since the use of isobutylparaben as a food additive is not permitted within the EU .

As mentioned in chapter 7.5.2.2, with the data currently available, it is not possible to obtain reliable quantitative estimates of the dermal uptake of of parabens.

T he industry, in its answer to the SCC P, gives an estimate of $1 \%$ absorption via the skin from cosmetic products, whilst a series of investigations suggest that the absorption could be higher. D ue to some metabolisation in the skin the absorption presumably does not reach $100 \%$. T herefore, the absorption is experimentally set to $10 \%$ with the condition that the dermal uptake is equal for isobutylparaben and butylparaben.
7.7.14.5 Exposure to parabens from consumer products

The DNEL values for the parabens ( $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day for both propylparaben and butylparaben, and $0.14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/$ day for isobutylparaben) indicate that propylparaben and butylparaben are the most potent substances, and is the reason in the exposure calculations that a worstcase scenario is assumed with cosmetic products containing $0.4 \%$ propylparaben and $0.4 \%$ butylparaben, i.e. the maximum allowed concentrations in the products. A worst case daily exposure dose for
isobutylparaben is not calculated since the maximum permitted value of paraben contents is $0.8 \%$, and would therefore give too large a contribution when tthe additive effects of the substances are calculated. T he worst case daily exposure dose for isobutylparaben will, however, be equal to the value for the other two parabens, but the RCR value will be lower (approx. 4.5 times) due to a higher DN EL value than the other parabens.

T wo-year olds can be exposed to parabens from several different sources. For the exposure calculations it is assumed that the 2 -year-old is exposed to parabens via the cosmetic products listed in T able 7.71 (moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions, sunscreens, shampoo and soap). T he assumptions made during the calculations are also stated in the table.

T his project surveys the contents of moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions and sunscreens for children on the D anish market. T he use of parabens in the 32 moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions and the 28 sunscreens is declared in the table below.

Table 7.70 The use of par aben s in moisturising creams/oil -based creams/lotions and sunscreens surveyed on the Danish market in October 2008. Each row indicates by a cross the par abens th at were found in the surveyed cream or sun scr een.

|  | No parabens | M ethylparabe n | Ethylparabe n | Propylparabe n | Butylpara ben | Isobutylp araben |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Creams | $\begin{gathered} 25 \text { of } 32 \\ (78 \%) \end{gathered}$ | X | X |  |  |  |
|  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
|  |  | X |  | X | X |  |
|  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
|  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
|  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
|  |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Sunscree ns | $\begin{gathered} 21 \text { of } 28 \\ (75 \%) \end{gathered}$ | X | X | X |  |  |
|  |  | X | X | X |  |  |
|  |  | X | X | X |  |  |
|  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
|  |  | X | X | X | X | X |
|  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
|  |  | X |  |  |  |  |

F rom the table it can be seen that most typically methylparaben and propylparaben are used in the products (but only in 25 and $22 \%$ of the cases, respectively). N either butylparaben nor isobutylparaben are used frequently.

No standard values for the use of creams and sunscreens have been found in the REACH G uidance D ocuments, but COLIPA estimates that 8 grams of body lotion/day is a realistic amount in a safety assessment of cosmetics for adults. For sun lotions, the estimate is $18 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (SCCP, 2006). Additionally, the typical use levels of cosmetics are stated in TGD (Appendix II, T able 14, page 242), (European Commission, 2003):

- For body lotion the typical use is stated as 7.5 g once or twice per day. In this report it assumed to be used twice a day in order to take into account children with eczema. The use of 7.5 g per application applies to adults. The use is proportionally downscaled for children by comparing the body surface of a 2 -year-old and an adult.
- For sun lotions the typical use is stated as $10 \mathrm{~g} 2-3$ times per day, but only for 3 weeks a year ( 2 weeks in the summer (full body use) and 1 week in the winter (only facial use).
- For shampoo the typical use is stated as 12 g 2-7 times per week for adults. It is assumed that children use half the amount stated. The worst case scenario is deemed to be daily use.
- For liquid soap the typical use is stated as 5 g 1-2 times per day for adults. It is assumed that children use half the amount stated. T he calculations assume use once per day, since it is assumed that the 2-year-old is bathed at most once per day.

The EU Commission recommends that an adult use 36 g of sunscreen on the entire body (Recommendation by the Commission, 2006). T he recommendations by the D anish Environmental Protection A gency are that children should use approx. 20 ml of sunscreen to completely cover the body, and adults should use 40 ml ( $T$ he C osmetics $G$ uide by the $D$ anish Environmental Protection Agency, 2008). M atas states on the sunscreen products that children should use $15-20 \mathrm{ml}$.

It is assumed that the density of sunscreens is slightly lessthan $1\left(0.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$, hence the 40 ml sunscreen recommendation is comparable with the 36 g recommendation for adults. The recommendation of the $D$ anish Environmental Protection A gency on sunscreens is that children should use half of the recommended amount for adults. In the following calculations a value of 18 g of sunscreen is used for 2 -year-olds.

W ith regard to the use of sunscreens in D anish day-care centres, the actual use differs widely from that described in T GD. In periods of sunshine, the message is typically that parents are responsible for applying sunscreens at home (before delivering the children) and the day-care centre applies sunscreen once again after lunch. T hus the values from T GD are not used in these exposure calculations.

According to the UV index for the world as calculated by the DMI ${ }^{28}$ ( D anish M eteorological Institute), Denmark will have a UV index greater than 3, which implies necessary protection against the sun from $M$ ay to September. T he DM I also publishes climate normals for D enmark that include the number of sunshine hours per month. T he total number of sunshine hours from M ay to September as an average from 1961-1999 is 928 sunshine hours ${ }^{30}$. If it is assumed that sunscreen is applied to a 2 -year-old twice for every 12 sunshine hours (approx. 1 day) then there will be $2 \times 77$ applications of sunscreen.

The majority of the applications of sunscreen will primarily occur on arms and on the face. Sunscreen will only be applied to legs in the warmer periods of summer, when children possibly wear shorts. T he following is therefore assumed with respect to sunscreen applications:

- T wo weeks (i.e. 14 days) with applications of sunscreen to the whole body.
- T wo weeks (i.e. 14 days) with applications of sunscreen to the face, arms and legs.
- D uring the remaining days ( $77-14-14=49$ ) the application of sunscreen occurs only on arms and face.

C ontrary to adults, it is not assumed that sunscreen will be needed in the winter (winter break) as described in T GD because skiing holidays will not normally involve 2 -year-olds.
$27 \mathrm{http}: / / \mathrm{www} . d m i . d \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{dmi} /$ index/verden/uv_idag.htm
$28 \mathrm{http}: / / \mathrm{www} . d m i . d k / d m i / i n d e x / v e r d e n / u v \_i d a g . h t m$
$29 \mathrm{http}: / / \mathrm{www} . d m i . d k / d m i / i n d e x /$ danmark/klimanormaler.htm
$30 \mathrm{http}: / / \mathrm{www} . \mathrm{dmi} . \mathrm{dk} / \mathrm{dmi} / \mathrm{index} /$ danmark/klimanormaler.htm

Some of the products are bathroom products and are washed off after use. T his necessitates the use of a dilution factor (retention factor) of 0.01 . T he retention factor has been introduced by the SC CN FP to account for products that are diluted when used and washed off after use, i.e. for shampoo products, body shampoos and similar rinse-off products. (SCCN FP 0690 (2003)). Since this exposure is in the bath tub, it is permissible to use the retention factor in this context too.

Table 7.71 Assumptions made for the use of cosmetic products for the expo sure cal culations of parabens. (The val ues in parenth esis are cal culated later)

| Cosmetic products | Applications (how often) | Is applied to how large a proportion of the body | Stay on/rinse off | Amount used per time? | Fraction of parabens in product. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Creams | Twice daily (3times weekly) all year round | The whole body $\left(=0.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ | Stay on | $2.7 \mathrm{~g}^{6}$ | 0.004 |
| Sunscreens | Twice daily for 14 days ( $2 \times 7$ days) | The whole body $\left(=0.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ | Stay on | 18 g | 0.004 |
| Sunscreens | Twice daily for 14 days ( $2 \times 14$ days) | Only on face, arms and legs | Stay on | $8.6 \mathrm{~g}^{7}$ | 0.004 |
| Sunscreens | Twice daily for 49 days ( $2 \times 11$ days) | Only on face and arms | Stay on | $3.9 \mathrm{~g}^{8}$ | 0.004 |
| Shampoo | Once daily (3times weekly) all year round | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Face } \\ & \left(=0.06 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Rinse off (i.e. correct result with a factor of 0.01 ) | 6 g | 0.004 |
| Liquid soap | Once daily (3times weekly) all year round | The whole body $\left(=0.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ | Rinse off (i.e. correct result with a factor of 0.01 ) | 2.5 g | 0.004 |

A dditionally there will be contributions from other sources such as Shrovetide/H alloween makeup, makeup, lip balm, etc., which are assumed to have a significantly smaller effect than the above mentioned sources. Finally, there is a small exposure via the indoor climate (see the calculations in the chapter on indoor climate) that contributes less than $1 / 10,000$ of the total effect of cosmetic products.

T he exposure calculations are performed by multiplying the amount of product by the fraction of parabens in the product and by the number of uses per day. T he result is divided by the body weight of 15.2 kg in order to obtain the amount of parabens per kg BW per day. 10\% dermal uptake is factored into the calculations. The result of the calculations is given in the table below.

31 T he total surface area of adult women is 1.69 m 2 according to the T GD. We employ a total surface area for children of 0.6 m 2 . The amount of creams used is calculated as 7.5 g creams for an adult per time $/ 1.69 \mathrm{~m} 2$ (adult) $* 0.6 \mathrm{~m} 2$ (chiild) $=$ 2.7 g.

Table 7.72 Daily ingestion of par abens from cosmetic products based on the maximum allowed concentrations in the products - worst case

| Product | Substanc <br> e | Amount <br> of <br> product <br> (mg) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

* Sunscreens are only used in the summer period, hence a daily average use for the entire year has been calculated. For instance, for 14 days use of sunscreen on the full body, the following is used: "number of uses per day": Twice daily x 14 days $/ 365$ days $=0.077$

As can be seen, the use of moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions and sunscreens gives an RCR value that is larger than 1 . Under the assumptions made, the use of these products can pose a risk.

## Other uptake data:

It is investigated whether the RCR is larger than 1 for a more moderate use of moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions and sunscreens, where:

- The maximum use of moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions on the full body is 3 times per week (say, after a shower)
- Sunscreens are used less often, i.e. only in the two months when the daily average temperature is around $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ elsius (July and A ugust). T here are 382 sunshine days during these two months according to D M I climate normals. If it is assumed as previously that the
application of sunscreen is done twice every 12 sunshine hours, then this gives $2 \times 32$ applications distributed in the following way:
o One week (i.e. 7 days) with applications of sunscreen on the full body (very warm summers are rare in D enmark)
o T wo weeks (i.e. 14 days) with applications of sunscreen to the face, arms and legs.
o During the remaining days ( $32-7-14=11$ ) application of sunscreen occurs only on arms and face.
- Shampoo is used at most (i.e. the maximum number of showers): 3 times per week
- Soap is used at most (i.e. the maximum number of showers): 3 times per week

T hese assumptions yield the following result:
Table 7.73 Daily upt ake of par aben from cosmetic products on the basis of the maximum allowed
concentrations in the products - morereal istic values

| Product | Substan ce | Amount of product (mg) | Weight fraction of parabens in product. | Retentio n factor | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{abs} \end{gathered}$ | Numb er of uses per day | Aver age weig ht, 2-yearold | Daily uptake ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day ) | Calculat ed DNEL (mg/kg BW/day) | RCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Creams | Propylp araben | 2700 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 30.5 | 0.03 | 10 |
|  | Butylpar aben | 2700 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 30.5 | 0.03 | 10 |
| Sunscreens 7 days: Full body | Propylp araben | 18000 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 x \\ 7 / 365^{*} \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 18.2 | 0.03 | 0.6 |
| Sunscreens 7 days: Full body | Butylpar aben | 18000 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 x \\ 7 / 365^{*} \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 18.2 | 0.03 | 0.6 |
| Sunscreens 14 days: Face, arms and legs | Propylp araben | 8600 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 x \\ 14 / 365 \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 17.4 | 0.03 | 0.6 |
| Sunscreens 14 days: Face, arms and legs | Butylpar aben | 8600 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \times \\ 14 / 365 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 17.4 | 0.03 | 0.6 |
| Sunscreens 11 days: Face and arms | Propylp araben | 3900 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 x \\ 11 / 365 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 6.2 | 0.03 | 0.2 |
| Sunscreens 11 days: Face and arms | Butylpar aben | 3900 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 x \\ 11 / 365 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 6.2 | 0.03 | 0.2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sunscreens } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Propylp araben |  |  |  |  |  |  | 418 |  | 14 |
| Sunscreens total | Butylpar aben |  |  |  |  |  |  | 418 |  | 14 |
| Shampoo | Propylp araben | 6000 | 0.004 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 0.7 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
|  | Butylpar aben | 6000 | 0.004 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 0.7 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Liquid soap | Propylp araben | 2500 | 0.004 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 0.3 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
|  | Butylpar aben | 2500 | 0.004 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 0.3 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Total | Propylp araben |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.3 |  | 2.4 |
| Total | Butylpar aben |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.3 |  | 2.4 |

Sunscreens are only used in the summer period, hence a daily average use for the entire year has been calculated.

As can be seen, the use of moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions and sunscreens still give an RCR value that is 1 or larger than 1 . U nder the assumptions made, the use of these products can pose a risk.

R astogi et al, 1995 has performed a survey of the content of parabens in 215 cosmetic products in Denmark. T he results showed that $77 \%$ of the products contained a total of 0.1-0.97\% parabens (the maximum allowed concentration is $0.8 \%$ ). $99 \%$ of all the leave on products contained parabens. T he maximum concentrations of parabens were:

- Butylparaben 0.07\%
- Propylparaben 0.32\%
- Isobutylparaben (not considered in the survey).

If these concentrations of parabens are used on set no. 2 of the assumed uptake values (the smaller, more moderate uptake values) the RCR values still lie above 1, i.e. the use of moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions and sunscreens can result in endocrine disrupting effects (see T able 7.74). Furthermore contributions from any isobutylparaben that could be present should be added, since the sum of butylparaben and propylparaben in this case does not exceed the allowed value of $0.8 \%$.

Table 7.74. Daily uptake of par aben sfomcosmetic products based on measured values in the products - more moder ate val ues

| Product | Substanc e | Amount of product (mg) | Weight fraction of parabens in product. | Retention factor | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ \text { abs } \end{gathered}$ | Numb er of uses per day | Aver age weig ht, 2-yearold | Daily uptake ( $\mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day ) | Calculat ed DNEL ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day) | RCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Creams | Propylpa raben | 2700 | 0.0032 | 1 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 24.4 | 0.03 | 0.81 |
|  | Butylpar aben | 2700 | 0.0007 | 1 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 5.3 | 0.03 | 0.18 |
| Sunscreens 7 days: Full body | Propylpa raben | 18000 | 0.0032 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 x \\ 7 / 365^{*} \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 14.5 | 0.03 | 0.48 |
| Sunscreens 7 days: Full body | Butylpar aben | 18000 | 0.0007 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 x \\ 7 / 365^{*} \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 3.2 | 0.03 | 0.1 |
| Sunscreens 14 days: Face, arms and legs | Propylpa raben | 8600 | 0.0032 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \times \\ 14 / 365 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 13.9 | 0.03 | 0.46 |
| Sunscreens 14 days: Face, arms and legs | Butylpar aben | 8600 | 0.0007 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \times \\ 14 / 365 \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 3.0 | 0.03 | 0.1 |
| Sunscreens 11 days: Face and arms | Propylpa raben | 3900 | 0.0032 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \times \\ 11 / 365 \\ * \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 4.9 | 0.03 | 0.16 |
| Sunscreens 11 days: Face and arms | Butylpar aben | 3900 | 0.0007 | 1 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \times \\ 11 / 365 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 11 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| Sunscreens total | Propylpa raben |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.3 |  | 11 |
| Sunscreens total | Butylpar aben |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.3 |  | 0.24 |
| Shampoo | Propylpa raben | 6000 | 0.0032 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 0.54 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
|  | Butylpar aben | 6000 | 0.0007 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 0.12 | 0.03 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 04 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Liquid soap | Propylpa raben | 2500 | 0.0032 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 0.2 | 0.03 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 08 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Butylpar aben | 2500 | 0.0007 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 3/7 | 15.2 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.0 <br> 02 |
| Total | Propylpa raben |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58.4 |  | 19 |
| Total | Butylpar aben |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.8 |  | 0.4 |

Sunscreens are only used in the summer period; hence a daily average use for the entire year has been calculated.
As can be observed, the use of moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions and sunscreens still give an RCR value that is larger than 1 . Under the assumptions made, the use of these products can pose a risk.

It should be pointed out that the survey of moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions and sunscreens on the market in this project has shown that parabens only occur in 22 and $25 \%$ of the products on the D anish market, respectively, which contrasts with the R astologi study from 1995 (which however, was a survey of not only child creams/sunscreens) where a far greater percentage of products contained parabens. It is possible therefore to choose moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions and sunscreens that do not contain parabens.

D oubts as to the actual absorption of parabens:

For all of the above calculations, the value used for the absorption of parabens through the skin was $10 \%$. T his value for the absorption can be questioned as there is no reliable data available. T he industry in its answer to the SCC P estimates an absorption of $1 \%$ for butylparaben, whereas a number of studies indicate that this value might be greater. T he daily ingestion value of parabens has been calculated experimentally at $1,5,10$, and $50 \%$ dermal uptake. T he calculations are performed by employing the previously mentioned amounts of product, the previously measured actual values for the content of propyl and butylparaben (i.e. $0.32 \%$ and $0.07 \%$ ) as well as the more realistic values for the use of moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions, sunscreens, shampoo and soap, i.e.:

- U se of moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions, shampoo and soap 3 times per week.
- The first uptake scenario for sunscreens described (i.e. 14 days of application to the whole body, 14 days of application to face, arms and legs, as well as 49 days of application of arms and face).

The values employed in the calculations are given in the table below.
Table 7.75 Val ues used for the calculation of the daily uptake of parabens from cosmetic products on the basis of measur ed concentrations in the products (variation of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {abs }}$ ).

| Product | Substance | Amount of product (mg) | Weight fraction of parabens in product. | Retention factor | $F$ abs | Number <br> of uses <br> per day | Average weight, 2-year-old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Creams | Propylparaben | 2700 | 0.0032 | 1 | 0.01-0.5 | 3/7 | 15.2 |
|  | Butylparaben | 2700 | 0.0007 | 1 | 0.01-0.5 | 3/7 | 15.2 |
| Sunscreens 14 days: Full body | Propylparaben | 18000 | 0.0032 | 1 | 0.01-0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 x \\ & 14 / 365^{*} \end{aligned}$ | 15.2 |
| Sunscreens 14 days: Full body | Butylparaben | 18000 | 0.0007 | 1 | 0.01-0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 x \\ & 14 / 365^{*} \end{aligned}$ | 15.2 |
| Sunscreens 14 days: Face, arms and legs | Propylparaben | 8600 | 0.0032 | 1 | 0.01-0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{x} \\ & 14 / 365^{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15.2 |
| Sunscreens 14 days: Face, arms and legs | Butylparaben | 8600 | 0.0007 | 1 | 0.01-0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 x \\ & 14 / 365^{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15.2 |
| Sunscreens 49 days: Face and arms | Propylparaben | 3900 | 0.0032 | 1 | 0.01-0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 x \\ & 49 / 365^{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15.2 |
| Sunscreens 49 days: Face and arms | Butylparaben | 3900 | 0.0007 | 1 | 0.01-0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{x} \\ & 49 / 365^{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15.2 |
| Shampoo | Propylparaben | 6000 | 0.0032 | 0.01 | 0.01-0.5 | 3/7 | 15.2 |
|  | Butylparaben | 6000 | 0.0007 | 0.01 | 0.01-0.5 | 3/7 | 15.2 |
| Liquid soap | Propylparaben | 2500 | 0.0032 | 0.01 | 0.01-0.5 | 3/7 | 15.2 |
|  | Butylparaben | 2500 | 0.0007 | 0.01 | 0.01-0.5 | 3/7 | 15.2 |

* Sunscreens are only used in the summer period, hence a daily average use for the entire year has been calculated.

U sing the numbers in the table above gives the values for the daily uptake and the RCR values of dermal uptake of parabens listed in the table below. T hese vary between 1 and $50 \%$. The calculations attempt to demonstrate the significance of the absorption of parabens through the skin, as due to the lack of data there is no agreement on an absolute value.

Table 7.76. The variation in the daily ingestion of parabens from cosmetic products based on measured concentrations in the products (variation of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ABS }}$ ranging from 1 to $50 \%$ ).

| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{t}{2} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ƠO}}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{O}}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{O}}$ |  | پ্ণ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F}_{\text {abb }}= \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{abs}}= \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\text {abs }}= \\ & 5 \% \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{abs}}= \\ 5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\text {abs }}= \\ & 10 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{abs}}= \\ & 10 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\text {abs }}= \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\text {abs }}= \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Creams | Propylparab en | 2.4 | 0.08 | 12.2 | 0.4 | 24.4 | 0.8 | 1218 | 4.1 |
|  | Butylparabe <br> n | 0.5 | 0.02 | 2.65 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 26.6 | 0.9 |
| Sunscree <br> ns 14 <br> days: Full <br> body | Propylparab en | 2.9 | 0.10 | 14.6 | 0.5 | 29.1 | 0.97 | 145.3 | 4.84 |
| Sunscree ns 14 days: Full body | Butylparabe <br> n | 0.6 | 0.021 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 6.4 | 0.21 | 318 | 106 |
| Sunscree <br> ns 14 <br> days: <br> Face, <br> arms and <br> legs | Propylparab en | 1.4 | 0.05 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 13.9 | 0.46 | 69.4 | 2.31 |
| Sunscree <br> ns 14 <br> days: <br> Face, <br> arms and legs | Butylparabe <br> n | 0.3 | 0.010 | 15 | 0.05 | 3.0 | 0.10 | 15.2 | 0.51 |
| Sunscree <br> ns 49 <br> days: <br> Face and arms | Propylparab en | 2.2 | 0.07 | 11 | 0.4 | 22.0 | 0.7 | 110.2 | 3.7 |
| Sunscree ns 49 days: Face and arms | Butylparabe <br> n | 0.5 | 0.016 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 24.1 | 0.8 |
| Sunscree ns, total | Propylparab en | 6.5 | 0.22 | 32.6 | 11 | 65.0 | 2.2 | 325.0 | 10.8 |
| Sunscree ns, total | Butylparabe n | 14 | 0.05 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 711 | 2.4 |
| Shampoo | Propylparab en | 0.05 | 0.002 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.55 | 0.02 | 2.7 | 0.09 |
|  | Butylparabe <br> n | 0.01 | $\begin{gathered} 0.000 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.05 | 0.003 | 0.1 | 0.005 | 0.6 | 0.02 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Liquid } \\ & \text { soap } \end{aligned}$ | Propylparab en | 0.025 | 0.001 | 0.1 | 0.005 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 1.15 | 0.04 |
|  | Butylparabe <br> n | 0.005 | 0.0002 | 0.03 | 0.001 | 0.05 | 0.0015 | 0.25 | 0.01 |
| Total | Propylparab en | 8.98 | 0.29 | 45.1 | 15 | 90.2 | 3.0 | 450.7 | 15.0 |
| Total | Butylparabe <br> n | 19 | 0.06 | 9.6 | 0.3 | 19.61 | 0.7 | 98.6 | 3.3 |

From the table it can be seen that the RCR value is less than 1 only for dermal absorption of parabens at values less than $5 \%$.

### 7.7.14.6 Exposure from indoor climate

In reality the small contribution from the indoor climate for butylparaben of max. $0003 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day should be added here, but this value constitutes only a miniscule fraction in comparison to the contributions from the cosmetics, which is why it can be ignored in the calculations.

### 7.7.14.7 Combined exposure and risk

T he different contributions of parabens for both the summer scenario and the winter scenario are summarised in the tables below, assuming that the dermal uptake of parabens is $10 \%$ (for the most realistic ingestion scenario, as described in T able 7.76).

Table 7.77 Daily absor bed dose of propyl paraben from various sources

|  | Summer scenario |  | Winter scenario |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Daily uptake <br> ( $\mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> BW/day) | RCR | Daily uptake <br> ( $\mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> BW/day) | RCR |
| Creams | 24.2 | 0.8 | 24.4 | 0.8 |
| Sunscreens, total | 65.0 | 2.2 |  |  |
| Shampoo | 0.55 | 0.02 | 0.55 | 0.02 |
| Liquid soap | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.25 | 0.01 |
| Dust, total | 90.2 | 3.03 | 25.2 | 0.83 |

Table 7.78 Dail y absor bed dose of butyl par aben from various sources

|  | Summer scenario |  | Winter scenario |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Daily uptake <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> BW/day) | RCR | Daily uptake <br> ( $\mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> BW/day) | RCR |
| Creams | 5.3 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 0.2 |
| Sunscreens, total | 14.2 | 0,5 |  |  |
| Shampoo | 0.1 | 0.005 | 0.1 | 0.005 |
| Liquid soap | 0.05 | 0.0015 | 0.05 | 0.0015 |
| Total | 19.7 | 0,71 | 5.45 | 0.21 |

As previously mentioned there is no calculated data (and hence no table) for isobutylparaben in the survey, since only the two most potent parabens were considered initially.

It should be noted that the in this project, the survey has only identified a parabens in 22 and $25 \%$ of the investigated moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions and sunscreens, respectively. Of these, parabens were identified in the following percentages: I sobutylparaben in 0 and $4 \%$, butylparaben in 3 and 4\% and propylparaben in 16 and $21 \%$, respectively, of the creams and sunscreens. It is possible to choose moisturising creams/oilbased creams/lotions and sunscreens for 2 -year-olds on the D anish market that do not contain parabens. T his survey also shows that there has been a significant reduction in the use of parabens in cosmetic products since the R astologi survey from 1995, which however considered cosmetic products generally and not just childcare products.
7.7.15 Bisphenol A, 80-05-7

Table 7.79 Identification of Bisphenol A.

| Chemical name | Bisphenol A |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS no. | $80-05-7$ |
| EINECS no. | $201-245-8$ |
| M olecular formula <br> (gross) | $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}_{16}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| Molecular structure |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

NOAEL, AF and DNEL
For bisphenol A, an N OAEL of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day (LOAEL $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}$ ) is chosen for its antiandrogenic effects, based on the effects on reproduction in mice (increased duration of pregnancy, increased incidence of undescended testes in male mice, abnormal growth of cells in the epididymis, and delayed puberty measured as separation of prepuce and penis in young males (T yl et al., 2007 in an EU Risk Assessment: European Chemicals Bureau (2008a)).

The combined assessment factor is set to 175 based on a factor of 2.5 for general interspecies differences, 7 for allometric scaling between mice and humans, and 10 for intraspecies differences.

Hence, the DNEL for bisphenol A is $0.29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day (NOAEL/AF).

### 7.7.15.1 Exposure from foods etc.

Bisphenol A in polycarbonate plastics, tooth fillings and epoxy lacquer on the inner side of cans (Bisphenol-a.org., 2009).

In 2006, the EFSA (EFSA, 2009) updated its earlier assessment of bisphenol A in plastic materials in contact with foods with an exposure calculation for children. T he EFSA has estimated the exposure via diet for several age groups, of which the group $1 \frac{1}{2}$-year-olds is the one that approaches the target group of this report: T he 2-year olds

The EFSA 's conservative estimate for the $1^{1} / 2$-year-olds is:

## $5.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/ \mathrm{day}$

This assumes the ingestion of 2 kg of commercially processed food and beverage every day. T he estimate is obtained by including the exposure via can food and foods in contact with polycarbonate (feeding bottles, service and
storage containers). Exposure from the use of microwave heating of polycarbonate material or the use of drinking water from polycarbonate or epoxy coated water pipes and water containers was not included.

The NT P (2008) has calculated, on the basis of findings of bisphenol A concentrations in the urine of 90 6-8 year old girls, a median ingestion of 0.07 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW /day, with a variation of $<0.012-2.17 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW $/$ day. T his reflects the fact that exposure comes from all sources; the environment, materials in contact with food; tooth fillings; toys; skin care products; etc.
T he most important differences between the exposure of the $1 \frac{1}{2}$-year-old and the $6-8$-year-olds are probably that the $1 \frac{1}{2}$-year-olds have more intense sucking habits and larger exposure via food ingestion measured compared to body weight. One can use the number for the $1^{1 ⁄ 2}$-year olds in the estimate for the 2 -year-olds with the addition of the exposure via sucking and handling of toys and other items, the values of which can be found via measurements of the consumer products.

The following absorption values are used in agreement with the data given in the EU Risk A ssessment (European C hemicals Bureau, 2003 a):

- Dermal: 10\%
- Oral: 100\%
- Inhalation: 100\%.


### 7.7.15.2 Exposure from consumer products

Bisphenol A has not been identified in previous surveys, but is found in pacifiers as the only product group in this survey.
$V$ alues of the analysis
The table below displays the values for Bisphenol A in this project.
C alculation of exposure-other objects
In this project, Bisphenol A was identified in the coverage of two pacifiers made of polycarbonate. The measured values range between 106 and 280 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. M igration analyses of sweat and saliva were performed for both samples. A sweat simulant has been used in the analysis because the coverage of the pacifier constitutes the largest part and is in direct dermal contact with the child's skin surrounding the mouth. T he results show that there is only a minor migration of Bisphenol A to sweat, with a value of $7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for the pacifier with the higher content of Bisphenol A. T his was only identified in one of the dual analyses. T he detection threshold was $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.

Table 7.80 Over view of findings of Bisph enol A in the products an al ysed in th is project

| Product type + <br> no. | Screening analysis, <br> ug/g | Quantitative <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration <br> analysis, ug/g | Migration period, <br> hours | Migration fluid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5-1, Pacifier <br> (coverage) | 1900 | 106 | n.d. | 7.75 | Sweat |
| 5-3, Pacifier <br> (coverage) | 1600 |  | n.d. | 7.75 | Saliva |
|  |  | 280 | $7 *$ | 7.75 | Sweat |

*: Only found in one of the samples.
n.d. Signifies that the substance has not been detected.

As described in the section "Exposure calculations - method", it is assumed that the dermal contact with the coverage of the pacifier occurs for 7 hours and 45 minutes per day. Dermal contact occurs when sucking on the pacifier or by contact of the coverage with the mouth. It is assumed that $100 \%$ of the Bisphenol A that migrates is taken up via the skin, or is taken in directly through the mouth (pacifier in mouth) or by later sucking on the fingers. It is
assumed that the child is in contact with $25 \%$ of the surface area of the pacifier.

Pacifier no. $5-3$ weighs 9.6 g , of which $80 \%$ (i.e. 7.68 g ) is estimated to be made up of the coverage, which is made of the material (polycarbonate) that contains Bisphenol A.

T he following exposure values are obtained for the pacifier:
Table 7.81 Dail y ingestion of Bisph en ol A fromother objects based on measured migration val ues

| Product | Weight <br> product <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Max measured <br> migration <br> value $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g})$ | Fraction of <br> product in <br> dermal <br> contact. | Average <br> weight, 2- <br> year-old | Exposure <br> (hours) | Daily <br> ingestion <br> $(\mathrm{gg} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | Calculated <br> DNEL <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{BW} / \mathrm{day})$ | RCR <br> Pacifiers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7.68 g and | per <br> 45 min | 0.25 | 15.2 | 7.75 | 0.88 | 0.29 | 0.0030 |  |

7.7.15.3 Exposure from indoor climate

The exposure calculation for Bisphenol A via the indoor climate is presented and calculated in the section on indoor climate, but is reproduced in the table below.

Table 7.82 Dail y ingestion of Bisph enol A via theindoor climate (dust and air)
Based on $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile

| Material | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) | Daily ingestion at <br> 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bisphenol A | 0.12 | 0.0004 | 0.06 | 0.0002 |

Table 7.83 Dail y ingestion of Bisph enol A through the indoor climate (dust and air) On the basis of the $50^{\text {th }}$ per centile

| Substance | Daily ingestion at <br> 100 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 100 mg dust) $)$ | Daily ingestion at <br> 50 mg dust <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day $)$ | RCR <br> (at 50 mg dust) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bisphenol A | 0.01 | 0.00003 | 0.003 | 0.00001 |

The calculation shows that the RCR value is less than 1, which indicates that there is no risk of endocrine disrupting effects consequent to exposure to Bisphenol A via the indoor climate.

### 7.7.15.4 Combined exposure and risk

In the table below the various contributions to Bisphenol A are summarised.
Table 7.84 Daily ingestion of Bisph en ol A from various sources

|  | Summer scenario |  | Winter scenario |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Daily ingestion ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day) | RCR | Daily ingestion ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day) | RCR |
| Foods combined 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 0.07 | 0.00024 | 0.07 | 0.00024 |
| Foods combined max | 5.3 | 0.0183 | 5.3 | 0.0183 |
| Indoor climate, combined $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 0.003 | 0.00001 | 0.005 | 0.00002 |
| Indoor climate, combined $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 0.06 | 0.0002 | 0.12 | 0.0004 |
| Pacifier | 0.88 | 0.0030 | 0.88 | 0.0030 |
| Total ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) | 0.96 | 0.0033 | 100 | 0.0034 |
| Total (95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile) | 6.24 | 0.0215 | 6.30 | 0.0217 |

For Bisphenol A, the T DI value (based on liver damage as the toxic effects on the liver is the most sensitive endpoint) was larger than the D N EL value used
(based on hormonal effects) by a factor of 10 . From the table it can be discerned that the total bisphenol A contribution does not constitute a risk for either the summer scenario or the winter scenario under the assumptions made. This is in agreement with the calculations made by EFSA showing that not even infants, who have the largest bisphenol A contribution via foods, attain more than $26 \%$ of the TDI value (EFSA, 2009).

### 7.8 Cumulativerisk assessment of potential endocrine-like substances

### 7.8.1 Risk assessment, overview summary

T he calculated total risk for each substance is stated by the RCR values (see tables below).

The maximum RCR value is calculated in such a way that the maximum values are summated. $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile values have been used in the cases where maximum values for the substance were not available. 95th percentiles have also been used for the indoor climate, since there can be extreme differences in the maximum value and the 95th percentile.

For the other RCR column labelled "RCR (total of $50 \%$ and eventual alternative scenario) " a total of the $50 \%$ (where applicable) and the other alternative low or medium scenarios was employed. If several scenarios occur, the minimum value is used. T his column thus represents neither an RCR value of $50 \%$ nor a minimum RCR value, but is an expression of a total of the remaining scenarios, that form a counterpart to the calculated maximum RCR. This has been calculated to show the range between the maximum/ $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile values and the alternative values.

As there is a difference in the behavioural patterns of 2-year-olds in the summer half-year and in the winter half-year, both a summer scenario and a winter scenario have been considered in order to include the most realistic exposure for both half-years.

T he elements that are common to both the summer scenario and the winter scenario are included in both scenarios, in particular the following factors:

- Ingestion of foods
- C ontact with objects other than toys, i.e. moisturising cream, bath articles and textiles other than winter clothing (jackets/mittens).


### 7.8.1.1 Summer scenario

T he following factors have been included in the summer scenario (see table below)

- C ontact with sunscreens.
- C ontact with rubber clogs (no socks are worn).
- D ermal contact with toys for 9 hours in the summer
- Ingestion of 50 mg dust (US EPA states this value for the summer scenario).

Table 7.85 Cal culation of RCR. Summer scen ario with maximum val ue for rubber clogs. Red number sindicate the RCR >1

|  | $\underset{\Delta}{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{L}}}$ | ồ |  |  |  |  | 㐅 ¢ vid ¢ ¢ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{k}$ <br> g <br> BW/d <br> ay) | Daily ingestion in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEHP | 50 | 0.38 | 7.94 | 23,41 | 2,89 | 8.60 | 44.00 | 19.81 | 75.73 | 0.40 | 151 |
| DINP | 1600 | 3.91 | 10.97 | 6,35 | 0,0001 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 14.88 | 31.23 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| DBP | 6.7 | 0.00 | 75.36 | 2.28 | 0.67 | 8.20 | 22 | 84.23 | 99.64 | 12.67 | 14.87 |
| DIBP | 1250 | 2.96 | 47.75 | 1,54 | 0.19 | 0.48 | 2.40 | 51.38 | 54.66 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| BBP | 500 | 4.17 | 0.00 | 5,23 | 118 | 0.80 | 9.00 | 6.15 | 18.40 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| Prochlor az | 50 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Tebuco nazole, 107534-96-3 | 170 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Linuron, } \\ & 330-55-2 \end{aligned}$ | 250 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Vincloz olin | 16.7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Procymi done | 25 |  |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dioxins <br> and <br> dioxin- <br> like <br> PCBs | TDI 2 pg/kg BW |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ 08 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ 08 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 4 |
| PCBs ${ }^{29}$ |  |  |  | 0,0006 | 0,0004 | - | - | 0,0004 | 0,0006 | - | - |
| DDT | 30 |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Propylp araben | 30 |  | 90.2 |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 90.2 | 90.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Butylpar aben | 30 |  | 19.65 | 0.014 | 0.002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.65 | 19.65 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Isobutyl paraben , 94-13-3 | 140 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Bisphen ol A | 500 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.06 | 0.003 | 0.07 | 5.30 | 0.96 | 6.24 | 0.00 | 0.02 |

T he content of phthalates in the examined rubber clogs was shown to exceed the permitted values; hence a table has been inserted that does not inlcude the contribution from these shoes. As requested by the D anish Environemntal Protection A gency, the table for toys only includes the phthalate with the maximum contribution to the RCR value for toys, in order not to use an overestimate for the exposure time for toys (in the calculations a 9 hour exposure has been used for each phthalate for toys). T hese calculations are given in the table below.

We compare the calculations where only one phthalate contributes to the RCR value with the calculations where all the phthalates contributed to the RCR values. It turns out that the difference is minimal, i.e. only 2 points at the $2^{\text {nd }}$ decimal place for the total of the RCR values. It should be noted that
toys were found containing more than one phthalate. It is possible that the 2-year-old could be in contact with toys at home or in the childcare institution, such that exposure to phthalates is higher than that stated in the table below. Because the difference is minimal, it is not possible to interpret this from the total risk, when the value is rounded up/down to a whole number.
${ }^{1}$ RCR for PCBs in the indoor climate has not been calculated because the proportion that represents non-dioxin-like PCBs is highly variable. As the RCR for dioxin-like PC Bs from foods alone exceeds 1, any contribution from the indoor climate is undesirable.

Table 7.86 Cal culation of RCR. Summer scenario without rubber clogs and without contribution of phthal ates from toys. Red numbers indicate the RCR >1

|  | $\underset{\Delta}{\text { 를 }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { no }}{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day <br> ) | Daily ingestion in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{BW} /$ day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEHP | 50 | 0,00 | 7.94 | 23.41 | 2.89 | 8.60 | 44.00 | 19,.43 | 75.35 | 0.39 | 151 |
| DINP | 1600 | 0,00 | 10.97 | 6.35 | 0.0001 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 10.97 | 27.32 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| DBP | 6.7 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 2.28 | 0.67 | 8.20 | 22 | 8.87 | 24.28 | 132 | 3.62 |
| DIBP | 1250 | 0,00 | 0.004 | 154 | 0.19 | 0.48 | 2.40 | 0.67 | 3.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BBP | 500 | 4,17 | 0.00 | 5.23 | 118 | 0.80 | 9.00 | 6.15 | 18.40 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| Prochlor az | 50 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Tebuco nazole, 107534-96-3 | 170 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Linuron, 330-55-2 | 250 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Vincloz olin | 16.7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Procymi done | 25 |  |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dioxine <br> $s$ and dioxinlike PCBs | TDI 2 $\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW |  |  | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 0,0000 \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0,0000 \\ 08 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0,0000 \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0,0000 \\ 08 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | 4 |
| PCBs |  |  |  | 0.0049 | 0.002 |  |  | 0.0049 | 0.002 |  |  |
| DDT | 30 |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Propylp araben | 30 |  | 90.2 |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 90.2 | 90.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Butylpar aben | 30 |  | 19.65 | 0.014 | 0.002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.65 | 19.65 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Isobuty\| paraben , 94-13-3 | 140 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Bisphen ol A | 500 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.06 | 0.003 | 0.07 | 5.30 | 0.96 | 6.24 | 0.00 | 0.02 |

### 7.8.1.2 W inter scenario

The following factors have been included in the winter scenario (see table below):

- Dermal contact with toys for 6 hours in the winter.
- C ontact with jackets/mittens for 3 hours.
- Ingestion of 100 mg dust (US EPA states this value for the winter scenario, where one is more indoors).

Similarly to the summer scenario, the difference between including the contribution from toys in for the phthalate with the maximum contribution, and for all the other phthalates, in the calculations of the RCR value is minimal. T he difference is only 2 points at the 2 nd decimal place of the total of the RCR values. In order to avoid misinterpretations the contribution of toys from all phthalates is deliberately given in the table below. T his is because the difference is minimal and cannot be read from the total risk when this result is rounded up/down to a whole number.

Table 7.87 Cal culation of RCR. Winter scen ario with a minimal contribution fromphthalates in toys. Red number S indicate the RCR >1

|  | $\underset{\Delta}{\underset{Z}{\mathrm{Z}}}$ | 气㐅 © | 4 0 $\mathbf{0}$ 0 0 0 0 |  |  | Foods, $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{k}$ <br> g <br> BW/d <br> ay) | Daily ingestion in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ BW/day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEHP | 50 | 0.36 | 8.13 | 46,65 | 5.71 | 8.60 | 44.00 | 22.80 | 99.14 | 0.46 | 198 |
| DINP | 1600 | 3.88 | 10.97 | 12,70 | 0.0003 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 14.85 | 37.55 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| DBP | 6.7 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 4.08 | 117 | 8.20 | 22 | 9.45 | 26.16 | 141 | 3.90 |
| DIBP | 1250 | 2.59 | 0.00 | 2,57 | 0.19 | 0.48 | 2.40 | 3.27 | 7.56 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| BBP | 500 | 3.85 | 0.00 | 10,36 | 2.27 | 0.80 | 9.00 | 6.92 | 23.21 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| Prochlora | 50 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Tebucona } \\ \text { zole, } \\ 107534- \\ 96-3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 170 |  |  |  |  | 0,00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Linuron, } \\ & 330-55-2 \end{aligned}$ | 250 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Vinclozoli | 16.7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Procymid one | 25 |  |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \mathrm{TDI} \\ \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{kg} \\ \mathrm{BW} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ 08 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ 08 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 4 |
| PCBs | 0.03 |  |  | 0.005 | 0.002 |  |  | 0.005 | 0.002 |  |  |
| DDT | 30 |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Propylpar } \\ \text { aben } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30 |  | 25.2 |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Butylpara ben | 30 |  | 5.45 | 0.03 | 0.003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.45 | 5.45 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Isobutylp araben, 94-13-3 | 140 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Bisphenol } \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 500 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.12 | 0.005 | 0.07 | 5.30 | 100 | 6.30 | 0.00 | 0.02 |

### 7.8.2 Risk assessment, total for antiandrogenic substances

The total risk for each antiandrogenic substance is calculated and stated in the table below.

Table 7.88. Total RCR for antiandrogenic substances

| Substance | Summer scenario with rubber clogs (i.e. max. value) |  | Summer scenario without rubber clogs and with no contribution of phthalates from toys (i.e. minimum values) |  | Winter scenario with no contribution of phthalates from toys (i.e. minimum values) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RCR } \\ & (50 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RCR } \\ & \text { (95\% and max) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RCR } \\ & (50 \%) \end{aligned}$ | RCR <br> (95\% and max) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RCR } \\ & (50 \%) \end{aligned}$ | RCR <br> (95\% and max) |
| DEHP | 0.40 | 151 | 0.39 | 151 | 0.46 | 198 |
| DINP | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| DBP | 12.67 | 14.87 | 132 | 3.62 | 141 | 3.90 |
| DIBP | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| BBP | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| Prochloraz | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tebuconazole } \\ & , 107534-96-3 \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Linuron, 330- } \\ & 55-2 \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Vinclozolin | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Procymidone | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dioxins and dioxin-like PCBS | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| PCBs (DK) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DDT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total | 15.13 | 20.48 | 3.73 | 9.19 | 3.89 | 9.,96 |

The result shows that irregardless of whether the summer scenario or the winter scenario are considered with shoes, without shoes and with all phthalates, the RCR value for the antiandrogenic substances is much greater than 1. The significant contributions to the RCR value come the from DEHP, DBP and PCB concentrations in foods.

Any additional contribution from other sources and other substances could contribute to an even higher RCR total for the antiandrogenic substances.

### 7.8.3 Risk assessment, total for oestrogenic substances

T he total risk for each oestrogenic substance is calculated and stated in the table below.

Table 7.89 Total RCR for oestrogenic substances

| Substance | Summer scenario |  | Winter scenario |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | RCR <br> $(50 \%)$ | RCR <br> $(95 \%$ and max) | RCR <br> $(50 \%)$ | RCR <br> (95\% and max) |
|  | 3.03 | 3.03 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Butylparaben | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Isobutylparaben, <br> 94-13-3 | $0.00^{*}$ | $0.00^{*}$ | $0.00^{*}$ | $0.00^{*}$ |
| Bisphenol A | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| Total | 3.74 | 3.76 | 104 | 106 |

* It should be noted that the RCR value for isobutylparaben has not been calculated. This is primarily because the focus was on propyl and butylparaben, not onlybecause they are the two most potent parabens (lowest DN EL value), but also because isobutylparaben has only been identified in 1 of 60 sunscreens and creams surveyed in this project.

Since no oestrogenic substances were measured or found in either the rubber clogs or toys, the results show that irregardless of whether calculations are done on the summer scenario with or without rubber clogs, the RCR values are identical for the oestrogenic substances. For the summer scenario the RCR values are of around 3 and thus above 1. Propyl- and butylparaben in sunscreens are the most significant contributors to the RCR. T he total contribution in the winter scenario is smaller than for the summer scenario, but the RCR value in the winter scenario is also above 1 .

T o this result one needs to add any possible contributions from other sources, for instance the use of sunscreens in the winter half-year and other cosmetic products all year around, as well as other substances that have been assessed as potential contributors to the RCR total for oestrogenic substances.

### 7.8.4 Risk assessment totalled for oestrogenic and antiandrogenic substances

In this section, the risk at exposure to both antiandrogens and oestrogen-like substances that affect the male reproductive system is calculated. T his is based on an assumption thtat combination effects may be present when the substances' effects are identical, even though the underlying mechanisms are different. However, to date there have been no animal studies demonstrating combined effects of antiandrogenic and oestrogen-like substances. On the rother hand, it has not been disproved, and it is normally very difficult to differentiate clearly between oestrogen-like and antiandrogenic substances, because both can induce the same type of effects; demasculinisation of the male reproductive system. In animal studies, antiandrogens can result in demasculinisation by reducing the effect of the male sex hormones, while oestrogen-like substances can result in demasculinisation by changing the balance between male and female sex hormones. Some substances that were orginally classified as oestrogen-like, have also been shown to have antiandrogenic effects, and vice-versa. Based on careful regulatory access, it is therefore assumed that concomitant exposure to two types of endocrine disruptors with similar effects can result in endocrine disrupting effects if the total risk characterisation coefficient is greater than 1.

All the antiandrogenic substances selected will be included in the total risk assessment, while only those oestrogen-like substances that result in demasculinisation of the male reproductive system will be included. T hus, propylparaben and butylparaben, which both have effects on young male rats' sperm production, and bisphenol A, which affects descent of the testicles,
development of the epididymis, and puberty in young male mice exposed during the foetal stage, will be included.

The total risk at exposure to oestrogen-like and antiandrogenic substances has been calculated and is presented in the table below.

|  | Summer scenario with rubber shoes (i.e. max. values) |  | Summer scenario without rubber shoes and no phthtalate contribution from toys (i.e. min. values) |  | Winter scenario with no phthtlate contribution from toys (i.e. min. values) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RCR } \\ & (50 \%) \end{aligned}$ | RCR <br> (95\% and max) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RCR } \\ & (50 \%) \end{aligned}$ | RCR <br> (95\% and max) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RCR } \\ & (50 \%) \end{aligned}$ | RCR <br> (95\% and max) |
| DEHP | 0.40 | 151 | 0.39 | 151 | 0.46 | 198 |
| DINP | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| DBP | 12.67 | 14.87 | 132 | 3.62 | 141 | 3.90 |
| DIBP | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| BBP | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| Prochloraz | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Tebuconazole | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Linuron | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Vinclozolin | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Procymidone | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| PCBS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DDT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Propylparabe <br> n | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Butylparaben | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Bisphenol A | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { (PCB DK ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 18.84 | 24.21 | 7.44 | 12.92 | 4.93 | 1102 |

### 7.8.5 Discussion and conclusion

Researchers have long known that endocrine disruptors can affect sexual development in laboratory animals. Findings in males included malformed genitals, undescended testicles to the scrotum at birth (cryptorchidism), decreased sperm quality as well as testicular cancer later in life (Sharpe, 2009). Similar symptoms have been observed in humans, and new D anish research shows that D anish girls develop breasts earlier than 15 years ago. Exposure to endocrine disruptors in the environment is suspected to be a contributory factor in the development of these syndromes in the general population (Aksglaede et al., 2009). H owever, in humans it is much more difficult to prove a cause-effect relationship.

A risk assessment is normally performed by assessing the exposure to a single substance in a single product. We are exposed to many different products on a daily basis, of which several contain the same chemical substances. We are also exposed to many different chemical substances that can have the same toxicological effect. T his project attempts to take into account some of these combination effects.

In the past few years, surveys have shown surprising results on combination effects (also known as cocktail effects) of endocrine disruptors. A new D anish survey has revealed serious malformations in baby rats when female rats are
exposed to a mixture of endocrine disruptors at concentrations which would not by themselves cause an effect. An expert workshop was held to follow up these results. Several world leaders in endocrine disruptors and combination effects met in D enmark in January 2009, where they considered on current knowledge on combination effects and possibilities for introducing legislation to address the issue. In the report from the workshop, the experts emphasise the fact that the risks posed by chemicals are currently underestimated because we do not take into account our daily exposure to a cocktail of many different substances, including endocrine disruptors. T he advice from the experts is that, it is possible and necessary to include the risks of combination effects when performing a risk assessment of endocrine disruptors. T he experts also refer to a so-called dose addition method that can be used until further knowledge is acquired. T his project attempts to use the dose addition method for exposure to a series of substances that have been proven to exhibit endocrine disrupting effects in animal studies.

The present project has shown that if one considers the total exposure as the sum of exposure from all the products suurrounding a 2 -year-old, then for certain individual substances such as D BP, dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs, and propyl- and butylparaben, the individual substance can in themselves pose a risk.

If the exposure is then assessed together with the substances that are suspected of having antiandrogenic or oestrogen-like effects, the total contribution will result in a potential risk for endocrine disrupting effects.

The current investigation is, however, based on random samples of individual consumer products and product groups. T here may therefore be other chemical substances suspected of having endocrine disrupting effects, and other products on the market that contribute to this risk. In addition to the exposure contributions included in these calculations, there may be other contributing factors that could increase overall risk, including for instance:

- Potential endocrine disrupting effects like the ones stated in the screening investigations of the project in chapter 3, among these the QSAR predictions.
- Contributions from propyl, butyl and isobutylparaben in sunscreens used in the winter half-year (e.g. during winter break beach holidays).
- Contributions from propyl, butyl and isobutylparaben in other cosmetic products, which are used both in the summer and the winter. e.g. after-sun lotion, Shrovetide/H alloween makeup.
- C ontribution of phthalates from other footwear, e.g. rubber sandals and rubber shoes.
- C ontributions from the indoor climate in cars and other means of transport. e.g. the value of the DEH P contribution from the indoor climate in cars of $21 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ as stated in the EU Risk Assessment for DEHP (European C hemicals Bureau, 2008, p. 256).
- Contributions from outdoor air, etc.

In addition, there may be a greater contribution from some of the consumer products, as some values (such as for toys) may be underestimated consequent to the estimates necessary for the weight of the products in the calculations. In addition, the actual number of products used by the 2 -yearold constitutes a factor that may further contribute to the calculated risk; for example, it should be assumed that pacifiers are replaced more often than mittens and jackets.

It should also be noted that the project's calculations include many conditions that are based on estimates. This is due to the fact that there is no clear documentation in the areas concerned. Such types of estimate can produce distorted results and may mean that the overall exposure is estimated at a higher level than is actually the case, as all estimates are based on worst-case considerations. T he following results are deemed to be uncertain:

- For several of the phthalates the contents in foods are based on one source, which exclusively states a total estimate and the percent-wise distribution of indoor climate, foods and other products. When generating the report, it became evident from the calculation of total exposure that this is not valid.
- For the indoor climate: Surveys from other countries such as Sweden and the US have been used where no applicable D anish surveys have been found. It is not certain whether these numbers correspond to D anish conditions.
- For propyl and butylparaben in particular, that have been included in the cumulative risk assessments, the selected LOAEL based effects have been found in a few studies conducted by a Japanese group (Oishi et al.) In the SCCP opinion from 2005, doubt is raised concerning the validity of these results and SCCP has asked the industry to provide results from developmental toxicity studies, which can determine whether or not propyl, butyl and isobutylparaben have endocrine disrupting effects in animals. T he industry has subsequently attempted to repeat the studies and show that the substances do not induce endocrine disrupting effects. T he studies performed by the industry have nevertheless been rejected by the SC CP on the grounds of questionable validity (SCCP, 2006a). The question of whether the three parabens are able to induce endocrine distrupting effects thus remains inconclusive. The procedure chosen for this report can therefore be perceived as rather cautious, since the work was based on studies showing the strongest endocrine disrupting effects.
- For parabens the dermal uptake is estimated at $10 \%$. As stated several times in the report, there is currently no documentation for skin absorption, metabolism and excretion of parabens. The EU's Scientific Committee for consumer products has stated that this documentation will be available shortly, after which a more accurate risk assessment of parabens can be performed.

Based on the present investigation it can be concluded that:

- Single effects with a high content of an endocrine disruptor, such as is seen with the content of D BP in rubber clogs may result in a critical risk for the 2 -year-old.
- The contributions that 2-year-olds absorb especially from the phthalate D BP (mostly from foods, if we discount the rubber clogs) and dioxin and dioxin-like PCBs (mostly from foods and partly from the indoor climate) constitute a risk for antiandrogenic disruptions to the endocrine system.
- The contributions that 2 -year-olds absorb from the parabens propylparaben and butylparaben, in particular, can constitute a risk for oestrogenic disruptions of the endocrine system. T hese contributions originate predominantly from cosmetic products such as moisturising creams/oil-based creams/lotions and sunscreen.

In summary, it can be concluded that there is not only a need to reduce exposure to antiandrogenic and oestrogen-like substances from foods and the indoor climate, but also from products in the studied product groups. Based on the assumptions made in this report, these contribute to both the indoor climate and to the direct exposure. A reduction of the potential cumulative risk requires knowledge of which sources are present in foods and the indoor climate. Furthermore, there is a need to reduce possible contributions from other sources, e.g. propyl, butyl and isobutylparaben in cosmetics, phthalates from other footwear (e.g. rubber clogs and rubber shoes).
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## Chemical substances in sunscreens

This appendix is a summary of all the chemical substances in the selected sunscreens for children. A total of 233 different substances were found in the surveyed sunscreens.

The summary shows the frequency of different substances occurring in the sunscreens, and the rank order they occur in descending order of weigth, as indicated on the list of ingredients on the products. T he rank order is thus an indication of the relative concentration of the ingredients in the products. A low figure (high ranking) means that the substance is the main ingredient in the product, wheras a high figure (low ranking) means that the substance is an additive (e.g., a preservative) in the product.

T he table below shows how many of the 28 sunscreens that contain the listed substances as well as their rank order.

Ingredientslisted in descen ding order of frequency
The chemical substances are indicated in descending order of frequency. For instance when the first substance is aqua ( = water), it occurs in most of the products.

List of all theingredients in the 28 surveyed sunscreens for children, marketed in October 2008. Theingredients arelisted in descending order of frequen cy.

| INCI-name | CAS-N r. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AQUA | 7732-18-5 | Water. | solvent | 25 | 1,1 |
| TITANIUM DIOXIDE | 13463-67-7 | Titanium dioxide ( Cl 77891 ). | opacifying / uv absorber | 25 | 5,8 |
| GLYCERIN | 56-81-5 | Glycerol. | denaturant / <br> humectant / <br> solvent | 22 | 7,9 |
| PHENOXYETHANOL | 122-99-6 | 2-phenoxyethanol. | preservative | 17 | 20,9 |
| TOCOPHEROL | 10191-41-0 | 3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecy) -2 H -benzopyran-6-ol. | antioxidant / skin conditioning | 15 | 17,7 |
| CAPRYLIC/CAPRIC TRIGLYCERIDE | 73398-61-5 | Triglycerides, mixed decanoyl and octanoyl. | emollient / solvent | 14 | 4,1 |
| ALUMINA | 1344-28-1 | Aluminium oxide. | abrasive / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 13 | 13,8 |
| C12-15ALKYL BENZOATE | 68411-27-8 | Benzoic acid, C12-15-alkyl esters. | emollient | 12 | 3,5 |
| ETHYLHEXYL TRIAZONE | 88122-99-0 | Benzoic acid, 4,4',4'-(1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triyltriimino)tris-,tris(2-ethylhexyl) ester | uv absorber / uv filter | 11 | 11,2 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CITRIC ACID | 77-92-9 | 2-H ydroxy-1,2,3propanetricarboxylic acid | buffering / chelating | 10 | 18,5 |
| TOCOPHERYL ACETATE | 7695-91-2 | 3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl)-2H-benzopyran-6-yl acetate. | antioxidant | 10 | 14,6 |
| XANTHAN GUM | 11138-66-2 | Xanthan gum. | binding / emulsion stabilising / viscosity controlling / gel forming | 10 | 20,4 |
| MAGNESIUM SULFATE | 7487-88-9 | Magnesium sulphate. | viscosity controlling / hair conditioning / bulking | 9 | 14,8 |
| STEARIC ACID | 57-11-4 | Stearic acid. | emulsifying/ emulsion stabilising / refatting / cleansing | 9 | 15,3 |
| POLYHYDROXYSTEARIC ACID |  |  | emulsifying | 9 | 13,9 |
| SODIUM BENZOATE | 532-32-1 | Sodium benzoate. | preservative | 8 | 22,8 |
| BUTYL METHOXYDIBENZOYLMET HANE | 70356-09-1 | 1-[4-(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl) propane1,3dione. | uv absorber / uv filter | 8 | 8,5 |
| DIETHYLAMINO HYDROXYBENZOYL HEXYL BENZOATE |  |  |  | 8 | 6,8 |
| PARFUM |  | Perfume and aromatic compositions and their raw materials | deodorant/ masking | 7 | 25,3 |
| METHYLPARABEN | 99-76-3 | M ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 7 | 23,9 |
| LIMONENE | 5989-27-5 |  |  | 6 | 28,5 |
| ALUMINUM STEARATE | 7047-84-9 | Dihydroxyaluminium stearate. | cosmetic colorant / anticaking | 6 | 13,0 |
| CITRONELLOL | 106-22-9 | Citronellol. | masking | 6 | 23,8 |
| ALCOHOL DENAT. |  | Ethanol denatured in accordance with Customs and Excise regulations | solvent | 6 | 5,0 |
| CETEARYL ALCOHOL | 67762-27-0 | Alcohols, C16-18. | emollient/ emulsifying / emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 6 | 8,2 |
| LINALOOL | 78-70-6 | 1,6-Octadien-3-01, 3,7-dimethyl- | deodorant | 5 | 27,0 |
| GERANIOL | 106-24-1 | 2,6-Octadien-1-ol, 3,7-dimethyl-, <br> (2E)- | tonic | 5 | 26,4 |
| CETEARETH-20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68439-49- } \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (20 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying/ surfactant | 5 | 9,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DICAPRYLYL CARBONATE |  |  |  | 5 | 6,8 |
| CITRAL | 5392-40-5 | 2,6-Octadienal, 3,7-dimethyl- | masking | 5 | 27,0 |
| PROPYLPARABEN | 94-13-3 | Propyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 5 | 23,4 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-4 DIISOSTEARATE/POLYHYDR OXYSTEARATE/SEBACATE |  |  |  | 5 | 8,4 |
| PEG-30 DIPOLYHYDROXYSTEARATE |  |  | emulsifying | 5 | 8,0 |
| PROPYLENE GLYCOL | 57-55-6 | Propane-1,2-diol. | humectant/ solvent / skin conditioning / viscosity controlling | 5 | 13,8 |
| BIS- <br> ETHYLEXYLOXYPHENOL METHOXYPHENYL TRIAZINE |  |  |  | 5 | 10,6 |
| SODIUM GLUCONATE | 527-07-1 | Sodium gluconate. | chelating | 5 | 17,0 |
| GLYCERYL STEARATE | 31566-31-1 | Stearic acid, monoester with glycerol. | emollient / emulsifying | 4 | 4,5 |
| DIMETHYLCARBONATE COPOLYMER |  |  |  | 4 | 10,3 |
| OCTOCRYLENE | 6197-30-4 | 2-Propenoic acid, 2-cyano-3,3-diphenyl-, 2-ethylhexyl ester | uv filter / uv absorber | 4 | 6,3 |
| METHYLENE BIS- <br> BENZOTRIAZOLYL <br> TETRAM ETHYLBUTYLPHEN OL |  |  |  | 4 | 4,3 |
| GLYCINE SOJA EXTRACT | 84776-91-0 | Glycine Soja Extract is an extract of the beans of the soybean, Glycine soja, Leguminosae | skin conditioning / emollient/ bulking / hair conditioning / solvent/ moisturising | 4 | 6,8 |
| ALOE BARBADENSIS |  | Aloe Barbadensis is a plant material derived from the leaves of the aloe, Aloe barbadensis, Liliaceae. | emollient | 4 | 7,8 |
| ETHYLHEXYLGLYCERIN | 70445-33-9 | 1,2-propanediol, 3-(2ethylhexyloxy) | skin conditioning | 4 | 18,0 |
| SORBITAN ISOSTEARATE | 71902-01-7 | Sorbitan, isooctadecanoate. | emulsifying | 4 | 9,0 |
| DIMETHICONE | 9006-65-9 | Dimethicone | antifoaming / emollient | 4 | 12,3 |
| HYDROGENATED CASTOR OIL | 8001-78-3 | Castor oil, hydrogenated. | emollient/ emulsifying / surfactant / viscosity controlling / skin conditioning | 4 | - |
| HYDROGENATED DIMER DIINOLEYL |  |  |  | 4 | 9,3 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALCOHOL | 64-17-5 | Ethanol. | solvent | 4 | 4,8 |
| SIM MONDSIA CHINENSIS OIL | 61789-91-1 | Simmondsia Chinensis Oil is the fixed oil expressed or extracted from seeds of the jojoba, Simmondsia chinensis, Buxaceae | emollient | 4 | 5,5 |
| CETEARETH-12 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 68439-49- } \\ 6 \end{array}$ | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (12 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying | 4 | 16,0 |
| ZINC OXIDE | 1314-13-2 | Zinc oxide (CI 77947). | bulking / uv absorber / skin protecting | 4 | 11,3 |
| HELIANTHUS ANNUUS SEED OIL | 8001-21-6 | Helianthus Annuus Seed Oil is the oil expressed from the seeds of the sunflower, Helianthus annuus, Compositae | $\begin{aligned} & \text { emollient / } \\ & \text { skin } \\ & \text { conditioning } \\ & \text { / masking } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 10,0 |
| DIBUTYL ADIPATE | 105-99-7 | Dibutyl adipate. | emollient/ film forming / plasticiser | 3 | 2,0 |
| BUTYROSPERMUM PARKII BUTTER | 91080-23-8 | Butyrospermum Parkii Butter is the fat obtained from the fruit of the karite tree, Butyrospernum parkii, Sapotaceae | skin conditioning / emollient | 3 | 10,0 |
| SODIUM DEHYDROACETATE | 4418-26-2 | Sodium 1-(3,4-dihydro-6-methyl- <br> 2,4-dioxo-2H-pyran-3- <br> ylidene)ethanolate. | preservative | 3 | 26,0 |
| TRISODIUM EDTA | 150-38-9 | Trisodium hydrogen ethylenediaminetetraacetate. | chelating | 3 | 19,3 |
| ASCORBYL PALMITATE | 137-66-6 | 6-O-palmitoylascorbic acid. | antioxidant | 3 | 22,7 |
| HELIANTHUS ANNUUS EXTRACT | 84776-03-4 | Helianthus Annuus Extract is an extract of the seeds and flowers of the sunflower, Helianthus annuus, Compositae | skin conditioning / emollient/ hair conditioning | 3 | 9,7 |
| OCTYLDODECANOL | 5333-42-6 | 2-octyldodecan-1-ol. | emollient / solvent | 3 | 2,0 |
| SODIUM CHLORIDE | 7647-14-5 | Sodium chloride. | viscosity controlling / bulking | 3 | 15,0 |
| TRIMETHOXYCAPRYLYLSILA <br> NE | 3069-40-7 | Trimethoxyoctylsilane | binding / smoothing | 3 | 19,3 |
| EUGENOL | 97-53-0 | Phenol, 2-methoxy-4-(2propenyl) | denaturant / tonic | 3 | 28,3 |
| TETRASODIUM <br> IMINODISUCCINATE |  |  |  | 3 | 21,0 |
| BUTYLPHENYL METHYLPROPIONAL | 80-54-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-(4-tert- } \\ & \text { butylbenzyl) propionaldehyde } \end{aligned}$ | masking | 3 | 31,7 |
| CAPRYLYL GLYCOL | 1117-86-8 | Octane-1,2-diol. | emollient / humectant/ hair conditioning | 3 | 15,0 |
| SODIUM PHOSPHATE | 7558-80-7 | Sodium dihydrogenorthophosphate. | buffering | 3 | 20,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CETYL ALCOHOL | 36653-82-4 | Hexadecan-1-ol. | emollient/ emulsifying / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 3 | 4,7 |
| BIS- <br> ETHYLHEXYLOXYPHENOL <br> METHOXYPHENYL <br> TRIAZINE |  |  |  | 3 | 10,3 |
| ZINC STEARATE | 557-05-1 | Zinc distearate. | cosmetic colorant / anticaking | 3 | 13,0 |
| BUTYLENE GLYCOL DICAPRYLATE/DICAPRATE |  | 1,2-butanediol, diesters with octanoic and decanoic acids | emollient | 3 | 2,0 |
| BUTYLENE GLYCOL | 107-88-0 | Butane-1,3-diol. | humectant / solvent | 3 | 8,0 |
| BETAGLUCAN | 26874-89-5 | Beta-d-glucose homopolymer | skin conditioning / bulking | 3 | 19,0 |
| COUM ARIN | 91-64-5 | Coumarin. | masking | 3 | 27,3 |
| C18-36 ACID TRIGLYCERIDE | 91052-08-3 | Triglycerides, C18-36. | emollient | 3 | 10,7 |
| BENZYL SALICYLATE | 118-58-1 | Benzyl salicylate. | uv absorber | 3 | 32,0 |
| CETYL PALMITATE | 540-10-3 | Hexadecyl hexadecanoate | emollient | 3 | 17,0 |
| HYDROGENATED PALM GLYCERIDES | 91744-66-0 | Glycerides, palm-oil mono-, diand tri-, hydrogenated. | emollient / emulsifying / skin conditioning / viscosity controlling | 2 | 14,5 |
| HAMAMELIS VIRGINIANA EXTRACT | 84696-19-5 | H amamelis Virginiana Extract is an extract of the bark, leaves and twigs of the witch hazel, Hamamelis virginiana, Hamamelidaceae | astringent/ <br> soothing / <br> skin <br> conditioning <br> / hair <br> conditioning | 2 | 15,5 |
| HYDROGENATED LECITHIN | 92128-87-5 | Lecithins, hydrogenated. | emulsifying / skin conditioning | 2 | 15,5 |
| ALOE BARBADENSIS EXTRACT | 85507-69-3 | Aloe Barbadensis Extract is an extract of the leaves of the aloe, Aloe barbadensis, Liliaceae | emollient | 2 | 13,5 |
| ETHYLPARABEN | 120-47-8 | Ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 2 | 29,5 |
| BENZYL BENZOATE | 120-51-4 | Benzyl benzoate. | solvent | 2 | 29,0 |
| CALENDULA OFFICINALIS |  | Calendula Officinalis is a plant material derived from the flowers of the calendula, Calendula officinalis, Compositae | emollient | 2 | 14,0 |
| CERA ALBA | 8012-89-3 | Beeswax. The wax obtained from the honeycomb of the bee. It consists primarily of myricyl palmitate, cerotic acid and esters and some high-carbon paraffins. | emollient/ emulsifying / film forming | 2 | 12,0 |
| BRASSICA CAM PESTRIS STEROLS |  |  |  | 2 | 18,5 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CETEARYL GLUCOSIDE | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 246159-33- \\ 1 \end{array}$ | D-Glucopyranose, C16-C18 alkyl glycosides | emulsifying | 2 | 12,5 |
| HYDROXYISOHEXYL 3 CYCLOHEXENE CARBOXALDEHYDE | 31906-04-4 |  |  | 2 | 25,5 |
| CETYL PEG/PPG-10/1 DIMETHICONE |  |  |  | 2 | 7,5 |
| BHT | 128-37-0 | 2,6-di-tert-butyl-P-cresol. | antioxidant | 2 | 32,5 |
| CYCLOHEXASILOXANE | 540-97-6 | Dodecamethylcyclohexasiloxane | hair conditioning / emollient/ solvent | 2 | 6,5 |
| CYCLOPENTASILOXANE | 541-02-6 | Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane | hair conditioning / emollient/ solvent | 2 | 7,0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIETHYLHEXYL } \\ & \text { CARBONATE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 2 | 15,0 |
| DEHYDROACETIC ACID | 520-45-6 | 3-acetyl-6-methyl-2H -pyran-2,4(3H)-dione. | preservative | 2 | 22,5 |
| ALUMINUM DISTEARATE | 300-92-5 | Hydroxyaluminium distearate. | emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 2 | 14,0 |
| BENZOIC ACID | 65-85-0 | Benzoic acid. | preservative | 2 | 21,5 |
| CETYL DIMETHICONE | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 191044-49- \\ 2 \end{array}$ | Siloxanes and silicones, hexadecyl methyl, dimethyl | emollient | 2 | 13,0 |
| DIPOTASSIUM GLYCYRRHIZATE | 68797-35-3 | .alpha.-d-Glucopyranosiduronic acid, (3.beta.,20.beta.)-20-carboxy-11-oxo-30-norolean-12-en-3-yl 2-0-beta.-d-glucopyranuronosyl-, dipotassium salt. | humectant/ <br> skin <br> conditioning | 2 | 10,0 |
| DISODIUM EDTA | 139-33-3 | Disodium dihydrogen ethylenediaminetetraacetate. | chelating / viscosity controlling | 2 | 20,0 |
| DROMETRIZOLE TRISILOXANE | 155633-54-8 | Phenol, 2-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-methyl-6-[2-methyl-3-[1,3,3,3-tetramethyl-1- <br> [(trimethylsilyl) oxyddisiloxany]] | uv absorber | 2 | 16,0 |
| ETHYLHEXYL METHOXYCINNAMATE | 5466-77-3 | 2-ethylhexyl 4methoxycinnamate. | uv filter / uv absorber | 2 | 2,5 |
| ETHYLHEXYL SALICYLATE | 118-60-5 | 2-ethylhexyl salicylate. | uv absorber / uv filter | 2 | 5,0 |
| ETHYLHEXYL STEARATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22047-49- } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 2-ethylhexyl stearate. | emollient | 2 | 3,0 |
| ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 21645-51-2 | Aluminium hydroxide. | emollient/ humectant/ viscosity controlling | 2 | 13,0 |
| DECYL GLUCOSIDE | 54549-25-6 | Decyl D-glucoside. | surfactant/ emulsion stabilising | 2 | 16,5 |
| LYSOLECITHIN | 85711-58-6 | Lecithins, hydrolyzed. | emulsifying | 2 | 7,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROSA DAM ASCENA EXTRACT | 90106-38-0 | Rosa Damascena Extract is an extract of the flowers of the rose, Rosa damascena, Rosaceae | tonic | 2 | 15,5 |
| SACCH ARIDE ISOM ERATE | $\begin{aligned} & 100843-69- \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | humectant | 2 | 15,5 |
| OLEA EUROPAEA OIL | 8001-25-0 | Olea Europaea Oil is the fixed oil obtained from the ripe fruit of the olive tree, Olea europaea, Oleaceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids linoleic, oleic and palmitic | emollient/ solvent | 2 | 7,0 |
| PENTASODIUM ETHYLENEDIAMINE TETRAM ETHYLENE PHOSPHONATE |  | Pentasodium trihydrogen, ethylenedinitrilotetrakis(methylp hosphonate). | chelating / viscosity controlling | 2 | 19,0 |
| TEREPHTHALYLIDENE DICAM PHOR SULFONIC ACID | 90457-82-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3,3'-(1,4- } \\ & \text { phenylenedimethylene)bis[7,7- } \\ & \text { dimethyl-2-oxo- } \\ & \text { bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1- } \\ & \text { methanesulfonic acid] } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | uv filter / uv absorber | 2 | 16,0 |
| SODIUM <br> PHENYLBENZIMIDAZOLE <br> SULFONATE | 5997-53-5 | 1H-benzimidazole-5-sulfonic acid, 2-phenyl-, monosodium salt | uv filter / uv absorber | 2 | 12,5 |
| SODIUM LACTATE | 72-17-3 | Sodium lactate. | buffering / humectant | 2 | 8,0 |
| SODIUM HYDROXIDE | 1310-73-2 | Sodium hydroxide. | buffering / denaturant | 2 | 22,0 |
| PERSEA GRATISSIMA OIL | 8024-32-6 | Persea Gratissima Oil is the fixed oil obtained by pressing the dehydrated sliced flesh of the avocado pear, Persea gratissima, Lauraceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids linoleic, oleic, and palmitic | emollient | 2 | 7,0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { POLYGLYCERYL-6 } \\ & \text { POLYHYDROXYSTEARATE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 2 | 16,0 |
| POTASSIUM SORBATE | 24634-61-5 | Potassium (E,E)-hexa-2,4dienoate. | preservative | 2 | 25,5 |
| TRICAPRYLIN | 538-23-8 | Glycerol trioctanoate. | emollient/ solvent / skin conditioning | 2 | 6,5 |
| PPG-3 MYRISTYL ETHER | 63793-60-2 | Poly[0xy(methyl-1,2-ethanediyl)], .alpha.-tetradecyl-.omega.-hydroxy- | emollient/ emulsifying | 2 | 6,0 |
| TRIETHANOLAMINE | 102-71-6 | 2,2', 2' '-nitrilotriethanol. | buffering | 2 | 22,5 |
| SIMMONDSIA CHINENSIS EXTRACT | $\begin{aligned} & 90045-98- \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Simmondsia Chinensis Extract is an extract of the nuts of the jojoba, Simmondsia chinensis, Buxaceae | skin conditioning / emollient/ hair conditioning / abrasive | 2 | 8,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-4 ISOSTEARATE | 91824-88-3 |  | emulsifying | 2 | 9,5 |
| PARAFFINUM LIQUIDUM | 8012-95-1 | Paraffin oils. Liquid hydrocarbons from petroleum. | antistatic / emollient/ solvent/ skin | 2 | 7,0 |


| INCI-name |  | Chemical name according to the | Contained in <br> the number <br> of products | Average <br> Inanking <br> INCI--list |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAS-Nr. |  |  |  |  |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PVP/EICOSENE COPOLYMER | 28211-18-9 | 2-pyrrolidinone, 1-ethenyl-, polymer with 1-eicosene | antistatic / binding / film forming / viscosity controlling / skin protecting | - product | 12,0 |
| PYRUS CYDONIA SEED |  | Pyrus Cydonia Seed is the dried seed of the quince, Pyrus cydonia, Rosaceae | abrasive | 1 | 8,0 |
| CERA MICROCRISTALLINA | 63231-60-7 | Paraffin waxes and H ydrocarbon waxes, microcryst.. A complex combination of long, branched chain hydrocarbons obtained from residual oils by solvent crystallization. It consists predominantly of saturated straight and branched chain hydrocarbons predomina | binding / emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 1 | 16,0 |
| CASSIA ALATA |  |  |  | 1 | 27,0 |
| CARBOMER | 9007-20-9 | 2-Propenoic acid, polymer with 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl) propane-1,3-diol 2-propenyl ether | emulsion stabilising / viscosity controlling / gel forming | 1 | 18,0 |
| PRUNUS AM YGDALUS DULCIS OIL | 8007-69-0 | Prunus Amygdalus Dulcis Oil is the fixed oil obtained from the ripe seeds of the sweet almond, Prunus amygdalus dulcis, Rosaceae. It consist primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids. | emollient/ <br> skin <br> conditioning | 1 | 7,0 |
| BEHENYL BEESWAX | 144514-52-3 | Fatty acids, beeswax, docosyl ester | viscosity controlling | 1 | 22,0 |
| ACRYLATES/OCTYLACRYLA MIDE COPOLYMER |  |  |  | 1 | 8,0 |
| VP/EICOSENE COPOLYMER |  |  |  | 1 | 12,0 |
| ALLANTOIN | 97-59-6 | Urea, (2,5-dioxo-4imidazolidinyl) | soothing | 1 | 17,0 |
| UBIQUINONE | 303-98-0 | 2,5-Cyclohexadiene-1,4-dione, 2-(3,7,11,15,19,23,27,31,35,39-decamethyl-2,6,10,14,18,22,26,30,34,38-tetracontadecaenyl)-5,6-dimethoxy-3-methyl-, (all-E)- | antioxidant / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 1 | 10,0 |
| ERIETHOXYCAPRYLYLSILAN |  |  |  | 1 | 25,0 |
| ALUMINIUM HYDROXIDE |  |  |  | 1 | 6,0 |
| TOCOPHERYL GLUCOSIDE |  |  |  | 1 | 24,0 |
| TOCOPHEROL ACETATE |  |  |  | 1 | 18,0 |
| ALUMINUM STEARATES |  | Aluminum distearate and aluminum tristearate | emollient/ emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 1 | 21,0 |


| INCl-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMMONIUM ACRYLOYLDIMETHYLLAURA TE/VP COPOLYMER |  |  |  | 1 | 12,0 |
| TETRADIBUTYL PENTAERITHRITYL HYDROXYGYDROCINNAMA TE |  |  |  | 1 | 26,0 |
| sODIUM CETEARYL SULFATE | 59186-41-3 | Sulfuric acid, mixed cetyl and stearyl esters, sodium salts | surfactant / cleansing/ foaming | 1 | 21,0 |
| AVENE AQUA |  |  |  | 1 | 1,0 |
| SESAMUM INDICUM OIL | 8008-74-0 | Sesamum Indicum Oil is the oil obtained from the seed of sesame, Sesamum indicum, Pedaliaceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids linoleic, oleic, palmitic and stearic | emollient/ hair conditioning / skin conditioning | - | 11,0 |
| TENACETUM ANNUUM OIL |  |  |  | 1 | 24,0 |
| SUCROSE DISTEARATE | 27195-16-0 | Sucrose distearate. | emollient/ emulsifying / skin conditioning | 1 | 17,0 |
| STEARYL BEESWAX | $\begin{aligned} & 223705-72- \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | Beeswax fatty acids, octadecyl esters | emollient | 1 | 21,0 |
| STEARYL ALCOHOL | 112-92-5 | Octadecan-1-01. | emollient emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling / foam boosting / refatting | 1 | 11,0 |
| SORBIC ACID | 110-44-1 | Hexa-2,4-dienoic acid. | preservative | 1 | 22,0 |
| BISABOLOL | 515-69-5 | ( $\mathrm{R}^{*}, \mathrm{R}^{*}$ )-.alpha.,4-dimethyl-.alpha.-(4-methyl-3pentenyl) cyclohex-3-ene-1methanol. | soothing | 1 | 13,0 |
| SODIUM SULFITE | 7757-83-7 | Sodium sulphite. | preservative | 1 | 11,0 |
| SODIUM SULFATE | 7757-82-6 | Sodium sulphate. | viscosity controlling / bulking | 1 | 27,0 |
| SODIUM STARCH OCTEN YLSUCCINATE | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mid 66829-29- \\ 6 \end{array}$ | Starch, esters with sodium hydrogen octenylbutanedioate | absorbent/ emulsion stabilising / viscosity controlling | 1 | 19,0 |
| BUTYLPARABEN | 94-26-8 | Butyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 1 | 13,0 |
| SILICA | 7631-86-9 | Silicon dioxide. | abrasive / absorbent/ opacifying / viscosity controlling / anticaking / bulking | 1 | 16,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASCORBIC ACID | 50-81-7 | Ascorbic acid. | antioxidant / buffering | 1 | 20,0 |
| HIPPOPHAE RHAMNOIDES EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} 90106-68- \\ 6 \end{array}$ | Hippophae Rhamnoides Extract is an extract of the fruit of the seabuckthorn, Hippophae rhamnoides, Elaeagnaceae | skin conditioning / masking | 1 | 12,0 |
| COM MIPHORA MYRRHA EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} 84929-26- \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Commiphora M yrrha Extract is an extract of the bark exudate of the myrrh, Commiphora myrrha, Burseraceae | cleansing | 1 | 12,0 |
| GLYCERYL LINOLEATE | 26545-74-4 | (9Z,12Z)-octadeca-9,12-dienoic acid, monoester with glycerol. | emollient/ emulsifying | 1 | 14,0 |
| GLYCERYL LINOLEN ATE | 18465-99-1 | 2,3-dihydroxypropyl (9Z, 12Z, 15Z)-9,12,15octadecatrienoate. | emollient | 1 | 15,0 |
| GLYCERYL OLEATE | 25496-72-4 | Oleic acid, monoester with glycerol. | emollient / emulsifying | 1 | 29,0 |
| 0-CYM EN-5-OL | 485-07-6 | 4-isopropyl-m-cresol. | preservative | 1 | 18,0 |
| GLYCERYL STEARATE SE |  |  | emulsifying | 1 | 12,0 |
| GLYCINE | 56-40-6 | Glycine. | antistatic / <br> buffering / <br> skin <br> conditioning <br> / hair <br> conditioning | 1 | 17,0 |
| MESEMBRYANTHEMUM CRYSTALLINUM EXTRACT |  |  |  | 1 | 10,0 |
| GLYCINE SOJA OIL | 8001-22-7 | Glycine Soja Oil is the oil obtained from the beans of soy, Glycine soja, Leguminosae, by extraction or expression. It consists esentially of triglycerides of oleic, linoleic and saturated acids | emollient / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 1 | 21,0 |
| GLYCINE SOJA/SOYBEAN OIL |  |  |  | 1 | 15,0 |
| GNAPHALIUM LEONTOPODIUM EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} 223749-06- \\ 2 \end{array}$ | Gnaphalium Leontopodium Extract is an extract of the flowers of Gnaphalium leontopodium, Compositae | skin conditioning | 1 | 11,0 |
| M ANNITOL | 69-65-8 | D-mannitol. | binding / humectant / masking / skin conditioning / moisturising | 1 | 18,0 |
| MANIHOT UTILISSIMA STARCH |  | M anihot Utilissima Starch is the starch obtained from tapioca, $M$ anihot utilissima, Euphorbiaceae. It consists primarily of amylose and amylopectin | soothing / bulking | 1 | 28,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OLEA EUROPAEA EXTRACT | 84012-27-1 | Olea Europaea Extract is an extract of the fruit of the olive, Olea europaea, Oleaceae | hair conditioning / skin conditioning | 1 | 31,0 |
| HYDROLYZED ADANSONIA DIGITATA EXTRACT |  |  |  | 1 | 30,0 |
| ISOPROPYL STEARATE | 112-10-7 | Isopropyl stearate. | binding / emollient/ skin conditioning | 1 | 5,0 |
| ISOPROPYL MYRISTATE | 110-27-0 | Isopropyl myristate. | binding/ emollient/ solvent / skin conditioning | 1 | 5,0 |
| ISONONYL ISONONANOATE | 59219-71-5 | 3,5,5-trimethylhexyl 3,5,5trimethylhexanoate. | antistatic / emollient/ skin conditioning | 1 | 4,0 |
| ISOHEXADECANE | 93685-80-4 | hydrocarbons, C4, 1,3-butadienefree, polym., tetraisobutylene fraction, hydrogenated | emollient/ solvent / skin conditioning | 1 | 4,0 |
| ISODECYL NEOPENTANOATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60209-82- } \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Propanoic acid, 2,2-dimethyl-, isodecyl ester | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { emollient / } \\ & \text { skin } \\ & \text { conditioning } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 6,0 |
| M AGNESIUM STEARATE | 557-04-0 | Magnesium distearate. | cosmetic colorant / moisturising / bulking / anticaking | 1 | 17,0 |
| LAURYL PEG/PPG-18/18 METHICONE |  |  |  | 1 | 16,0 |
| HEXYL CINNAMAL | 101-86-0 |  |  | 1 | 43,0 |
| HYDROGENATED POLYDECENE | 68037-01-4 | 1-Decene, homopolymer, hydrogenated | emollient | 1 | 2,0 |
| LAVANDULA ANGUSTIFOLIA EXTRACT | 90063-37-9 | Lavandula Angustifolia Extract is an extract of the flowers of the lavender, Lavandula angustifolia, Labiatae | tonic refreshing / cleansing/ deodorant/ masking | 1 | 19,0 |
| LECITHIN | 8002-43-5 | Lecithins. The complex combination of diglycerides of fatty acids linked to the choline ester of phosphoric acid. | antistatic / <br> emollient/ <br> emulsifying / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 1 | 8,0 |
| ACRYLATES/C10-30 ALKYL ACRYLATE CROSSPOLYM ER |  | C10-C30 alkyl propenoate, polymer with propenoic acid, butenoic acidand/or alkyl propenoates, product with propenyl sucrose ether or propenyl 2,2-dihydroxymethyl-1,3-propanediol | film forming | 1 | 13,0 |


| INCl-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MACADAMIA TERNIFOLIA SEED OIL | $\begin{array}{\|l} 128497-20- \\ 1 \end{array}$ | Macadamia Ternifolia Seed Oil is the fixed oil obtained from the nuts of the macadamia tree, M acadamia ternifolia, Proteaceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids | emollient | 1-1 | 9,0 |
| FAEX | 68876-77-7 | N aturally occurring substances, yeast | skin conditioning | 1 | 28,0 |
| ISOAMYL pMETHOXYCINNAMATE | 71617-10-2 | Isopentyl p-methoxycinnamate. | uv absorber / uv filter | 1 | 5,0 |
| DIGLYCOL/CHDM/ISO PHTHALATES/SIP COPOLYM ER |  |  | film forming | 1 | 11,0 |
| CITRUS GRANDIS SEED EXTRACT | 90045-43-5 | Citrus Grandis Seed Extract is an extract of the seeds of the grapefruit, Citrus grandis, Rutaceae. | skin conditioning / astringent/ tonic | 1 | 17,0 |
| HYDROGENATED COCOGLYCERIDES | 91744-42-2 | Glycerides, coco mono-, di- and tri-, hydrogenated. | emollient / skin conditioning | 1 | 13,0 |
| CYCLODEXTRIN | 7585-39-9 | Cycloheptapentylose. | absorbent/ chelating | 1 | 25,0 |
| JASMINUM OFFICINALE EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mid 90045-94- \\ 6 \end{array}$ | Jasminum Officinale Extract is an extract of the flowers and leaves of the jasmine, Jasminum officinale, Oleaceae | skin conditioning / moisturising / soothing / masking | 1 | 23,0 |
| CYCLOM ETHICONE | 556-67-2 | Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane | antistatic / emollient / humectant / solvent / viscosity controlling / hair conditioning |  | 5,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-3 RICINOLEATE |  |  | emulsifying | 1 | 7,0 |
| DAUCUS CAROTA SATIVA |  |  |  | 1 | 22,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-3 POLYRICINOLEATE | $\begin{aligned} & 235783-76- \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 9-Octadecanoic acid, 12-hydroxy(9Z, 12R)-, homopolymer, ester with triglycerol | emulsifying / viscosity controlling | 1 | 13,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-3 DIISOSTEARATE | 85666-92-8 |  | emulsifying | 1 | 15,0 |
| DENATONIUM BENZOATE | 3734-33-6 | Denatonium benzoate. | denaturant | 1 | 14,0 |
| DEXTRIN | 9004-53-9 | Dextrin. | absorbent/ binding / viscosity controlling | 1 | 29,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-2 <br> SESQUIISOSTEARATE | 67938-21-0 | Di(isooctadecanoic) acid, diester with oxydi (propanediol). | emulsifying | 1 | 8,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-2 DIPOLYHYDROXYSTEARATE | $\begin{aligned} & 137398-08- \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Octadecanoic acid, 12-hydroxy-, homopolymer, ester with oxybis(propanediol) | skin conditioning | 1 | 6,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OENOTHERA BIENNIS EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} 90028-66- \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Oenothera Biennis Extract is an extract of the roots and herb of the evening primrose, Oenothera biennis, Onagraceae | skin conditioning | 1 | 11,0 |
| ELAEIS GUINEENSIS OIL | 8002-75-3 | Elaeis Guineensis Oil is a natural oil obtained from the fruits of the palm, Elaeis guineensis, Palmae | emollient | 1 | 3,0 |
| OLUS OIL | 68956-68-3 | Olus Oil is an expressed oil of vegetable origin consisting primarily of triglycerides of fatty acids | emollient | 1 | 7,0 |
| PANTHENOL | 81-13-0 | Butanamide, 2,4-dihydroxy-N-(3-hydroxypropyl)-3,3-dimethyl-, (2R)- | antistatic / hair conditioning / skin conditioning | 1 | 15,0 |
| PEG-40 CASTOR OIL | 61791-12-6 | Castor oil, ethoxylated | emulsifying / surfactant | 1 | 19,0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PEG-45/ DODECYL GLYCOL } \\ & \text { COPOLYM ER } \end{aligned}$ | 78336-31-9 | Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), .alpha.-(12-hydroxydodecyl)-.omega.- <br> [(12-hydroxydodecyl)oxy]- (11;45) | emulsion stabilising | 1 | 14,0 |
| PEG-7 HYDROGENATED CASTOR OIL | 61788-85-0 | Castor oil, hydrogenated, ethoxylated | emulsifying / surfactant | 1 | 7,0 |
| POLYACRYLATE-3 |  |  |  | 1 | 16,0 |
| EPILOBIUM ANGUSTIFOLIUM EXTRACT | 90028-31-2 | Epilobium Angustifolium Extract is an extract of the aerial parts of Epilobium angustifolium, Onagraceae | skin conditioning | 1 | 10,0 |
| POLOXAM ER 407 | 2594-62-8 | Oxirane, methyl-, polymer with oxirane $(98 ; 67)$ | emulsifying / surfactant | 1 | 24,0 |
| DODECENE |  |  |  | 1 | 18,0 |
| PENTYLENE GLYCOL |  |  |  | 1 | 12,0 |
| PISUM SATIVUM EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} 90082-41- \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pisum Sativum Extract is an extract of the seeds of the pea, Pisum sativum, Leguminosae | astringent/ <br> firming | 1 | 26,0 |
| DIMETHICONOL | 31692-79-2 | Poly[oxy(dimethylsilylane)], .alpha.-hydro-.omega.-hydroxy- | antifoaming / emollient/ moisturising | 1 | 20,0 |
| PLATANUS OCCIDENTALIS |  |  |  | 1 | 32,0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { POTASSIUM CETYL } \\ & \text { PHOSPHATE } \end{aligned}$ | 84861-79-0 | 1-H exadecanol, phosphate, potassium salt. | surfactant | 1 | 10,0 |
| ESCULIN | 531-75-9 | 2H-1-Benzopyran-2-one, 6-(beta-D-glucopyranosyloxy)-7-hydroxy- | tonic | 1 | 30,0 |

### 1.1 Ingredients arranged al ph abetically

Tabel 0.1 List of all the ingredients in the 28 surveyed sunscreen for children marketed in October 2008. Theingredients arearranged al phabetically.

| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACRYLATES/C10-30 ALKYL ACRYLATE CROSSPOLYMER |  | C10-C30 alkyl propenoate, polymer with propenoic acid, butenoic acidand/ or alkyl propenoates, product with propenyl sucrose ether or propenyl 2,2-dihydroxymethyl-1,3-propanediol | film forming | 1 | 13,0 |
| ACRYLATES/OCTYLACRYLA MIDE COPOLYMER |  |  |  | 1 | 8,0 |
| ALCOHOL | 64-17-5 | Ethanol. | solvent | 4 | 4,8 |
| ALCOHOL DENAT. |  | Ethanol denatured in accordance with Customs and Excise regulations | solvent | 6 | 5,0 |
| ALLANTOIN | 97-59-6 | Urea, (2,5-dioxo-4imidazolidinyl) | soothing | 1 | 17,0 |
| ALOE BARBADENSIS |  | Aloe Barbadensis is a plant material derived from the leaves of the aloe, Aloe barbadensis, Liliaceae. | emollient | 4 | 7,8 |
| ALOE BARBADENSIS EXTRACT | 85507-69-3 | Aloe Barbadensis Extract is an extract of the leaves of the aloe, Aloe barbadensis, Liliaceae | emollient | 2 | 13,5 |
| ALUM INA | 1344-28-1 | Aluminium oxide. | abrasive / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 13 | 13,8 |
| ALUMINIUM HYDROXIDE |  |  |  | 1 | 6,0 |
| ALUM INUM DISTEARATE | 300-92-5 | H ydroxyaluminium distearate. | emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 2 | 14,0 |
| ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 21645-51-2 | Aluminium hydroxide. | emollient / humectant / viscosity controlling | 2 | 13,0 |
| ALUM INUM STEARATE | 7047-84-9 | Dihydroxyaluminium stearate. | cosmetic colorant / anticaking | 6 | 13,0 |
| ALUM INUM STEARATES |  | Aluminum distearate and aluminum tristearate | emollient/ <br> emulsion <br> stabilising / <br> opacifying / <br> viscosity <br> controlling | 1 | 21,0 |
| AMMONIUM ACRYLOYLDIM ETHYLLAURA TE/VP COPOLYMER |  |  |  | 1 | 12,0 |
| AQUA | 7732-18-5 | Water. | solvent | 25 | 1,1 |
| ASCORBIC ACID | 50-81-7 | Ascorbic acid. | antioxidant/ buffering | 1 | 20,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASCORBYL PALMITATE | 137-66-6 | 6-0-palmitoylascorbic acid. | antioxidant | 3 | 22,7 |
| AVENE AQUA |  |  |  | 1 | 1,0 |
| BEH ENYL BEESWAX | 144514-52-3 | Fatty acids, beeswax, docosyl ester | viscosity controlling | 1 | 22,0 |
| BENZOIC ACID | 65-85-0 | Benzoic acid. | preservative | 2 | 21,5 |
| BEN ZYL BENZOATE | 120-51-4 | Benzyl benzoate. | solvent | 2 | 29,0 |
| BENZYL SALICYLATE | 118-58-1 | Benzyl salicylate. | uv absorber | 3 | 32,0 |
| BETAGLUCAN | 26874-89-5 | Beta-d-glucose homopolymer | skin conditioning / bulking | 3 | 19,0 |
| BHT | 128-37-0 | 2,6-di-tert-butyl-P-cresol. | antioxidant | 2 | 32,5 |
| BISABOLOL | 515-69-5 | ( $\mathrm{R}^{*}, \mathrm{R}^{*}$ )-.alpha.,4-dimethyl-.alpha.-(4-methyl-3pentenyl) cyclohex-3-ene-1methanol. | soothing | 1 | 13,0 |
| BIS- <br> ETHYLEXYLOXYPHENOL <br> METHOXYPHENYL <br> TRIAZINE |  |  |  | 5 | 10,6 |
| BIS- <br> ETHYLHEXYLOXYPHENOL METHOXYPHENYL <br> TRIAZINE |  |  |  | 3 | 10,3 |
| BRASSICA CAM PESTRIS STEROLS |  |  |  | 2 | 18,5 |
| BUTYL <br> METHOXYDIBENZOYLMET HANE | 70356-09-1 | 1-[4-(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenyl]-3-(4-methoxyphenyl) propanel,3dione. | uv absorber / uv filter | 8 | 8,5 |
| BUTYLENE GLYCOL | 107-88-0 | Butane-1,3-diol. | humectant/ solvent | 3 | 8,0 |
| BUTYLENE GLYCOL DICAPRYLATE/DICAPRATE |  | 1,2-butanediol, diesters with octanoic and decanoic acids | emollient | 3 | 2,0 |
| BUTYLPARABEN | 94-26-8 | Butyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 1 | 13,0 |
| BUTYLPHENYL METHYLPROPION AL | 80-54-6 | 2-(4-tertbutylbenzyl) propionaldehyde | masking | 3 | 31,7 |
| BUTYROSPERM UM PARKII BUTTER | 91080-23-8 | Butyrospermum Parkii Butter is the fat obtained from the fruit of the karite tree, Butyrospernum parkii, Sapotaceae | skin conditioning / emollient | 3 | 10,0 |
| C12-15 ALKYL BENZOATE | 68411-27-8 | Benzoic acid, C12-15-alkyl esters. | emollient | 12 | 3,5 |
| C18-36 ACID TRIGLYCERIDE | 91052-08-3 | Triglycerides, C18-36. | emollient | 3 | 10,7 |
| CALENDULA OFFICIN ALIS |  | Calendula Officinalis is a plant material derived from the flowers of the calendula, Calendula officinalis, Compositae | emollient | 2 | 14,0 |
| CAMELIA JAPONICA EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} 223748-13- \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Camelia Japonica Extract is an extract of the leaves of Camelia japonica, Theaceae | skin conditioning | 1 | 7,0 |
| CAMELIA SINENSIS EXTRACT | $\begin{aligned} & 84650-60- \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Camelia Sinensis Extract is an extract of the leaves of the tea plant, Camelia sinensis, Theaceae | skin conditioning / astringent/ tonic | 1 | 13,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CANOLA OIL | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 120962-03- \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canola Oil is an oil derived from Brassica napus (Brassicaceae), low in erucic acid | emollient | 1 | 9,0 |
| CAPRYLIC/CAPRIC TRIGLYCERIDE | 73398-61-5 | Triglycerides, mixed decanoyl and octanoyl. | emollient / solvent | 14 | 4,1 |
| CAPRYLYL GLYCOL | 1117-86-8 | Octane-1,2-diol. | emollient / humectant/ hair conditioning | 3 | 15,0 |
| CAPRYLYL METHICONE |  |  |  | 1 | 15,0 |
| CARBOMER | 9007-20-9 | 2-Propenoic acid, polymer with 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl) propane-1,3-diol 2-propenyl ether | emulsion stabilising / viscosity controlling / gel forming | 1 | 18,0 |
| CASSIA ALATA |  |  |  | 1 | 27,0 |
| CERA ALBA | 8012-89-3 | Beeswax. The wax obtained from the honeycomb of the bee. It consists primarily of myricyl palmitate, cerotic acid and esters and some high-carbon paraffins. | emollient/ emulsifying / film forming | 2 | 12,0 |
| CERA M ICROCRISTALLINA | 63231-60-7 | Paraffin waxes and Hydrocarbon waxes, microcryst.. A complex combination of long, branched chain hydrocarbons obtained from residual oils by solvent crystallization. It consists predominantly of saturated straight and branched chain hydrocarbons predomina | binding / emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling |  | 16,0 |
| CETEARETH-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68439-49- } \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (12 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying | 4 | 16,0 |
| CETEARETH-20 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 68439-49- \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (20 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying / surfactant | 5 | 9,0 |
| CETEARYL ALCOHOL | 67762-27-0 | Alcohols, C16-18. | emollient/ emulsifying / emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 6 | 8,2 |
| CETEARYL <br> ETHYLHEXANOATE | 90411-68-0 | H exanoic acid, 2-ethyl-, C16-18alkyl esters. | emollient | 1 | 5,0 |
| CETEARYL GLUCOSIDE | $\begin{aligned} & 246159-33- \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | D-Glucopyranose, C16-C18 alkyl glycosides | emulsifying | 2 | 12,5 |
| CETYL ALCOHOL | 36653-82-4 | Hexadecan-1-ol. | emollient/ emulsifying / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 3 | 4,7 |
| CETYL DIMETHICONE | $\begin{aligned} & 191044-49- \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Siloxanes and silicones, hexadecyl methyl, dimethyl | emollient | 2 | 13,0 |
| CETYL PALMITATE | 540-10-3 | Hexadecyl hexadecanoate | emollient | 3 | 17,0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CETYL PEG/PPG-10/1 } \\ & \text { DIMETHICONE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 2 | 7,5 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CI 42090 | 3844-45-9 | Dihydrogen (ethy))[4-[4-[ethyl(3sulphonatobenzy) Jaminot2'sulphonatobenzhydrylidene]cycl ohexa-2,5-dien-1-ylidene](3sulphonatobenzyl)ammonium, disodium salt and other permitted lakes and salts | cosmetic colorant | 1 | 29,0 |
| CI 75810 | 11006-34-1 | Trisodium (2S-trans)-[18-carboxy-20-(carboxymethyl)-13-ethyl-2,3-dihydro-3,7,12,17-tetramethyl-8-vinyl-21H ,23H-porphine-2-propionato(5-)N21,N22,N 23,N 24]cuprate(3-) and its permitted lakes and salts | cosmetic colorant | 1 | 31,0 |
| CINNAM YL ALCOHOL | 104-54-1 | Cinnamyl alcohol. | masking | 1 | 35,0 |
| CITRAL | 5392-40-5 | 2,6-Octadienal, 3,7-dimethyl- | masking | 5 | 27,0 |
| CITRIC ACID | 77-92-9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-Hydroxy-1,2,3- } \\ & \text { propanetricarboxylic acid } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | buffering / chelating | 10 | 18,5 |
| CITRONELLOL | 106-22-9 | Citronellol. | masking | 6 | 23,8 |
| CITRUS GRAN DIS SEED EXTRACT | 90045-43-5 | Citrus Grandis Seed Extract is an extract of the seeds of the grapefruit, Citrus grandis, Rutaceae | skin conditioning / astringent / tonic | 1 | 17,0 |
| COMMIPHORA MYRRHA EXTRACT | $\begin{aligned} & 84929-26- \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Commiphora Myrrha Extract is an extract of the bark exudate of the myrrh, Commiphora myrrha, Burseraceae | cleansing | 1 | 12,0 |
| COUM ARIN | 91-64-5 | Coumarin. | masking | 3 | 27,3 |
| CYCLODEXTRIN | 7585-39-9 | Cycloheptapentylose. | absorbent / chelating | 1 | 25,0 |
| CYCLOHEXASILOXANE | 540-97-6 | Dodecamethylcyclohexasiloxane | hair conditioning / emollient/ solvent | 2 | 6,5 |
| CYCLOMETHICONE | 556-67-2 | Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane | antistatic / emollient/ humectant/ solvent/ viscosity controlling / hair conditioning |  | 5,0 |
| CYCLOPENTASILOXANE | 541-02-6 | Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane | hair conditioning / emollient/ solvent | 2 | 7,0 |
| DAUCUS CAROTA SATIVA |  |  |  | 1 | 22,0 |
| DECYL GLUCOSIDE | 54549-25-6 | Decyl D-glucoside. | surfactant/ emulsion stabilising | 2 | 16,5 |
| DEHYDROACETIC ACID | 520-45-6 | 3-acetyl-6-methyl-2H -pyran-2,4(3H)-dione. | preservative | 2 | 22,5 |
| DENATONIUM BENZOATE | 3734-33-6 | Denatonium benzoate. | denaturant | 1 | 14,0 |
| DEXTRIN | 9004-53-9 | Dextrin. | absorbent / binding / | 1 | 29,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function |  | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | viscosity controlling |  |  |
| DIBUTYL ADIPATE | 105-99-7 | Dibutyl adipate. | emollient/ film forming / plasticiser | 3 | 2,0 |
| DICAPRYLYL CARBONATE |  |  |  | 5 | 6,8 |
| DIETHYLAMINO HYDROXYBENZOYL HEXYL BENZOATE |  |  |  | 8 | 6,8 |
| DIETHYLHEXYL CARBONATE |  |  |  | 2 | 15,0 |
| DIGLYCOL/CHDM/ISO PHTHALATES/SIP COPOLYMER |  |  | film forming | 1 | 11,0 |
| DIMETHICONE | 9006-65-9 | Dimethicone | antifoaming / emollient | 4 | 12,3 |
| DIMETHICONOL | 31692-79-2 | Poly[oxy(dimethylsilylane)], .alpha.-hydro-omega.-hydroxy- | antifoaming / emollient / moisturising | 1 | 20,0 |
| DIMETHYLCARBONATE COPOLYMER |  |  |  | 4 | 10,3 |
| DIPOTASSIUM GLYCYRRHIZATE | 68797-35-3 | .alpha.-d-Glucopyranosiduronic acid, (3.beta.,20.beta.)-20-carboxy-11-oxo-30-norolean-12-en-3-yl 2-0-beta.-d-glucopyranuronosyl-, dipotassium salt. | humectant/ <br> skin <br> conditioning | 2 | 10,0 |
| DISODIUM EDTA | 139-33-3 | Disodium dihydrogen ethylenediaminetetraacetate. | chelating / viscosity controlling | 2 | 20,0 |
| DODECENE |  |  |  | 1 | 18,0 |
| DROM ETRIZOLE TRISILOXANE | 155633-54-8 | Phenol, 2-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-methyl-6-2-methyl-3-[1,3,3,3-tetramethyl-1- <br> [(trimethylsilyl)oxy]disiloxanyl]- | uv absorber | 2 | 16,0 |
| ELAEIS GUIN EENSIS OIL | 8002-75-3 | Elaeis Guineensis Oil is a natura oil obtained from the fruits of the palm, Elaeis guineensis, Palmae | emollient | 1 | 3,0 |
| EPILOBIUM ANGUSTIFOLIUM EXTRACT | 90028-31-2 | Epilobium Angustifolium Extract is an extract of the aerial parts of Epilobium angustifolium, Onagraceae | skin conditioning | 1 | 10,0 |
| ESCULIN | 531-75-9 | 2H-1-Benzopyran-2-one, 6 -(beta-D-glucopyranosyloxy)-7-hydroxy- | tonic | 1 | 30,0 |
| ETHYLHEXYL METHOXYCINNAMATE | 5466-77-3 | 2-ethylhexyl 4methoxycinnamate. | uv filter / uv absorber | 2 | 2,5 |
| ETHYLHEXYL SALICYLATE | 118-60-5 | 2-ethylhexyl salicylate. | uv absorber / uv filter | 2 | 5,0 |
| ETHYLHEXYL STEARATE | $\begin{aligned} & 110-00-\mathrm{Ja-} \\ & \hline 22047-49- \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 2-ethylhexyl stearate. | emollient | 2 | 3,0 |
| ETHYLHEXYL TRIAZONE | 88122-99-0 | Benzoic acid, 4,4', 4"-(1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triyltriimino)tris-,tris(2-ethylhexyl) ester | uv absorber / uv filter | 11 | 11,2 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ETHYLHEXYLGLYCERIN | 70445-33-9 | 1,2-propanediol, 3-(2ethylhexyloxy) | skin conditioning | 4 | 18,0 |
| ETHYLPARABEN | 120-47-8 | Ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 2 | 29,5 |
| EUGENOL | 97-53-0 | Phenol, 2-methoxy-4-(2propenyl) | denaturant / tonic | 3 | 28,3 |
| FAEX | 68876-77-7 | Naturally occurring substances, yeast | skin conditioning | 1 | 28,0 |
| GERANIOL | 106-24-1 | ```2,6-O ctadien-1-01, 3,7-dimethyl- (2E)-``` | tonic | 5 | 26,4 |
| GLYCERIN | 56-81-5 | Glycerol. | denaturant / humectant/ solvent | 22 | 7,9 |
| GLYCERYL LIN OLEATE | 26545-74-4 | (9Z,12Z)-octadeca-9,12-dienoic acid, monoester with glycerol. | emollient/ emulsifying | 1 | 14,0 |
| GLYCERYL LIN OLENATE | 18465-99-1 | 2,3-dihydroxypropyl (9Z,12Z,15Z)-9,12,15octadecatrienoate. | emollient | 1 | 15,0 |
| GLYCERYL OLEATE | 25496-72-4 | Oleic acid, monoester with glycerol. | emollient/ emulsifying | 1 | 29,0 |
| GLYCERYL STEARATE | 31566-31-1 | Stearic acid, monoester with glycerol. | emollient/ emulsifying | 4 | 4,5 |
| GLYCERYL STEARATE SE |  |  | emulsifying | 1 | 12,0 |
| GLYCINE | 56-40-6 | Glycine. | antistatic / <br> buffering / <br> skin <br> conditioning / <br> hair <br> conditioning | 1 | 17,0 |
| GLYCIN E SOJA EXTRACT | 84776-91-0 | Glycine Soja Extract is an extract of the beans of the soybean, Glycine soja, Leguminosae | skin conditioning / emollient/ bulking / hair conditioning / solvent/ moisturising | 4 4 | 6,8 |
| GLYCINE SOJA OIL | 8001-22-7 | Glycine Soja Oil is the oil obtained from the beans of soy, Glycine soja, Leguminosae, by extraction or expression. It consists esentially of triglycerides of oleic, linoleic and saturated acids | emollient/ skin conditioning | 1 | 21,0 |
| GLYCINE SOJA/SOYBEAN OIL |  |  |  | 1 | 15,0 |
| GNAPH ALIUM LEONTOPODIUM EXTRACT | ${ }_{2}^{223749-06-}$ | Gnaphalium Leontopodium Extract is an extract of the flowers of Gnaphalium leontopodium, Compositae | skin conditioning | 1 | 11,0 |
| HAMAMELIS VIRGINIANA EXTRACT | 84696-19-5 | Hamamelis Virginiana Extract is an extract of the bark, leaves and twigs of the witch hazel, Hamamelis virginiana, Hamamelidaceae | astringent/ soothing / skin conditioning / hair conditioning | 2 | 15,5 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HELIANTHUS ANNUUS EXTRACT | 84776-03-4 | Helianthus Annuus Extract is an extract of the seeds and flowers of the sunflower, Helianthus annuus, Compositae | skin conditioning / emollient/ hair conditioning | 3 | 9,7 |
| HELIANTHUS ANNUUS SEED OIL | 8001-21-6 | Helianthus Annuus Seed Oil is the oil expressed from the seeds of the sunflower, Helianthus annuus, Compositae | emollient/ skin conditioning / masking | 3 | 10,0 |
| HEXYL CINNAMAL | 101-86-0 |  |  | 1 | 43,0 |
| HIPPOPHAE RHAMNOIDES EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 90106-68- \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Hippophae Rhamnoides Extract is an extract of the fruit of the seabuckthorn, Hippophae rhamnoides, Elaeagnaceae | skin conditioning / masking | 1 | 12,0 |
| HYDROGENATED CASTOR OIL | 8001-78-3 | Castor oil, hydrogenated. | emollient/ emulsifying / surfactant/ viscosity controlling / skin conditioning | 4 | 16,3 |
| HYDROGENATED COCOGLYCERIDES | 91744-42-2 | Glycerides, coco mono-, di- and tri-, hydrogenated. | emollient/ skin conditioning | 1 | 13,0 |
| HYDROGENATED DIMER DIINOLEYL |  |  |  | 4 | 9,3 |
| HYDROGENATED LECITHIN | 92128-87-5 | Lecithins, hydrogenated. | emulsifying / skin conditioning | 2 | 15,5 |
| HYDROGENATED PALM GLYCERIDES | 91744-66-0 | Glycerides, palm-oil mono-, diand tri-, hydrogenated. | emollient / emulsifying / skin conditioning / viscosity controlling | 2 | 14,5 |
| HYDROGENATED POLYDECENE | 68037-01-4 | 1-Decene, homopolymer, hydrogenated | emollient | 1 | 2,0 |
| HYDROLYZED ADANSONIA DIGITATA EXTRACT |  |  |  | 1 | 30,0 |
| HYDROXYISOHEXYL 3CYCLOHEXENE CARBOXALDEHYDE | 31906-04-4 |  |  | 2 | 25,5 |
| ISOAMYL pMETHOXYCINNAMATE | 71617-10-2 | Isopentyl p-methoxycinnamate. | uv absorber / uv filter | 1 | 5,0 |
| ISODECYL <br> NEOPENTAN OATE | $\begin{array}{\|l} 60209-82- \\ 7 \end{array}$ | Propanoic acid, 2,2-dimethyl-, isodecyl ester | emollient/ skin conditioning | 1 | 6,0 |
| ISOHEXADECANE | 93685-80-4 | hydrocarbons, C4, 1,3-butadienefree, polym., tetraisobutylene fraction, hydrogenated | emollient/ solvent / skin conditioning | 1 | 4,0 |
| ISONONYL ISONONANOATE | 59219-71-5 | 3,5,5-trimethylhexyl 3,5,5trimethylhexanoate. | antistatic / emollient/ skin conditioning | 1 | 4,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISOPROPYL MYRISTATE | 110-27-0 | Isopropyl myristate. | binding/ emollient/ solvent/ skin conditioning | 1 | 5,0 |
| ISOPROPYL STEARATE | 112-10-7 | Isopropyl stearate. | binding/ emollient/ skin conditioning | 1 | 5,0 |
| JASMINUM OFFICINALE EXTRACT | 90045-94- <br> 6 | Jasminum Officinale Extract is an extract of the flowers and leaves of the jasmine, Jasminum officinale, Oleaceae | skin conditioning / moisturising / soothing / masking | 1 | 23,0 |
| LAURYL PEG/PPG-18/18 METHICONE |  |  |  | 1 | 16,0 |
| LAVANDULA ANGUSTIFOLIA EXTRACT | 90063-37-9 | Lavandula Angustifolia Extract is an extract of the flowers of the lavender, Lavandula angustifolia, Labiatae | tonic/ refreshing / cleansing / deodorant/ masking | 1 | 19,0 |
| LECITHIN | 8002-43-5 | Lecithins. The complex combination of diglycerides of fatty acids linked to the choline ester of phosphoric acid. | antistatic / <br> emollient/ <br> emulsifying / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 1 | 8,0 |
| LIMONENE | 5989-27-5 |  |  | 6 | 28,5 |
| LINALOOL | 78-70-6 | 1,6-Octadien-3-01, 3,7-dimethyl- | deodorant | 5 | 27,0 |
| LYSOLECITHIN | 85711-58-6 | Lecithins, hydrolyzed. | emulsifying | 2 | 7,0 |
| MACADAMIA TERNIFOLIA SEED OIL | $\begin{array}{\|l} 128497-20- \\ 1 \end{array}$ | Macadamia Ternifolia Seed Oil is the fixed oil obtained from the nuts of the macadamia tree, M acadamia ternifolia, Proteaceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids | emollient | 1 | 9,0 |
| M AGNESIUM STEARATE | 557-04-0 | M agnesium distearate. | cosmetic <br> colorant/ <br> moisturising / <br> bulking / <br> anticaking | 1 | 17,0 |
| MAGNESIUM SULFATE | 7487-88-9 | M agnesium sulphate. | viscosity controlling / hair conditioning / bulking | 9 | 14,8 |
| M ANIHOT UTILISSIMA STARCH |  | M anihot Utilissima Starch is the starch obtained from tapioca, M anihot utilissima, Euphorbiaceae. It consists primarily of amylose and amylopectin | soothing / bulking | 1 | 28,0 |
| M ANNITOL | 69-65-8 | D-mannitol. | binding / humectant/ masking / skin conditioning / | 1 | 18,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | moisturising |  |  |
| MESEMBRYANTHEMUM CRYSTALLINUM EXTRACT |  |  |  | 1 | 10,0 |
| METHYLENE BISBEN ZOTRIAZOLYL TETRAM ETHYLBUTYLPHEN OL |  |  |  | 4 | 4,3 |
| METHYLPARABEN | 99-76-3 | M ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 7 | 23,9 |
| OCTOCRYLENE | 6197-30-4 | 2-Propenoic acid, 2-cyano-3,3-diphenyl-, 2-ethylhexyl ester | uv filter / uv absorber | 4 | 6,3 |
| OCTYLDODECANOL | 5333-42-6 | 2-octyldodecan-1-ol. | emollient/ solvent | 3 | 2,0 |
| O-CYM EN-5-OL | 485-07-6 | 4-isopropyl-m-cresol. | preservative | 1 | 18,0 |
| OEN OTHERA BIENNIS EXTRACT | \|90028-66- <br> 3 | Oenothera Biennis Extract is an extract of the roots and herb of the evening primrose, Oenothera biennis, Onagraceae | skin conditioning | 1 | 11,0 |
| OLEA EUROPAEA EXTRACT | 84012-27-1 | Olea Europaea Extract is an extract of the fruit of the olive, Olea europaea, Oleaceae | hair conditioning / skin conditioning | 1 | 31,0 |
| OLEA EUROPAEA OIL | 8001-25-0 | Olea Europaea Oil is the fixed oil obtained from the ripe fruit of the olive tree, Olea europaea, Oleaceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids linoleic, oleic and palmitic | emollient/ solvent | 2 | 7,0 |
| OLUS OIL | 68956-68-3 | Olus Oil is an expressed oil of vegetable origin consisting primarily of triglycerides of fatty acids | emollient | 1 | 7,0 |
| PANTHENOL | 81-13-0 | Butanamide, 2,4-dihydroxy-N -(3-hydroxypropyl)-3,3-dimethyl-, (2R)- | antistatic / hair conditioning / skin conditioning | 1 | 15,0 |
| PARAFFINUM LIQUIDUM | 8012-95-1 | Paraffin oils. Liquid hydrocarbons from petroleum. | antistatic / emollient/ solvent/ skin protecting | 2 | 7,0 |
| PARFUM |  | Perfume and aromatic compositions and their raw materials | deodorant/ masking | 7 | 25,3 |
| PEG-30 <br> DIPOLYHYDROXYSTEARATE |  |  | emulsifying | 5 | 8,0 |
| PEG-40 CASTOR OIL | 61791-12-6 | Castor oil, ethoxylated | emulsifying / surfactant | 1 | 19,0 |
| PEG-45/DODECYL GLYCOL COPOLYMER | 78336-31-9 | Poly( oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), .alpha.-(12-hydroxydodecyl)-omega.-[(12-hydroxydodecyl)oxy]- (11;45) | emulsion stabilising | 1 | 14,0 |


| INCl-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function |  | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEG-7 HYDROGENATED CASTOR OIL | 61788-85-0 | Castor oil, hydrogenated, ethoxylated | emulsifying / surfactant | 1 | 7,0 |
| PENTASODIUM ETHYLENEDIAMINE TETRAM ETHYLENE PHOSPHONATE |  | Pentasodium trihydrogen, ethylenedinitrilotetrakis(methylp hosphonate). | chelating / viscosity controlling | 2 | 19,0 |
| PENTYLENE GLYCOL |  |  |  | 1 | 12,0 |
| PERSEA GRATISSIMA OIL | 8024-32-6 | Persea Gratissima Oil is the fixed oil obtained by pressing the dehydrated sliced flesh of the avocado pear, Persea gratissima, Lauraceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids linoleic, oleic, and palmitic | emollient | 2 | 7,0 |
| PHENOXYETHANOL | 122-99-6 | 2-phenoxyethanol. | preservative | 17 | 20,9 |
| PISUM SATIVUM EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} 90082-41- \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pisum Sativum Extract is an extract of the seeds of the pea, Pisum sativum, Leguminosae | astringent/ firming | 1 | 26,0 |
| PLATANUS OCCIDENTALIS |  |  |  | 1 | 32,0 |
| POLOXAM ER 407 | 2594-62-8 | Oxirane, methyl-, polymer with oxirane (98;67) | emulsifying / surfactant | 1 | 24,0 |
| POLYACRYLATE-3 |  |  |  | 1 | 16,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-2 DIPOLYHYDROXYSTEARATE | $\begin{array}{\|l} 137398-08- \\ 4 \end{array}$ | Octadecanoic acid, 12-hydroxy-, homopolymer, ester with oxybis(propanediol) | skin conditioning | 1 | 6,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-2 SESQUIISOSTEARATE | 67938-21-0 | Di(isooctadecanoic) acid, diester with oxydi(propanediol). | emulsifying | 1 | 8,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-3 DIISOSTEARATE | 85666-92-8 |  | emulsifying | 1 | 15,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-3 POLYRICIN OLEATE | 235783-76- <br> 3 | 9-O ctadecanoic acid, 12-hydroxy(9Z, 12R)-, homopolymer, ester with triglycerol | emulsifying/ viscosity controlling | 1 | 13,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-3 RICIN OLEATE |  |  | emulsifying | 1 | 7,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-4 DIISOSTEARATE/POLYHYDR OXYSTEARATE/SEBACATE |  |  |  | 5 | 8,4 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-4 ISOSTEARATE | 91824-88-3 |  | emulsifying | 2 | 9,5 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-6 POLYHYDROXYSTEARATE |  |  |  | 2 | 16,0 |
| POLYHYDROXYSTEARIC ACID |  |  | emulsifying | 9 | 13,9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { POTASSIUM CETYL } \\ & \text { PHOSPHATE } \end{aligned}$ | 84861-79-0 | 1-H exadecanol, phosphate, potassium salt. | surfactant | 1 | 10,0 |
| POTASSIUM SORBATE | 24634-61-5 | Potassium (E,E)-hexa-2,4dienoate. | preservative | 2 | 25,5 |
| PPG-15 STEARYL ETHER | 25231-21-4 | Poly[0xy(methyl-1,2-ethanediy) ], .alpha.-octadecyl-.omega.-hydroxy- | emollient | 1 | 9,0 |
| PPG-3MYRISTYL ETHER | 63793-60-2 | Poly[oxy(methyl-1,2-ethanediyl)], .alpha.-tetradecyl-omega.-hydroxy- | emollient/ emulsifying | 2 | 6,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROPOLIS | 85665-41-4 | Propolis, ext. | antiseborrhoei c moisturising / smoothing | 1 | 31,0 |
| PROPYLENE GLYCOL | 57-55-6 | Propane-1,2-diol. | humectant / solvent / skin conditioning / viscosity controlling | 5 | 13,8 |
| PROPYLPARABEN | 94-13-3 | Propyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 5 | 23,4 |
| PRUNUS AMYGDALUS DULCIS OIL | 8007-69-0 | Prunus Amygdalus Dulcis Oil is the fixed oil obtained from the ripe seeds of the sweet almond, Prunus amygdalus dulcis, Rosaceae. It consist primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids. | emollient / skin conditioning | 1 | 7,0 |
| PRUNUS ARMENIACA EXTRACT | 68650-44-2 | Prunus Armeniaca Extract is an extract of the fruit of the apricot, Prunus armeniaca, Rosaceae | emollient / moisturising | 1 | 15,0 |
| PRUNUS DULCIS |  |  |  | 1 | 16,0 |
| PVP/EICOSENE COPOLYMER | 28211-18-9 | 2-pyrrolidinone, 1-ethenyl-, polymer with 1-eicosene | antistatic / binding / film forming / viscosity controlling / skin protecting | 1 | 12,0 |
| PYRUS CYDONIA SEED |  | Pyrus Cydonia Seed is the dried seed of the quince, Pyrus cydonia, Rosaceae | abrasive | 1 | 8,0 |
| ROSA CANINA FRUIT EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} 84696-47- \\ 9 \end{array}$ | Rosa Canina Fruit Extract is an extract of the fruit of the dog rose, Rosa canina, Rosaceae. | tonic / astringent | 1 | 2,0 |
| ROSA CANINA SEED EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} 84696-47- \\ 9 \end{array}$ | Rosa Canina Seed Extract is an extract of the seeds of the dog rose, Rosa canina, Rosaceae | skin conditioning | 1 | 18,0 |
| ROSA DAM ASCENA EXTRACT | 90106-38-0 | Rosa Damascena Extract is an extract of the flowers of the rose, Rosa damascena, Rosaceae | tonic | 2 | 15,5 |
| ROSM ARINUS OFFICINALIS EXTRACT | 84604-14-8 | Rosmarinus Officinalis Extract is an extract of the leaves of the rosemary, Rosmarinus officinalis, Labiatae | tonic / refreshing / antimicrobial | 1 | 13,0 |
| SACCH ARIDE ISOM ERATE | $\begin{aligned} & 100843-69- \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | humectant | 2 | 15,5 |
| SESAMUM INDICUM OIL | 8008-74-0 | Sesamum Indicum Oil is the oil obtained from the seed of sesame, Sesamum indicum, Pedaliaceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids linoleic, oleic, palmitic and stearic | emollient / <br> hair <br> conditioning / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 1 | 11,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SILICA | 7631-86-9 | Silicon dioxide. | abrasive/ absorbent / opacifying / viscosity controlling / anticaking/ bulking | 1 | 16,0 |
| SIMMONDSIA CHINENSIS EXTRACT | $\begin{aligned} & 90045-98- \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Simmondsia Chinensis Extract is an extract of the nuts of the jojoba, Simmondsia chinensis, Buxaceae | skin conditioning / emollient/ hair conditioning / abrasive | 2 | 8,0 |
| SIM MONDSIA CHINENSIS OIL | 61789-91-1 | Simmondsia Chinensis Oil is the fixed oil expressed or extracted from seeds of the jojoba, Simmondsia chinensis, Buxaceae | emollient | 4 | 5,5 |
| SODIUM BENZOATE | 532-32-1 | Sodium benzoate. | preservative | 8 | 22,8 |
| sODIUM CETEARYL SULFATE | 59186-41-3 | Sulfuric acid, mixed cetyl and stearyl esters, sodium salts | surfactant/ <br> cleansing / <br> foaming | 1 | 21,0 |
| SODIUM CHLORIDE | 7647-14-5 | Sodium chloride. | viscosity controlling / bulking | 3 | 15,0 |
| SODIUM <br> DEHYDROACETATE | 4418-26-2 | Sodium 1-(3,4-dihydro-6-methyl-2,4-dioxo-2H-pyran-3ylidene)ethanolate. | preservative | 3 | 26,0 |
| SODIUM GLUCONATE | 527-07-1 | Sodium gluconate. | chelating | 5 | 17,0 |
| SODIUM HYDROXIDE | 1310-73-2 | Sodium hydroxide. | buffering / denaturant | 2 | 22,0 |
| SODIUM LACTATE | 72-17-3 | Sodium lactate. | buffering / humectant | 2 | 8,0 |
| SODIUM PHENYLBENZIMIDAZOLE SULFONATE | 5997-53-5 | 1H-benzimidazole-5-sulfonic acid, 2-phenyl-, monosodium salt | uv filter / uv absorber | 2 | 12,5 |
| SODIUM PHOSPHATE | 7558-80-7 | Sodium dihydrogenorthophosphate. | buffering | 3 | 20,0 |
| SODIUM STARCH OCTENYLSUCCINATE | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 66829-29- } \\ 6 \end{array}$ | Starch, esters with sodium hydrogen octenylbutanedioate | absorbent/ emulsion stabilising / viscosity controlling | 1 | 19,0 |
| SODIUM SULFATE | 7757-82-6 | Sodium sulphate. | viscosity controlling / bulking | 1 | 27,0 |
| SODIUM SULFITE | 7757-83-7 | Sodium sulphite. | preservative | 1 | 11,0 |
| SORBIC ACID | 110-44-1 | Hexa-2,4-dienoic acid. | preservative | 1 | 22,0 |
| SORBITAN ISOSTEARATE | 71902-01-7 | Sorbitan, isooctadecanoate. | emulsifying | 4 | 9,0 |


| INCl-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEARIC ACID | 57-11-4 | Stearic acid. | emulsifying / emulsion stabilising / refatting / cleansing | 9 | 15,3 |
| STEARYL ALCOHOL | 112-92-5 | Octadecan-1-ol. | emollient/ emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling / foam boosting / refatting |  | 11,0 |
| STEARYL BEESWAX | $\begin{aligned} & 223705-72-1 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | Beeswax fatty acids, octadecyl esters | emollient | 1 | 21,0 |
| SUCROSE DISTEARATE | 27195-16-0 | Sucrose distearate. | emollient / emulsifying / skin $\qquad$ | 1 | 17,0 |
| TENACETUM ANNUUM OIL |  |  |  | 1 | 24,0 |
| TEREPHTHALYLIDENE DICAM PHOR SULFONIC ACID | 90457-82-2 | 3,3'-(1,4phenylenedimethylene) bis[7,7-dimethyl-2-oxo-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-1methanesulfonic acid] | uv filter / uv absorber | 2 | 16,0 |
| TETRADIBUTYL PENTAERITHRITYL HYDROXYGYDROCINNAMA TE |  |  |  | 1 | 26,0 |
| TETRASODIUM IMINODISUCCINATE |  |  |  | 3 | 21,0 |
| TITANIUM DIOXIDE | 13463-67-7 | Titanium dioxide (CI 77891). | opacifying / uv absorber | 25 | 5,8 |
| TOCOPHEROL | 10191-41-0 | 3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl) -2 H -benzopyran-6-01. | antioxidant/ <br> skin <br> conditioning | 15 | 17,7 |
| TOCOPHEROL ACETATE |  |  |  | 1 | 18,0 |
| TOCOPHERYL ACETATE | 7695-91-2 | 3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl) -2 H -benzopyran-6-yl acetate. | antioxidant | 10 | 14,6 |
| TOCOPHERYL GLUCOSIDE |  |  |  | 1 | 24,0 |
| TRICAPRYLIN | 538-23-8 | Glycerol trioctanoate. | emollient / solvent/skin conditioning | 2 | 6,5 |
| TRIETHANOLAMINE | 102-71-6 | 2,2',2"-nitriotriethanol. | buffering | 2 | 22,5 |
| TRIETHOXYCAPRYLYLSILAN E |  |  |  | 1 | 25,0 |
| TRIMETHOXYCAPRYLYLSILA NE | 3069-40-7 | Trimethoxyocty/silane | binding/ smoothing | 3 | 19,3 |
| TRISODIUM EDTA | 150-38-9 | Trisodium hydrogen ethylenediaminetetraacetate. | chelating | 3 | 19,3 |
| UBIQUINONE | 303-98-0 | 2,5-Cyclohexadiene-1,4-dione, 2-(3,7,11,15,19,23,27,31,35,39-decamethyl- | antioxidant / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 1 | 10,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name as indicated on the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the numer of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2,6,10,14,18,22,26,30,34,38-tetracontadecaenyl)-5,6-dimethoxy-3-methyl-, (all-E)- |  |  |  |
| VP/EICOSENE COPOLYMER |  |  |  | 1 | 12,0 |
| VP/HEXADECENE COPOLYMER |  |  |  | 2 | 14,5 |
| XANTHAN GUM | 11138-66-2 | Xanthan gum. | binding/ emulsion stabilising / viscosity controlling / gel forming | 10 | 20,4 |
| ZINC OXIDE | 1314-13-2 | Zinc oxide ( Cl 77947 ). | bulking / uv absorber / skin protecting | 4 | 11,3 |
| ZINC STEARATE | 557-05-1 | Zinc distearate. | cosmetic colorant / anticaking | 3 | 13,0 |

# Chemical substances in moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions 

This appendix is a summary of all the chemical substances found in the surveyed moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions for children. A total of 174 different substances were found in the 32 surveyed creams and lotions.

The summary shows the frequency of different substances occurring in the the creams and lotions, and the rank order they occur in descending order of weigth, as indicated on the list of ingredients on the products. The rank order is thus an indication of the relative concentration of the ingredients in the products. A low figure (high ranking) means that the substance is the main ingredient in the product, wheras a high figure (low ranking) means that the substance is an additive (e.g., a preservative) in the product.

T he table below shows how many of the 32 moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions contain the different listed substances and the rank order of the substances.

Ingredientslisted in descen ding order of frequency
The chemical substances are indicated in descending order of frequency. For instance when the first substance is aqua ( = water), it occurs in most of the products.

List of all the ingredients in the 32 surveyed moisturising creams, oil-based creams and lotions for children, marketed in October 2008. Theingredients arelisted in descending order of
frequency.

| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the <br> INCI-list | Function | Contained <br> in the <br> number of <br> products | Average <br> ranking <br> order |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AQUA | $7732-18-5$ | Water. | solvent | 30 | 1,1 |
| GLYCERIN | $56-81-5$ | Glycerol. | denaturant / <br> humectant/ <br> solvent | 24 | 4,3 |
| GLYCERYL STEARATE | $31566-31-1$ | Stearic acid, monoester with <br> glycerol. | emollient / <br> emulsifying | 16 | 5,9 |
| PHENOXYETHANOL | $122-99-6$ | 2-phenoxyethanol. | preservative | 16 | 13,5 |


| INCl-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function |  | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CETEARYL ALCOHOL | 67762-27-0 | Alcohols, C16-18. | emollient/ emulsifying / emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 13 | 6,2 |
| XANTHAN GUM | 11138-66-2 | Xanthan gum. | binding / emulsion stabilising / viscosity controlling / gel forming | 11 | 14,9 |
| CITRIC ACID | 77-92-9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-Hydroxy-1,2,3- } \\ & \text { propanetricarboxylic acid } \end{aligned}$ | buffering / chelating | 10 | 15,2 |
| PARAFFINUM LIQUIDUM | 8012-95-1 | Paraffin oils. Liquid hydrocarbons from petroleum. | antistatic / emollient/ solvent / skin protecting | 10 | 2,7 |
| ETHYLHEXYL STEARATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22047-49- } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 2-ethylhexyl stearate. | emollient | 9 | 3,4 |
| SODIUM GLUCONATE | 527-07-1 | Sodium gluconate. | chelating | 8 | 13,1 |
| METHYLPARABEN | 99-76-3 | M ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 7 | 11,9 |
| BUTYROSPERMUM PARKII BUTTER | 91080-23-8 | Butyrospermum Parkii Butter is the fat obtained from the fruit of the karite tree, Butyrospernum parkii, Sapotaceae | skin conditioning / emollient | 7 | 8,0 |
| SODIUM BENZOATE | 532-32-1 | Sodium benzoate. | preservative | 6 | 19,3 |
| BENZOIC ACID | 65-85-0 | Benzoic acid. | preservative | 6 | 13,7 |
| PROPYLPARABEN | 94-13-3 | Propyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 6 | 15,2 |
| PETROLATUM | 2231-33-5 | Petrolatum. A complex combination of hydrocarbons obtained as a semi-solid from dewaxing paraffinic residual oil. It consists predominantly of saturated crystalline and liquid hydrocarbons having carbon numbers predominantly greater than C25. | antistatic / emollient | 6 | 2,3 |
| CARBOMER | 9007-20-9 | 2-Propenoic acid, polymer with 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl) propane-1,3-diol 2-propenyl ether | emulsion stabilising / viscosity controlling / gel forming | 6 | 10,2 |
| LIMONENE | 5989-27-5 |  |  | 6 | 19,7 |
| PARFUM |  | Perfume and aromatic compositions and their raw materials | deodorant/ masking | 6 | 14,8 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIM ETHICONE | 9006-65-9 | Dimethicone | antifoaming / emollient | 5 | 9,4 |
| PENTYLENE GLYCOL |  |  |  | 5 | 4,2 |
| SODIUM HYDROXIDE | 1310-73-2 | Sodium hydroxide. | buffering / denaturant | 5 | 14,2 |
| PRUNUS AMYGDALUS DULCIS OIL | 8007-69-0 | Prunus Amygdalus Dulcis Oil is the fixed oil obtained from the ripe seeds of the sweet almond, Prunus amygdalus dulcis, Rosaceae. It consist primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids. | emollient / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 5 | 8,6 |
| LINALOOL | 78-70-6 | 1,6-Octadien-3-ol, 3,7-dimethyl- | deodorant | 5 | 17,8 |
| ETHYLHEXYLGLYCERIN | 70445-33-9 | 1,2-propanediol, 3-(2ethylhexyloxy) | skin conditioning | 4 | 14,0 |
| CALENDULA OFFICINALIS EXTRACT | 84776-23-8 | Calendula Officinalis Extract is an extract of the flowers of the calendula, Calendula officinalis, Compositae. | emollient | 4 | 11,8 |
| GLYCERYL STEARATE SE |  |  | emulsifying | 4 | 5,5 |
| CAPRYLIC/CAPRIC TRIGLYCERIDE | 73398-61-5 | Triglycerides, mixed decanoyl and octanoyl. | emollient / solvent | 4 | 3,0 |
| OLUS OIL | 68956-68-3 | Olus Oil is an expressed oil of vegetable origin consisting primarily of triglycerides of fatty acids | emollient | 4 | 5,3 |
| PARAFFIN | 8002-74-2 | Paraffin waxes and Hydrocarbon waxes. A complex combination of hydrocarbons obtained from petroleum fractions by solvent crystallization (solvent deoiling) or by the sweating process. It consists predominantly of straight chain hydrocarbons having carbon | emollient / viscosity controlling | 4 | 4,8 |
| CERA MICROCRISTALLINA | 63231-60-7 | Paraffin waxes and Hydrocarbon waxes, microcryst.. A complex combination of long, branched chain hydrocarbons obtained from residual oils by solvent crystallization. It consists predominantly of saturated straight and branched chain hydrocarbons predomina | binding / emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 4 | 5,0 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-3 METHYLGLUCOSE DISTEARATE |  |  | emulsifying | 4 | 7,5 |
| CERAMIDE 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 100403-19- \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Octadecanamide, N-(1,3,4-trihydroxy-2-octadecyl) | skin conditioning / skin protecting | 4 | 13,5 |
| ETHYLPARABEN | 120-47-8 | Ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 4 | 14,5 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function |  | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAGNESIUM ALUMINUM SILICATE | 1327-43-1 | Silicic acid, aluminum magnesium salt. | absorbent / opacifying / viscosity controlling / anticaking | 4 | 9,5 |
| CETEARYL GLUCOSIDE | $\begin{aligned} & 246159-33- \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | D-Glucopyranose, C16-C18 alkyl glycosides | emulsifying | 4 | 6,0 |
| TRIETHAN OLAMINE | 102-71-6 | 2,2',2"-nitrilotriethanol. | buffering | 4 | 16,5 |
| DEHYDROACETIC ACID | 520-45-6 | 3-acetyl-6-methyl-2H-pyran- <br> 2,4(3H)-dione. | preservative | 4 | 15,0 |
| CHOLESTEROL | 57-88-5 | Cholest-5-en-3-01 (beta)- | emollient/ emulsifying / stabilising | 4 | 11,3 |
| TOCOPHEROL | 10191-41-0 | 3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl) -2 H -benzopyran-6-0l. | antioxidant/ skin conditioning | 3 | 13,7 |
| CETEARETH-20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68439-49- } \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (20 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying / surfactant | 3 | 8,7 |
| CETEARETH-25 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 68439-49- \\ 6 \end{array}$ | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (25 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying / surfactant | 3 | 5,7 |
| LANOLIN | 8006-54-0 | Lanolin. Fat-like substance derived from sheep wool. Contains a complex combination of esters and polyesters, consisting chiefly of cholesteryl and isocholesteryl esters of the higher fatty acids. | antistatic / emollient/ emulsifying / skin conditioning / hair conditioning / surfactant | 3 | 4,3 |
| LACTIC ACID | 50-21-5 | Propanoic acid, 2-hydroxy- | buffering / <br> humectant/ <br> skin <br> conditioning | 3 | 12,7 |
| ISOCETYL ALCOHOL | 36311-34-9 | Isohexadecanol. | emollient/ viscosity controlling / skin conditioning | 3 | 14,3 |
| ISOPROPYL MYRISTATE | 110-27-0 | Isopropyl myristate. | binding / emollient / solvent/skin conditioning | 3 | 3,0 |
| PEG-100 STEARATE | 9004-99-3 | Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), .alpha.-(1-oxooctadecyl) -.omega.-hydroxy- | surfactant | 3 | 8,0 |
| CETEARETH-12 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 68439-49- \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (12 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying | 3 | 5,7 |
| SODIUM CITRATE | 68-04-2 | Trisodium citrate. | buffering / chelating | 3 | 9,0 |
| SIMMONDSIA CHINENSIS OIL | 61789-91-1 | Simmondsia Chinensis Oil is the fixed oil expressed or extracted from seeds of the jojoba, Simmondsia chinensis, | emollient | 3 | 4,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Buxaceae |  |  |  |
| DICAPRYLYL CARBONATE |  |  |  | 3 | 6,7 |
| BUTYLENE GLYCOL | 107-88-0 | Butane-1,3-diol. | humectant/ solvent | 3 | 3,7 |
| STEARIC ACID | 57-11-4 | Stearic acid. | emulsifying / emulsion stabilising / refatting / cleansing | 3 | 7,0 |
| SORBITAN STEARATE | 1338-41-6 | Sorbitan stearate. | emulsifying | 3 | 4,7 |
| PROPYLENE GLYCOL | 57-55-6 | Propane-1,2-diol. | humectant/ solvent / skin conditioning / viscosity controlling | 3 | 7,3 |
| POTASSIUM SORBATE | 24634-61-5 | Potassium (E,E)-hexa-2,4- dienoate. | preservative | 3 | 19,7 |
| GLYCERYL OLEATE | 25496-72-4 | Oleic acid, monoester with glycerol. | emollient/ emulsifying | 2 | 7,0 |
| GOSSYPIUM HERBACEUM SEED OIL |  |  |  | 2 | 13,0 |
| TOCOPHEROL ACETATE |  |  |  | 2 | 24,5 |
| LECITHIN | 8002-43-5 | Lecithins. The complex combination of diglycerides of fatty acids linked to the choline ester of phosphoric acid. | antistatic / emollient/ emulsifying / skin conditioning | 2 | 17,5 |
| SODIUM ASCORBYL PHOSHATE |  |  |  | 2 | 25,5 |
| SODIUM STEAROYL LACTYLATE | 25383-99-7 | Sodium 2-stearoyllactate. | emulsifying | 2 | 9,0 |
| LAURETH-7 | 3055-97-8 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 3,6,9,12,15,18,21- } \\ \text { heptaoxatritriacontanol. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | emulsifying / surfactant | 2 | 16,5 |
| SORBITOL | 50-70-4 | D-glucitol. | humectant/ plasticiser / skin conditioning | 2 | 12,0 |
| ASCORBIC ACID | 50-81-7 | Ascorbic acid. | antioxidant / buffering | 2 | 26,5 |
| SODIUM CHLORIDE | 7647-14-5 | Sodium chloride. | viscosity controlling / bulking | 2 | 9,0 |
| ALOE BARBADENSIS |  | Aloe Barbadensis is a plant material derived from the leaves of the aloe, Aloe barbadensis, Liliaceae. | emollient | 2 | 5,0 |
| CETYL PEG/PPG-10/1 DIMETHICONE |  |  |  | 2 | 6,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DICAPRYLYL ETHER | 629-82-3 | Dioctyl ether. | solvent | 2 | 5,0 |
| CHLORPHENESIN | 104-29-0 | 1,2-Propanediol, 3-4-chlorophenoxy)- | preservative | 2 | 16,5 |
| BENZYL ALCOHOL | 100-51-6 | Benzyl alcohol. | preservative / <br> solvent | 2 | 18,5 |
| CETEARYL ISONONANOATE | 111937-03-2 | Isononanoic acid, C16-18-alkyl esters | emollient | 2 | 5,0 |
| GLYCERYL STEARATE CITRATE | 55840-13-6 | 1,2,3-Propanetricarboxylic acid, 2-hydroxy-, ester with 1,2,3propanetriol monooctadecanoate. | emollient/ emulsifying / skin conditioning | 2 | 10,0 |
| CETYL ALCOHOL | 36653-82-4 | Hexadecan-1-ol. | emollient/ emulsifying / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 2 | 7,5 |
| CHAMOMILLA RECUTITA EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} 84082-60- \\ 0 \end{array}$ | Chamomilla Recutita Extract is an extract of the flowerheads of the matricaria, Chamomilla recutita, Compositae | emollient | 2 | 8,0 |
| CETEARETH-6 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 68439-49- \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (6 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying | 2 | 5,0 |
| CAPRYLYL GLYCOL | 1117-86-8 | Octane-1,2-diol. | emollient/ <br> humectant/ <br> hair <br> conditioning | 2 | 9,5 |
| ALCOHOL | 64-17-5 | Ethanol. | solvent | 2 | 5,0 |
| STEARYL ALCOHOL | 112-92-5 | Octadecan-1-ol. | emollient/ emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling / foam boosting / refatting | 2 | 6,0 |
| POLYSORBATE 60 | 9005-67-8 | Sorbitan, monooctadecanoate, poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl) derivs. | emulsifying / surfactant | 2 | 7,0 |
| C13-14 ISOPARAFFIN | $\begin{aligned} & 246538-79- \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | Alkanes, iso-, C13-14 | emollient / solvent | 2 | 14,5 |
| CALENDULA OFFICINALIS |  | Calendula Officinalis is a plant material derived from the flowers of the calendula, Calendula officinalis, Compositae | emollient | 2 | 8,0 |
| CAPRIC/CAPRYLIC TRIGLYCERIDES |  |  |  | 2 | 2,0 |
| PASSIFLORA INCARNATA EXTRACT | 72968-47-9 | Passiflora Incarnata Extract is an extract of the flowers of the passionflower, Passiflora incarnata, Passifloraceae | skin conditioning / skin protecting | 2 | 12,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OENOTHERA BIENNIS OIL |  | Oenothera Biennis Oil is the fixed oil derived from the seeds of the evening primrose, Oenothera biennis, Onagraceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids | emollient | 2 | 8,0 |
| PANTHANOL |  |  |  | 2 | 21,5 |
| ALOE BARBADENSIS LEAF JUICE |  |  |  | 2 | 22,5 |
| ORYZA SATIVA OIL |  |  |  | 2 | 14,0 |
| BHT | 128-37-0 | 2,6-di-tert-butyl-P-cresol. | antioxidant | 2 | 14,5 |
| GERANIOL | 106-24-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2,6-Octadien-1-ol, 3,7-dimethyl-, } \\ & \text { (2E)- } \end{aligned}$ | tonic | 2 | 15,0 |
| ALLANTOIN | 97-59-6 | Urea, (2,5-dioxo-4imidazolidinyl) | soothing | 2 | 12,0 |
| TRIFOLIUM PRATENSE EXTRACT | 85085-25-2 | Trifolium Pratense Extract is an extract of the flowers of the clover, Trifolium pratense, Leguminosae | astringent | 2 | 10,0 |
| NIACINAMIDE | 98-92-0 | 3-Pyridinecarboxamide | smoothing | 2 | 11,5 |
| POLYACRYLAMIDE | 2594-44-6 | 2-propenamide, homopolymer | antistatic / binding / film forming | 2 | 11,5 |
| SESAMUM INDICUM SEED OIL |  |  |  | 2 | 3,5 |
| PANTHENOL | 81-13-0 | Butanamide, 2,4-dihydroxy-N-(3-hydroxypropyl)-3,3-dimethyl-, (2R)- | antistatic / hair <br> conditioning / skin conditioning | 2 | 9,0 |
| CYCLOMETHICONE | 556-67-2 | Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane | antistatic / emollient/ humectant/ solvent/ viscosity controlling / hair conditioning | 1 | 3,0 |
| CITRUS PARADISI OIL |  |  |  | 1 | 17,0 |
| COCOS NUCIFERA EXTRACT |  | Cocos Nucifera Extract is an extract of the fruit of the coconut, Cocos nucifera, Arecaceae | skin conditioning / hair conditioning / emollient | 1 1 | 2,0 |
| COMMIPHORA MYRRHA EXTRACT | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 84929-26- \\ 0 \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Commiphora Myrrha Extract is an extract of the bark exudate of the myrrh, Commiphora myrrha, Burseraceae | cleansing | 1 | 11,0 |


|  |  |  |  | Contained <br> in the <br> number of <br> products | Average <br> ranking <br> order |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. |  |  |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Contained } \\ \text { in the } \\ \text { number of } \\ \text { products }\end{array} \\ \text { INCI-name } & \text { CAS-Nr. }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Average } \\ \text { ranking } \\ \text { order }\end{array}\right]$

| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SODIUM LACTATE | 72-17-3 | Sodium lactate. | buffering / humectant | 1 | 8,0 |
| SORBIC ACID | 110-44-1 | Hexa-2,4-dienoic acid. | preservative | 1 | 9,0 |
| SORBITAN OLEATE | 1338-43-8 | Sorbitan oleate. | emulsifying | 1 | 6,0 |
| SQUALANE | 111-01-3 |  | emollient / hair conditioning / refatting / skin conditioning | 1 | 7,0 |
| SUCROSE COCOATE | 91031-88-8 | Fatty acids, coco, esters with sucrose. | antistatic / <br> emullsifying / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 1 | 19,0 |
| TACOPHEROL |  |  |  | 1 | 9,0 |
| TETRASODIUM EDTA | 64-02-8 | Tetrasodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate. | chelating | 1 | 20,0 |
| TETRASODIUM IMIN ODISUCCINATE |  |  |  | 1 | 17,0 |
| TOCOPHERYL ACETATE | 7695-91-2 | 3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecy) -2H-benzopyran-6-yl acetate. | antioxidant | 1 | 12,0 |
| TROMETHAMINE | 77-86-1 | 1,3-Propanediol, 2-amino-2(hydroxymethyl) | buffering | 1 | 14,0 |
| ZINC OXIDE | 1314-13-2 | Zinc oxide (CI 77947). | bulking / uv absorber / skin protecting | 1 | 11,0 |
| SHOREA STEN OPTERA BUTTER | 91770-65-9 | Shorea Stenoptera Extract is a fat obtained from the fruits and seeds of Shorea stenoptera, Dipterocarpaceae | emollient | 1 | 6,0 |
| ACRYLATES/C10-30 ALKYL ACRYLATE CROSSPOLYMER |  | C10-C30 alkyl propenoate, polymer with propenoic acid, butenoic acidand/ or alkyl propenoates, product with propenyl sucrose ether or propenyl 2,2-dihydroxymethyl-1,3-propanediol | film forming | 1 | 17,0 |
| DIISOSTEAROYL POLYGLYCERYL-3 DIMER DILINOLEATE |  |  |  | 1 | 4,0 |
| DISODIUM EDTA | 139-33-3 | Disodium dihydrogen ethylenediaminetetraacetate. | chelating / viscosity controlling | 1 | 17,0 |
| ELAEIS GUIN EENSIS OIL | 8002-75-3 | Elaeis Guineensis Oil is a natural oil obtained from the fruits of the palm, Elaeis guineensis, Palmae | emollient | 1 | 2,0 |
| ETHYLHEXYL COCOATE | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mid 92044-87- \\ 6 \end{array}$ | Fatty acids, coco, 2-ethylhexyl esters. | emollient | 1 | 2,0 |
| GLYCERYL LIN OLEATE | 26545-74-4 | (9Z,12Z)-octadeca-9,12-dienoic acid, monoester with glycerol. | emollient/ emulsifying | 1 | 8,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HELIANTHUS ANNUUS EXTRACT | 84776-03-4 | Helianthus Annuus Extract is an extract of the seeds and flowers of the sunflower, Helianthus annuus, Compositae | skin conditioning / emollient/ hair conditioning | 1 | 5,0 |
| HELIANTHUS ANNUUS SEED OIL | 8001-21-6 | Helianthus Annuus Seed Oil is the oil expressed from the seeds of the sunflower, H elianthus annuus, Compositae | emollient / <br> skin <br> conditioning / <br> masking | 1 | 4,0 |
| HEXYL LAURATE | 34316-64-8 | Hexyl laurate. | emollient/ solvent/ viscosity controlling | 1 | 8,0 |
| HYDROGENATED CASTOR OIL | 8001-78-3 | Castor oil, hydrogenated. | emollient/ emulsifying / surfactant / viscosity controlling / skin conditioning |  | 8,0 |
| HYDROLYZED BEESWAX |  | Beeswax, hydrolyzed | surfactant/ emulsifying / emulsion stabilising / stabilising | 1 | 7,0 |
| HYPERICUM PERFORATUM EXTRACT | 84082-80- | Hypericum Perforatum Extract is an extract of the capsules, flowers, leaves and stem heads of the St. John's wort, Hypericum perforatum, Hypericaceae | astringent/ soothing / skin protecting / tonic/ antimicrobial / masking | 1 | 6,0 |
| PEG-20 METHYL GLUCOSE SESQUISTEARATE | 68389-70-8 | Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), .alpha.-hydro-omega.-hydroxy-, ether with methyl .beta.-dglucopyranoside (4:1), octadecanoate (2:3) | emulsifying | 1 | 8,0 |
| ISOBUTYLPARABEN | 857-25-9 | Isobutyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 1 | 22,0 |
| DAUCUS CAROTA |  | Daucus Sativa is the plant material derived from the roots of the carrot, Daucus carota sativa, Umbelliferae. | emollient / astringent | 1 | 10,0 |
| ISOPROPYL STEARATE | 112-10-7 | Isopropyl stearate. | binding / emollient/ skin conditioning | 1 | 3,0 |
| ZINC SULFATE | 7733-02-0 | Zinc sulphate. | antimicrobial / oral care / antiplaque / anticaking | 1 | 9,0 |
| KAOLIN | 1332-58-7 | Naturally occurring substances, kaolin (Cl 77004). | absorbent/ anticaking/ abrasive/ bulking / opacifying | 1 | 5,0 |


| INCI-name | CAS-Nr. | Chemical name according to the INCI-list | Function | Contained in the number of products | Average ranking order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAVANDULA ANGUSTIFOLIA OIL | 8000-28-0 | Lavandula Angustifolia Oil is the volatile oil obtained from the flowers of Lavandula officinalis, Labiatae. | tonic / masking | 1 | 9,0 |
| LAVANDULA OFFICINALIS OIL |  |  |  | 1 | 20,0 |
| MAGNESIUM SULFATE | 7487-88-9 | Magnesium sulphate. | viscosity controlling / hair conditioning / bulking | 1 | 9,0 |
| METHYL GLUCOSE SESQUISTEARATE | 68936-95-8 | D-Glucopyranoside, methyl, octadecanoate (2:3). | emollient/ emulsifying / skin conditioning | 1 | 7,0 |
| M YRETH-3M YRISTATE | 59686-68-9 | Poly(0xy-1,2-ethanediyl), .alpha.-(1-oxotetradecyl)-.omega.-(tetradecyloxy)- | emollient/ <br> surfactant / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 1 | 9,0 |
| OCTYLDODECANOL | 5333-42-6 | 2-octyldodecan-1-01. | emollient / solvent | 1 | 5,0 |
| OLEA EUROPAEA OIL | 8001-25-0 | Olea Europaea Oil is the fixed oil obtained from the ripe fruit of the olive tree, Olea europaea, Oleaceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids linoleic, oleic and palmitic | emollient/ solvent | 1 | 5,0 |
| OLEIC ACID | 112-80-1 | 9-Octadecenoic acid (9Z)- | emollient/ emulsifying | 1 | 11,0 |
| PALM ITIC ACID | 57-10-3 | Hexadecanoic acid | emollient/ emulsifying | 1 | 12,0 |
| IMIDAZOLIDINYL UREA | 39236-46-9 | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ "-methylenebis[ N '-[3-(hydroxymethyl)-2,5-dioxoimidazolidin-4-y]jurea]. | preservative | 1 | 10,0 |

1.1 Ingredients arranged al phabetically

Tabel 0.1 List of all the ingredients in the 32 surveyed moisturising creams, oil -based creams and lotionsfor children for children marketed in October 2008. Theingredients arearranged al ph abetically.

| INCl-Navn | CAS-Nr. | Kemisk navn som beskrevet i INCI-listen | Funktion | Indgår i antal produkter | Gennems nitlig rangordning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACRYLATES/C10-30 ALKYL ACRYLATE CROSSPOLYMER |  | C10-C30 alkyl propenoate, polymer with propenoic acid, butenoic acidand/ or alkyl propenoates, product with propenyl sucrose ether or propenyl 2,2-dihydroxymethyl-1,3-propanediol | film forming | 1 | 17,0 |
| ALCOHOL | 64-17-5 | Ethanol. | solvent | 2 | 5,0 |
| ALLANTOIN | 97-59-6 | Urea, (2,5-dioxo-4imidazolidinyl) | soothing | 2 | 12,0 |
| ALOE BARBADENSIS |  | Aloe Barbadensis is a plant material derived from the leaves of the aloe, Aloe barbadensis, Liliaceae. | emollient | 2 | 5,0 |
| ALOE BARBADENSIS GEL |  | Aloe Barbadensis Gel is the juice expressed from the leaves of the aloe, Aloe barbadensis, Liliaceae. | emollient | 1 | 8,0 |
| ALOE BARBADENSIS LEAF JUICE |  |  |  | 2 | 22,5 |
| ALUM INIUM STARCH OCTENYLSUCCINATE |  |  |  | 1 | 10,0 |
| AMMONIUM ACRYLOYLDIM ETHYLLAURA TE/VP COPOLYMER |  |  |  | 1 | 6,0 |
| ANGELICA ARCH ANGELICA EXTRACT | 84775-41-7 | Angelica Archangelica Extract is an extract of the roots of the angelica, Angelica archangelica, Umbelliferae | tonic | 1 | 9,0 |
| ANGELICA ARCHANGELICA ROOT EXTRACT |  |  |  | 1 | 9,0 |
| ANTHEM IS N OBILIS OIL | 8015-92-7 | Anthemis Nobilis Oil is the volatile oil distilled from the dried flower heads of Anthemis nobilis, Compositae. | tonic / skin conditioning | 1 1 | 21,0 |
| AQUA | 7732-18-5 | Water. | solvent | 30 | 1,1 |
| ASCORBIC ACID | 50-81-7 | Ascorbic acid. | antioxidant/ buffering | 2 | 26,5 |
| AVENA SATIVA EXTRACT | 84012-26-0 | Avena Sativa Extract is an extract of the seeds of the oat, Avena sativa, Poaceae | emollient | 1 | 13,0 |
| AVENA SATIVA KERNEL EXTRACT | 84012-26-0 | Avena Sativa Kernel Extract is an extract of the kernels of oats, Avena sativa, Poaceae | abrasive | 1 | 12,0 |
| BEHENYL ALCOHOL | 661-19-8 | Docosan-1-0. | emollient | 1 | 11,0 |


| INCI-Navn | CAS-Nr. | Kemisk navn som beskrevet i INCI-listen | Funktion | Indgår i antal produkter | Gennems nitlig rangordning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BENZOIC ACID | 65-85-0 | Benzoic acid. | preservative | 6 | 13,7 |
| BENZYL ALCOHOL | 100-51-6 | Benzyl alcohol. | preservative / solvent | 2 | 18,5 |
| BENZYL BENZOATE | 120-51-4 | Benzyl benzoate. | solvent | 1 | 15,0 |
| BEN ZYL SALICYLATE | 118-58-1 | Benzyl salicylate. | uv absorber | 1 | 16,0 |
| BHT | 128-37-0 | 2,6-di-tert-butyl-P-cresol. | antioxidant | 2 | 14,5 |
| BUTYLENE GLYCOL | 107-88-0 | Butane-1,3-diol. | humectant/ solvent | 3 | 3,7 |
| BUTYLPARABEN | 94-26-8 | Butyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 1 | 21,0 |
| BUTYROSPERM UM PARKII BUTTER | 91080-23-8 | Butyrospermum Parkii Butter is the fat obtained from the fruit of the karite tree, Butyrospernum parkii, Sapotaceae | skin conditioning / emollient | 7 | 8,0 |
| C13-14 ISOPARAFFIN | 246538-79- | Alkanes, iso-, C13-14 | emollient / solvent | 2 | 14,5 |
| CALENDULA OFFICINALIS |  | Calendula Officinalis is a plant material derived from the flowers of the calendula, Calendula officinalis, Compositae | emollient | 2 | 8,0 |
| CALENDULA OFFICINALIS EXTRACT | 84776-23-8 | Calendula Officinalis Extract is an extract of the flowers of the calendula, Calendula officinalis, Compositae. | emollient | 4 | 11,8 |
| CAPRIC/CAPRYLIC TRIGLYCERIDES |  |  |  | 2 | 2,0 |
| CAPRYLIC/CAPRIC TRIGLYCERIDE | 73398-61-5 | Triglycerides, mixed decanoyl and octanoyl. | emollient / solvent | 4 | 3,0 |
| CAPRYLYL GLYCOL | 1117-86-8 | Octane-1,2-diol. | emollient/ <br> humectant/ <br> hair <br> conditioning | 2 | 9,5 |
| CARBOMER | 9007-20-9 | 2-Propenoic acid, polymer with 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl) propane-1,3-diol 2-propenyl ether | emulsion stabilising / viscosity controlling / gel forming | 6 | 10,2 |
| CARNAUBA |  |  |  | 1 | 8,0 |
| CERA ALBA | 8012-89-3 | Beeswax. The wax obtained from the honeycomb of the bee. It consists primarily of myricyl palmitate, cerotic acid and esters and some high-carbon paraffins. | emollient/ <br> emulsifying / <br> film forming | 1 | 9,0 |


| INCI-Navn | CAS-Nr. | Kemisk navn som beskrevet $\mathbf{i}$ INCI-listen | Funktion | Indgår i antal produkter | Gennems nitlig rangordning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CERA M ICROCRISTALLINA | 63231-60-7 | Paraffin waxes and Hydrocarbon waxes, microcryst.. A complex combination of long, branched chain hydrocarbons obtained from residual oils by solvent crystallization. It consists predominantly of saturated straight and branched chain hydrocarbons predomina | binding / emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 4 | 5,0 |
| CERAMIDE 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 100403-19- \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | Octadecanamide, N-(1,3,4-trihydroxy-2-octadecyl) | skin conditioning / skin protecting | 4 | 13,5 |
| CETEARETH-12 |  | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (12 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying | 3 | 5,7 |
| CETEARETH-20 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 68439-49- \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (20 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying / surfactant | 3 | 8,7 |
| CETEARETH-25 |  | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (25 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying / surfactant | 3 | 5,7 |
| CETEARETH-6 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 68439-49- \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | C16-18 alcohols, ethoxylated (6 mol EO average molar ratio) | emulsifying | 2 | 5,0 |
| CETEARYL ALCOHOL | 67762-27-0 | Alcohols, C16-18. | emollient/ emulsifying / emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 13 | 6,2 |
| CETEARYL <br> ETHYLHEXANOATE | 90411-68-0 | Hexanoic acid, 2-ethyl-, C16-18alkyl esters. | emollient | 1 | 5,0 |
| CETEARYL GLUCOSIDE | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 246159-33- \\ 1 \end{array}$ | D-Glucopyranose, C16-C18 alkyl glycosides | emulsifying | 4 | 6,0 |
| CETEARYLISONONANOATE | 111937-03-2 | Isononanoic acid, C16-18-alkyl esters | emollient | 2 | 5,0 |
| CETYL ALCOHOL | 36653-82-4 | Hexadecan-1-01. | emollient/ emulsifying / opacifying / viscosity controlling | 2 | 7,5 |
| CETYL PALMITATE | 540-10-3 | Hexadecyl hexadecanoate | emollient | 1 | 6,0 |
| CETYL PEG/PPG-10/1 DIMETHICONE |  |  |  | 2 | 6,0 |
| CHAMOMILLA RECUTITA EXTRACT | $\begin{aligned} & 84082-60- \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Chamomilla Recutita Extract is an extract of the flowerheads of the matricaria, Chamomilla recutita, Compositae | emollient | 2 | 8,0 |
| CHAM OM ILLA RECUTITA FLOWER EXTRACT |  |  |  | 1 | 11,0 |
| CHLORPHENESIN | 104-29-0 | 1,2-Propanediol, 3-4-chlorophenoxy)- | preservative | 2 | 16,5 |


| INCl-Navn | CAS-Nr. | Kemisk navn som beskrevet i INCI-listen | Funktion | Indgår i antal produkter | Gennems nitlig rangordning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHOLESTEROL | 57-88-5 | Cholest-5-en-3-01 (beta)- | emollient/ emulsifying / stabilising | 4 | 11,3 |
| CITRIC ACID | 77-92-9 | 2-Hydroxy-1,2,3- <br> propanetricarboxylic acid | buffering / chelating | 10 | 15,2 |
| CITRUS PARADISI OIL |  |  |  | 1 | 17,0 |
| COCOS NUCIFERA EXTRACT |  | Cocos Nucifera Extract is an extract of the fruit of the coconut, Cocos nucifera, Arecaceae | skin conditioning / hair conditioning / emollient | 1 | 2,0 |
| COMMIPHORA MYRRHA EXTRACT | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mid 84929-26- \\ 0 \end{array}$ | Commiphora Myrrha Extract is an extract of the bark exudate of the myrrh, Commiphora myrrha, Burseraceae | cleansing | 1 | 11,0 |
| CYCLOMETHICONE | 556-67-2 | Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane | antistatic / emollient/ humectant/ solvent/ viscosity controlling / hair conditioning | 1 1 | 3,0 |
| CYCLOPENTASILOXANE | 541-02-6 | Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane | hair conditioning / emollient / solvent | 1 | 2,0 |
| DAUCUS CAROTA |  | Daucus Sativa is the plant material derived from the roots of the carrot, Daucus carota sativa, Umbelliferae. | emollient / astringent | 1 | 10,0 |
| DEHYDROACETIC ACID | 520-45-6 | 3-acetyl-6-methyl-2H-pyran- <br> 2,4(3H)-dione. | preservative | 4 | 15,0 |
| DICAPRYLYL CARBONATE |  |  |  | 3 | 6,7 |
| DICAPRYLYL ETHER | 629-82-3 | Dioctyl ether. | solvent | 2 | 5,0 |
| DIISOSTEAROYL POLYGLYCERYL-3 DIMER DILINOLEATE |  |  |  | 1 | 4,0 |
| DIMETHICONE | 9006-65-9 | Dimethicone | antifoaming / emollient | 5 | 9,4 |
| DISODIUM EDTA | 139-33-3 | Disodium dihydrogen ethylenediaminetetraacetate. | chelating / viscosity controlling | 1 | 17,0 |
| ELAEIS GUINEENSIS OIL | 8002-75-3 | Elaeis Guineensis Oil is a natura oil obtained from the fruits of the palm, Elaeis guineensis, Palmae | emollient | 1 | 2,0 |
| ETHYLHEXYL COCOATE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 92044-87- \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | Fatty acids, coco, 2-ethylhexyl esters. | emollient | 1 | 2,0 |
| ETHYLHEXYL STEARATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22047-49- } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 2-ethylhexyl stearate. | emollient | 9 | 3,4 |


| INCI-Navn | CAS-Nr. | Kemisk navn som beskrevet i INCI-listen | Funktion | Indgår i antal produkter | Gennems nitlig rangordning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ETHYLHEXYLGLYCERIN | 70445-33-9 | 1,2-propanediol, 3-(2ethylhexyloxy) | skin conditioning | 4 | 14,0 |
| ETHYLPARABEN | 120-47-8 | Ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 4 | 14,5 |
| GERANIOL | 106-24-1 | ```2,6-O ctadien-1-01, 3,7-dimethyl-, (2E)-``` | tonic | 2 | 15,0 |
| GLYCERIN | 56-81-5 | Glycerol. | denaturant/ humectant/ solvent | 24 | 4,3 |
| GLYCERYL LIN OLEATE | 2654-74-4 | (9Z,12Z)-octadeca-9,12-dienoic acid, monoester with glycerol. | emollient/ emulsifying | 1 | 8,0 |
| GLYCERYL OLEATE | 25496-72-4 | Oleic acid, monoester with glycerol. | emollient/ emulsifying | 2 | 7,0 |
| GLYCERYL STEARATE | 31566-31-1 | Stearic acid, monoester with glycerol. | emollient/ emulsifying | 16 | 5,9 |
| GLYCERYL STEARATE CITRATE | 55840-13-6 | 1,2,3-Propanetricarboxylic acid, 2-hydroxy-, ester with 1,2,3propanetriol monooctadecanoate. | emollient/ <br> emulsifying / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 2 | 10,0 |
| GLYCERYL STEARATE SE |  |  | emulsifying | 4 | 5,5 |
| GOSSYPIUM HERBACEUM SEED OIL |  |  |  | 2 | 13,0 |
| HELIANTHUS ANNUUS EXTRACT | 84776-03-4 | Helianthus Annuus Extract is an extract of the seeds and flowers of the sunflower, Helianthus annuus, Compositae | skin conditioning / emollient / hair conditioning | 1 | 5,0 |
| HELIANTHUS ANNUUS SEED OIL | 8001-21-6 | Helianthus Annuus Seed Oil is the oil expressed from the seeds of the sunflower, Helianthus annuus, Compositae | emollient/skin conditioning / masking | 1 | 4,0 |
| HEXYL LAURATE | 34316-64-8 | Hexyl laurate. | emollient/ solvent/ viscosity controlling | 1 | 8,0 |
| HYDROGENATED CASTOR OIL | 8001-78-3 | Castor oil, hydrogenated. | emollient/ <br> emulsifying / <br> surfactant / <br> viscosity <br> controlling / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 1 | 8,0 |
| HYDROLYZED BEESWAX |  | Beeswax, hydrolyzed | surfactant/ emulsifying / emulsion stabilising / stabilising | 1 1 | 7,0 |
| HYPERICUM PERFORATUM EXTRACT | $\mathrm{P}_{4}^{84082-80-}$ | Hypericum Perforatum Extract is an extract of the capsules, flowers, leaves and stem heads of the St. John's wort, Hypericum perforatum, Hypericaceae | astringent/ soothing / skin protecting / tonic/ antimicrobial / masking | 1 | 6,0 |


| INCI-Navn | CAS-Nr. | Kemisk navn som beskrevet i INCI-listen | Funktion | Indgår i antal produkter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMIDAZOLIDINYL UREA | 39236-46-9 | N,N "-methylenebis[ ${ }^{\text {'-[[3- }}$ (hydroxymethyl)-2,5-dioxoimidazolidin-4-yl]urea]. | preservative | 1 | 10,0 |
| ISOBUTYLPARABEN | 857-25-9 | Isobutyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 1 | 22,0 |
| ISOCETYL ALCOHOL | 36311-34-9 | Isohexadecanol. | emollient/ <br> viscosity <br> controlling / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 3 | 14,3 |
| ISOPROPYL MYRISTATE | 110-27-0 | Isopropyl myristate. | binding/ emollient/ solvent / skin conditioning | 3 | 3,0 |
| ISOPROPYL PALMITATE | 142-91-6 | Isopropyl palmitate. | antistatic / binding / emollient/ solvent / skin conditioning | 1 | 3,0 |
| ISOPROPYL STEARATE | 112-10-7 | Isopropyl stearate. | binding/ emollient / skin conditioning | 1 | 3,0 |
| KAOLIN | 1332-58-7 | Naturally occurring substances, kaolin (Cl 77004). | absorbent / anticaking/ abrasive/ bulking / opacifying | 1 | 5,0 |
| LACTIC ACID | 50-21-5 | Propanoic acid, 2-hydroxy- | buffering / <br> humectant/ <br> skin <br> conditioning | 3 | 12,7 |
| LANOLIN | 8006-54-0 | Lanolin. Fat-like substance derived from sheep wool. Contains a complex combination of esters and polyesters, consisting chiefly of cholesteryl and isocholesteryl esters of the higher fatty acids. | antistatic / <br> emollient/ <br> emulsifying / skin <br> conditioning / hair <br> conditioning / <br> surfactant | 3 | 4,3 |
| LAURETH-7 | 3055-97-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3,6,9,12,15,18,21- } \\ & \text { heptaoxatritriacontanol. } \end{aligned}$ | emulsifying / surfactant | 2 | 16,5 |
| LAVANDULA ANGUSTIFOLIA OIL | 8000-28-0 | Lavandula Angustifolia Oil is the volatile oil obtained from the flowers of Lavandula officinalis, Labiatae. | tonic/ masking | 1 | 9,0 |
| LAVANDULA OFFICINALIS OIL |  |  |  | 1 | 20,0 |
| LECITHIN | 8002-43-5 | Lecithins. The complex combination of diglycerides of fatty acids linked to the choline ester of phosphoric acid. | antistatic / emollient/ <br> emulsifying / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 2 | 17,5 |
| LIMONENE | 5989-27-5 |  |  | 6 | 19,7 |


| INCI-Navn | CAS-Nr. | Kemisk navn som beskrevet i INCI-listen | Funktion | Indgår i antal produkter | Gennems nitlig rangordning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINALOOL | 78-70-6 | 1,6-Octadien-3-ol, 3,7-dimethyl- | deodorant | 5 | 17,8 |
| MAGNESIUM ALUMINUM SILICATE | 1327-43-1 | Silicic acid, aluminum magnesium salt. | absorbent / opacifying / viscosity controlling / anticaking | 4 | 9,5 |
| MAGNESIUM SULFATE | 7487-88-9 | M agnesium sulphate. | viscosity controlling / hair conditioning / bulking | 1 | 9,0 |
| METHYL GLUCOSE SESQUISTEARATE | 68936-95-8 | D-Glucopyranoside, methyl, octadecanoate (2:3). | emollient/ emulsifying / skin conditioning | 1 | 7,0 |
| M ETHYLPARABEN | 99-76-3 | M ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 7 | 11,9 |
| M YRETH-3 M YRISTATE | 59686-68-9 | Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), .alpha.-(1-oxotetradecyl)-.omega.-(tetradecyloxy)- | emollient/ surfactant / skin conditioning | 1 | 9,0 |
| NIACINAMIDE | 98-92-0 | 3-Pyridinecarboxamide | smoothing | 2 | 11,5 |
| OCTYLDODECANOL | 5333-42-6 | 2-octyldodecan-1-ol. | emollient/ solvent | 1 | 5,0 |
| OEN OTHERA BIENNIS OIL |  | Oenothera Biennis Oil is the fixed oil derived from the seeds of the evening primrose, Oenothera biennis, O nagraceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids | emollient | 2 | 8,0 |
| OLEA EUROPAEA OIL | 8001-25-0 | Olea Europaea Oil is the fixed oil obtained from the ripe fruit of the olive tree, Olea europaea, Oleaceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids linoleic, oleic and palmitic | emollient/ solvent | 1 | 5,0 |
| OLEIC ACID | 112-80-1 | 9-O ctadecenoic acid (9Z)- | emollient/ emulsifying | 1 | 11,0 |
| OLUS OIL | 68956-68-3 | Olus Oil is an expressed oil of vegetable origin consisting primarily of triglycerides of fatty acids | emollient | 4 | 5,3 |
| ORYZA SATIVA OIL |  |  |  | 2 | 14,0 |
| PALMITIC ACID | 57-10-3 | Hexadecanoic acid | emollient/ emulsifying | 1 | 12,0 |
| p-AN ISIC ACID | 100-09-4 | Benzoic acid, 4-methoxy- | masking | 1 | 10,0 |
| PANTHANOL |  |  |  | 2 | 21,5 |


| INCI-Navn | CAS-Nr. | Kemisk navn som beskrevet i INCI-listen | Funktion | Indgår i antal produkter | Gennems nitlig rangordning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PANTHENOL | 81-13-0 | Butanamide, 2,4-dihydroxy-N-(3-hydroxypropyl)-3,3-dimethyl-, (2R)- | antistatic / hair conditioning / skin conditioning | 2 | 9,0 |
| PARAFFIN | 8002-74-2 | Paraffin waxes and Hydrocarbon waxes. A complex combination of hydrocarbons obtained from petroleum fractions by solvent crystallization (solvent deoiling) or by the sweating process. It consists predominantly of straight chain hydrocarbons having carbon | emollient/ viscosity controlling |  | 4,8 |
| PARAFFINUM LIQUIDUM | 8012-95-1 | Paraffin oils. Liquid hydrocarbons from petroleum. | antistatic / emollient/ solvent / skin protecting | 10 | 2,7 |
| PARFUM |  | Perfume and aromatic compositions and their raw materials | deodorant/ masking | 6 | 14,8 |
| PASSIFLORA INCARNATA EXTRACT | 72968-47-9 | Passiflora Incarnata Extract is an extract of the flowers of the passionflower, Passiflora incarnata, Passifloraceae | skin conditioning / skin protecting | 2 | 12,0 |
| PEG-100 STEARATE | 9004-99-3 | Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), .alpha.-(1-oxooctadecyl)-.omega.-hydroxy- | surfactant | 3 | 8,0 |
| PEG-12 | 1786-28-6 | $3,6,9,12,15,18,21,24,27,30,33-$ undecaoxapentatriacontanel,35diol. | humectant/ solvent | 1 | 5,0 |
| PEG-20 METHYL GLUCOSE SESQUISTEARATE | 68389-70-8 | Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), .alpha.-hydro-omega.-hydroxy-, ether with methyl beta.-dglucopyranoside (4:1), octadecanoate (2:3) | emulsifying | 1 | 8,0 |
| PEG-40 CASTOR OIL | 61791-12-6 | Castor oil, ethoxylated | emulsifying / surfactant | 1 | 12,0 |
| PEG-75LAN OLIN | 61790-81-6 | Lanolin, ethoxylated | emollient / emulsifying / surfactant | 1 | 4,0 |
| PENTYLENE GLYCOL |  |  |  | 5 | 4,2 |
| PETROLATUM | 2231-33-5 | Petrolatum. A complex combination of hydrocarbons obtained as a semi-solid from dewaxing paraffinic residual oil. It consists predominantly of saturated crystalline and liquid hydrocarbons having carbon numbers predominantly greater than C25. | antistatic / emollient | 6 | 2,3 |
| PHENOXYETHANOL | 122-99-6 | 2-phenoxyethanol. | preservative | 16 | 13,5 |
| POLYACRYLAMIDE | 2594-44-6 | 2-propenamide, homopolymer | antistatic/ binding / film | 2 | 11,5 |


| INCI-Navn | CAS-Nr. | Kemisk navn som beskrevet i INCI-listen | Funktion | Indgår i antal produkter | Gennems nitlig rangordning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | forming |  |  |
| POLYGLYCERYL-3 METHYLGLUCOSE DISTEARATE |  |  | emulsifying | 4 | 7,5 |
| POLYGLYCERYL-4 ISOSTEARATE | 91824-88-3 |  | emulsifying | 1 | 6,0 |
| POLYSORBATE 60 | 9005-67-8 | Sorbitan, monooctadecanoate, poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl) derivs. | emulsifying / surfactant | 2 | 7,0 |
| POTASSIUM SORBATE | 24634-61-5 | Potassium (E,E)-hexa-2,4dienoate. | preservative | 3 | 19,7 |
| PROPYLEN E GLYCOL | 57-55-6 | Propane-1,2-diol. | humectant/ solvent / skin conditioning / viscosity controlling | 3 | 7,3 |
| PROPYLPARABEN | 94-13-3 | Propyl 4-hydroxybenzoate. | preservative | 6 | 15,2 |
| PRUNUS AMYGDALUS DULCIS EXTRACT | 90320-37-9 | Prunus Amygdalus Dulcis Extract is an extract of the fruits of the sweet almond, Prunus amygdalus dulcis, Rosaceae | skin conditioning / abrasive / bulking / moisturising | 1 | 11,0 |
| PRUNUS AMYGDALUS DULCIS OIL | 8007-69-0 | Prunus Amygdalus Dulcis Oil is the fixed oil obtained from the ripe seeds of the sweet almond, Prunus amygdalus dulcis, Rosaceae. It consist primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids. | emollient / skin conditioning | 5 | 8,6 |
| PRUNUS ARMENIACA EXTRACT | 68650-44-2 | Prunus Armeniaca Extract is an extract of the fruit of the apricot, Prunus armeniaca, Rosaceae | emollient / moisturising | 1 | 2,0 |
| PRUNUS ARMENIACA KERNEL OIL | 72869-69-3 | Prunus Armeniaca Kernel Oil is the fixed oil expressed from the kernels of the apricot, Prunus armeniaca, Rosaceae. It consists primarily of the glycerides of the fatty acids | emollient / skin conditioning | 1 | 2,0 |
| ROSM ARINUS OFFICINALIS EXTRACT | 84604-14-8 | Rosmarinus Officinalis Extract is an extract of the leaves of the rosemary, Rosmarinus officinalis, Labiatae | tonic / refreshing / antimicrobial | 1 | 10,0 |
| SACCH ARIDE ISOM ERATE | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 100843-69- \\ 4 \end{array}$ |  | humectant | 1 | 14,0 |
| SAM BUCUS NIGRA |  | Sambucus Nigra is a plant material derived from the sambucus, Sambucus nigra, Caprifoliaceae | skin conditioning | 1 | 11,0 |
| SAM BUCUS NIGRA FLOWER EXTRACT |  |  |  | 1 | 11,0 |
| SESAMUM INDICUM SEED OIL |  |  |  | 2 | 3,5 |


| INCI-Navn | CAS-Nr. | Kemisk navn som beskrevet i INCI-listen | Funktion | Indgår i antal produkter | Gennems nitlig rangordning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SHOREA STEN OPTERA BUTTER | 91770-65-9 | Shorea Stenoptera Extract is a fat obtained from the fruits and seeds of Shorea stenoptera, Dipterocarpaceae | emollient | 1 | 6,0 |
| SILICA | 7631-86-9 | Silicon dioxide. | abrasive / absorbent/ opacifying / viscosity controlling / anticaking / bulking | 1 | 9,0 |
| SIMMONDSIA CHINENSIS OIL | 61789-91-1 | Simmondsia Chinensis Oil is the fixed oil expressed or extracted from seeds of the jojoba, Simmondsia chinensis, Buxaceae | emollient | 3 | 4,0 |
| SODIUM ASCORBYL PHOSHATE |  |  |  | 2 | 25,5 |
| SODIUM BEESWAX | 97721-96-5 | Fatty acids, beeswax, sodium salts. | emulsifying / skin conditioning | 1 | 8,0 |
| SODIUM BENZOATE | 532-32-1 | Sodium benzoate. | preservative | 6 | 19,3 |
| SODIUM CETEARYL SULFATE | 59186-41-3 | Sulfuric acid, mixed cetyl and stearyl esters, sodium salts | surfactant/ cleansing / foaming | 1 | 14,0 |
| SODIUM CHLORIDE | 7647-14-5 | Sodium chloride. | viscosity controlling / bulking | 2 | 9,0 |
| SODIUM CITRATE | 68-04-2 | Trisodium citrate. | buffering / chelating | 3 | 9,0 |
| SODIUM GLUCONATE | 527-07-1 | Sodium gluconate. | chelating | 8 | 13,1 |
| SODIUM HYDROXIDE | 1310-73-2 | Sodium hydroxide. | buffering / denaturant | 5 | 14,2 |
| SODIUM LACTATE | 72-17-3 | Sodium lactate. | buffering / humectant | 1 | 8,0 |
| SODIUM STEAROYL LACTYLATE | 25383-99-7 | Sodium 2-stearoyllactate. | emulsifying | 2 | 9,0 |
| SORBIC ACID | 110-44-1 | Hexa-2,4-dienoic acid. | preservative | 1 | 9,0 |
| SORBITAN OLEATE | 1338-43-8 | Sorbitan oleate. | emulsifying | 1 | 6,0 |
| SORBITAN STEARATE | 1338-41-6 | Sorbitan stearate. | emulsifying | 3 | 4,7 |
| SORBITOL | 50-70-4 | D-glucitol. | humectant / <br> plasticiser / <br> skin <br> conditioning | 2 | 12,0 |
| SQUALANE | 111-01-3 | 2,6,10,15,19,23- <br> hexamethyltetracosane. | emollient/ hair conditioning / refatting / skin conditioning | 1 | 7,0 |


| INCI-Navn | CAS-Nr. | Kemisk navn som beskrevet i INCI-listen | Funktion | Indgår i antal produkter | Gennems nitlig rangordning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEARIC ACID | 57-11-4 | Stearic acid. | emulsifying / emulsion stabilising / refatting / cleansing | 3 | 7,0 |
| STEARYL ALCOHOL | 112-92-5 | Octadecan-1-ol. | emollient/ emulsion stabilising / opacifying / viscosity controlling / foam boosting / refatting | 2 | 6,0 |
| SUCROSE COCOATE | 91031-88-8 | Fatty acids, coco, esters with sucrose. | antistatic / emulsifying / skin conditioning | 1 | 19,0 |
| TACOPHEROL |  |  |  | 1 | 9,0 |
| TETRASODIUM EDTA | 64-02-8 | Tetrasodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate. | chelating | 1 | 20,0 |
| TETRASODIUM IM IN ODISUCCINATE |  |  |  | 1 | 17,0 |
| TOCOPHEROL | 10191-41-0 | 3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl)-2H-benzopyran-6-ol. | antioxidant/ skin conditioning | 3 | 13,7 |
| TOCOPHEROL ACETATE |  |  |  | 2 | 24,5 |
| TOCOPHERYL ACETATE | 7695-91-2 | 3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecy) -2H-benzopyran-6-yl acetate. | antioxidant | 1 | 12,0 |
| TRIETH AN OLAMINE | 102-71-6 | 2,2', $2^{\prime \prime}$-nitrilotriethanol. | buffering | 4 | 16,5 |
| TRIFOLIUM PRATENSE EXTRACT | 85085-25-2 | Trifolium Pratense Extract is an extract of the flowers of the clover, Trifolium pratense, Leguminosae | astringent | 2 | 10,0 |
| TROMETHAMINE | 77-86-1 | 1,3-Propanediol, 2-amino-2(hydroxymethyl) | buffering | 1 | 14,0 |
| XANTHAN GUM | 11138-66-2 | Xanthan gum. | binding / emulsion stabilising / viscosity controlling / gel forming | 11 | 14,9 |
| ZINC OXIDE | 1314-13-2 | Zinc oxide (CI 77947). | bulking / uv absorber / skin protecting | 1 | 11,0 |
| ZINC SULFATE | 7733-02-0 | Zinc sulphate. | antimicrobial / oral care / antiplaque / anticaking | 1 | 9,0 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: Jeanne D uus Johansen, M D., C entre leader for Videncenter for Allergi [Allergy K nowledge C entre], G entofte C ounty H ospital
    ${ }^{2}$ Source: Jeanne D uus Jensen, K nowledge C entre for Allergy, C hronic: Allergi overfor kemiske stoffer kan forebygges (Allergy to chemical substances can be prevented)
    (M iljøD anmark 4/2002), http://glwww.mst.dk/udgiv/12090200.htm

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Source: U niversity D epartment for G rowth and Reproduction, C openhagen University H ospital and IndenRigs (newsletter for employees of the C openhagen University H ospital)

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Ingeniøren no. 8, 2007
    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{M}$ iljø og sundhed [Environment and H ealth] supplement no. 7, September 2007
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{H}$ ass et al. Environmental H ealth Perspectives V olume 115, N umber S-1, D ecember 2007
    http://www.videnskab.dk/content/dk/krop_sundhed/hormonforstyrrende_stoffer_ virker_tidligt_i_graviditeten

[^3]:    ${ }^{9}$ Group 2: Potential for endocrine-like effect. In vitro data indicates potential for endocrine disruptor effects in intact organisms. Also includes in vivo effects that are, or are not, indirectly endocrine disruptor.
    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{G}$ roup 1: C lear indication of endocrine-like effect. At least 1 in vivo study shows a clear indication of an endocrine disruptor effect in an intact organism.

[^4]:    12 As well as DEPA's earlier surveys of chemical substances in children's toys, previous tests also include the $D$ anish C onsumer C ouncil's test on toys, tests from TÆNK (DCC's magazine) and surveys on consumer products (clothes) undertaken by $G$ reenpeace.

[^5]:    13 www.varefakta.dk/73/oversigt-narresutter_med_varefakta

[^6]:    ${ }^{14}$ According to netdoktor.dk, 2-year olds weigh between 11.0 and 16.3 kgs, with an average of 13.3 kgs .

[^7]:    ${ }^{15}$ A scan was also performed for 4-M BC, which should now have been removed from D anish sunscreen products. N one of the 21 sunscreens contained 4-M BC (4methylbenzylidene camphor)

[^8]:    ${ }^{16}$ Bremmer HJ, van Veen MP. Children's toys fact sheet: to assess the risks for the consumer. Bilthoven: Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezonheid en Milieu, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, 2002. (RIVM report).

[^9]:    ${ }^{18} \mathrm{M}$ igration by contact with urine is not considered in this project.

[^10]:    ${ }^{20}$ Hawley, 1985 refers to the source Poiger \& Schlatter, 1979, where the compound TCDD was given orally in ethanol to rats. After 24 hours, $26.7 \%$ of the total dose was found in the liver. If TCDD was administered mixed with earth, half of that amount was found in the liver after 24 hours.

[^11]:    25 The products were bought in Norway, but could have been bought in Denmark.

[^12]:    26 It is stated that an arm weighs 3.5 g , a boot 16 g and a leg 5 g on http://www.miljoeogsundhed.dk/default.aspx?node=5320

